## DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES

(DAC-IPAD)

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY JUNE 22, 2022

+ + + + +

The Advisory Committee met in Salon III at The Ritz-Carlton, Pentagon City, located at 1250 S Hayes St, Arlington, Virginia, at 9:00 a.m. EDT, the Honorable Karla Smith, Chair, presiding.

```
PRESENT
```

Hon. Karla Smith, Chair Ms. Marcia Anderson\* Ms. Martha Bashford\* Mr. William E. Cassara\* Ms. Meg Garvin\* Hon. Suzanne Goldberg\* Hon. Paul W. Grimm\* Mr. A.J. Kramer\* Ms. Jennifer Gentile Long\* Sgt(R) James Markey\* Dr. Jenifer Markowitz\*\* Hon. Jennifer O'Connor BGen(R) James Schwenk Ms. Meghan Tokash Hon. Reggie Walton

ALSO PRESENT Mr. Dwight Sullivan, Designated Federal Officer

DAC-IPAD Staff

Colonel Jeff A. Bovarnick, JAGC, U.S. Army, Executive Director Ms. Julie K. Carson, Deputy Director Mr. Dale L. Trexler, Chief of Staff Ms. Alice Falk, Technical Writer-Editor Ms. Audrey B. Critchley, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Theresa Gallagher, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Nalini Gupta, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Amanda Hagy, Senior Paralegal Mr. Chuck Mason, Attorney-Advisor\* Ms. Marguerite McKinney, Management and Program Analyst Ms. Laurel Prucha Moran, Graphic Designer Ms. Meghan Peters, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Stacy Powell, Senior Paralegal Ms. Stayce Rozell, Senior Paralegal Ms. Terri Saunders, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Kate Tagert, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Eleanor Magers Vuono, Attorney-Advisor\* Dr. William Wells, Criminologist Mr. Pete Yob, Attorney-Advisor

\*Present via video teleconference \*\*Present during the afternoon session of June 22, 2022

## CONTENTS

Presentation on the R.C.M. Amendment
Process to Implement FY22 NDAA Military
Justice Reforms
Offices of Special Trial Counsel Panel
Strategic Planning Discussion 130
Public Comment, Recap, and Preview of
Next Meeting
Meeting Adjourned

I	4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:01 a.m.
3	MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, I'm
4	Dwight Sullivan, the designated federal officer
5	of the Defense Advisory Committee on the
6	Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual
7	Assault in the Armed Forces, colloquially known
8	as the DAC-IPAD.
9	This meeting is officially open.
10	Judge Smith, you have the com.
11	CHAIR SMITH: Are we sure? Thank you,
12	Mr. Sullivan and good morning, everyone.
13	I want to welcome the Members and all
14	attendees to the second day of the 23rd public
15	meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on
16	investigation, prosecution, and defense of sexual
17	assault in the Armed Forces, or DAC-IPAD.
18	Today's meeting is being offered for
19	our Members and presenters both in person and by
20	video conference via Zoom. For those members
21	joining us by video, please mute when not
22	speaking.

1	If we have technical difficulties, we
2	will break for 15 minutes and move to a
3	teleconference line with instructions to rejoin
4	sent via email. The Secretary of Defense created
5	the DAC-IPAD in accordance with the National
6	Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.
7	The DAC-IPAD statutory purpose is to
8	advise the Secretary of Defense on the
9	investigation, prosecution, and defense of
10	allegations of sexual assault and other sexual
11	misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces.
12	I understand that representatives from
13	each of the military services criminal law
14	divisions who serve as the DAC-IPAD's service
15	specific experts and liaisons to the respective
16	legal organizations have joined us again today.
17	Welcome back. We will begin today
18	with a presentation from Colonel Elizabeth
19	Hernandez, United States Air Force, on the rule
20	for court-martial amendment process.
21	Next we are honored to welcome the
22	General Counsels for the Army, Navy, and Air

1 Force, along with the Judge Advocate General for 2 the Army, the Deputy Judge Advocate General for the Navy, the Staff Judge Advocate to the 3 4 Commandant of the Marine Corps and the Judge 5 Advocate General and Deputy Judge Advocate General for the Air Force. 6 7 After lunch, we will have a strategic 8 planning session and discussion and then end the 9 day with a brief recap of the last two days, a preview of our September meeting and public 10 11 comment if any. 12 This meeting is being recorded and 13 transcribed and the complete written transcript 14 will be posted on the DAC-IPAD website at 15 www.dacipad.whs.mil. 16 If an attendee wants to make a public 17 comment, please submit your name to 18 WHS.pentagon.em.mbx.dacipad@mail.mil no later 19 than 2:00 p.m. Eastern. 20 Comments will be heard at my 21 discretion at the end of today's session. 22 To assist the court reporter in

identifying speakers, Committee Members should 1 2 signal if they have a question or wish to speak by stating your name and wait to be acknowledged 3 before proceeding. 4 Thank you to everyone for attending 5 Colonel Bovarnick, over to you to start 6 today. 7 the meeting, thank you. 8 Yes, ma'am, and I'll COL BOVARNICK: 9 hand it off to Colonel Hernandez. She is the Chair of the Judge Service Committee on Military 10 11 Justice to start our presentation. Thank you. 12 COL HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good 13 morning, Madam Chair, ladies and gentlemen, my name is Colonel Beth Hernandez and I am the Chief 14 of Air Force Military Justice Law and Policy. 15 I also serve as the Chair of the Joint 16 17 Service Committee on Military Justice and it is 18 in that capacity that I have the pleasure to 19 speak with you today. 20 Thank you so much for inviting me, I 21 am truly honored to be here. I did want to take a moment and grab a pro tip from Ms. Tokash and 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	let you know that I have slides today but I only
2	have 11, and one is a cover and one is a question
3	page. So, there's really only 9.
4	On behalf of the Joint Service
5	Committee on Military Justice, I would like to
6	discuss a few topics with you today.
7	I'll start with an overview of the
8	Joint Service Committee and then I'll discuss our
9	composition, our duties, our processes, and our
10	current activities.
11	The Joint Service Committee on
12	military justice, or the JSC, as we call it for
13	short is governed by Department of Defense
14	instruction 5500.17. We are an inter-service
15	Committee formed by the Department of Defense
16	General Counsel.
17	In that regard, we also report to the
18	Department of Defense General Counsel. Our main
19	objective as the JSC is to conduct an annual
20	review of the Manual for Courts-Martial.
21	As we do that, we prepare proposed
22	amendments to the manual and as appropriate, we

also prepare proposed amendments to the Uniform
 Code of Military Justice for Congress to
 consider.

The JSC also carries out other tasks related to military justice as assigned by the Department of Defense General Counsel to include tasks or studies related to the administration of military justice.

9 The Joint Service Committee is 10 composed of some incredible groups of folks. The 11 first group is the voting group. The voting 12 group consists of one person for each service 13 designated by his or her Judge Advocate General 14 or equivalent.

15 These folks are going to be your 16 military justice means. The Chair is a rotating 17 position and rotates every two years. Currently, 18 the Air Force serves as the Chair of the Joint 19 Service Committee.

As the name suggests, the voting group is the group of individuals who will ultimately vote on the proposals that come before the JSC.

There is also an Executive Secretary who is 1 2 furnished by the service who holds the Chair. Accordingly, the Executive Secretary 3 4 is currently an Air Force Member and the 5 Executive Secretary is responsible for doing such things as preparing and distributing the agenda, 6 7 receiving and tracking all proposals and 8 comments, and arranging for publication of the 9 proposed changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial and request for public comment in the Federal 10 11 Register. 12 We also have a working group which 13 consists of one representative from each service. 14 The working group members are typically at your 15 03 to 05 level and they are responsible for 16 researching the various issues that come up. 17 They do an incredible job of digging 18 into the law and background for anything that we 19 may need and making sure the voting group has all 20 the information necessary to make informed 21 decisions. The JSC also has certain delegated 22

1	non-voting advisors. This includes a designee
2	from the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, a
3	designee from the Chairman's Legal Office, and a
4	designee from the General Counsel's Office.
5	Together, these Committee Members all
6	work together to ensure we have a robust and
7	successful Joint Service Committee. This slide
8	is a visual representation of the voting group
9	members of the Joint Service Committee.
10	We're currently in a summer transition
11	period so some of the members are interim members
12	during this transition. For the Army, we have
13	Lieutenant Colonel Porter.
14	Some of you may remember her
15	predecessor, Colonel Adam Kazin, who was on the
16	Joint Service Committee for several years. He is
17	PCS'ing this summer so Colonel Porter is sitting
18	in until his replacement is identified.
19	Next is me from the Air Force. I also
20	serve as the Chair of the Joint Service
21	Committee. Next is Mr. Jameson who is filling in
22	for Captain Tasikas from the Coast Guard who

1 recently retired.

2	And so once his replacement is
3	identified, they will take over as the Coast
4	Guard voting group member. Next is Colonel
5	Woodard from the Marine Corps and then finally,
6	we have Captain Erin Rugh from the Navy.
7	And this rounds out your voting group
8	members of the Joint Service Committee. This
9	slide shows the working group members for each
10	service. It also shows the Executive Secretary,
11	Lieutenant Colonel Josh Nettinga, from the Air
12	Force.
13	This slide shows our non-voting
14	Advisors. You can see here that Mr. Sullivan is
15	the designee from the General Counsel's office,
16	Lieutenant Colonel Thomas is the representative
17	from the Chairman's Legal Office from the Joint
18	Chiefs of Staff.
19	And Mr. Price is the representative
20	from the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.
21	Together, the Joint Service Committee ensures the
22	Uniform Code of Military Justice and the Manual

for Courts-Martial reflect a comprehensive body 1 2 of military criminal law and procedure. To accomplish this goal, we must 3 4 review the Manual for Courts-Martial annually and 5 as appropriate, we propose legislation, amending the Uniform Code of Military Justice or other 6 7 amendments or supplementary materials to the 8 Manual for Courts-Martial. 9 Additionally, we carry out other duties as assigned by the General Counsel's 10 11 Office. As I mentioned on the previous slide, 12 the Joint Service Committee considers proposed changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial and its 13 14 supplementary materials. 15 This process begins when somebody, 16 whether inside or outside the Joint Service 17 Committee, recognizes something that needs to be 18 changed. We then ask the working group to 19 research the issue and draft any proposed 20 changes. 21 The working group then brings those 22 recommendations to the voting group where we

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

discuss the issue, make any necessary changes and
 ultimately vote on the proposal.

These proposed changes are then routed 3 4 to the General Counsel and they're published in 5 the Federal Register. The proposed changes are then open for public comment for a minimum of 60 6 days during which a public hearing is held. 7 8 By way of example, we went through 9 this process recently with proposed changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial, which included a 10 11 change to the standard of proof for non-judicial 12 punishment under a change to Rules for Court-Martial 703D. 13 14 As is our standard process, the working group worked through these issues 15 16 initially and then it come to the voting group 17 where we discuss the proposals. 18 And once the voting group approved, 19 these proposals were published in the Federal 20 Register for a 60-day comment period, which began 21 October 18, 2021. 22 The Federal Register notice also

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

announced the time and location for the public hearing, which was held November 10, 2021 at the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. We took public comments and all of the proposed changes at the public hearing, as is our standard practice.

7 Turning to the current activities of 8 the Joint Service Committee, we are currently 9 reviewing the entire Manual for Courts-Martial to include the Rules for Courts-Martial and the 10 11 Military Rules of Evidence.

12 We are paying particular attention to the rules because we want to make sure that our 13 14 updates are consistent with the military justice 15 reforms found in the Fiscal Year 2022 National 16 Defense Authorization Act.

As you're aware, there were several 17 18 changes made in the NDAA and we need to make sure 19 that the rules are written such that they will 20 affect the intent of Congress and not be in 21 conflict with any of those reforms.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

We are currently working through all

of the changes and once we have finished the proposed amendments, we'll be published in the Federal Register and we will hold a public hearing.

1

2

3

4

5 This will be an excellent opportunity 6 for members of the public to let us know their 7 thoughts and recommendations and propose any 8 changes they believe will help achieve the 9 military justice reforms required by the National 10 Defense Authorization Act.

11 Once we have all those public 12 comments, the Joint Service Committee will 13 adjudicate the comments and then we will transmit 14 those finalized amendments to the Office of 15 Management and Budget for interagency review.

At the end of the interagency review, it goes back to OMB and then to the President's office, where the Chief of Staff reviews it and the Staff Secretary prepares it for a final presidential decision.

From there, the JSC process beginsanew. Ladies and gentlemen, I welcome any

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1 questions you may have. 2 CHAIR SMITH: Any questions from anyone online? No. 3 4 MEMBER SCHWENK: One of the 5 recommendations from the Independent Review Commission was not directed at Department of 6 Defense but was directed at the White House. 7 And 8 it was expedited processing of changes in 9 military justice. So, my question is has the JSC had any 10 11 communication with how things might happen over 12 at OMB once you -- I guess you have a proposal pending right now, or did that get signed? 13 The 14 ones that you had the public hearing with, in 15 November. 16 COL HERNANDEZ: We did have the public 17 hearing in November and so from there it goes to 18 OMB for the interagency review and finalization. 19 MEMBER SCHWENK: And it's been signed? 20 COL HERNANDEZ: We have some pending, 21 we did have one recently signed in January, which 22 was the one that created sexual harassment as an

enumerated offense under Article 134 of the 1 2 Uniform Code of Military Justice. So, I don't know if that was a result 3 4 of the direction to the White House to expedite 5 processing of these executive orders but we did see that one come out in January. 6 7 MEMBER SCHWENK: But the one that you 8 sent over after the November public hearing has 9 not been signed yet? 10 COL HERNANDEZ: No, sir. 11 MEMBER SCHWENK: The recommendations 12 have done very well. How many full-time people 13 support the JSC? 14 COL HERNANDEZ: We are all in support 15 of --16 (Audio interference.) 17 All of the voting group members for 18 the most part are service chiefs of military 19 justice, law, and policy, or equivalent of that 20 department. And so then we are appointed to the Joint Service Committee to also work JSC duties. 21 22 Our working group members come from

similar organizations, the Air Force's working 1 2 group also comes from the Military Justice Law and Policy Division. And so they are also in 3 4 support in a part-time capacity. MEMBER SCHWENK: Is the Executive 5 Secretary full time or is that part-time also? 6 7 COL HERNANDEZ: It is more towards 8 full time, sir, I would say probably 90 percent 9 of the Executive Secretary 's duties have been strictly with the Joint Service Committee and 10 maybe 10 percent in the Military Justice Law and 11 12 Policy Division. 13 MEMBER SCHWENK: Do you have a list of 14 pending issues you'd like to get to someday if you ever had the time on the JSC? 15 16 COL HERNANDEZ: I think currently 17 we're just working through the Manual for Courts-18 Martial to effect the NDAA changes and so that's 19 on the horizon now as our biggest task in front 20 of us. 21 Certainly, I'm sure the General 22 Counsel's office probably has more things they

1	would like for us to do once we have finished
2	with this. But I'm not aware of what those are.
3	MEMBER SCHWENK: There used to be a
4	list I think that they had over there that I
5	remember, where it's like 22 things that someday
6	we'd like to get to.
7	And the purpose of all my questions is
8	here we have military justice system, unique in
9	many respects from many other criminal justice
10	systems, serving 1.3, 1.4, whatever the number is
11	going to be, active duty members around the
12	world.
13	And it's a brain trust that puts
14	together proposed changes to the UCMJ or the
15	manual or whatever, it's composed of part-time
16	people. So, I've only been saying this for 30
17	years and nothing has worked so far.
18	So, I have the microphone so I'll say
19	it again. It seems to me it might be a good
20	investment to consider a few full-time people to
21	process things. That's enough on that.
22	So, do you have a timeline? One of

the things that we've been tasked on this DAC-IPAD is to monitor how things are done with the new special trial counsel program. So, we're thinking there may be some manual changes or something that address that.

