#### Dispositions of Penetrative Sexual Offenses When an Article 32 Investigating / Preliminary Hearing Officer Determined No Probable Cause

Table 1. Number of cases in which the Article 32 investigating/preliminary hearing officer found no probable cause for a penetrative offense

	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Coast Guard	Total
FY 14: Number of Article 32s held (penetrative cases)	188	76	68	76	18	426
FY 14: Number of cases Art. 32 IO found no probable cause (penetrative offenses)	28 (14.9%)	14 (18.4%)	28 (41.2%)	17 (22.4%)	6 (33.3%)	93 (21.8%)
FY 15: Number of Article 32s held (penetrative cases)	207	48	51	131	14	451
FY 15: Number of cases Art. 32 IO/PHO found no probable cause (penetrative offenses)	31 (15.0%)	11 (22.9%)	13 (25.5%)	42 (32.1%)	4 (20.0%)	101 (22.4%)
FY 16: Number of						
Article 32s held (penetrative cases)	151	49	60	160	10	430
FY 16: Number of cases Art. 32 PHO found no probable cause (penetrative offenses)	28 (18.5%)	9 (18.4%)	14 (23.3%)	35 (21.9%)	2 (20.0%)	88 (20.5%)
FY 17: Number of Article 32s held penetrative cases)	146	46	36	133	7	368
FY 17: Number of cases Art. 32 PHO found no probable cause penetrative offenses)	27 (18.5%)	7 (15.2%)	7 (19.4%)	37 (27.8%)	2 (28.6%)	80 (21.7%)

FY 18: Number of Article 32s held (penetrative cases)	126	46	27	116	3	318
FY 18: Number of cases Art. 32 PHO found no probable cause (penetrative offenses)	13 (10.3%)	10 (21.7%)	7 (25.9%)	20 (17.2%)	2 (66.7%)	52 (16.4%)

#### **Changes to Article 32, UCMJ:**

- The Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, which went into effect December 26, 2014, introduced major changes to the Article 32 process—changing it from an investigation into the truth and form of the charges into a more limited preliminary hearing to determine probable cause.
  - o All of the FY 14 cases in this document involved Article 32 investigations that fell under the "old" Article 32 investigation process
  - o More than half of the FY 15 cases fell under the "old" Article 32 process and less than half fell under the "new" procedures
  - o All of the FY 16 through FY 18 cases involve Article 32 preliminary hearings under the "new" procedures.

#### **Witness / Victim Testimony:**

- In FY 14, victims testified in 92% of the Article 32 hearings; almost all Article 32 hearings involved witness testimony
  - o Though almost all of the FY 14 cases involved victim and witness testimony, the percentage of cases in which the investigating officer found no probable cause for a penetrative offense was similar to the percentage from the FY 15 through FY 18 cases.
- In FY 15, victims testified in 62% of the Article 32 hearings; data on the percentage of witnesses who testified is forthcoming
- In FY 16, victims testified in 25% of the Article 32 hearings; data on the percentage of witnesses who testified is forthcoming
- In FY 17 and FY 18, victims testified in only 8% and 3% of Article 32 hearings, respectively; witnesses testified in 40% and 36% of Article 32 hearings, respectively

#### **Article 32, UCMJ, Hearing Waivers:**

- In FY 14, Article 32 hearing waivers in penetrative sexual offense cases were relatively rare—19 waivers compared to 425 hearings` 1
- In FY 15, there were 47 waivers compared to 451 hearings (
- In FY 16, there were 112 waivers compared to 430 hearings
- In FY 17, there were 98 waivers compared to 368 hearings
- In FY 18, there were 84 waivers compared to 318 hearings

Table 2. Number of victims and other witnesses who testified in Article 32 hearings

	FY 14:	FY 14:	FY 14:	FY 15:	FY 15:	FY 15:	FY 16:	FY 16:	FY 16:
	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of
	Article 32s	Art. 32s with	Art. 32s with	Article 32s	Art. 32s with	Art. 32s with	Article 32s	Art. 32s with	Art. 32s with
	(penetrative	witness	victim	(penetrative	witness	victim	(penetrative	witness	victim
	cases)	testimony	testimony	cases)	testimony	testimony	cases)	testimony	testimony
Army	188	188	176	207	TBD	141	126	TBD	42
		(100%)	(94%)			(68%)			(33%)
Navy	76	$70^{1}$	66	48	TBD	30	46	TBD	8
		(92%)	(87%)			(63%)			(17%)
<b>Marine Corps</b>	68	67	61	51	TBD	28	27	TBD	11
		(99%)	(90%)			(55%)			(41%)
Air Force	76	$75^2$	72	131	TBD	73	116	TBD	16
		(99%)	(95%)			(56%)			(14%)
Coast Guard	18	18	16	14	TBD	9	3	TBD	1
		(100%)	(89%)			(64%)			(33%)
Total	425	418	391	451	TBD	281	318	TBD	78
		(98%)	(92%)			(62%)			(25%)

	<b>FY 17:</b> Number of	FY 17: Number	FY 17: Number	FY 18: Number of	FY 18: Number	FY 18: Number
	Article 32s	of Art. 32s with	of Art. 32s with	Article 32s	of Art. 32s with	of Art. 32s with
	(penetrative cases)	witness testimony	victim testimony	(penetrative cases)	witness testimony	victim testimony
Army	146	66	8	126	40	2
		(45%)	(5%)		(32%)	(2%)
Navy	46	12	4	46	21	1
		(26%)	(9%)		(46%)	(2%)
Marine Corps	36	13	8	27	8	1
		(36%)	(22%)		(30%)	(4%)
Air Force	133	50	6	116	45	4
		(38%)	(5%)		(39%)	(3%)
Coast Guard	7	7	2	3	2	1
		(100%)	(29%)		(67%)	(33%)
Total	368	148	28	318	116	9
		(40%)	(8%)		(36%)	(3%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Six of the 76 Article 32 reports were sealed or unavailable so it is unknown whether victims or other witnesses testified in those Article 32 hearings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> One of the 76 Article 32 reports was unavailable so it is unknown whether victims or other witnesses testified in that Article 32 hearing.