And we would like to be aware of when 6 7 we could expect to see the -- knowing that you're 8 not going to tell us what's in it, but knowing 9 when we could see the result that's going to go out for public comment and what the public 10 11 comment period would be so we can arrange our 12 schedules to ensure that we schedule a meeting to 13 read it and discuss it among ourselves and see 14 whether we have something we'd like to offer as a 15 comment.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, that question implicates pre-decisional materials. So, for example, there are a number of steps that have to precede the proposed changes going out for public notice and comment. So, the JSC will provide a recommendation and that recommendation will then

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1 go to OGC. The General Counsel has the approval 2 authority to forward those for publication in the Federal Register. 3 4 Of course, we have a former DoD 5 General Counsel with us today who has performed 6 that very duty. 7 So, it's not within the JSC's purview 8 to determine when it will go out for public 9 notice and comment because there are those 10 intervening steps and the gate with which it goes 11 through those processes right now is pre-12 decisional. 13 As Colonel Hernandez mentioned, once 14 it goes out for public notice and comment or it's 15 been published in the Federal Register, there 16 will be a 60-day public notice and comment 17 period, is the standard. 18 It's always possible that a given 19 proposed change will be different but the standard is 60 days and there will be a public 20 21 meeting that will occur within that 60-day 22 period.

1	But in terms of when that 60-day
2	period will open, right now that's pre-
3	decisional.
4	MEMBER SCHWENK: Okay, thank you.
5	That's all I have for the moment, thank you.
6	COL HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
7	MEMBER BASHFORD: It's Martha
8	Bashford. Colonel Hernandez, I have a question
9	for you and Dwight, feel free to jump in if it's
10	improper. If you could change one thing in the
11	manual, what would you change?
12	MEMBER SULLIVAN: As long as that's
13	Colonel Hernandez's personal opinion, go for it.
14	COL HERNANDEZ: This is my personal
15	opinion and it is not representative of the Air
16	Force or the Joint Service Committee but I would
17	like to change the gendered language in the
18	Manual for Courts-Martial to remove it.
19	MEMBER SCHWENK: Didn't Congress just
20	kill gentleman?
21	COL HERNANDEZ: Yes, sir, they did in
22	the text of the statute so the

1	MEMBER SCHWENK: Manual still has it.
2	Add it to the next change.
3	COL HERNANDEZ: Yes, sir, I'll add it
4	to the list.
5	MEMBER TOKASH: This is Megan Tokash.
6	Good morning, thank you so much, Colonel
7	Hernandez for coming. I thought your
8	presentation was excellent, for the public as
9	well to get an understanding of what the JSC
10	does.
11	So, thank you.
12	My question is how can we as a Federal
13	Advisory Committee help you, that is the JSC,
14	understanding that the JSC is very insular, the
15	DoD is very insular, but present company
16	excluded, there's a great brain trust here and I
17	think perhaps the JSC could receive some valuable
18	input from some of the members here.
19	So, what does that look like so that
20	we can best help the changes, especially with
21	respect to the OSTC?
22	COL HERNANDEZ: Of course, we would

definitely welcome any feedback that you would
 like give us. There's a couple different ways
 that we could do that.

4 Certainly on when something is 5 published in the Federal Register for public 6 comment, those public comments can come in in 7 writing. Or if you wanted to attend the public 8 hearing as well, that's a great place where 9 everybody can hear the comments.

10 And then we take all of those back and 11 we adjudicate them. That's a great way for us to 12 learn where you guys are coming from and what 13 changes you think we missed the mark on or if you 14 proposed something else.

15 There's also on our website, our
16 public website, the opportunity to send an email
17 to the Executive Secretary.

18 There's a template form on there and 19 this is where we get feedback just from anybody 20 who wants to give it if they wanted propose a 21 change they could use the template that's on the 22 website and submit that to the Executive

Secretary.

1

2	Those are a couple of ways.
3	MEMBER TOKASH: I'm going to confess
4	I don't read the Federal Register, maybe I
5	should. Is there a way that there's some other
6	form of notice? Is it posted on the website?
7	COL HERNANDEZ: It would be on the
8	public website as well. I similarly had to go
9	print the Federal Register because I wanted to
10	see what it looked like because it's been since
11	law school. So, I'm right there with you.
12	CHAIR SMITH: Any other questions for
13	Colonel Hernandez? Thank you very much for
14	joining us this morning.
15	COL HERNANDEZ: Thank you, ladies and
16	gentlemen.
17	COL BOVARNICK: Ma'am, I was going to
18	say we'll be on break until 10:00 a.m. and at
19	that time we'll start the 90-minute panel of the
20	Office of Special Trial Counsel.
21	We have the General Counsel for the
22	Military Department who is coming in as well as

1	Judge Advocates General for the Services and the
2	SJA to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.
3	We'll reconvene at 10:00 a.m.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
5	went off the record at 9:24 a.m. and resumed at
6	9:58 a.m.)
7	COL BOVARNICK: Okay, welcome back
8	everyone, we're going to start this session.
9	And, I'd like to introduce our guests
10	that are here in present, and then virtually. We
11	have the General Counsel for the Department of
12	the Navy, Honorable John Coffey.
13	We have Vice Admiral Crandall, the
14	TJAG for the Navy.
15	SJA to the Commandant, Major General
16	David Bligh.
17	General Counsel for the Air Force,
18	Honorable Pete Beshar.
19	We have Lieutenant General Plummer,
20	the TJAG for the Air Force.
21	And, the Deputy JAG for the Air Force,
22	Major General Rebecca Vernon.

i	4
1	And, online we have the Department of
2	the Army, Honorable Carrie Ricci, they're up in
3	the, should be up in the top left. Actually, I
4	think it switched.
5	And, Lieutenant General Stuart Risch,
6	the Judge Advocate General of the Army.
7	So, they're the fourth over at the
8	top, for those that are looking at our Hollywood
9	Squares up there.
10	Judge Smith, I'll hand it back over to
11	you.
12	CHAIR SMITH: Thank you.
13	Welcome, and thank you for appearing
14	before the committee this morning. We appreciate
15	your time and willingness, to discuss your
16	Offices of Special Trial Counsel with us.
17	We understand that you are in the
18	early phases of development, and do not have all
19	the answers at this stage.
20	I also hope this will be a continuing
21	dialogue as your respective offices develop, but
22	I know this discussion today will be very helpful

1	for the committee, to get an initial
2	understanding of your plans.
3	If you could please introduce
4	yourselves, and provide any introductory remarks,
5	we will then open it up for questions.
6	We'll start with you, Mr. Coffey.
7	MR. COFFEY: Madam Chair, distinguished
8	members of the committee, committee staff, and
9	fellow panel members, Vice Admiral Crandall,
10	Major General Bligh and I are quite pleased to
11	have the opportunity to be with you today.
12	Together we will share with you the
13	Department of the Navy's progress, on
14	implementing the requirements of the Fiscal Year
15	2022 National Defense Authorization Act, as they
16	relate to the Office of Special Trial Counsel.
17	As a threshold matter, I want to
18	convey the following on behalf of Navy Secretary
19	Carlos Del Toro, and the senior civilian and
20	military leadership of the Navy and Marine Corps.
21	We are firmly committed to eradicating
22	the scourge of sexual misconduct from our ranks.

To that end, we view the successful 1 2 implementation of an independent, specialized and expert Office of Special Trial Counsel, as 3 4 essential. Admiral Crandall and General Bligh 5 will address how the Navy and Marine Corps have 6 approached this task with urgency, commitment, 7 8 and eyes open to the importance of the task. 9 Before turning the microphone over to my colleagues, I want to provide some context for 10 11 the OSTC discussion at the Department of the 12 Navy. Shortly after the Fiscal Year 22 NDAA 13 14 became law, Secretary Del Toro established within 15 the Navy Department, a panel called the 16 Implementation Advisory Panel, or IAP, to advise 17 him on how best to implement the recommendations 18 of the Independent Review Committee --19 Commission, excuse me, and the provisions in the 22 NDAA relating to sexual assault prevention, 20 21 response, and accountability. 22 The IAP is comprised of

representatives of all the key Navy Department 1 2 offices required to make fully informed recommendations to SECNAV. 3 Including the Navy's Judge Advocate 4 General, Admiral Crandall, the Staff Judge 5 Advocate to the Commandant General Bligh, myself 6 7 as the Chief Legal Officer of the Department. 8 Our Acting Assistant Secretary for 9 Manpower and Reserve Affairs, who chairs the IAP, the Director of the Naval Criminal Intelligence 10 Service, our Comptroller, our SAPRO, and other 11 12 senior leaders of the Navy Department. 13 The IAP meets biweekly. Secretary Del 14 Toro is routinely updated on IAP highlights, at his senior, weekly senior leadership meetings. 15 16 And, I share my thoughts on our 17 progress and challenges, as Chief Legal Advisor, 18 in my weekly one-on-one meetings with the 19 Secretary. 20 And, in doing so, I draw on my own 21 personal experience as a former federal 22 prosecutor, and criminal defense attorney.

1	Relatedly, Admiral Crandall, General
2	Bligh, NCIS Director Lopez and I, meet in person
3	weekly, and the work of the IAP and the OSTC, is
4	a major focus of those meetings.
5	The issue that brings us here today,
6	the OSTC, is a critical priority for the
7	Department of the Navy.
8	Indeed, while the IAP identified 73
9	discrete recommendations from the IRC and the
10	Fiscal Year 22 NDAA, that required Navy
11	Department action, the IAP devoted many of its
12	early sessions to tackling the OSTC.
13	Much about our deliberations and
14	recommendations to SECNAV remain pre-decisional,
15	but we are pleased to be able to describe our
16	process and progress, regarding establishment of
17	the OSTC for both the Navy and Marine Corps.
18	I am pleased to confirm that we are on
19	track for the Navy and Marine Corps, to achieve
20	full operational capability of their respective
21	OSTCs before the December 27, 2023, deadline set
22	by Secretary of Defense Austin.

I

	د ۱
1	One additional point to add on my own
2	part. For me, this is not just another
3	assignment from my Secretary; it is also
4	personal.
5	When I served as commanding officer of
6	Reserve P-3 Orion Squadron in the 1990s, I
7	witnessed firsthand, how destructive sexual
8	misconduct that had occurred years earlier, still
9	was to the victims, and to the unit cohesion so
10	critical to readiness, and ultimately mission
11	success.
12	It was a profound disappointment to
13	see upon my return to the Department many years
14	later, that the scourge of sexual misconduct
15	remains a serious issue within the Services.
16	This is not acceptable.
17	As Secretary Del Toro observed when he
18	established the IAP, quote, in addition to
19	damaging victims' lives, these offenses pose a
20	threat to the readiness and cohesion of our Armed
21	Forces, and undermine the trust between
22	commanders, and their subordinates.

1	As Navy GC, I have devoted, and will
2	continue to devote, all of my energy, experience,
3	and determination to do my part to help eradicate
4	these destructive behaviors from our Department.
5	In that endeavor, I am fortunate to
6	serve alongside Admiral Crandall and General
7	Bligh, two committed leaders who share that goal,
8	and who have brought all of their considerable
9	energy, experience, and determination, to this
10	very critical mission.
11	With that, I'll yield to Vice Admiral
12	Crandall.
13	VADM CRANDALL: Thank you, Mr. Coffey.
14	Madam Chair Smith, and distinguished
15	members, thank you for the opportunity to present
16	regarding our significant work towards successful
17	implementation of the Department of the Navy's
18	Offices of Special Trial Counsel.
19	As Mr. Coffey explained, the Navy and
20	Marine Corps have been hard at work, preparing
21	for full functionality of the Offices of Special
22	Trial Counsel by December 2023.

1	This has been a team effort. Navy and
2	Marine Corps colleagues have worked closely
3	together, to develop a successful framework for
4	implementation.
5	Although pursuant to statute, there
6	will be separate Navy and Marine Corps Offices of
7	Special Trial Counsel, Major General Bligh and I
8	will share with you many of the same deliberative
9	planning efforts, guiding principles, and
10	accomplishments.
11	Successful implementation of these
12	significant military justice system reforms, is
13	my number one priority as a judge advocate
14	general. Because it's critical to rebuilding
15	trust in the system.
16	As I share with the members of our
17	Navy JAG Corps community when I speak with them,
18	this is a no-fail mission.
19	The Secretary of the Navy, the Chief
20	of Naval Operations, the Commandant of the Marine
21	Corps, they are looking to all of us to get this
22	done right for the Department.

1	To assist in our implementation
2	efforts, the Department of the Navy developed a
3	4-phase approach to full OSTC operational
4	capability.
5	Phase 1 was the planning phase, begun
6	in September of 2021, and completed in March of
7	2022.
8	Phase 2 is the building phase, which
9	includes a mix of additional planning, and
10	incremental execution, and will conclude after
11	the arrival of all special trial counsel at their
12	duty stations, before September of 2023.
13	Phase 3 is the execution phase, during
14	which the Offices of Special Trial Counsel, will
15	begin field testing processes and procedures, in
16	advance of full capability in December of 2023.
17	Finally, Phase 4 is the assessment
18	phase, an ongoing and continuous effort, to
19	measure and assess the implementation and mission
20	accomplishment of military justice reforms.
21	Phase 1 planning began in September
22	with the establishment of the Department's

Strategic and Operational Planning teams. 1 2 Comprised of subject matter experts from across the Navy and Marine Corps uniform 3 legal communities, with support from Naval War 4 College strategic planners, representatives from 5 the Navy General Counsel's office, and the U.S. 6 7 Coast Guard. Planning included the development of 8 9 potential organizational structures, for the 10 OSTC, which were measured against guiding principles derived from the National Defense 11 12 Authorization Act, and the Secretary of the Navy's guidance of February 7, 2022. 13 14 These guiding principles included ensuring that the Offices of Special Trial 15 16 Counsel and their personnel, were, one, 17 independent both in appearance and in fact. 18 Meaning, Special Trial Counsel would 19 execute their responsibilities free from unlawful 20 interference, and the offices would operate under 21 clear commanding control from the Secretary to 22 the Special Trial Counsel lead, and on to

subordinate Special Trial Counsel, through an 1 2 independent rating chain. Two, specialized, that is solely 3 4 focused on the successful review and prosecution of allegations of covered offenses, as required 5 by the statute. 6 7 And, three, expert that is possessed 8 of the capacity and expertise necessary to 9 effectively perform the duties assigned to the office by reason of education, training, 10 11 experience, and temperament for duty. 12 The Navy office structure approved by 13 the Secretary of the Navy, and the Chief of Naval 14 Operations, and briefed to the professional staff 15 members of the House and Senate Armed Services 16 Committees, establishes a lean headquarters in 17 Washington, D.C., that supervises litigators 18 located across the globe. 19 In addition to the special Trial 20 Counsel lead, an 0-7 or above flag officer with 21 significant military justice experience, regional 22 offices will be led by senior 0-6 litigators at

two main locations.

_	
2	One in Norfolk, and the other in San
3	Diego, supervising approximately 10 offices
4	located in fleet concentration areas.
5	The OSTC will be supported by the
6	Navy's military justice litigation career track,
7	which since its inception in 2007, has resulted
8	in our senior prosecutors and defense counsel,
9	having an average of six years more litigation
10	experience, than previously held.
11	To realize this construct, the Navy
12	projects it will require growth of approximately
13	40 military and civilian personnel, as well as
14	the funding necessary for facilities, equipment,
15	training, and other requirements.
16	Now I'd like to yield to Major General
17	Bligh, who will discuss the Marine Corps' OSTC
18	development and structure.
19	MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: Thank you, Mr.
20	Coffey.
21	Vice Admiral Crandall, Madam Chair
22	Smith, and distinguished members of the

committee, thank you for the opportunity to 1 2 testify today regarding our detailed planning efforts to establish the Marine Corps' Office of 3 Special Trial Counsel. 4 Central to our planning efforts, has 5 been focusing on developing a constitutionally 6 7 sound system, that further professionalizes the investigation and prosecution of covered 8 9 offenses, but also reinforces our commitment to 10 respecting and protecting the rights of the accused, and victims. 11 12 The Marine Corps' Office of Special 13 Trial Counsel, like the Navy's, is a regional

14 model led by a lean headquarters element located 15 in the National Capitol Region.