Table 3. Disposition of cases for which the Article 32 IO / PHO found no probable cause for a penetrative offense

Table 3. Disposition of cases for which the Article 32 IO / PHO found no probable cause for a penetrative offense							
	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Coast Guard	Total	
FY14 no-PC cases	28	14	28	17	6	93	
No-PC offenses dismissed	15	10	21	10	5	61	
(not referred)	(54%)	(71%)	(75%)	(59%)	(83%)	(66%)	
No-PC offenses referred	13	4	7	7	1	32	
	(46%)	(29%)	(25%)	(41%)	(17%)	(34%)	
FY15 no-PC cases	31	11	13	42	4	101	
No-PC offenses dismissed	13	7	7	26	2	55	
(not referred)	(42%)	(64%)	(54%)	(62%)	(50%)	(54%)	
No-PC offenses referred	18	4	6	16	2	46	
	(58%)	(36%)	(46%)	(38%)	(50%)	(46%)	
			1				
FY16 no-PC cases	28	9	14	35	2	88	
No-PC offenses dismissed	4	6	13	28	0	51	
(not referred)	(14%)	(67%)	(93%)	(80%)	(0%)	(58%)	
No-PC offenses referred	24	3	1	7	2	37	
	(86%)	(33%)	(7%)	(20%)	(100%)	(42%)	
FY17 no-PC cases	27	7	7	37	2	80	
No-PC offenses dismissed	11	5	4	28	0	48	
(not referred)	(41%)	(71%)	(57%)	(76%)	(0%)	(60%)	
No-PC offenses referred	16	2	3	9	2	32	
1 to 1 & offenses referred	(59%)	(29%)	(43%)	(24%)	(100%)	(40%)	
	, ,		, ,	, ,	, , ,	,	
FY18 no-PC cases	13	10	7	20	2	52	
No-PC offenses dismissed	2	9	4	17	2	34	
(not referred)	(15%)	(90%)	(57%)	(85%)	(100%)	(65%)	
No-PC offenses referred	11	1	3	3	0	18	
	(85%)	(10%)	(43%)	(15%)	(0%)	(35%)	

- On average, convening authorities took action consistent with the Article 32 investigating / preliminary hearing officer's determination(s) of no probable cause—i.e., dismissed the charges lacking probable cause—in a majority of the Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, and Coast Guard cases.
- In the Army, convening authorities took action consistent with the Article 32 investigating / preliminary hearing officer's determination(s) of no probable cause in just over half of the cases in FY 14, but in a minority of cases in FY 15 through FY 18.
- The average grade of investigating / preliminary hearing officers was somewhat lower in FY 14 through FY 16 hearings than in FY 17 and FY 18 hearings. For example, from FY 14 through FY 16, there were between 23 and 27 Article 32 hearing officers in the grade of O-3 each year, but in FY 17 and FY 18, there were only 7 and 5, respectively, Article 32 hearing officers in the grade of O-3.

Table 4. Results of no-probable cause cases referred to court-martial

	FY 14	FY 14: Results of	f referred	FY 15 cases	FY 15: Result	s of referred	FY 16 cases	FY 18: Results	s of referred
	cases no- PC offenses referred	cases		no-PC offenses referred	cases		no-PC offenses referred	cases	
Army	13	2: guilty 6: not guilty 2: mixed findings	2: dismissed	18	3: guilty 7: not guilty 1: mixed	7: dismissed	24	2: guilty 10: not guilty 1: mixed	11: dismissed
Navy	4	1: not guilty 2: mixed findings	1: dismissed	4	1: guilty 3: not guilty		3		3: dismissed
Marine Corps	7	4: not guilty 1: MJ found NG as matter of law	2: dismissed	6	3: not guilty	3: dismissed	1		1: dismissed
Air Force	7	4: not guilty	2: dismissed 1: unknown	16	8: not guilty	8: dismissed	7	3: not guilty	4: dismissed
Coast Guard	1	1: not guilty		2	1: not guilty	1: dismissed	2		2: dismissed
Total	32	2: guilty 16: not guilty 4: mixed findings 1: MJ found NG as matter of law	8: dismissed 1: unknown	46	4: guilty 22: not guilty 1: mixed	19: dismissed	37	2: guilty 13: not guilty 1: mixed	21: dismissed

	FY 17 cases	FY 17: Results	s of referred	FY 18 cases	FY 18: Resul	ts of referred
	no-PC	cases		no-PC	cases	
	offenses			offenses		
	referred			referred		
	16	2: guilty	7: dismissed	11	2: guilty	4: dismissed
Army		7: not guilty			5: not guilty	
	2	1: not guilty	1: dismissed	1		1: dismissed
Navy						
	3	1: not guilty	2: dismissed	3	1: guilty	1: dismissed
Marine					1: not guilty	
Corps						
Air Force	9	2: guilty	5: dismissed	3	2: not guilty	1: dismissed
		4: not guilty				
Coast	2	-	2: dismissed	0		
Guard						
	32	4: guilty	15: dismissed	18	3: guilty	7: dismissed
Total		13: not guilty			8: not guilty	

- In FY 14, 32 cases were referred to court-martial after an Article 32 investigating officer determined that there was no probable cause to believe a penetrative sexual offense occurred.
  - o 8 of the 32 referred cases (25%) resulted in dismissal of the penetrative sexual offense(s).
  - o In 23 of the 32 cases (72%), the penetrative sexual offenses were tried by court-martial.
    - Of those penetrative sexual offense cases that were tried by court-martial, 2 (9%) resulted in verdicts of guilty, 16 (70%) resulted in verdicts of not guilty, and 4 (17%) resulted in mixed findings. In one additional case, the military judge found the accused not guilty of the penetrative offense as a matter of law.
- In FY 15, 46 cases were referred to court-martial after an Article 32 investigating / preliminary hearing officer determined that there was no probable cause to believe a penetrative sexual offense occurred.
  - o 19 of the 46 referred cases (41%) resulted in dismissal of the penetrative sexual offense(s).
  - o In 27 of the 46 cases (59%), the penetrative sexual offenses were tried by court-martial.
    - Of those penetrative sexual offense cases that were tried by court-martial, 4 (15%) resulted in verdicts of guilty, 22 (81%) resulted in verdicts of not guilty, and 1 (4%) resulted in mixed findings.

- In FY 16, 37 cases were referred to court-martial after an Article 32 preliminary hearing officer determined that there was no probable cause to believe a penetrative sexual offense occurred.
  - o 21 of the 37 referred cases (57%) resulted in dismissal of the penetrative sexual offense(s).
  - o In 16 of the 37 cases (43%), the penetrative sexual offenses were tried by court-martial.
    - Of those penetrative sexual offense cases that were tried by court-martial, 2 (13%) resulted in verdicts of guilty, 13 (81%) resulted in verdicts of not guilty, and 1 (6%) resulted in mixed findings.
- In FY 17, 32 cases were referred to court-martial after an Article 32 preliminary hearing officer determined that there was no probable cause to believe a penetrative sexual offense occurred.
  - o 15 of the 32 referred cases (47%) resulted in dismissal of the penetrative sexual offense(s).
  - o In 17 of the 32 cases (53%), the penetrative sexual offenses were tried by court-martial.
    - Of those penetrative sexual offense cases that were tried by court-martial, 4 (24%) resulted in verdicts of guilty and 13 (76%) resulted in verdicts of not guilty. Notably, one of the guilty verdicts was overturned on appeal due to lack of evidence.
- In FY 18, 18 cases were referred to court-martial after an Article 32 preliminary hearing officer determined that there was no probable cause to believe a penetrative sex offense occurred.
  - o 7 of the 18 referred cases (39%) resulted in dismissal of the penetrative sexual offense(s).
  - o In 11 of the 18 cases (61%), the penetrative sexual offenses were tried by court-martial.
    - Of those penetrative sexual offense cases that were tried by court-martial, 3 (27%) resulted in verdicts of guilty and 8 (73%) resulted in verdicts of not guilty.

#### **Methodology:**

For fiscal years 2014 through 2018, the staff reviewed all cases in which—

- The most serious offense charged was a penetrative sexual offense;
- An Article 32 investigation / preliminary hearing was held; and
- The Article 32 investigating officer (IO) / preliminary hearing officer (PHO) found probable cause did not exist for one or more distinct penetrative sexual offenses

The staff then followed these cases to their ultimate dispositions. For cases in which the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found probable cause for some penetrative offenses, but no probable cause for others, the staff followed only the no-probable cause offenses. Each penetrative offense was for a different penetrative act, even when occurring with the same victim during the same sexual encounter. For example, a case may involve separate charged specifications of digital, vaginal, and anal penetration of the same victim. In these cases, the staff reviewed each specification separately and followed those for which the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found no probable cause, even if the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found probable cause for one or more of the other penetrative sexual offenses.

The staff disregarded cases in which the no-probable cause offense was charged in the alternative and the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found probable cause under a different legal theory. In other words, if the accused was charged with a penetrative sexual offense under two theories of liability (for example, both by causing bodily harm and when the alleged victim was incapable of consent), and the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found probable cause for one theory of liability but not the other, the staff disregarded the case, as the investigating / preliminary hearing officer found probable cause that the penetrative sexual offense occurred.

#### **Abbreviations:**

IO – Article 32 investigating officer (for FY 14 cases and some FY 15 cases)

PHO – Article 32 preliminary hearing officer (for some FY 15 cases and FY 16 through 18 cases)

PC – probable cause

MJ – military judge

GCMCA – general court-martial convening authority

SPCMCA – special court-martial convening authority

PTA – pretrial agreement

TABLE 5a. Fiscal Year 2014 Article 32 Investigations

	Army	Navy	Marine	Air Force	Coast	Total	Victim
			Corps		Guard		Testified
Number of Art 32s held	238	90	83	85	23	519	
(all sexual assault cases)							
Number of Art 32s held	188	76	67	76	18	425	391
(penetrative cases)							(92%)
Number of Art 32s	12	2	3	1	1	19	
waived (penetrative)							
Number of Cases Art 32	28	14	28	17	6	93	83
investigating officer	(14.9%)	(18.4%)	(41.8%)	(22.4%)	(33.3%)	(21.9%)	(89.2%)
determined no reasonable							
grounds (probable cause)							
for 1 or more penetrative							
sexual offenses							

TABLE 5h. Fiscal Year 2014 Article 32 Investigating Officer Determinations of No Reasonable Grounds and Case Dispositions