In two weeks on July 7, the General Officer Selection Board will meet, to competitively select and recommend the nomination of an O-6 judge advocate with significant military justice experience, and for promotion to brigadier general and leadership of the Marine Corps' Office of Special Trial Counsel.

1	The regional offices will be located
2	at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina; Camp Pendleton,
3	California; Okinawa, Japan; and, at Marine Corps
4	Base Quantico, Virginia, and will be led by
5	senior experienced litigators.
6	Successful implementation of not only
7	the Marine Corps' Office of Special Trial
8	Counsel, but all military justice related reforms
9	enacted by the FY 22 National Defense
10	Authorization Act, and those contained in the
11	Secretary of Defense's approved Independent
12	Review Commission recommendations, will require
13	additional resources both in manpower, and
14	logistical support.
15	The Secretary of the Navy, the Chief
16	of Naval Operations, and the Commandant of the
17	Marine Corps, fully understand this need and
18	support the allocation of additional resources,
19	to ensure successful military justice reform
20	implementation.
21	The Navy and Marine Corps are
22	committed to ensuring that our Office of Special

Trial Counsel are independent, both in 1 2 appearance, and in fact. Special Trial Counsel will not only 3 have statutory duties granted to them in the FY 4 22 NDAA, but also those duties specifically 5 prescribed to them by the Secretary of the Navy. 6 7 By reporting directly to the 8 Secretary, the lead Special Trial Counsel, and 9 the offices they lead, will execute free from any unlawful or unauthorized influence or coercion, 10 the duties of their office. 11 12 In addition to our assigned 13 responsibility to certify special trial counsel, 14 and consistent with our system wide neutral and detached statutory responsibilities under Article 15 16 6 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, Vice 17 Admiral Crandall and I are, and will remain, 18 fully engaged in the organizing, staffing, 19 training, and resourcing of the Office of Special Trial Counsel. 20 21 As we make our directed frequent inspections in the field, and supervision of the 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

administration of military justice, we will be 1 2 keenly attuned to ensure special trial counsel remain independent, and thus, able to conduct 3 their statutory and secretarial assigned duties, 4 5 free from unlawful or unauthorized influence or coercion. 6 Vice Admiral Crandall will now speak 7 to Phase 2 of the Navy's OSTC development. 8 9 VADM CRANDALL: Thank you, General 10 Bligh. 11 With the Secretary's approval of the 12 OSTC structure, and the development of a long-13 term planning framework, the Navy entered Phase 14 2, building towards operational capacity. In March of this year, the Navy's 15 16 Phase 2 work is ongoing, and has been focused so 17 far on the development of initial operational 18 capabilities. 19 In support of this work, the Navy has identified an interim O-6 special trial counsel 20 21 lead, who will report later this month, as well as a deputy lead, also an 0-6, to supervise the 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

office through its initial stand up.

2 On May 11, 2022, the Navy held a Flag Officer Selection Board, to make a recommendation 3 for the selection of an O-7, a rear admiral lower 4 half, to be the first special trial counsel lead. 5 Additionally, we have been actively 6 7 engaged in developing and refining an enterprisewide litigation manning design that incorporates 8 9 the combined requirements of the OSTC, the general trial counsel departments, the military 10 judges, the defense service offices, and the 11 12 victims' legal counsel program. 13 This design will support additional 14 efforts to finalize the personnel, facility, equipment, and training support requirements. 15 16 Finally, in coordination with the 17 other services, we are developing our special 18 trial counsel certification criteria, and counsel 19 training requirements. 20 General Bligh will now speak to Phase 21 2 of the Marine Corps' OSTC development, and our 22 common approach to Phases 3 and 4.

1	MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: The Marine Corps'
2	Phase 2 operational capability building efforts,
3	will continue throughout the year.
4	We have identified a deputy lead and
5	special trial counsel, an O-6 with extensive
6	military justice experience, who will report for
7	duty the first week of July, to supervise the
8	office through its initial stand up.
9	This officer will be assisted by two
10	senior judge advocates, one in the East and one
11	in the West, in identifying and securing
12	facilities and resources, that will be required
13	by the regional offices.
14	Further, in addition to identifying
15	our recommended lead special trial counsel
16	nominee, the Marine Corps will, in accordance
17	with FY 22 NDAA and consistent with the guidance
18	provided by the Secretary of Defense, work to
19	complete integrated training plans, establish
20	standard operating procedures, and execute
21	memorandum agreement with other services Office
22	of Special Trial Counsel.

1	Finally, next summer, certified
2	special trial counsel will begin reporting for
3	duty.
4	Phase 3 execution for both the Marine
5	Corps and Navy OSTCs, will begin with the process
6	of applying and assessing the standard operating
7	procedures, developed by our Offices of Special
8	Trial Counsel in the field environment.
9	Execution will continue through full
10	operational status, when the special trial
11	counsel exercise their authority over covered
12	offenses, on or after 28 December 2023.
13	Finally, Phase 4, the assessment
14	phase, is already in progress. Pursuant to
15	Section 547 of the FY 22 NDAA, the Secretary of
16	Defense, in consultation with the Service
17	Secretaries, The Judge Advocates General, and the
18	Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the
19	Marine Corps, must publish a plan addressing how
20	they will assess the effects of changes, with
21	respect to the Office of Special Trial Counsel,
22	in disposition of covered offenses.

1	Both the Marine Corps and Navy have
2	begun coordination with the DoD Office of General
3	Counsel on this effort, and are actively working
4	to identify methods and measures of performance.
5	MR. COFFEY: Thank you, Admiral
6	Crandall, and General Bligh.
7	Madam Chair, this concludes the
8	opening remarks of the Navy Department, and we're
9	certainly prepared to address any questions the
10	commission may have after our colleagues speak.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIR SMITH: Thank you.
13	MR. BESHAR: Good morning, Madam Chair,
14	distinguished members of the committee. I'm
15	grateful for the opportunity this morning to
16	appear on behalf of Secretary Frank Kendall, to
17	discuss the creation and operation of the Office
18	of Special Trial Counsel, at the Department of
19	the Air Force, which includes the Space Force.
20	Secretary Kendall has made clear that
21	sexual assault is a crime that harms our people,
22	and undermines our force lethality, readiness,

and mission success.

2	Accordingly, he has stated each member
3	of our team shares the enduring responsibility,
4	to eliminate sexual assault and harassment from
5	our ranks.
6	In convening this hearing, Madam
7	Chair, you noted that the statutory design and
8	Congressional intent of the OSTC, is to be
9	civilian-led and military executed.
10	In that regard, I'm pleased to be
11	joined this morning by my colleagues, Lieutenant
12	General Plummer, and Major General Vernon, both
13	recently promoted and appointed into their roles
14	as TJAG and DJAG.
15	It is vital that senior civilian and
16	military leaders across the Department, work
17	collaboratively to achieve the goals of the OSTC.
18	From our perspective, there are three
19	critical objectives for the OSTC. First, to
20	protect the independence of the prosecutorial
21	decision making process, Ms. Tokash, you spoke at
22	some length on this yesterday.

1	This was the intent behind removing
2	these covered offenses from the chain of command,
3	and also having the lead special trial counsel
4	report directly to the Service Secretaries,
5	without intervening authority.
6	Second, to develop a cadre of
7	dedicated, highly skilled prosecutors and support
8	staffs. These crimes, often with complex fact
9	patterns, require specialized expertise and
10	experience.
11	The goal is to leverage that
12	specialized expertise, to achieve consistency in
13	charging decisions, and just outcomes.
14	Third, to engender greater trust
15	amongst all stakeholders, including Congress, all
16	airmen and guardians, and most particularly, the
17	victims of sexual assault.
18	As an example, the Independent Review
19	Commission observed that the processing of sexual
20	assault cases, was overly long.
21	If investigations and dispositions
22	extend over lengthy periods, fewer victims will

1be likely to come forward at the outset, or to2remain with the process through any trial.3A prolonged process can also adversely4impact the accused.5Regardless of the ultimate outcome of6any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,7the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone8involved to feel that due process has been9followed.10I commend all of you for convening11this important hearing. I look forward to your12questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my13colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.14LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable15Beshar.16Distinguished members of this17committee, thank you for the opportunity to18address our collective efforts to root out sex19assault, and other serious offenses in the20military.21Similar to what the Air Force's22groundbreaking stand up of the Special Victims'		
3       A prolonged process can also adversely         4       impact the accused.         5       Regardless of the ultimate outcome of         6       any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,         7       the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone         8       involved to feel that due process has been         9       followed.         10       I commend all of you for convening         11       this important hearing. I look forward to your         12       questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my         13       colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.         14       LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable         15       Beshar.         16       Distinguished members of this         17       committee, thank you for the opportunity to         18       address our collective efforts to root out sex         19       assault, and other serious offenses in the         20       military.         21       Similar to what the Air Force's	1	be likely to come forward at the outset, or to
<ul> <li>impact the accused.</li> <li>Regardless of the ultimate outcome of</li> <li>any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,</li> <li>the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone</li> <li>involved to feel that due process has been</li> <li>followed.</li> <li>I commend all of you for convening</li> <li>this important hearing. I look forward to your</li> <li>questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my</li> <li>colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.</li> <li>LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable</li> <li>Beshar.</li> <li>Distinguished members of this</li> <li>committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>military.</li> </ul>	2	remain with the process through any trial.
5       Regardless of the ultimate outcome of         6       any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,         7       the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone         8       involved to feel that due process has been         9       followed.         10       I commend all of you for convening         11       this important hearing. I look forward to your         12       questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my         13       colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.         14       LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable         15       Beshar.         16       Distinguished members of this         17       committee, thank you for the opportunity to         18       address our collective efforts to root out sex         19       assault, and other serious offenses in the         20       military.         21       Similar to what the Air Force's	3	A prolonged process can also adversely
<ul> <li>any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,</li> <li>the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone</li> <li>involved to feel that due process has been</li> <li>followed.</li> <li>I commend all of you for convening</li> <li>this important hearing. I look forward to your</li> <li>questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my</li> <li>colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.</li> <li>LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable</li> <li>Beshar.</li> <li>Distinguished members of this</li> <li>committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>military.</li> </ul>	4	impact the accused.
<ul> <li>the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone</li> <li>involved to feel that due process has been</li> <li>followed.</li> <li>I commend all of you for convening</li> <li>this important hearing. I look forward to your</li> <li>questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my</li> <li>colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.</li> <li>LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable</li> <li>Beshar.</li> <li>Distinguished members of this</li> <li>committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>military.</li> </ul>	5	Regardless of the ultimate outcome of
<ul> <li>8 involved to feel that due process has been</li> <li>9 followed.</li> <li>10 I commend all of you for convening</li> <li>11 this important hearing. I look forward to your</li> <li>12 questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my</li> <li>13 colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.</li> <li>14 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable</li> <li>15 Beshar.</li> <li>16 Distinguished members of this</li> <li>17 committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>18 address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>19 assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>20 military.</li> <li>21 Similar to what the Air Force's</li> </ul>	6	any investigation, disposition, or prosecution,
<ul> <li>followed.</li> <li>I commend all of you for convening</li> <li>this important hearing. I look forward to your</li> <li>questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my</li> <li>colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.</li> <li>LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable</li> <li>Beshar.</li> <li>Distinguished members of this</li> <li>committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>military.</li> <li>Similar to what the Air Force's</li> </ul>	7	the fundamental goal of the OSTC is for everyone
10I commend all of you for convening11I commend all of you for convening11this important hearing. I look forward to your12questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my13colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.14IT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable15Beshar.16Distinguished members of this17committee, thank you for the opportunity to18address our collective efforts to root out sex19assault, and other serious offenses in the20military.21Similar to what the Air Force's	8	involved to feel that due process has been
11 this important hearing. I look forward to your 12 questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my 13 colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon. 14 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable 15 Beshar. 16 Distinguished members of this 17 committee, thank you for the opportunity to 18 address our collective efforts to root out sex 19 assault, and other serious offenses in the 10 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's	9	followed.
12questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my13colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.14LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable15Beshar.16Distinguished members of this17committee, thank you for the opportunity to18address our collective efforts to root out sex19assault, and other serious offenses in the20military.21Similar to what the Air Force's	10	I commend all of you for convening
13 colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon. 14 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable 15 Beshar. 16 Distinguished members of this 17 committee, thank you for the opportunity to 18 address our collective efforts to root out sex 19 assault, and other serious offenses in the 20 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's	11	this important hearing. I look forward to your
14LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable15Beshar.16Distinguished members of this17committee, thank you for the opportunity to18address our collective efforts to root out sex19assault, and other serious offenses in the20military.21Similar to what the Air Force's	12	questions, and with that I'll turn it over to my
<ul> <li>Beshar.</li> <li>Distinguished members of this</li> <li>committee, thank you for the opportunity to</li> <li>address our collective efforts to root out sex</li> <li>assault, and other serious offenses in the</li> <li>military.</li> <li>Similar to what the Air Force's</li> </ul>	13	colleagues, General Plummer, and General Vernon.
16Distinguished members of this17committee, thank you for the opportunity to18address our collective efforts to root out sex19assault, and other serious offenses in the20military.21Similar to what the Air Force's	14	LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Honorable
<pre>17 committee, thank you for the opportunity to 18 address our collective efforts to root out sex 19 assault, and other serious offenses in the 20 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's</pre>	15	Beshar.
18 address our collective efforts to root out sex 19 assault, and other serious offenses in the 20 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's	16	Distinguished members of this
19 assault, and other serious offenses in the 20 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's	17	committee, thank you for the opportunity to
20 military. 21 Similar to what the Air Force's	18	address our collective efforts to root out sex
21 Similar to what the Air Force's	19	assault, and other serious offenses in the
	20	military.
22 groundbreaking stand up of the Special Victims'	21	Similar to what the Air Force's
	22	groundbreaking stand up of the Special Victims'

1	Counsel Program in 2013, to provide independent
2	counsel and support the victims of sexual
3	assault, we are equally committed to the
4	effective implementation of the Office of Special
5	Trial Counsel, promulgated by last year's NDAA.
6	Successfully enacting the NDAA of
7	2022, and the IRC recommendations related to
8	military justice system, is the Air Force JAG of
9	course, number one priority, period.
10	The transformational changes in the
11	law place prosecution decisions directly with
12	experienced and expert military prosecutors, to
13	further enhance trust, and credibility in the
14	military justice system.
15	These changes will positively impact
16	the proficiency and the integration of our
17	investigators, attorneys, and paralegals, charged
18	with handling these cases to ensure we properly
19	hold offenders accountable for their crimes, and
20	ensure victims of these crimes are treated with
21	dignity, respect, and inclusion.
22	In support of these efforts, and to

ensure success when the NDAA legal authorities, 1 2 take effect on 27 December 23, the Department has developed a multi-phase approach, very similar to 3 our colleagues. 4 To that end, Major General Vernon, 5 currently the Deputy Judge Advocate General, is 6 7 the architect of our model and our phasing, as the former director of military justice and so 8 9 she's going to go through those details with us. 10 Thank you. 11 MAJ. GEN. VERNON: Thank you, General 12 Plummer. And, thank you Madam Chair Smith, and 13 distinguished members of this panel. 14 The Department recognizes the historic nature of this change, and the dedication and 15 16 commitment it will take to effectuate these legal 17 and cultural reforms. 18 To that end, the Department will 19 execute the changes through a 5-phased approach. 20 Phase 1 began on January 1 of this year, during 21 which our team of experts tested various 22 organizational models, and OSTC procedures, using

a diverse group of personnel from across the Air 1 2 Force and Space Force. This group executed eight table top 3 4 exercises to stress test proposed OSTC procedures, and models. 5 To assist with the resourcing 6 7 questions, we extrapolated raw data on Department 8 of the Air Force courts-martial, and 9 investigations from 2017 to 2021, to project anticipated growth for cases, and criminal 10 11 investigations involving covered offenses. 12 Phase 1 also saw the initiation of a 13 robust training and communication plan for our 14 attorneys, paralegals, and commanders in the 15 field, to ensure we create a meaningful culture 16 change, and garner trust in our new system. 17 The Department anticipates the O-7 18 lead special trial counsel will lead a 19 headquarters element of attorneys and paralegals, as well as six field offices with attorneys and 20 21 paralegals focused on executing OSTC's mission 22 prosecuting covered offenses, and related

offenses.