	Number of cases Art. 32 IO	Number of cases GCMCA	Number of cases	Results of cases where penetrative sexual
	determined no reasonable	or SPCMCA dismissed no-	GCMCA referred	offenses referred to GCM despite Art. 32
	grounds for 1 or more	reasonable grounds	no-reasonable	IO determination of no reasonable
	penetrative sexual offenses	offenses	grounds offense(s)	grounds
Army	28	15 <sup>3</sup>	13	2: guilty
		6 SPCMCA / 4 GCMCA /	(46%)	6: not guilty
		5 ?		2: mixed findings
		(54%)		2: discharge in lieu of court-martial
				(1 before referral)
				1: dismissed per PTA (1 before referral)
Navy	14	10	4	1: not guilty
		? SPCMCA / ? GCMCA	(29%)	2: mixed findings <sup>4</sup>
		(71%)		1: dismissed per PTA
Marine	28	21	7	4: not guilty
Corps		20 SPCMCA / 1 GCMCA	(25%)	1: MJ found not guilty as matter of law
		(75%)		1: dismissed per PTA
				1: dismissed after referral to SPCM
Air Force	17	10	7	4: not guilty
		9 SPCMCA / 1 ?	(41%)	1: dismissed by MJ (evidentiary reasons)
		(59%)		1: dismissed after referral
				1: unknown (no paperwork in file)
<b>Coast Guard</b>	6	5	1	1: not guilty
		1 SPCMCA / 4 GCMCA	(7%)	
		(83%)		
Total	93	61	32	2: guilty
		36 SPCMCA / 9 GCMCA /	(34%)	16: not guilty
		16?		4: mixed findings
		(66%)		1: MJ found not guilty as matter of law
				3: dismissed per PTA
				2: discharged in lieu of court-martial
				1: dismissed by MJ (evidentiary reasons)
				2: dismissed after referral
				1: unknown

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Includes one (1) discharge in lieu of court-martial accepted prior to referral and one (1) pretrial agreement accepted prior to referral.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In one case, the Navy-Marine Corps Court of Criminal Appeals set aside the guilty verdict due to factual insufficiency, and in one case, the Navy-Marine Corps Court of Criminal Appeals set aside the guilty verdict due to a Military Rule of Evidence 412 issue.

TABLE 5c. Fiscal Year 2014 Article 32 Determinations of No Reasonable Grounds, According to the Grade of the Investigating Officer

	Number of cases Art 32	Number of cases	Number of cases	Results of cases in which penetrative sexual
	IO determined no	GCMCA or SPCMCA	GCMCA referred	offenses referred despite Art 32 IO
	reasonable grounds for 1	dismissed no-	no-reasonable	determination of no reasonable grounds
	or more penetrative	reasonable grounds	grounds offense(s)	
	sexual offenses, by IO	offenses (did not		
	grade	refer)		
Army	<b>O-3: 7</b> (6 JA / 1 LO)	O-3: 4 (3 JA / 1 LO)	O-3: 3 (3 JA) (43%)	2: guilty (1 O-4 LO; 1 O-5 JA)
	O-4: 13 (5 JA / 8 LO)	(57%)	O-4: 6 (2 JA / 4 LO)	6: not guilty (2 O-3 JA; 1 O-4 JA; 2 O-4 LO;
	<b>O-5: 7</b> (6 JA / 1 LO)	O-4: 7 (3 JA / 4 LO)	(46%)	1 O-5 LO)
	Grade unknown: 1	(54%)	O-5: 4 (3 JA / 1 LO)	2: mixed findings (1 O-3 JA; 1 O-4 LO)
		O-5: 3 (3 JA) (43%)	(57%)	2: discharge in lieu of court (2 O-5 JA)
		Rank unknown: 1		1: dismissed per PTA (1 O-4 JA)
		(100%)		
Navy	O-3: 6	O-3: 4 (67%)	O-3: 2 (33%)	1: not guilty (1 O-4)
	O-4: 5	O-4: 3 (60%)	O-4: 2 (40%)	2: mixed findings (1 O-3; 1 O-4)
	O-5: 2	O-5: 2 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed per PTA (1 O-3)
	O-6: 1	O-6: 1 (100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	
Marine	O-3: 5	O-3: 3 (60%)	O-3: 2 (40%)	4: not guilty (1 O-3; 2 O-4; 1 O-6)
Corps	O-4: 13	O-4: 10 (77%)	O-4: 3 (23%)	1: MJ found not guilty as matter of law (1 O-4)
	O-5: 8	O-5: 7 (88%)	O-5: 1 (12%)	1: dismissed per PTA (1 O-3)
	O-6: 2	O-6: 1 (50%)	O-6: 1 (50%)	1: dismissed after referral to SPCM (1 O-5)
Air Force	O-3: 5	O-3: 3 (60%)	O-3: 2 (40%)	4: not guilty (4 O-4)
	O-4: 7	O-4: 2 (29%)	O-4: 5 (71%)	1: dismissed by MJ (evidentiary reasons) (1 O-3)
	O-5: 2 (1 MJ)	O-5: 2 (1 MJ)(100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed after referral (1 O-4)
	O-6: 3 (3 MJ)	O-6: 3 (3 MJ)(100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	1: unknown (no paperwork in file) (1 O-3)
Coast	O-4: 4	O-4: 3 (75%)	O-4: 1 (25%)	1: not guilty (1 O-4)
Guard	O-5: 2	O-5: 2 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	
Total	O-3: 23	O-3: 14 (61%)	O-3: 9 (39%)	2: guilty (1 O-4; 1 O-5)
	O-4: 42	O-4: 25 (60%)	O-4: 17 (40%)	16: not guilty (3 O-3; 11 O-4; 1 O-5; 1 O-6)
	O-5: 21	O-5: 16 (76%)	O-5: 5 (24%)	4: mixed findings (2 O-3; 2 O-4)
	O-6: 6	O-6: 5 (83%)	O-6: 1 (17%)	1: MJ found not guilty as matter of law (1 O-4)
	Grade unknown: 1	Grade unknown: 1		3: dismissed per PTA (2 O-3; 1 O-4)
		(100%)		2: discharged in lieu of court-martial (2 O-5)
				1: dismissed by MJ (evidentiary reasons) (1 O-3)
				2: dismissed after referral (1 O-4; 1 O-5)
				1: unknown (1 O-3)

TABLE 6a. Fiscal Year 2015 Article 32 Investigations / Preliminary Hearings

	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Coast Guard	Total	Victim Testified
Number of Art 32s held (all sexual assault cases)	244	61	66	153	16	540	
Number of Art 32s held (penetrative cases)	207	48	51	131	14	451	281 (62.3%)
Number of Art 32s waived (penetrative)	34	6	3	2	2	47	
Number of Cases Art 32 investigating / preliminary hearing officer determined no probable cause for 1 or more penetrative sexual offenses	31* 20 old / 12 new (15.0%)	11 8 old / 3 new (22.9%)	13 7 old / 6 new (25.5%)	42 22 old / 20 new (32.1%)	4 1 old / 3 new (20.0%)	101 58 old / 44 new (22.4%)	52 (51.5%)

<sup>\*</sup>In one Army case, two Article 32 hearings were held—one prior to Dec 26, 2014 and one after.

7

 $TABLE\ 6b.\ Fiscal\ Year\ 2015\ Article\ 32\ Investigating\ /\ Preliminary\ Hearing\ Officer\ Determinations\ of\ No\ Probable\ Cause\ and\ Case$ 

**Dispositions** 

Dispositions	N. I. C. A. (20 TC.)	N 1 6	NT 1 6	TD 14 6 1 11 1 4 11
	Number of cases Art 32 IO / PHO determined no probable cause for 1 or more penetrative sexual offenses	Number of cases general or special court-martial convening authority (GCMCA or SPCMCA) dismissed no-probable cause offenses	Number of cases GCMCA referred no- probable cause offense(s)	Results of cases in which penetrative sexual offenses referred despite Art 32 IO / PHO determination of no probable cause
Army	31	13	18	3: guilty
		4 GCMCA / 7 SPCMCA /	(58%)	7: not guilty
		2?		1: mixed findings
		(42%)		3: dismissed per PTA
				1: discharged in lieu of trial
				3: dismissed after referral
Navy	11	7	4	3: not guilty
		1 GCMCA / 6 SPCMCA	(36%)	1: 1 guilty / 1 dismissed per PTA
		(64%)		
Marine Corps	13	7	6	3: not guilty
		? GCMCA / 5 SPCMCA /	(46%)	2: dismissed per PTA
		2?		1: dismissed after referral
		(54%)		
Air Force	42	26	16	8: not guilty
		11 GCMCA / 15 SPCMCA	(38%)	2: dismissed per PTA
		(62%)		2: discharged in lieu of trial
Coast Guard	4	2	2	4: dismissed after referral
Coast Guard	4	2 GCMCA / 0 SPCMCA	_	1: not guilty 1: dismissed per PTA
			(50%)	1. distilissed per FTA
Total	101	(50%)	46	3: guilty
10tai	101	18 GCMCA / 33 SPCMCA	(46%)	1: 1 guilty / 1 dismissed per PTA
		/4?	(40%)	22: not guilty
		(54%)		1: mixed findings
		(34%)		8: dismissed per PTA
				3: discharged in lieu of trial
				8: dismissed after referral

 $TABLE\ 6c.\ Fiscal\ Year\ 2015\ Article\ 32\ Determinations\ of\ No\ Probable\ Cause,\ According\ to\ the\ Grade\ of\ the\ Investigating\ /\ Preliminary$ 

**Hearing Officer** 

Hearing Officer				
	Number of cases Art 32	Number of cases	Number of cases	Results of cases in which
	PHO determined no	GCMCA or SPCMCA	GCMCA referred no-	penetrative sexual offenses referred
	probable cause for 1 or	dismissed no-probable	probable cause offense(s)	despite Art 32 PHO determination
	more penetrative sexual	cause offenses (did not		of no probable cause
	offenses, by PHO grade	refer)		_
Army	O-3: 16	O-3: 6 (38%)	O-3: 10 (63%)	3: guilty
	O-4: 10	O-4: 4 (40%)	O-4: 6 (60%)	7: not guilty
	O-5: 5	O-5: 3 (60%)	O-5: 2 (40%)	1: mixed findings
				3: dismissed per PTA
				1: discharged in lieu of trial
				3: dismissed after referral
Navy	O-3: 4	O-3: 3 (75%)	O-3: 1 (25%)	3: not guilty
	O-4: 4	O-4: 3 (75%)	O-4: 1 (25%)	1: 1 guilty / 1 dismissed per PTA
	O-5: 1	O-5: 0 (0%)	O-5: 1 (100%)	
	O-6: 2	O-6: 1 (50%)	O-6: 1 (50%)	
Marine Corps	O-3: 5	O-3: 2 (40%)	O-3: 3 (60%)	3: not guilty
	O-4: 5	O-4: 2 (40%)	O-4: 3 (60%)	2: dismissed per PTA
	O-5: 1	O-5: 1 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed after referral
	O-6: 2	O-6: 2 (100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	
Air Force	O-3: 2	O-3: 1 (50%)	O-3: 1 (50%)	8: not guilty
	O-4: 12 (1 MJ)	O-4: 8 (1 MJ)(67%)	O-4: 4 (33%)	2: dismissed per PTA
	O-5: 20 (13 MJ)	O-5: 10 (6 MJ)(50%)	O-5: 10 (7 MJ)(50%)	2: discharged in lieu of trial
	O-6: 8 (8 MJ)	O-6: 7 (7 MJ)(88%)	O-6: 1 (1 MJ)(12%)	4: dismissed after referral
Coast Guard	O-4: 3	O-4: 1 (33%)	O-4: 2 (67%)	1: not guilty
	O-5: 1	O-5: 1 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed per PTA
Total	O-3: 27	O-3: 12 (44%)	O-3: 15 (56%)	3: guilty
	O-4: 34	O-4: 18 (53%)	O-4: 16 (47%)	1: 1 guilty / 1 dismissed per PTA
	O-5: 28	O-5: 15 (54%)	O-5: 13 (46%)	22: not guilty
	O-6: 12	O-6: 10 (83%)	O-6: 2 (17%)	1: mixed findings
		` ′	) ´	8: dismissed per PTA
				3: discharged in lieu of trial
				8: dismissed after referral