2	Each field office will be run by a
3	Chief STC, who will run the office similar to a
4	civilian district attorney's office, responsible
5	for a number of STCs and paralegals.
6	These staffs will have delineated
7	duties, and be organized into three primary
8	functions. Administrative coordination and
9	processing of cases, investigation and
10	prosecution support teams, and case litigation.
11	Personnel filling the OSTC positions,
12	will have significant military justice
13	experience.
14	In May of this year, the Department
15	held its inaugural STC qualification course, with
16	36 attendees, including agents from our Office of
17	Special Investigations.
18	Instructors included subject matter
19	experts from the Air Force, Navy, Army, OSI, and
20	the Department of Justice.
21	Over the course of the next 18 months,
22	the Department will continue to professionally

develop these trial counsel through additional
 training, and real world prosecution of covered
 offenses.

The OSTC team will also begin evaluating investigations and cases, through the legal lens that will be in place when the OSTC is fully operational.

8 While teamed with local legal offices 9 and law enforcement, the OSTC will exercise, test 10 and refine these new procedures, to ensure a 11 smooth transition from a commander-directed case 12 disposition model, to the independent case 13 disposition model administered by the OSTC.

14 Effective and efficient case analysis 15 and disposition, are critical to ensure trust in 16 the system, while being responsive to the needs 17 of good order and discipline.

Phase 2 begins on 1 July during which we will further develop our OSTC initial training and education policies, while continuing to test procedures in the field.

22

Phase 3 is our resourcing phase,

during which we will allocate office space and 1 2 resources, and begin beta testing a new prosecution case collaboration process where STCs 3 partner with our existing circuit trial counsel, 4 5 to evaluate how covered offense cases will be processed under the, evaluated and processed 6 7 under the new law and procedures. In Phase 4, the Department will affect 8 9 changes of assignment to staff, the OSTC as an independent office reporting to the Secretary. 10 11 And, Phase 4 culminates in full 12 operational capability in December of '23, when legal authorities from the NDAA go into effect. 13 But it does not mark the end of our efforts. 14 We are currently developing a fifth 15 16 phase, to prepare the Department for the 17 transition period after OSTC is at full 18 operational capability, and when the initial OSTC 19 covered offenses occur after 20 December of '23. 20 The build up to full operational 21 capability includes a significant recent 22 milestone. On June 25 the Department of the Air

Force achieved initial operating capability in 1 2 advance of the DoD July 15 deadline. So, what does this mean? The OSTC is 3 4 currently staffed by JAG corps members 5 concentrated on developing operating procedures, to ensure the OSTC is correctly positioned to 6 7 execute its mission, at full operational 8 capability. 9 The OSTC is augmented with highly specialized prosecutors who have been certified 10 11 to serve as STCs. 12 They will continue to prosecute cases 13 under existing authorities, but they will test 14 operating instructions that will facilitate the 15 effective, and efficient execution of military 16 justice upon FOC. 17 This OSTC team will engage and partner 18 with all stakeholders in the military justice 19 process, to synchronize planning efforts, and 20 assess future resourcing requirements. 21 At full operational capability, the 22 lead special trial counsel will report directly

to the Secretary of the Air Force, with no 1 2 intervening authority. Those assigned to the OSTC will be 3 rated within the OSTC, and not rated or 4 supervised by members of the JAG corps. 5 TJAG will serve a supporting role for 6 the Secretary by ensuring the certifying, 7 8 training and equipping of members of the JAG 9 corps, who will become the next generation of OSTC personnel. 10 11 TJAG takes these significant NDAA responsibilities seriously, and will remain 12 responsible for certifying judge advocates as 13 14 STCs, before they can perform those duties. Lastly, TJAG remains responsible for 15 16 maintaining licensing standards for all judge 17 advocates, and ensuring they meet professional 18 responsibility standards. 19 We have embraced this holistic effort, 20 to ensure accountability and trust in our justice 21 system, and will continue to enact these reforms 22 with alacrity and skill.

1	There is still work to be done, but
2	we're committed to these efforts beyond the
3	enactment of the OSTC next year.
4	We look forward to your questions on
5	this subject, and thank you for the opportunity
6	to speak with you.
7	CHAIR SMITH: Thank you.
8	All right, I think we're going to
9	switch to folks on the screen, Army.
10	Thank you.
11	MS. RICCI: Yes, Madam Chair, Carrie
12	Ricci here. I want to make sure that you can
13	hear me. Do you have good, com, can you hear me?
14	CHAIR SMITH: We can kind of hear you
15	if you could speak up, or maybe turn the
16	microphone up a little bit, please?
17	MS. RICCI: Yes, ma'am, is this better?
18	CHAIR SMITH: Perfect. Thank you.
19	MS. RICCI: First, I want to thank you
20	for allowing us to appear virtually. We're
21	attending the Army's Senior Leader Readiness
22	Forum, affectionately known as the SLRF, and we

I

are offsite in Tysons Corner, in business casual, 1 2 for this annual meeting. Before I begin my prepared remarks, I 3 4 want to first express my sincere commitment to 5 getting this right. As a retired judge advocate, and as a 6 7 member of the Fort Hood Independent Review 8 Committee, I feel a deep commitment, and I know 9 that the same is true also for the Secretary, and for Lieutenant General Risch. 10 11 We are one Army team all the way. So, 12 to my remarks. It's an honor to come before this 13 14 committee, and a privilege to share with you the great progress the Army has made, to ensure the 15 16 success of this monumental undertaking. 17 It's important first to note that the 18 Secretary has defined six objectives as 19 guideposts for the Army, one of which is to reduce harmful behaviors. 20 21 And, of course, that includes eliminating sexual assault from our formations. 22

To this end, the Army is in the 1 2 process of hiring its first ever prevention workforce, with a clear strategy to not just 3 4 focus on responding to these behaviors, but to 5 prevent them in the first place. During this transformational moment, 6 7 the Army staff is working tirelessly, led through 8 a collaborative effort between my office and the 9 Office of the Judge Advocate General, to ensure the successful establishment of the Office of 10 Special Trial Counsel. 11 12 And, with that, the transfer of 13 disposition authorities to judge advocates, for 14 covered offenses. 15 The Army will implement the FY 22 NDAA 16 and DoD requirements through structural change, 17 focused on independence, expertise, and 18 preserving the Army's commitment to good order 19 and discipline. 20 I am happy to report the Army is on 21 track to meet the DoD suspense of establishing the Office of Special Trial Counsel, by 15 July 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

3

4

5

2022.

An Army general order, which will establish the Office of Special Trial Counsel, is in its final stage of staffing, prior to the Secretary's signature.

During this initial interim period,
the Army has identified an experienced O-6 judge
advocate to lead the organization, until the lead
special trial counsel is confirmed through a
selection board process.

11 The General Counsel and TJAG will 12 maintain an important partnership with 13 complementary roles, in ensuring that the OSTC 14 can operate independently, to reach referral 15 decisions free from improper influence, and is 16 staffed with qualified and experienced personnel 17 to prosecute covered offenses.

18 Lieutenant General Risch and his team,
19 have done great work creating the structure of
20 the OSTC.

I won't steal his thunder, but instead
I'm going to turn it over to Lieutenant General

Risch to give you greater details on our plan
 moving forward.

3 Thank you. LTG RISCH: Good morning, Madam 4 5 Chairwoman, and committee members, and staff. Thank you for the opportunity as my colleagues 6 7 have indicated already, to provide additional 8 details on the significant planning, and 9 execution efforts already taken and scheduled for the immediate future, all focused on ensuring a 10 11 smooth transition to the Office of Special Trial 12 Counsel. 13 Well before passage of the Fiscal Year 14 22 NDAA, Secretary Wormuth who is clearly dedicated to the success of these significant 15 16 military justice reforms, tasked me with making 17 the anticipated transition successful. 18 In response, I appointed a team of 19 legal personnel to begin to contemplate potential solutions to transition disposition decisions for 20 21 some to be determined class of cases, to judge 22 advocates.

1	Then in December when we learned the
2	full scope of that change, I directed that a
3	larger operational planning team be assembled to
4	provide courses of action, to successfully
5	execute this transition.
6	The operational planning team
7	consisted of well in excess of 30 extremely
8	experienced military justice practitioners, from
9	across our Army and beyond.
10	It included staff judge advocates from
11	both large and small installations, current and
12	former chiefs of headquarters Department of the
13	Army Criminal Law Division.
14	The chief trial judge, chief trial
15	defense service, the chiefs at both of our
16	government and defense appellate divisions,
17	special victim prosecutors, and special victim
18	counsel.
19	Retired judge advocates now serving as
20	civilian attorneys. A subject matter expert from
21	planning from our Army G3 Shop, and two special
22	advisors hired to assist in this critical task.

1	One of those special advisors subject
2	matter experts, was hired for her expansive
3	criminal law experience, serving as a civilian
4	career prosecutor for over 12 years.
5	She served as the chief for the
6	Special Victim and Family Violence Unit, for
7	Prince George's County, Maryland, where she led
8	efforts to create and implement a county wide
9	strangulation protocol, domestic violence high
10	risk and high lethality protocol, and domestic
11	violence diversion.
12	She also led policy and legislative
13	initiatives statewide, on behalf of the Prince
14	George's County State's Attorney's Office.
15	We brought her into the Army JAG Corp
16	as an advisor to provide a civilian perspective
17	to all of our potential courses of action, to
18	challenge assumptions, and to ensure alternative
19	views and opinions were introduced and developed
20	at length throughout the planning, development
21	and implementation processes.
22	Her perspective has been invaluable

and many of her recommendations were adopted, and 1 2 are part of our new structure. The operational planning team 3 assembled for almost four weeks in January of 4 this year, putting aside all other critical daily 5 work and competing requirements, to focus solely 6 7 on the task at hand. The OPT developed structural options 8 9 for the Office of Special Trial Counsel, and assessed at length the appropriate prosecutorial 10 workload at each level, and per individual 11 12 special trial counsel, to ensure justice and 13 fairness in the process, and individual wellness 14 for all involved in the process across our force. The OPT studied and assessed the 15 16 volume of investigations, and courts-martial that 17 would end up within the purview of the Office of 18 Special Trial Counsel. 19 Best practices from within both the 20 military and the civilian systems, were used to 21 determine the optimal volume of workload that 22 each special trial counsel could effectively

manage.

2	The scope of offenses was obviously
3	key to establishing a baseline, and determining
4	the ultimate member and type of resources
5	eventually approved by the Secretary, to create
6	and support the Office of Special Trial Counsel.
7	The structure finally approved by the
8	Secretary, provides complete independence to the
9	lead special trial counsel, in establishing
10	internal policies, and providing legal oversight
11	of the Office of Special Trial Counsel.
12	The goal is that only the best
13	qualified and most experienced litigators, will
14	be assigned as our special trial counsel.
15	Through a certification process that
16	we are developing, we will ensure special trial
17	counsel are provided the required and
18	appropriate, education and training, and vetted
19	through a statutorily required certification
20	process, prior to assigning to the OSTC.
21	That process has already been in place
22	for years as our special victim prosecutor

1	program, a highly successful system already.
2	To enable the lead special trial
3	counsel to focus entirely on the prosecution of
4	all the leveled covered offenses, the Office of
5	the Judge Advocate General, will provide all
6	required and necessary Title 10 administrative
7	support to the OSTC.
8	This relationship will be entirely
9	administrative, allowing OTJAG to provide
10	funding, manpower, logistics, and personnel
11	assistance.
12	Plus appellate litigation support and
13	professional responsibility reviews, to the OSTC.
14	These efforts will capitalize on
15	efficiencies, avoid unnecessary growth or
16	duplication of personnel, and allow special trial
17	counsel to focus on their most important
18	function, the independent prosecution of covered
19	offenses.
20	There are two pivotal components to
21	the success of the OSTC. First, the Secretary
22	will ensure the right people are assigned to the

(202) 234-4433

1 o

organization.

2	We welcome the opportunity to assist
3	in this pivotal function, and to ensure the
4	ultimate success of the OSTC.
5	However, justice can't be executed if
6	the deck is, or even appears to be, stacked
7	unevenly.
8	We must ensure that experienced and
9	well-trained litigators are qualified and
10	certified, and then assigned to handle covered
11	offenses for both the OSTC, and the trial defense
12	service, as well as military judges, special
13	victim counsel, and appellate counsel, as well.
14	The Secretary will ensure this through
15	the implementation of a military justice career
16	model, and development of detailed training plans
17	to ensure both special trial counsel, and trial
18	defense counsel, are ready for the significant
19	responsibility they assume when stepping into
20	this role.
21	The second component is the
22	communication and coordination required between

1	special trial counsel, and installation level
2	investigators, special victim counsel, victim
3	advocates, commanders, and government legal
4	representatives.
5	Development, testing, and refinement
6	of SOPs and business rules, for installation
7	coordination, is crucial.
8	It ensures the expeditious movement of
9	cases through the process, while also enhancing
10	transparency for our victims.
11	In that vein, we are working
12	diligently to assign both civilian personnel, as
13	well as other administrative staff, to the
14	headquarters element of the Office of Special
15	Trial Counsel.
16	Designed to ensure that the office is
17	up and running now, such that the lead special
18	trial counsel when selected by a promotion board
19	which meets in July, and confirmed by Congress,
20	will fall in on an office fully staffed and
21	already diligently working on these SOPs and
22	business rules to ensure they are both developed

and tested, prior to full implementation of the 1 2 applicable statute. Training, resourcing, and manning, are 3 4 all well under way. The Secretary's plan is 5 moving forward, and firmly on track to meet all deadlines. 6 Although change can bring uncertainty, 7 8 when planned and executed well, it creates a 9 spark that ignites a movement. The Army has adapted, and will 10 continue to adapt rapidly, to maintain the 11 12 momentum and create a military justice system 13 that can, and will be, trusted by victims, 14 soldiers, and families. Thank you for allowing Ms. Ricci and 15 16 I to represent Secretary Wormuth, in providing 17 this committee our most up-to-date information on 18 this truly significant effort. And, we look forward to a continuing 19 20 dialogue with this committee. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIR SMITH: Thank you.

	7
1	At this point, we're going to open it
2	up for questions.
3	MEMBER BASHFORD: It's Martha Bashford,
4	and do I, jump in here if I'm incorrect.
5	When we looked at adult penetrative
6	sexual assaults in fiscal year 2017, 80 percent
7	of them never had charges preferred, or referred.
8	So, I've got a two-part question. One
9	is what role will your special trial counsel have
10	if any, in the investigation of these cases?
11	And, of the ones that did go to court-
12	martial, I believe around 20 percent of them only
13	resulted in a conviction.
14	So, what are your thoughts on that,
15	and but I'm really concerned about the 80
16	percent. Will you have investigators assigned to
17	your offices?
18	(Audio interference.)
19	MR. COFFEY: I want to react to the,
20	I think you said 20 percent conviction rate, and
21	just share with you my, my observation that one
22	of the benefits of referring the decision whether

or not to prosecute such crimes to an independent
 prosecutor, is you're more likely to get better
 charging decisions.

That it's my observation both as a former commanding officer, and the research I've done to date since becoming Navy GC, is that there is by and large, under reporting of misconduct because I think for many reasons. But primarily lack of trust in the system.

But my sense is that there is probably overcharging, that cases are not likely to result in a conviction are charged because there is pressure on commanders to do so.

14 There are obviously commanders that I 15 think there's evidence to show they've swept it 16 under the rug and looked the other way, for a 17 favored member of the command.