TABLE 7a. Fiscal Year 2016 Article 32 Preliminary Hearings

	Army	Navy	Marine	Air Force	Coast	Total	Victim
			Corps		Guard		Testified
Number of Art 32s held (all	174	57	78	179	10	498	
sexual assault cases)							
Number of Art 32s held	151	49	60	160	10	430	78
(penetrative cases)							(18.1%)
Number of Art 32s waived	67	17	6	19	3	112	
(penetrative)							
Number of Cases Art 32	28	9	14	35	2	88	19
preliminary hearing officer	(18.5%)	(18.4%)	(23.3%)	(21.9%)	(20.0%)	(20.5%)	(25.7%)
(PHO) determined no							
probable cause for 1 or							
more penetrative sexual							
offenses							

TABLE 7b. Fiscal Year 2016 Article 32 Preliminary Hearing Officer Determinations of No Probable Cause and Case Dispositions

	Number of cases Art 32 PHO	Number of cases general	Number of cases	Results of cases in which penetrative
	determined no probable cause for	or special court-martial	GCMCA referred no-	sexual offenses referred despite Art 32
	1 or more penetrative sexual	convening authority	probable cause	PHO determination of no probable cause
	offenses	(GCMCA or SPCMCA)	offense(s)	
		dismissed no-probable		
		cause offenses		
Army	28	4	24	2: guilty
		1 GCMCA / 3 SPCMCA	(86%)	10: not guilty
		(14%)		1: mixed findings
				5: dismissed per PTA
				2: discharged in lieu of trial
				4: dismissed after referral
Navy	9	6	3	1: discharged in lieu of trial
-		2 GCMCA / 4 SPCMCA	(33%)	2: dismissed per PTA
		(67%)		
Marine Corps	14	13	1	1: dismissed per PTA
		2 GCMCA / 11 SPCMCA	(7%)	
		(93%)		
Air Force	35	28	7	3: not guilty
		7 GCMCA / 21 SPCMCA	(20%)	4: dismissed after referral
		(80%)		
Coast Guard	2	0	2	1: discharged in lieu of trial
		(0%)	(100%)	1: dismissed after referral
Total	88	51	37	2: guilty
		12 GCMCA / 39 SPCMCA	(42%)	13: not guilty
		(58%)		1: mixed findings
		, ,		8: dismissed per PTA
				4: discharged in lieu of trial
				9: dismissed after referral

TABLE 7c. Fiscal Year 2016 Article 32 Determinations of No Probable Cause, According to the Grade of the Preliminary Hearing Officer

TIBEL / C. I ISCAI	Number of cases Art 32	Number of cases	Number of cases	Results of cases in which
	PHO determined no	GCMCA or SPCMCA	GCMCA referred no-	penetrative sexual offenses referred
	probable cause for 1 or	dismissed no-probable	probable cause offense(s)	despite Art 32 PHO determination
	more penetrative sexual	cause offenses (did not		of no probable cause
	offenses, by PHO grade	refer)		•
Army	O-3: 14	O-3: 2 (14%)	O-3: 12 (86%)	2: guilty (2 O-3)
	O-4: 13	O-4: 2 (15%)	O-4: 11 (85%)	10: not guilty (2 O-3, 7 O-4, 1 O-5)
	O-5: 1	O-5: 0 (0%)	O-5: 1 (100%)	1: mixed findings (O-3)
				5: dismissed per PTA
				2: discharged in lieu of trial
				4: dismissed after referral
Navy	0-3: 6	O-3: 4 (67%)	O-3: 2 (33%)	1: discharged in lieu of trial
	O-4: 1	O-4: 0 (0%)	O-4: 1 (100%)	2: dismissed per PTA
	0-5: 1	O-5: 1 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	
	O-6: 1	O-6: 1 (100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	
Marine Corps	O-3: 2	O-3: 2 (100%)	O-3: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed per PTA
	O-4: 8	O-4: 7 (88%)	O-4: 1 (12%)	
	O-5: 4	O-5: 4 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	
Air Force	O-3: 1	O-3: 0 (0%)	O-3: 1 (100%)	3: not guilty (1 O-3, 1 O-4, 1 O-5)
	O-4: 12	O-4: 9 (75%)	O-4: 3 (25%)	4: dismissed after referral
	O-5: 15 (8 MJ)	O-5: 13 (8 MJ)(87%)	O-5: 2 (0 MJ)(13%)	
	O-6: 6 (5 MJ)	O-6: 6 (5 MJ)(100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	
	Grade not listed: 1		Grade not listed: 1 (100%)	
Coast Guard	O-4: 1	O-4: 0 (0%)	O-4: 1 (100%)	1: discharged in lieu of trial
	O-5: 1	O-5: 0 (0%)	O-5: 1 (100%)	1: dismissed after referral
Total	O-3: 23	O-3: 8 (35%)	O-3: 15 (65%)	2: guilty (2 O-3)
	O-4: 35	O-4: 18 (51%)	O-4: 17 (49%)	13: not guilty (3 O-3, 8 O-4, 2 O-5)
	O-5: 22	O-5: 18 (82%)	O-5: 4 (18%)	1: mixed findings (O-3)
	O-6: 7	O-6: 7 (100%)	O-6: 0 (0%)	8: dismissed per PTA
	Grade not listed: 1		Grade not listed: 1 (100%)	4: discharged in lieu of trial
			,	9: dismissed after referral

TABLE 8a. Fiscal Year 2017 Article 32 preliminary hearings

	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Coast Guard	Total	Victim Testified
Number of Art 32s held (all sexual assault cases)	169	56	46	145	9	425	
Number of Art 32s held (penetrative cases)	146	46	36	133	7	368	28 (7.6%)
Number of Art 32s waived (penetrative)	64	19	7	6	2	98	
Number of Cases Art 32 preliminary hearing officer (PHO) determined no probable cause for 1 or more penetrative sexual offenses	27 (18.5%)	7 (15.2%)	7 (19.4%)	<b>37</b> (27.8%)	2 (28.6%)	80 (21.7%)	10 (12.5%)

TABLE 8b. Fiscal Year 2017 Article 32 Preliminary Hearing Officer Determinations of No Probable Cause and Case Dispositions

	Number of cases Art 32 PHO	Number of cases general or	Number of cases	Results of cases in which penetrative
	determined no probable cause	special court-martial	GCMCA referred no-	sexual offenses referred despite Art 32
	for 1 or more penetrative sexual	convening authority	probable cause	PHO determination of no probable cause
	offenses	(GCMCA or SPCMCA)	offense(s)	
		dismissed no-probable		
		cause offenses		
Army	27	11	16	2: guilty
		2 GCMCA / 9 SPCMCA	(59%)	7: not guilty
		(41%)		1: dismissed per PTA
				3: discharged in lieu of trial
				3: dismissed after referral
Navy	7	5	2	1: not guilty
		3 GCMCA / 2 SPCMCA	(29%)	1: discharged after adverse appeal ruling
		(71%)		
Marine Corps	7	4	3	1: not guilty
		1 GCMCA / 3 SPCMCA	(43%)	2: dismissed per PTA
		(57%)		
Air Force	37	28	9	2: guilty**
		6 GCMCA / 22 SPCMCA	(24%)	4: not guilty
		(76%)		1: dismissed per PTA
				1: alternate disposition
				1: dismissed after referral
Coast Guard	2	0	2	1: dismissed per PTA
		(0%)	(100%)	1: dismissed after referral
Total	80	48	32	4: guilty**
		12 GCMCA / 36 SPCMCA	(40%)	13: not guilty
		(60%)		5: dismissed per PTA
				3: discharged in lieu of trial
				7: dismissed after referral

<sup>\*\*</sup> In one of these two cases, the Air Force Court of Criminal Appeals found the evidence factually insufficient to sustain the conviction for a penetrative SA offense and dismissed the specification with prejudice.

TABLE 8c. Fiscal Year 2017 Article 32 Determinations of No Probable Cause, According to the Grade of the Preliminary Hearing Officer

	Number of cases Art 32	Number of cases	Number of cases	Results of cases in which
	PHO determined no	GCMCA or SPCMCA	GCMCA referred no-	penetrative sexual offenses referred
	probable cause for 1 or	dismissed no-probable	probable cause offense(s)	despite Art 32 PHO determination
	more penetrative sexual	cause offenses (did not		of no probable cause
	offenses, by PHO grade	refer)		
Army	O-3: 4	O-3: 2 (50%)	O-3: 2 (50%)	2: guilty (1 O-4, 1 O-5)
	O-4: 18	O-4: 7 (39%)	O-4: 11 (61%)	7: not guilty (2 O-3, 5 O-4)
	O-5: 5	O-5: 2 (40%)	O-5: 3 (60%)	1: dismissed per PTA
				3: discharged in lieu of trial
				3: dismissed after referral
Navy	O-3: 2	O-3: 1 (50%)	O-3: 1 (50%)	1: not guilty (O-6)
	O-4: 2	O-4: 2 (100%)	O-4: 0 (0%)	1: discharged following adverse
	O-5: 1	O-5: 1 (100%)	O-5: 0 (0%)	interlocutory appeal ruling
	O-6: 2	O-6: 1 (50%)	O-6: 1 (50%)	
Marine Corps	O-4: 4	O-4: 2 (50%)	O-4: 2 (50%)	1: not guilty (O-5)
	O-5: 2	O-5: 1 (50%)	O-5: 1 (50%)	2: dismissed per PTA
	Rank not listed: 1	Rank not listed: 1 (100%)		
Air Force	O-3: 1	O-3: 0 (0%)	O-3: 1 (100%)	2: guilty** (2 O-5 MJ)
	O-4: 13	O-4: 10 (77%)	O-4: 3 (23%)	4: not guilty (1 O-4, 2 O-5 [1 MJ],
	O-5: 15 (11 MJ)	O-5: 11 (8 MJ)(73%)	O-5: 4 (3 MJ)(27%)	1 O-6 MJ)
	O-6: 8 (7 MJ)	O-6: 7 (6 MJ)(88%)	O-6: 1 (1 MJ)(12%)	1: dismissed per PTA
				1: alternate disposition
				1: dismissed after referral
Coast Guard	O-4: 2	O-4: 0 (0%)	O-4: 2 (100%)	1: dismissed per PTA
				1: dismissed after referral
Total	O-3: 7	O-3: 3 (43%)	O-3: 4 (57%)	4: guilty** (1 O-4, 3 O-5)
	O-4: 39	O-4: 21 (54%)	O-4: 18 (46%)	13: not guilty (2 O-3, 6 O-4, 3 O-5,
	O-5: 23	O-5: 15 (65%)	O-5: 8 (35%)	2 O-6)
	O-6: 10	O-6: 8 (80%)	O-6: 2 (20%)	5: dismissed per PTA
	Rank not listed: 1	Rank not listed: 1 (100%)		3: discharged in lieu of trial
				7: dismissed after referral