But having sat in that seat as a commanding officer not once but twice, there is pressure to let a jury figure it out.

21 And, perhaps refer for charges a case 22 that if I put on my former AUSA hat, you would

(202) 234-4433

1

never take to trial.

2 So, I think, and I want to also note 3 that I caution anyone for making the rate of 4 conviction, a standard by which to judge the 5 justice system.

I think we will see fewer cases
charged, hopefully because the accountability and
the prevention, and the education parts of the
program, will reduce this misconduct. That is
the goal.

But that those charges that are brought are more likely to result in a higher conviction rate, which is not the goal, but will be the natural consequence of having this filter where a professional prosecutor says, I believe that this case, that the misconduct occurred, and I believe that I can prove it.

With regard to the 80 percent that weren't investigated, I mean that's shocking to me, but I think it goes back to my earlier point about the lack of trust in the system.

22

And, as Honorable Beshar mentioned,

part of that is how quickly we get to a result, 1 2 and that feeds into the resources that are needed, et cetera. 3 But we're going to build this, and 4 5 we're intent on making it work. And, as to how these are investigated, I'll hand it over to 6 Admiral Crandall and General Bligh, and others. 7 Thank you. 8 9 VADM CRANDALL: Thank you, Mr. Coffey. Just a couple of points to add to Mr. 10 11 Coffey. I would agree that we need to be careful 12 about assessing success via conviction rates. What I would highlight there is this 13 14 idea of assessments is absolutely critical, and 15 that's why it's Phase 4 for us. How do we assess 16 the successful implementation of these 17 significant reforms? 18 And, I don't have all the answers for 19 I think that's something we need to you yet. 20 continue to work on. 21 It also ties into our greater Navy emphasis from the Chief of Naval Operations, 22

1 which put a call to action to everyone across the 2 Department, to get real, get better. And, to be self-assessing and self-3 4 correcting. Always learning as a Department. 5 Not specifically for this, for military justice reforms and sexual assault, but for everything we 6 7 do as a Department. 8 So, I believe what we're setting up 9 here with the Office of Special Trial Counsel, 10 really nests well under CNO's greater message for 11 our Navy. 12 We really do need to not neglect that 13 assessing piece as we move into that phase, 14 because it's going to be really important. 15 The other piece with regard to how 16 investigators will be wrapped up into these 17 special trial counsel teams, the OSTC, I think 18 that's still something to be completely worked 19 out. 20 But clearly, an important discussion 21 point. I appreciate you raising that. 22 General Bligh?

1	MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: Thank you, sir.
2	I'll call out a pilot program. I'm
3	kind of shooting on the Admiral's target here a
4	little bit.
5	Director Lopez from the Naval Criminal
6	Investigative Service, established basically a
7	sex crimes unit, investigative unit down in
8	Norfolk, where he took special agents and master
9	at arms.
10	The special agents handled the
11	penetrative sex assaults, and then the master at
12	arms the touchings, which were about 70 percent
13	of our cases.
14	And, that's all those guys, that's all
15	those investigators did. And, they work directly
16	with the Regional Legal Service Office.
17	And, what they found was the
18	investigators knew what the prosecutors needed to
19	make decisions, and efficiencies were gained.
20	So, we are going to mirror that effort
21	at Camp Lejeune and Camp Pendleton, and hopefully
22	get it using our criminal investigative division,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	along with the NCIS agents, and hopefully get
2	that throughout the Marine Corps.
3	So, the intent is a much tighter
4	linkage between the prosecution, the OSTC and
5	then the investigators handling these offenses.
6	CHAIR SMITH: So, you wouldn't have
7	separate investigators in the OSTC, you would
8	rely on your criminal investigation units?
9	MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: So, ma'am, right now
10	we haven't made a final decision on that whether
11	it be a, maybe an LNO inside the OSTC, or is it
12	better to have that agent out working the
13	particular covered offenses.
14	So, we're still working through that.
15	CHAIR SMITH: Okay.
16	MR. BESHAR: Ms. Bashford is reflected
17	in the pioneering work done by the Manhattan DA's
18	office.
19	I think the goal is to really develop
20	that specialized expertise both within the ranks
21	of the investigators, and with the prosecutors.
22	Have that be more closely integrated,

and then also complimented with the victims' 1 2 advocates. General Plummer, General Vernon? 3 4 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Thank you, Mr. 5 Beshar, yes, ma'am. And, so, you know, as we know, as 6 7 General Vernon commented and as the work by the 8 IRC, this is all holistic, right. 9 We're looking at prevention, investigation and accountability. And, so we're 10 11 trying to integrate those functions as the 12 commandant just mentioned. We noted in our training last month 13 14 that we did have OSI agents as part of that training, to try to build those, those linkages. 15 16 Still to be determined as to your 17 question, the SJA to the Commandant, as to 18 whether there will be LNOs or whether there will 19 be direct reports, or what not. 20 But we do absolutely intend to build 21 that cadre. And, just as we specialize and offer 22 the training for our prosecutors, we intend to do

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 the same for our investigators. 2 Thank you. MS. RICCI: Carrie Ricci here on the 3 4 screen. 5 I just want to highlight that the questions from Committee Member Bashford really 6 7 point out the transformational nature of what 8 we're engaging in here. And, it's not just the Office of 9 Special Trial Counsel. Our Criminal 10 11 Investigative Division is also undergoing a 12 complete transformation. 13 And, while we are mindful of the past, 14 we are also moving forward. And we are moving 15 forward with steady progress, and looking to make 16 that transformational change. 17 So, I'll allow, I'll yield the floor 18 to Lieutenant General Risch. 19 LTG RISCH: Thank you, ma'am, I 20 appreciate it. 21 To the committee members, in response 22 to the question I can assure you that there is a,

in our current program, and in the future in our STC program, our special victim prosecutors are involved in every investigation.

There is a policy that requires immediate notification from the CID, when they engage in an investigation on a sexual assault or related matter, to involve the prosecutor.

8 And, that there is a good working9 relationship between those two entities.

Moving forward, we are in discussions as the other services are, with our CID civilian leads, in terms of an embedding a sexual assault trained investigator in our legal offices.

But I won't go any further. I will say it's in the infancy. We've been going back and forth with that recommendation.

When I was at Fort Hood as the Staff
Judge Advocate, we actually embedded a special
victim prosecutor in the CID office, so that
there was good working relationships.
And, under our current Army
leadership, we've created offices that are

1

2

designed, fusion directorates they're called,
that are designed to bring all of the elements in
terms of victim support, investigation,
prosecution, all together in one location to the
extent that they can, to share information.
But aimed at assisting victims and
conducting the best investigation. And, if
necessary and warranted, a subsequent prosecution
on that.
The final point I'll make is, you
know, if one looks at the RAINN data that I'm
sure the committee has access to, we certainly
have the same challenges in the military, as our
civilian counterparts do.
And, I think if you look at the
numbers there, you'll find that the number of
their cases that they begin an investigation that
actually end up in prosecution, are the same.
Because they have the same challenges,
the same difficulties that we do in the military.
So, thank you.
MEMBER LONG: Madam Chair, it's

Jennifer Long, I have a question. 1 2 CHAIR SMITH: Yes, thank you. MEMBER LONG: Okay, and a question but 3 4 I do want to precede it with just a caution, or a comment based on the discussion of statistics. 5 I think best practices when we're 6 7 looking at the outcomes of these cases, demands not only you look at what the outcome of the case 8 9 was, but the reason why. 10 You can't, and there are ways to do 11 this through case assessments in your own office. 12 We at the DAC-IPAD did case reviews. But offices themselves who are 13 14 instilling this, take a look at their cases, determine what the outcomes are if they're 15 related to certain characteristics, and then sit 16 17 in roundtable to determine the why. 18 So you can't really tell much from 19 that 20 percent, or that 80 percent, other than 20 those are the numbers. 21 What we know from the national 22 attrition research and sexual violence, is that

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

most cases are weeded out because of very common 1 2 characteristics, that appear in most of these 3 cases. So you want to understand if that 80 4 5 percent are actually cases that should come out, or if they're cases that were properly weeded 6 7 out. 8 The same with the 20 percent. It's 9 possible that some were overcharged, but it's also possible that the prosecutors didn't have 10 11 the skills, or the investigation wasn't done 12 well. So, I would just caution really trying 13 14 to get to the why, before you take any 15 conclusions from the what. 16 As to the question, I did hear a lot of the panel members talk about the need for 17 18 exceptionally skilled, and highly skilled, and 19 experienced litigators to lead these offices. I wondered if people could talk a 20 21 little bit more about the type of sexual violence experience, that the individuals who they were 22

looking at to lead these offices. 1 2 You were looking for them to have those kind of competencies, besides just general 3 4 litigation. 5 CHAIR SMITH: You also all spoke about 6 the certification process to become an STC. So, 7 if you could include that kind of in your 8 response. 9 MR. COFFEY: Madam Chair, I'm going to defer to the folks who were most involved with 10 the staffing of the OSTC, the JAG and the SJA. 11 12 VADM CRANDALL: Thank you. 13 With regard to the need for skilled 14 litigators, absolutely. And so just as an example, our interim STC lead and interim deputy 15 16 lead, are both two O-6 officers who are part of 17 our military justice litigation career track. 18 That's a track we've had in place for 19 about since 2007, and it keeps officers, judge 20 advocates, in litigation related billets through 21 basically their entire career. 22 So we selected two O-6s who are both

at the expert level. There are three levels in 1 2 our military justice litigation career track. specialist 1, specialist 2, and expert. 3 4 In the case of these experts, they 5 typically have about 12 years in litigation billets when they become an expert. And, those 6 are a range of billets. 7 8 Prosecution billets, defense billets, 9 they could have served as judges as experts. Appellate billets. Potentially victims' legal 10 11 counsel billets. 12 So we have not, to Madam Chairwoman's 13 question, identified our certification process 14 yet within the Department of the Navy. But as kind of a precursor, we are 15 16 using our military justice career litigation 17 track personnel, who have already been selected 18 for the track. 19 It's a competitive board process to be 20 selected to be a specialist 1, which is the first 21 level. 22 So we are using that as our initial

how do we start to assign people to these 1 2 positions. And, then as we look for more detail with the actual criteria itself. 3 4 MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: Ma'am, the Joint 5 Services Committee is looking at, they're talking about the criteria for certifying special trial 6 7 counsel. So we're a little early in the process. I'm glad to come back when those are 8 9 developed, and inform this committee. We do not have a litigation track in 10 11 the Marine Corps, but we will be looking at 12 individuals who have multiple tours in, there's a 13 trial counsel, a defense counsel, military judge, 14 appellate counsel. If they've been instructor on military 15 16 justice. One of the training environments to 17 identify the right folks to fill those regional 18 slots. 19 MR. BESHAR: Ms. Long, just briefly, I 20 appreciate your comments about the complexity of 21 particular statistics, and the focus being on 22 what's the outcome that you're trying to achieve.

What are the behaviors that you're trying to 1 2 encourage? And, so as an example, I think a good 3 4 goal for all of us, is to encourage victims to 5 report their experiences, and then to remain with the process throughout the duration of the 6 7 investigation, and possible prosecution. 8 And, so as an example, would tracking 9 the percentage of unrestricted reports versus restricted reports, is that, would that be an 10 indicia of progress if the percentage of 11 12 unrestricted reports went up. 13 Those are things that require a lot 14 more scrutiny and consideration, over the coming 18 months. 15 16 General Plummer, General Vernon, what 17 would you add about special experience --LT. GEN. PLUMMER: 18 Thank you, Mr. 19 So General Vernon's going to Beshar. 20 walk you through some of the details 21 of where we are, but certainly the, 22 you know, the competencies we've been

1 discussing amongst, kind of, the 2 experts, as well as our certification 3 process. 4 MAJ. GEN. VERNON: Yes, sir. Thank 5 So we have had in place since, I believe, you. 2017 specialized prosecutors that are SVU-6 7 qualified. And those generally have to have 8 participated in 18 to 20 courts involving sexual 9 offenses, and then they go through training in addition to that and then they receive 10 11 certification. 12 So they've been practicing in that 13 manner, so our certification process wants to 14 build on that to make that -- take that really to 15 another step, which is why we had the STC 16 qualification training where we then brought in 17 folks from the Department of Justice and got 18 those outside views and experts that talk to our 19 already trained prosecutors about some -- kind of 20 going a little bit deeper in some of the topics. 21 So that's what we anticipate in the future, is continuing to do that, to make sure 22

that folks have that experience in the sexual 1 2 offenses. But then, obviously, you have to start at some point, so they would start, you know, in 3 4 local legal offices trying non-covered offenses 5 but then start transitioning and getting that experience, sitting second chair with the STCs to 6 7 get that experience. And then once they get to 8 that level, then to transition to the OSTC so 9 that we can keep building over the years, of 10 making sure we always have those specialized 11 prosecutors.

12 But it really has to be that mix of 13 people who show the ability to litigate, also to 14 have that emotional intelligence to be able to understand the complexities of those cases. 15 But 16 then also get that no-kidding, hands on 17 experience as well, and only after those are done 18 to the satisfaction of The Judge Advocate General 19 would they be certified. Thank you.

20 LTG RISCH: Madam Chair, General Risch 21 here. I'd like to point out -- first, thank the 22 committee member for the question. As I

mentioned before, we've got a special victim 1 2 prosecutor program that has been in existence since 2010. And so we've got a cadre that we've 3 built over the last decade that we lean on 4 5 heavily from that side, as well as the defense side, to lead, in terms of the instruction and 6 7 sharing their experience with new special trial 8 counsel that we bring over. And when I say new 9 it's in quotation marks because a lot of these folks have tried cases both, on the special 10 11 victim trial counsel as well as general 12 prosecutor side, and TDS side as well. 13 That SVP program has a certification 14 course and we can certainly share with the 15 committee, if you don't have it already, exactly 16 what that course entails, the instruction that's 17 provided there -- and it's not all litigation 18 based, it goes to many of the issues that the 19 member's question was getting after, as well. In addition, we have had on our staff 20 21 for almost that length of time, three highly qualified experts, all career civilian 22

prosecutors that have that depth and breadth of experience that will assist in the preparation of cases, and actually be involved in some of them, to the extent that they may be involved there. And so that's been a wonderful program, over that period of time.

We will use our military justice
career model and our development codes that we
have to track military justice experience in that
career model, in all of the jobs in the military
justice arena.

And then finally, I'd point out our 12 13 Advocacy Center, we just cut the ribbon on that at Fort Belvoir, Virginia, a multimillion-dollar 14 15 facility modeled after DOJ's facility. We would 16 invite the committee to come down and take a look 17 at that state-of-the-art facility, see our 18 training calendar that we've got which, 19 obviously, will be primarily litigation focused. 20 But there are any number of cases in 21 the military justice arena that are on the 22 schedule, that we're building right now. But my

1

2

3

4

5

direction for the Advocacy Center was 52 weeks of 1 2 the year, seven days a week, it will be open for training to maximize our throughput for all the 3 4 services -- not just for the Army, but for all of 5 the services, to increase our product that we put into the court room. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR SMITH: Thank you. Any other 8 questions? 9 MEMBER O'CONNOR: Madam Chair, I have 10 a question. 11 CHAIR SMITH: Yes? 12 MEMBER O'CONNOR: I wanted to follow 13 up with General Vernon, and ask you to describe a 14 little bit more of the training program you were talking about. Just in terms of how many people 15 16 went through it, did they self-select or you 17 selected them, how many hours, what kind of 18 topics were covered? I'm curious for getting 19 more of a flavor for that. 20 MAJ. GEN. VERNON: Yes. Thank you for 21 that question. So it was a -- the folks that 22 were selected for it already had litigation

experience, and some of them were already our SVU CTCs that were qualified. And then they were also the folks that we are targeting to become those, you know, STCs that will be in the office at FOC.

And so, for right now, they've been 6 7 selected for the IOC so they will start working 8 covered defenses under old authorities, but those 9 are the folks who were targeted. We also had 10 eight OSI Agents that came and participated as 11 students, which allowed them to then participate 12 in some of the things that they did during that 13 course, like witness interviews.

14 You know, we recognize the importance of that collaboration early between the 15 16 investigators and the prosecutors, and so one of 17 the models that we are working with right now, it 18 would have a integrated prosecution support team 19 that would be located at the local level. That 20 would include both, law enforcement and the 21 special trial counsel, as well as the local counsel who would be assisting the victim's 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

counsel, who we would be providing the victim's view to early on collaborate on that case. But most importantly, that investigator and that special trial counsel working together to develop the investigation of the case.

So to your second part of the 6 7 question, about what types of things that they 8 went over, it was things like, memory and 9 cognitive interviews, and the complexities of They did do some investigator training 10 that. 11 where they looked at forensic capabilities, and what OSI can do, as far as developing those 12 13 cases. They went into advanced evidence topics 14 as well as charging techniques, they did look at digital evidence and how that works in cases, and 15 16 brought in some experts on that. They did do a 17 workshop on victim impact statements, so a lot of 18 it was really focused on, kind of, that next 19 level of understanding the complexities of sexual assault cases beyond the litigation experience 20 21 that they may have already built.

22

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

MEMBER KRAMER: Madam Chair, it's A.J.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Kramer.

2	CHAIR SMITH: Is that Mr. Kramer?
3	MEMBER KRAMER: Yes, sorry.
4	CHAIR SMITH: Okay.
5	MEMBER KRAMER: I had two questions,
6	one a little simpler than the other. I
7	understood, obviously, that all the initial
8	selections for the heads of the offices and the
9	senior positions would be very experienced
10	people, I'm curious if you think the STC offices
11	will be able to be fully staffed by experienced
12	people? People with significant experience, or
13	whether some of them will have to be newer JAG
14	people.
15	And the second question is, given the
16	establishment of STCs, I wonder if there's been
17	any consideration that there might be an
18	imbalance in the defense function, and as to
19	whether there should be some similar changes made
20	to defense counsel in the JAG, to respond to the
21	STC offices? Thank you, and thank you very much
22	for your presentations.

So from the Navy 1 VADM CRANDALL: 2 perspective, we anticipate experienced counsel serving as special trial counsel. 3 However, within that OSTC enterprise, we would also expect 4 5 to have some more junior counsel who are not yet experienced, to assist those special trial 6 counsel -- investigative phases, whatever, you 7 8 know, work needs to be done, so that we can 9 continue to grow new special trial counsel in the 10 future. 11 So clearly they wouldn't be leading 12 the case but we do anticipate some counsel that would not be considered special trial counsel 13 14 being a part of that enterprise. With regard to imbalance, your 15 16 question talking about imbalance with the defense 17 function. You know, I think that's why it's 18 really important, as we move into this next 19 several months, and we determine who is going to 20 go into those special trial counsel billets by 21 next September. At the same time we'll be 22 determining who will go into defense billets, who

will be going into appellate billets, who will be 1 2 going into judge billets. Because we need to ensure that the system is a balanced system and 3 4 that the Secretary doesn't have any kind of extra weight tied to the prosecution. 5 So, on the Navy side, I would just say 6 7 our current setup is an independent one star who 8 reports directly to the Chief of Naval 9 Operations, who is Commander Naval Legal Services Command. And that flag officer is responsible 10 11 for all our current prosecution teams, our defense teams, and our victims' legal counsel 12 13 teams. 14 So there is this, kind of, independent 15 chain of command for defense counsel right now, 16 and so I think we probably need to wait and see 17 how things function as we stand up the OSTC. But 18 we are certainly aware of the need in the 19 statutory language about ensuring trained, 20 qualified, and resourced defense counsel who are 21 defending those accused of covered offenses as well. 22

1	MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: So our office of
2	special trial counsel will be staffed with
3	experienced individuals, they will be assisted by
4	more junior counsel from our general crimes trial
5	counsel shop, that'll come over and get the sets
6	and reps in order to one day compete to be
7	certified as a special trial counsel.
8	Regarding the question on imbalance,
9	a concern that the JAG and I, and our military
10	justice folks talk about all the time, is a fair
11	and just system that service members who
12	voluntarily subject themselves to UCMJ
13	jurisdiction believe in. And part of that
14	equation is on the defense side, adding
15	resources, and we plan to do that we plan to
16	add several senior litigators in there.
17	We're also going to add some
18	additional, what we call additional MOSs,
19	additional Military Occupational Specialties, so
20	we can identify our experienced, and senior
21	experienced litigators, so that in a snapshot in
22	addition to the Office of Special Trial Counsel

certified folks, we're able to see the defense
 and the VLC also have experienced folks. So
 that's our plan going forward.

LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Sir, thank you for the question. And similar to the Navy and Marine Corps, I suspect the Army, you know, the OSTC personnel, the flag personnel, will be experienced in military justice. But, just like everybody else, to grow the next generation there will be some folks that support that.

11 I can't tell you, kind of, the 12 excitement that we're seeing out on the field 13 when we travel to the bases, or we speak at our 14 JAG school or what not, as to the people that want to be part of this -- both, from our 15 16 Active-Duty component as well as our Reserve and 17 Guard component. So many of our Reserve and 18 Guard folks are in DOJ and want to come back home 19 and be part of this, so we're pretty confident and feel very good about the fact that we will 20 21 have those folks steeped there.

22

The imbalance question is a very

important question, and one we in fact talked 1 2 about yesterday in our office, and we're wondering if maybe we need to get that bug-3 4 checked. That being said, we want to ensure that 5 that all three legs of the stool are very strong, The prosecution, defense counsel, and 6 right? 7 special victims' counsel, we want to ensure 8 there's not an appearance or reality of some sort 9 of the imbalance. And so we intend to have similar safeguards and similar training to ensure 10 11 that all of the participants in the justice 12 system are well armed for their particular 13 missions. 14 MEMBER WALTON: Madam Chair, I had a question. 15 16 CHAIR SMITH: Oh, sure. 17 MEMBER WALTON: Having been a part of 18 the justice system now approaching 50 years, and 19 a judge almost 40 years, I've had the opportunity 20 to observe many trials and in some of those cases 21 unsuccessful prosecutions, or defense efforts. And, in my experience, many times it's lack of 22

resources but many times it's also lack of quality representation. And to a large degree, especially as it relates in the civilian world to prosecutors, it's the fact that retention becomes a significant problem.

So when lawyers in the justice system 6 7 gain a level of experience, because of the lure 8 of the money that they can make in private 9 practice, they leave. You have that from both 10 perspectives, as far as both, the defense and the 11 prosecution. Is that a problem, that you see 12 that you have quality people doing this work but 13 not enough of them, and do you have a retention 14 problem? And if you do, what could be done to address that? 15

16 VADM CRANDALL: Thank you for the 17 question. We are always watching retention 18 across the JAG Corps, we've got to keep our eye 19 on it -- especially when you have a strong 20 economy, there are more incentives. There's so 21 much that, kind of, goes into this question. 22 Even the change in the retirement system to allow

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

people to have affordable retirement at the 10-year point, whereas previously you had to wait for 20 years for your retirement to vest. So there's just a lot of big Navy issues that go 4 into this as well.

So one of the things that we have 6 7 within the Navy side of the house is we've got something called judge advocate continuation pay, 8 9 that came in three phases to encourage people to 10 stay longer. And, for many years, those three 11 phases, you could -- a judge advocate could apply 12 for up to \$60,000 which, when it was enacted back 13 in 2000, 2001, was pretty much equal to the 14 average student loan debt. Well, no changes in 20 years and a lot's changed with regard to the 15 16 cost of legal education.

17 So just recently, the Navy approved 18 for our community, an increase in judge advocate 19 continuation pay and now judge advocates can earn 20 up to \$110,000 per judge advocate -- again, in 21 three phases. They have to commit a little bit 22 longer, so probably a nine years versus seven

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

year commitment over those three phases, taking them to about, you know, the 14-year point where they're up for 05 or commander, to try and keep people in longer.

5 What is interesting about what the Navy approved in this latest change for us was, 6 7 an extra amount for anyone in the military 8 justice crew litigation track. So if you're a 9 member of the military justice crew litigation track, you qualify for an additional \$10,000 per 10 phase, for a total of up to \$140,000 to recognize 11 12 a substantial need we have to continue to retain 13 qualified litigators, as well as other qualified 14 judge advocates in our service.

So it is something we keep on top of, and we've got this new tool that the Navy has provided us that will hopefully continue to keep us on the right side of retention as we move forward.

I will also add -- I think of one my co-panelists here talked about the excitement, I think it was General Plummer -- in my

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

conversations, traveling into the -- meeting with 1 2 folks out on the fleet -- they are very excited to be a part of this new enterprise. 3 I've had 4 conversations with people who have got orders for 5 this summer to go to new roles, potentially as assistant trial counsels. For example, at the 04 6 7 level, maybe junior 05 level, into some of these 8 fleet concentration areas.

9 And I said, hey, we've got this OSTC 10 coming, we're going to stand up -- your orders may change, what do you think about that? 11 And 12 they said they're all on board. They are excited 13 to be a part of something new and make these 14 changes have real impact, and make this successful for the Navy. So I felt the exact 15 16 same, kind of, excitement when I'm out in the 17 fleet talking to folks about this. Thank you. 18 MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: Sir, this is one I 19 wish I'd gone before JAG, we --20 (Laughter.) 21 MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: We offer no money at 22 the time, sir, for -- at this current time, for

continuation. I did just speak with the 1 2 Commandant last week about the need to retain our talent, we are kind of bleeding at the 03 to 04 3 level, and it's a problem we can't recruit our 4 5 way out of so we need to hold onto the talent. So we're putting together a business case that 6 7 we'll present to the Commandant and eventually the Secretary -- hopefully get a few dollars to -8 9 - the money doesn't keep people, it sort of 10 allows people to stay that have the staggering 11 debt and that's part of our pitch. 12 I will tell you, on a positive note, 13 the lead special trial counsel being a brigadier 14 general, our most experienced litigators are very 15 marketable, very sought-after, and like anyone in 16 the military, you give them something to compete 17 for, they -- we've seen that folks kind of stick 18 around a little bit, to compete. So this is a 19 good thing, going forward I think our litigators 20 are going to want to be around for a while. 21 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Sir, so lack of 22 resources is something that we aren't concerned

about, in that our Secretary and our two service 1 2 chiefs are committed to ensuring that these are staffed and, you know, resourced too with the 3 4 level they need to be. 5 You mentioned lack of quality representation, one of the things that I would 6 7 offer is that, you know, we have a rather robust professional responsibility function in our JAG 8 9 Corps, as most do. And those are not the types of things that we're seeing on a regular basis, 10 11 if at all. 12 The draw of civilian practice is real, 13 and for many reasons, right? It depends on why 14 one joins, we know that fewer than one percent of Americans are serving, for many reasons. 15 We find 16 that generations change, many of us were military 17 with a family business, that's not necessarily 18 the case anymore. When we asked folks if their 19 parents served, or their grandparents served, we see fewer and fewer hands raised. 20 21 All of us have other pulls as well, 22 right? Whether our spouses employment is an

issue, children's schools, parents aging, all 1 2 sorts of things to draw one to perhaps leave us. If someone decides to leave us, we thank them for 3 4 their service and then try our best to put them 5 into our Reserve or Guard to ensure that we don't lose that kind of institutional knowledge, that 6 7 depth of experience, and then we try to insert 8 them someplace where they can add to the mission. 9 We don't believe we have a retention problem based on, you know, kind of, our numbers 10 and end strength, and the number of personnel 11 12 that we're permitted to have in our JAG Corps. 13 We are well above Air Force averages for career 14 field, so right now we believe we're healthy. 15 PARTICIPANT: Madam Chairwoman, it's 16 General --17 (Simultaneous speaking.) 18 MEMBER WALTON: Question -- although 19 this is beyond your purview and may be a little 20 sensitive -- although, as judges, we are umpires, 21 supposedly, and call the balls and strikes, as the chief justice said. But how we call those 22

balls and strikes does impact on the quality of justice, and we do impact significantly on, you know, the fairness of the process. And you can go in one court room and get a certain quality of justice, and go into another court room and get a very different quality of justice.

7 In that regard, do you think that the 8 process of selecting military judges is an issue, 9 or can be improved? And, is there anything that 10 can be done to improve the selection process or 11 the quality of judging of being provided by 12 military judges?

13 CHAIR SMITH: Before you respond, 14 let's go to the Army -- we didn't give them the 15 opportunity to respond to the last question --16 and then we'll go back to the judge's question --17 other question.

18 LTG RISCH: Thank you very much, Madam 19 Chairwoman. And I'll be fairly brief but, to 20 wrap up answers, I concur with my Service 21 counterparts that there is excitement in the 22 force for a number of reasons. First and

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

foremost, we went to the Secretary indicating to her that we just didn't want to ask our personnel to have to do more with less, as they've had to do over the past number of years, with other changes that have been made -- not just in military justice.

7 And so our growth was essentially 8 about 2.9 percent, 145 personnel growth in the 9 next couple years, one-third of that will be in 10 TDS on the defense counsel side -- in answer to 11 the question that we are trying to keep the 12 scales of justice balanced, in terms of that.

We've also -- and the excitement 13 14 really is not just in the help that's coming but in the increased roles. I know of at least three 15 16 individuals that have pulled their resignations 17 once they found out about the new structure, 18 because they realized that they could in fact 19 litigate past the captain level. So we're going 20 to have litigators at the major level and the 21 lieutenant colonel, 04 and 05, which will increase the level of experience in the courtroom 22

both, on the government side and defense side - and that has caused people to want to stay around
 a little bit longer.

In our recruiting arena, we're going 4 5 after young professionals with justice and litigation experience, not just looking at law 6 7 schools but actively targeting folks out in the 8 civilian sector with the opportunities to 9 litigate at these increased levels as well, to --10 as did the Secretary, we recognize that, with this growth, there will be a time frame in which 11 12 we have to recruit.

13 So we're going to accept some risk in 14 our other five core legal disciplines, national security law, contract and fiscal law, 15 administrative and civil law. But I don't want 16 17 the scales to tip too much, with all of our 18 experience going onto the military justice side. 19 So our career model and the levers that we pull, 20 as The Judge Advocates General allow us to ensure 21 that there is that quality on both sides of the 22 bar, in the military judges as well, but in our

other core legal disciplines. And I'll leave it at that. Thank you.

With regard to your 3 VADM CRANDALL: 4 question about judges, sir. Within the 5 Department of the Navy, we have a competitive selection process for those who wish to apply to 6 7 be judges, whether at the trial level or the 8 appellate level. And so annually, there's a 9 board held, its led by our chief judge of the Navy and other senior judges in uniform, across 10 the Department who receive applications from Navy 11 12 and Marine Corps judge advocates who wish to 13 serve as judges. And so I think that's been a 14 good process that we've used in the Department 15 for a number of years now.

With regard to their training, their initial training is actually a joint training environment with the judges of all the services, hosted by the Army at their judge advocate school in Charlottesville. It's a three week course, you know, it gets good reviews, I should say to my Army JAG colleague. And that's just their

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

initial training to certify them to sit as 1 2 judges, and then there's significant training for both, trial and appellate level judges --3 obviously different focuses throughout their time 4 as sitting judges. So I think we're in good 5 shape from that perspective. General Bligh? 6 7 MEMBER WALTON: Yeah. I think the 8 training program -- I've taught in that program, 9 I think three years now, so it's a great program in Charlottesville. 10 11 VADM CRANDALL: Thank you 12 MAJ. GEN. BLIGH: So I've had the 13 privilege of sitting on the selection board, the 14 military judge selection boards, for several 15 It's a very competitive process, not years. 16 everyone who applies is screened, and then those 17 who are screened, many are not ever slated. It's 18 really competitive to get a military judge slot, 19 these are our foremost Mil-Just focused 20 individuals. Out at our circuits, we have 06s, 21 senior multi-tour judges out there leading and 22 mentoring, so they have the supervision in place

as they develop as military judges moving forward.