TABLE 9a. Fiscal Year 2018 Article 32 preliminary hearings

	Army	Navy	Marine Corps	Air Force	Coast Guard	Total	Victim Testified
Number of Art 32s held (all sexual assault cases)	150	53	37	126	7	373	
Number of Art 32s held (penetrative cases)	126	46	27	116	3	318	9 (2.8%)
Number of Art 32s waived (penetrative)	51	13	14	5	1	84	
Number of Cases Art 32 preliminary hearing officer (PHO) determined no probable cause for 1 or more penetrative sexual offenses	13 (10.3%)	10 (21.7%)	7 (25.9%)	<b>20</b> (17.2%)	2 (66.7%)	52 (16.4%)	2 (3.8%)

TABLE 9b. Fiscal Year 2018 Article 32 Preliminary Hearing Officer Determinations of No Probable Cause and Case Dispositions

	Number of cases Art 32 PHO determined no probable	Number of cases general or special court-martial convening	Number of cases GCMCA referred no-	Results of cases in which penetrative sexual offenses referred despite Art 32
	cause for 1 or more	authority (GCMCA or SPCMCA)	probable cause	PHO determination of no probable
	penetrative sexual offenses	dismissed no-probable cause	offense(s)	cause
		offenses		
Army	13	2	11	2: guilty
		2 GCMCA / 0 SPCMCA	(85%)	5: not guilty
		(15%)		1: dismissed per PTA
				3: dismissed after referral
Navy	10	9	1	1: dismissed per PTA
		0 GCMCA / 9 SPCMCA	(10%)	•
		(90%)	, ,	
Marine	7	4	3	1: guilty on 2 charges
Corps		1 GCMCA / 3 SPCMCA	(43%)	1: not guilty
-		(57%)		1: dismissed after referral
Air Force	20	17	3	2: not guilty
		3 GCMCA / 14 SPCMCA	(15%)	1: discharged in lieu of trial
		(85%)		
Coast	2	2	0	N/A
Guard		0 GCMCA / 2 SPCMCA	(0%)	
		(100%)		
Total	52	34	18	3: guilty
		6 GCMCA / 28 SPCMCA	(35%)	8: not guilty
		(65%)	·	2: dismissed per PTA
				1: discharged in lieu of trial
				4: dismissed after referral

TABLE 9c. Fiscal Year 2018 Article 32 Determinations of No Probable Cause, According to the Grade of the Preliminary Hearing Officer

	Number of cases Art 32 PHO determined no probable cause for 1 or more penetrative sexual offenses, by PHO grade	Number of cases GCMCA or SPCMCA dismissed no-probable cause offenses (did not refer)	Number of cases GCMCA referred no-probable cause offense(s)	Results of cases in which penetrative sexual offenses referred despite Art 32 PHO determination of no probable cause
Army	O-3: 2 O-4: 10 O-5: 1	O-3: 0 (0%) O-4: 2 (20%) O-5: 0 (0%)	O-3: 2 (100%) O-4: 8 (80%) O-5: 1 (100%)	2: guilty (1 O-3, 1 O-4) 5: not guilty (1 O-3, 4 O-4) 1: dismissed per PTA 3: dismissed after referral
Navy	O-3: 3 O-4: 3 O-5: 2 O-6: 2	O-3: 3 (100%) O-4: 2 (67%) O-5: 2 (100%) O-6: 2 (100%)	O-3: 0 (0%) O-4: 1 (33%) O-5: 0 (0%) O-6: 0 (0%)	1: dismissed per PTA
Marine Corps	O-4: 5 O-5: 2	O-4: 2 (40%) O-5: 2 (100%)	O-4: 3 (60%) O-5: 0 (0%)	1: guilty on 2 charges (O-4) 1: not guilty (O-4) 1: dismissed after referral
Air Force	O-4: 5 O-5: 13 (5 MJ) O-6: 2	O-4: 4 (80%) O-5: 11 (5 MJ)(85%) O-6: 2 (100%)	O-4: 1 (20%) O-5: 2 (15%) O-6: 0 (0%)	2: not guilty (2 O-5) 1: discharged in lieu of trial
Coast Guard	O-4: 2	O-4: 2 (100%)	O-4: 0 (0%)	N/A
Total	O-3: 5 O-4: 25 O-5: 18 O-6: 4	O-3: 3 (60%) O-4: 12 (48%) O-5: 15 (83%) O-6: 4 (100%)	O-3: 2 (40%) O-4: 13 (52%) O-5: 3 (17%) O-6: 0 (0%)	3: guilty (1 O-3, 2 O-4) 8: not guilty (1 O-3, 5 O-4, 2 O-5) 2: dismissed per PTA 1: discharged in lieu of trial 4: dismissed after referral