3	LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Sir, and along the
4	same lines, you know, these are highly, you know,
5	coveted positions and, you know, they're
6	volunteers and they have to, kind of, have a
7	desire to do that. And so, when they apply, we
8	have our chief judge is an 06, and he
9	certainly kind of scours the talent across the
10	Air Force, right? From the courtrooms to
11	convening authorities, to wherever there might be
12	someone with the interest in that.
13	And we have that peer review amongst,
13 14	And we have that peer review amongst, kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the
14	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the
14 15	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the judge looking for, kind of, that judicial
14 15 16	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the judge looking for, kind of, that judicial temperament you're talking about, and ensuring
14 15 16 17	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the judge looking for, kind of, that judicial temperament you're talking about, and ensuring that they are the right folks for the bench.
14 15 16 17 18	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the judge looking for, kind of, that judicial temperament you're talking about, and ensuring that they are the right folks for the bench. Under our contract with the 06 chief judge, we
14 15 16 17 18 19	kind of, the folks that apply that want to be the judge looking for, kind of, that judicial temperament you're talking about, and ensuring that they are the right folks for the bench. Under our contract with the 06 chief judge, we have the regional judges that will become

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

1

kind	of,	grow.
------	-----	-------

2	As our colleagues have mentioned, we
3	all benefit from the Army JAG School, of course,
4	for both, our trial and appellant judges. And
5	then that training brings everybody together, and
6	the bench, really, between all of our services is
7	very close. And they share lessons learned, they
8	share it and so, again, it's an area where we
9	feel pretty strong, but certainly, always open to
10	ideas for evolution and making that better.
11	LTG RISCH: And I'll simply echo, you
12	know, my counterparts, we have the same process,
13	it's a very rigorous process. Our assignment
14	folks, our chief trial judge plays a role in
15	identifying potential candidates for it, and then
16	our general officers sit on a board and I make
17	the final decision on who sits in the judiciary.
18	I'll leave the comments that have
19	already been made about the course, we're very
20	proud of that course, it's actually in session
21	right now. Our judges will graduate and
22	actually I'd simply say, I put our training of

our military judges up against any training that's done on the civilian side, to prepare them for it. And I think the proof is in the pudding 4 in terms of the appellate decisions, no complaints against judges that are sitting right now, they do an extremely competent job. Thank you.

8 One other question, MEMBER WALTON: 9 which is a problem that I think even plagues us in the civilian world. Do you have sufficient 10 diversity within your ranks, and if not, what can 11 12 be done to try and address that? When I say 13 diversity, racial diversity, gender diversity, 14 etcetera.

I'll go ahead and jump 15 VADM CRANDALL: 16 in, but we could be here for a long time talking I think we could always do 17 about this issue. 18 better with diversity. So one of the things that 19 Chief of Naval Operations does is he has these 20 Navy leader development forum meetings with each 21 community manager, there's 17, now 18, community 22 leads for various communities within the Navy.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

5

6

1	I'm one of those leads as the JAG for
2	the Navy, and we talk about these issues and I
3	think it goes back to it's a retention issue
4	that you raised earlier, but that even goes back
5	further to the recruiting issue. And so in this
6	last briefing I did, there was some amount of
7	trumpeting, because if you look at the Navy JAG
8	Corps numbers, they are better with regard to a
9	diversity of women in particular compared to
10	other communities in the Navy.
11	But what we pointed out, and has to
12	be, you know, put on the table is, over half of
13	the law students today are women and yet we just
14	hit 40 percent women in our Navy JAG Corps. So,
15	yes, our number is higher than the average
16	Navy officers is 20 percent women but we
17	shouldn't necessarily be celebrating something if
18	there's it goes back to the point, I think Ms.
19	Long made about, we have to look at statistics in
20	a lot of different ways and get to the why.
21	So I do think there's work we need to
22	do to bring in an even more diverse group of

judge advocates into our community, and so 1 2 recruiting is absolutely pivotal. While we might have 40 percent women across the Navy Jag Corps 3 at large, at the 06 level, captains, colonel 4 equivalent, we're probably at about 26, 27 5 percent. So what's the why behind that and how 6 7 do we have better representation at all levels, 8 not only women but members of different 9 ethnicities and different races. And so I do 10 think it's something we have to continually be 11 talking about and addressing, as to how we get 12 after that. 13 I will be honest with you, I do think that COVID has been difficult when it comes to

14 15 recruiting, because we've had to do so many 16 things virtually, it hasn't allowed us the 17 ability to get people out to law schools to talk 18 about how they have raised families in the Navy, 19 how they've addressed some of these issues. 20 And so I think we need to take better advantage as we come out of the COVID 21 environment, but it's an issue that we have to

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	
1	continually be looking at and really looking at
2	the, how do we continue to offer those
3	opportunities to everyone across our JAG Corps so
4	they can grow and become the JAG someday.
5	CHAIR SMITH: So, very quickly, you
6	didn't give any numbers on Blacks, Hispanics,
7	Asians I don't know if you have those numbers,
8	but certainly I think we would want to hear those
9	numbers. Once we wrap up with the judge's
10	question we're supposed to be wrapping up
11	altogether but I promised Ms. Tokash that she
12	would have the opportunity to ask her question
13	and then you'll be free. Thank you.
14	VADM CRANDALL: So I will just add, at
15	that last briefing our numbers for different
16	races and ethnicities were basically similar to
17	the legal profession writ large in the country.
18	So, again, the, kind of, the the first
19	feedback was, oh, that's pretty good, and I said,
20	well, I don't know that that's pretty good. We
21	shouldn't be satisfied with that, we should be
22	making ourselves the place where people want to

come and our numbers should be better than that. 1 2 So I want to think the six to eight percent -- I can't remember, I'll have to get you 3 those exact numbers, I don't want to make them 4 But, clearly I think that's an area we need 5 up. to do better in as well. 6 7 MAJGEN BLIGH: Sir, much like the 8 Marine Corps writ large, our legal community is 9 not diverse. We are working with our recruiting 10 command to target recruiting, in the past we have taken whoever comes to our officer recruiters. 11 12 With our talent managers working with recruiting 13 command making affirmative steps to go to schools 14 that may have a more diverse population than what 15 we look like right now. 16 LT. GEN. PLUMMER: Sir, ma'am. So 17 this is something that we've been committed to 18 since early 2000s, we -- our recruiting mission 19 is to go to every ABA accredited law school, as 20 well as all of the various affinity bar groups, 21 and we've been doing that for over 20 years. You know, we have had a couple of historic firsts in 22

our Air Force and Space Force, JAG Corps, right? 1 2 So you're sitting -- Major General Vernon is the first Deputy Judge Advocate General, two-star 3 4 female in our history. We have Brigadier General 5 Gail Crawford, a African-American female who will replace General Vernon as our justice director 6 7 leader there. But I also will tell you that it's a 8 9 fierce competition for talent everywhere across America for the legal profession. I too will 10 11 come back to you with the exact stats, as our 12 numbers are better against other career fields in 13 the Air Force, as well as a little better than 14 some of the civilian practice. But, as always, sir and ma'am, we will continue to do better and 15 16 do more. Over. 17 LTG RISCH: Madam Chairwoman, our

18 numbers -- I won't take up additional time -- our 19 numbers and our challenge is certainly near those 20 of our sister Services as well, but I would 21 relish the opportunity to talk about the 22 significant diversity, equity, inclusion, and

accessibility efforts that, not only the Army but our JAG Corps in particular, have put forward in the recruiting arena and the retention arena.

But to tell you, just as an example of 4 5 how difficult it is, significant recruiting efforts resulted in significant diversity in a 6 recent forward selection process and then by the 7 time our basic corps showed up in 8 9 Charlottesville, we had lost all of that additional -- those diverse numbers. 10 When I 11 asked why, we went back and replayed the tape and 12 found out that essentially other law firms and 13 other federal government agencies have the same 14 programs in place, and had kind of stayed in touch with those individuals more and got them to 15 16 go to those other federal agencies or others. 17 So, we took our eye off the ball 18 momentarily, it's back on it, you can rest 19 assured. And that we'll continue to focus so

that our numbers go in the right direction. I
would be very interested in showing you our
numbers at the senior leader level as well

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

because I think, as we all know, that has a 1 2 significant impact. When you look up and you see that your leadership mirrors what you'd like to 3 see, it bodes well for the future of our JAG 4 5 Corps. Thank you. Meghan Tokash -- thank 6 MEMBER TOKASH: you all for your generous time and your expertise 7 8 that you bring here, and for your testimony 9 today, we very much appreciate it. I have a 10 brief contextual comment and a question for the 11 General Counsel who are appearing today as the Secretary's designees, and if you need to get 12 13 back to us or come back at the September meeting 14 to answer this question, that would be fine -- a fine answer as well. 15 16 So I've been hearing this comment of 17 civilian led, military executed, in terms of the 18 Office of the Special Trial Counsel. Including 19 in our letter, located at Tab 5, which must have 20 been edited by someone because the civilian led, 21 military executed language did not come from our

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Chair or from me, who was the original drafter of

1 the letter.

2	My concern is what the congressional
3	intent is with respect to stand up of these
4	offices, and I think the congressional intent is
5	that this be civilian led and civilian executed,
6	and also the work force a military workforce.
7	And, obviously, there's our partnership there.
8	The word Secretary and, absent of the use
9	Secretary of Defense, is noted in section 531 and
10	532 seven times. So the congressional intent
11	envisions that the Service Secretaries will have
12	a major role in the rollout and implementation of
13	this office.
14	My question, in this context, is, who
15	in the Secretary's office will be the primary
16	point of contact for with the lead special
17	trial counsel in the service? And do you
18	envision that the Secretary's offices will need
19	to be plussed up so that the Secretary's offices
20	can oversee and execute this program
21	appropriately, including the rollout of general
22	prosecutorial philosophies, giving guidance for

the relationship between OSTC and commanders, and OSTC and the staff judge advocates, and screening and charging decisions? Thank you.

MR. COFFEY: Well thank you for the 4 5 question. I quess I'll start on behalf of the Department of the Navy by addressing the part of 6 your question about setting up the OSTC. 7 And Secretary Del Toro has taken that on with vigor. 8 9 He set up the IAP, the Implementation Advisory Panel, and directed who would be on it, and his 10 General Counsel, I am on it. 11

12 And so we are an -- it's a 13 recommendation panel, it's an advisory panel --14 so we are chewing through the 73 recommendations, as I mentioned before, that came out of the NDAA 15 16 and the IRC, that apply to the Navy that required 17 Navy action. And we are reporting back to him on 18 a regular basis what we're up to, and including 19 the OSTC. I mean, there was a presentation made 20 to him, his fingerprints are on that. He has, I 21 think it's suffice to say -- I don't want to get 22 in because it's pre-decisional -- but there were

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

several alternatives presented to him and we are 1 2 proceeding with the one he picked. With regard to once it's set up, who 3 4 within the Secretariat will be leading this, or 5 to whom will the OSTC report -- and by the way, the law does say that the lead trial counsel will 6 7 be a military officer, so I mean, that's in the statute as well. 8 9 It's unclear who the Secretariat will 10 set up, I suspect that, as we get closer to it, 11 that, at least out of the box, it's going to be 12 the Secretary himself who hears from key 13 stakeholders in the Department on a regular 14 basis. And I suspect that he will take very seriously having the lead STC report to him 15 16 directly, I suspect I will be in the room, but I 17 think that that is how he will proceed. 18 As far as additional staffing, too 19 early to say -- and I'll just leave it there, Thank you. 20 it's too early to say. 21 MR. BESHAR: I appreciate the 22 question, Ms. Tokash. It's a serious one and I

suspect that the hard answer is that, it's
 something that has to get figured out over the
 ensuing 18 months.

But a couple of preliminary 4 5 observations -- first, what's the role of the Secretary? First, I think it's important to 6 visibly support the OSTC, this is not a simple 7 8 role, there'll be a lot of stakeholders who will 9 be evaluating this individual's performance and the performance of the OSTC. So I think it's 10 11 quite important that the Secretary really, 12 visibly support this individual both, within the 13 Department and also as they perhaps testify, or appear, in other forums, like a forum like this. 14

15 Making sure that the OSTC really has 16 adequate resources, absolutely crucial -- General 17 Plummer and General Vernon had spoken about the 18 position that our leadership team has really 19 staked out that this will be adequately staffed, 20 making sure that there's no interference. You 21 know, you've emphasized that, I've emphasized it, all of us have -- the critical importance of 22

protecting the independence of the prosecutorial
 decision-making staff.

So I think those are the big picture 3 4 priorities for the Secretary and then the way 5 that three of us, together with many others --SAPRO leaders and other folks who are going to 6 7 collectively contribute to this very substantial 8 undertaking, we will work that through in the 9 months ahead. 10 MS. RICCI: Madam Secretary, in the 11 Army, the IRC recommendations, and the implementation, have been assigned to the 12 13 Undersecretary to work diligently. And we have 14 had regular meetings on the implementation which 15 includes the stand-up of the Office of the 16 Special Trial Counsel.

General Risch and I have briefed the Secretary together on a regular basis, the Army is working on its rules that will govern the Office of Special Trial Counsel. They are not finalized yet so I don't have a clear answer specifically as to who the lead special trial

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

counsel will be having these discussions with. 1 2 But I do want to note, and this is my personal view of it, it's important that the lead 3 special trial counsel have access to all of the 4 resources that the Army has available, and to 5 recognize that residents in the Office of the 6 7 Judge Advocate General and in the Army JAG School are many, many experts. You heard about the 8 9 military judge's course, there are many other courses and resident experts, and we will not 10 11 deny the lead special trial counsel access to 12 those resources as well. So I think there is more for us to do 13 14 as we work out the rules, but there is going to be a great deal of expertise available to support 15 16 the Office and it's not going to be limited to 17 just one person or just one office. I hope that 18 makes sense. 19 MEMBER TOKASH: It does, thank you. 20 CHAIR SMITH: All right. Thank you

very much for your patience, I know we went over
time but it just goes to show you, this is an

1 important issue and we have lots of questions 2 related to it. So, as I mentioned at the outset, I hope we can continue to have this dialogue over 3 4 the next few months as you develop your offices. 5 So thank you again. At this point --(Simultaneous speaking.) 6 MEMBER SCHWENK: Thank you, General 7 Risch and thanks Kerry. 8 9 CHAIR SMITH: At this point, we're going to break for lunch until 12:30. 10 11 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 12 went off the record at 11:43 a.m. and resumed at 13 12:34 p.m.) 14 COL. BOVARNICK: Okay, welcome back, everyone. Madam Chair, if you're okay, I can 15 16 just give a little preview of what we're going to 17 cover this afternoon. I'll give a little 18 summary, okay. 19 So, the intent for this strategic 20 planning session, along with my colleagues up 21 here, we're going to kind of guide the committee through, so I'll just kind of go through what 22

[	
1	we're going to do and then I'll jump back to it.
2	So, we'd like to start with any
3	comments or discussion on the briefings and
4	testimony you've received. Next, we'll go into
5	voting on the SVC/VLC report after if there's any
6	further deliberations or discussion, then we'll
7	talk about the appellate report, excuse me, the
8	appellate project.
9	Then, we'll talk about the
10	subcommittees and assigning projects to the
11	subcommittees. That will include a discussion of
12	the write-in recommendations from the committee
13	members when we solicited your input on proposed
14	studies, the scope of the projects, a brief
15	discussion of the March 2023 annual report,
16	discussion on the presenters, and focus of the
17	next meeting.
18	I'll just comment now that after
19	discussions with the Chair and the DFO, it looks
20	like the next meeting, which was previously set
21	for 13 and 14 September, I think we can do in one
22	day, a one-day meeting.

1	Ms. Carson here will discuss, you
2	know, potential panels such as military
3	investigative organizations, victim rights, but
4	we'll leave that to then, but a one-day meeting
5	likely here at the same location, and then the
6	day prior would be a one-hour call-in or Zoom
7	administrative session so that when we start on
8	Tuesday, we'd go right into the public meeting.
9	And then time permitting, Ms.
10	Gallagher will talk about court-martial
11	observations and then we'll wrap up for the day.
12	Actually, we'll have public comment.
13	So, we have two hours allotted for
14	this, so we'll try to move through it in an
15	orderly fashion, but efficiently. So, starting
16	out, we're going to open it up to the committee.
17	I'd like to just make a couple of comments.
18	So, the discussions about any follow
19	up that you want for the staff and the civilian
20	prosecutors discussion yesterday, Colonel
21	Hernandez today, and, of course, the recent panel
22	that we just had with the General Counsel and

Judge Advocate General and SJA.

1

_	
2	I'd just note that the staff is going
3	to track, as Colonel Hernandez mentioned, the
4	Federal Register notice, which will give 60 days'
5	notice. The staff will take that on every day
6	looking.
7	Of course, we'll know when that notice
8	appears, we'll have 60 days, and then the
9	committee will determine who wants to attend that
10	public session.
11	Certainly staff members are going to
12	be there, but committee members also, and also
13	providing any public comment that the committee
14	wants to provide to that. So, we will track
15	that.
16	MEMBER SCHWENK: This is Jim Schwenk.
17	I think we should task the DFO with notifying you
18	when that happens. That way, you don't have to
19	spend time looking at Federal Registers day after
20	day.
21	COL. BOVARNICK: Noted. I'll note that
22	some members may not have heard it as it came

quick, but there was an invitation from 1 Lieutenant General Risch, the SJA, excuse me, the 2 TJAG for the Army, to visit the Army's Advocacy 3 Center which is located at Fort Belvoir, where 4 they're going to be doing a lot of the training 5 for the special trial counsel. 6 7 And so, my recommendation would be 8 that a subcommittee, for example, the Office of 9 Special Trial Counsel, you know, and that team as tasked, that the subcommittee visit, and if any 10 11 other members. 12 What we want to avoid is kind of 13 having a quorum to go down, so we could do it in 14 groups, but that was a great invitation and I think a great opportunity for committee members 15 16 who want to observe some training. 17 And then finally, I took note of the 18 request for diversity statistics from the 19 services, so we'll handle that, do an official 20 RFI request for information and certainly report 21 that back to the committee at the September 22 meeting, in other words, gather up that

information that was requested.

2	But with that, I'll turn it over to
3	the committee chair for any discussions or follow
4	up that you may have, or discussions on any of
5	the presenters that have come, and then after
6	that, we'll move into the SVC report, but I want
7	to leave it now to the committee, any follow up
8	you may have for the staff or requests on the
9	presenters you already heard.
10	MEMBER LONG: This is Jennifer Long.
11	MEMBER SMITH: Ms. Long?
12	MEMBER LONG: Hi, I have a request,
13	and I apologize that I don't recall if it was the
14	Army or the Air Force panelist who talked about
15	that, I think it was a competency sheet or a
16	capacity sheet that they have to select their
17	head of their office, the OST lead.
18	I think they had some sort of,
19	competency is what I wrote down, qualification
20	sheet, but I didn't write down who it was. Does
21	that ring a bell?
22	MEMBER O'CONNOR: I think they all are

developing something like that if they don't have 1 2 it already, but it would be good, if this is where you're going, it would be good to get it. 3 MEMBER LONG: Yes, someone has it. 4 5 Someone developed it and said they would turn it over or provide us with it, and I don't remember 6 who already had it. 7 8 COL. BOVARNICK: Noted, ma'am. We 9 will definitely request that. We'll follow up on 10 that. 11 This is Marcia MEMBER ANDERSON: 12 I didn't get a chance to ask this Anderson. 13 question, but maybe it can be presented to the 14 panelists in an RFI. But although I noted that several 15 16 mentioned that there was a lot of enthusiasm for 17 people to get these assignments and to get the 18 additional certification, at least in the Army 19 during the promotion board process, there were 20 memos provided to the promotion board members 21 that didn't necessarily identify preferred assignments, but certainly identified things like 22

1	command time. That's extremely important for
2	senior level promotion opportunities.
3	So, I don't know if that kind of
4	effort is going to be undertaken in any of the
5	services to not only have people want to take
6	these assignments, but indicate to them that
7	their future promotion potential could be
8	enhanced by taking these assignments, because
9	without that, you may find all that initial
10	enthusiasm may wane.
11	So, that's just I don't know if
12	that can be provided in the form of an RFI or how
13	they're going to be presenting this to their
14	advocates, but whether it would be career
15	enhancing to actually complete one of these
16	assignments.
17	MEMBER WALTON: This is Reggie Walton.
18	I oh, sorry.
19	MEMBER SCHWENK: Let me just follow up
20	on that, please. Marcia, are you talking like
21	precept language? Because I know the Navy, when
22	they started their military justice track 15

(202) 234-4433

years ago, they actually did exactly that. 1 2 They put precept language in about how important it was for the Navy to have these 3 4 people in the military justice career track, and 5 that was an effort to signal to board members, 6 all things being equal, give them extra weight if 7 they're in that track, so I think we're looking 8 at precept language. 9 MEMBER ANDERSON: Yeah, something 10 similar to that, yes, yeah. 11 MEMBER WALTON: I had a question 12 regarding the last panel. What's the status of 13 the Coast Guard? They're not subject to the 14 obligation? 15 COL. BOVARNICK: Correct, yes, sir. 16 Mr. Sullivan, any additional comment on that with 17 the Coast Guard? 18 MR. SULLIVAN: So, the Office of 19 Special Trial Counsel concept is in 10 USC 20 1044(f), which is not part of the UCMJ, so that 21 part of Title 10 applies only to DoD. In fact, almost all of Title 10 applies only to DoD except 22

1

for the Uniform Code.

2	Now, there is a provision in the
3	Uniform Code. It's 10 USC 824(a) and that sets
4	up the special trial counsel. That does apply to
5	the Coast Guard. So, they will be subject to
6	that same 11 covered offenses falling under the
7	authority of the special trial counsel.
8	They are not subject to the authority
9	of the Office of Special Trial Counsel with the
10	general or flag officer head reporting directly
11	to the Secretary of the Military Department with
12	no intervening authority. The Coast Guard is not
13	bound by that language.
14	MEMBER GRIMM: This is Paul Grimm. I
15	have a question, Dwight, to what you just said.
16	Since we've heard from the Coast Guard in other
17	aspects of what our mission has been in the past
18	years, will it be possible to get some further
19	information from the Coast Guard as to what their
20	plans are?
21	Even if they're not directly affected
22	by the Title 10 requirement, they are under the

courts-martial manual, and I'd like to at least 1 2 have some idea, and perhaps some of my colleagues would as well, that the Coast Guard's moving in 3 somewhat sync with the other Department's since 4 they can be called into --5 I mean, I understand that they're 6 Homeland Security and they're not Department of 7 Defense, but you wouldn't necessarily know it 8 9 when you're watching joint operations going out 10 there. 11 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we can 12 certainly do that. The Coast Guard 13 representative was here this morning. I see he's 14 absconded, perhaps anticipating your question. So, I think it's been mentioned that 15 16 DoD is providing briefings to the House and Senate Armed Services Committees on these issues. 17 Similarly, the Coast Guard has already provided 18 19 briefings to its House and Senate oversight 20 committees, and I was able to tag along and be a 21 back bencher on one of those. 22 So, they certainly have some products

already developed that talk about their 1 2 implementation concept for things like Article 24(a) that do apply to them, so that is certainly 3 4 something that the Coast Guard could easily 5 respond to if the committee were to send them an RFI. 6 7 MEMBER SCHWENK: I think we should 8 demand that their Deputy Judge Advocate General 9 present himself personally in front of us. 10 (Laughter.) 11 CHAIR SMITH: Mr. Coffey mentioned 12 that the Secretary of the Navy issued guidance on creation of the OSTC, and I think he indicated he 13 would be willing to share that with the 14 committee. Would it be possible to get that? 15 16 And then also, if a similar sort of 17 quidance was issued by the Secretaries of the 18 other branches, if we could try to get our hands 19 on that as well, that would be great. 20 COL. BOVARNICK: Noted. Any other 21 members have any other follow up for any of the presentations that we've had over the last couple 22

2	CHAIR SMITH: Would folks be
3	interested in maybe having them return as we get
4	closer to I guess they said the rollout isn't
5	until December of 2023, but perhaps, you know,
6	six months, maybe at our December meeting? Is
7	that too far out?
8	MEMBER SCHWENK: That's good. Maybe
9	just an update.
10	CHAIR SMITH: Yeah.
11	MEMBER SCHWENK: They'll still be
12	waiting for the JSC to get the Manual for Courts-
13	Martial changes over to the White House. You're
14	welcome, Dwight.
15	(Laughter.)
16	COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, ma'am. So,
17	we'll plan requesting a comeback meeting in
18	December, which again, I mentioned September
19	would likely be a one-day meeting, but December
20	with two days, so that will be we'll
21	definitely put in that request. Anything else on
22	this topic? Nothing heard.

1So, the next thing we'll cover is, so2based on the deliberation yesterday and at the3direction of the Chair, unless there's any other4discussion well, I guess I'll open it up. Any5other comments on the SVC/VLC report?6I can provide a quick summary of what7I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move8to a vote or if we need further discussion.9So, in recommendation one, as we all10know, there was a full discussion on the report's11recommendation for 18 months and then numerous12points were raised for 24 months, each with an13exception for exigent circumstances, whether they14be personal reasons or operational necessity.15Members raised points that	
direction of the Chair, unless there's any other discussion well, I guess I'll open it up. Any other comments on the SVC/VLC report? I can provide a quick summary of what I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move to a vote or if we need further discussion. So, in recommendation one, as we all know, there was a full discussion on the report's recommendation for 18 months and then numerous points were raised for 24 months, each with an exception for exigent circumstances, whether they be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
<ul> <li>discussion well, I guess I'll open it up. Any</li> <li>other comments on the SVC/VLC report?</li> <li>I can provide a quick summary of what</li> <li>I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move</li> <li>to a vote or if we need further discussion.</li> <li>So, in recommendation one, as we all</li> <li>know, there was a full discussion on the report's</li> <li>recommendation for 18 months and then numerous</li> <li>points were raised for 24 months, each with an</li> <li>exception for exigent circumstances, whether they</li> <li>be personal reasons or operational necessity.</li> </ul>	
5other comments on the SVC/VLC report?6I can provide a quick summary of what7I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move8to a vote or if we need further discussion.9So, in recommendation one, as we all10know, there was a full discussion on the report's11recommendation for 18 months and then numerous12points were raised for 24 months, each with an13exception for exigent circumstances, whether they14be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
<ul> <li>I can provide a quick summary of what</li> <li>I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move</li> <li>to a vote or if we need further discussion.</li> <li>So, in recommendation one, as we all</li> <li>know, there was a full discussion on the report's</li> <li>recommendation for 18 months and then numerous</li> <li>points were raised for 24 months, each with an</li> <li>exception for exigent circumstances, whether they</li> <li>be personal reasons or operational necessity.</li> </ul>	
7 I heard yesterday and then see if we want to move 8 to a vote or if we need further discussion. 9 So, in recommendation one, as we all 10 know, there was a full discussion on the report's 11 recommendation for 18 months and then numerous 12 points were raised for 24 months, each with an 13 exception for exigent circumstances, whether they 14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
<ul> <li>to a vote or if we need further discussion.</li> <li>So, in recommendation one, as we all</li> <li>know, there was a full discussion on the report's</li> <li>recommendation for 18 months and then numerous</li> <li>points were raised for 24 months, each with an</li> <li>exception for exigent circumstances, whether they</li> <li>be personal reasons or operational necessity.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>So, in recommendation one, as we all</li> <li>know, there was a full discussion on the report's</li> <li>recommendation for 18 months and then numerous</li> <li>points were raised for 24 months, each with an</li> <li>exception for exigent circumstances, whether they</li> <li>be personal reasons or operational necessity.</li> </ul>	
10 know, there was a full discussion on the report's 11 recommendation for 18 months and then numerous 12 points were raised for 24 months, each with an 13 exception for exigent circumstances, whether they 14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
11 recommendation for 18 months and then numerous 12 points were raised for 24 months, each with an 13 exception for exigent circumstances, whether they 14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
12 points were raised for 24 months, each with an 13 exception for exigent circumstances, whether they 14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
<pre>13 exception for exigent circumstances, whether they 14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.</pre>	
14 be personal reasons or operational necessity.	
15 Members raised points that	
16 recommendations one and two, which had to do with	
17 the stovepipe rating chain for the Army, be	
18 considered together. For example, with an	
19 independent rating chain, there would be no	
20 stigma for a counsel to ask for a cut in the tour	
21 lengths for reasons such as a burnout.	
22 A point was raised that for a first	

1	tour judge advocate, a tour length is normally
2	three years at a duty station, and so allowing
3	for two 18-month assignments is a general
4	practice, but for more senior judge advocates
5	that do a permanent change of station or PCS to a
6	new duty station, it's generally a two-year
7	assignment, a two-year PCS, so the tour length
8	for that SVC or VLC would essentially be for the
9	entire two years.
10	Also, there was a recommendation it
11	is a recommendation, as we all know, for a
12	minimum, and any longer assignment would be to
13	the discretion of the services.
14	A recommendation for 18 months would
15	not preclude a 24-month tour, and a
16	recommendation for 24 months, even with the
17	exception to cut it short, may not necessarily
18	account for the reality that first tour judge
19	advocates may have to fill these billets with
20	competing demands to fill trial counsel and
21	defense counsel billets.
22	So, that was kind of the general

1	summary of recommendation wants. I think when we
2	call for a vote, it would either be for 18 months
3	or 24 months.
4	I think recommendation two, we really
5	didn't hear, at least I didn't hear any
6	opposition to the report's recommendation that
7	the Army do an independent rating train, rating
8	chain structure for SVCs to match the other
9	services, so this rating chain would be outside
10	the local SJA office.
11	And then finally, what I heard for
12	recommendations three through ten, whether we
13	call them recommendations or best practices, the
14	bottom line would be to delete those from the
15	report so that the report would go forward with
16	recommendations one and two, which is essentially
17	what we were tasked.
18	When we think back to the original
19	letter, Representative Speier and Senator
20	Gillibrand really asked for those two things.
21	The staff did do a little extra putting these
22	other recommendations, but those could be held

until later and deleted from this report, and then further study if the committee decides to take on that study. So, that third thing I would ask for is essentially delete those from this particular report.

6 So, with that said, that was kind of 7 my summary. Does anyone have any comments before 8 we call for a vote on the issues of 18 months or 9 24 for the first one, accepting two as written, 10 and then deleting these other best practices 11 three through ten and hold those for a future 12 study?

13 MEMBER SCHWENK: Yeah, this is Jim 14 Schwenk. I think Judge Walton had a compromise position on the 18 versus 24, which was the last 15 16 thing we discussed, and it was that the 17 recommendation read something along the lines of, 18 for first tour, you know, service members who are 19 VLCs, SVCs, VCs, a minimum of 18 months with the 20 exceptions, and for second and subsequent tours, 21 24 months with the exceptions, so, and I think I 22 indicated that I thought that was really

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

brilliant.

1

2	COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, sir.
3	MEMBER BASHFORD: Martha Bashford.
4	COL. BOVARNICK: Ms. Bashford?
5	MEMBER BASHFORD: Yeah, with the
6	exceptions, my impression was that if there was
7	some, you know, critical medical or family
8	emergency, not just I'm feeling burnt out and I
9	don't want to do this anymore, is there any other
10	place in the services where somebody can simply
11	cut a tour short because they're feeling burnt
12	out?
13	I would think not, but we seem to be
14	saying, well, we can deal with burnout because
15	they won't have to do it anymore. Is that
16	accurate?
17	COL. BOVARNICK: Yeah, I would agree,
18	ma'am, that, yeah, I think the way that it's
19	written now, it would really be for operational
20	necessity. I wouldn't recommend something going
21	in there if the counsel requests it because of
22	burnout as far as any recommendation.

Ĩ	
1	Whether that actually happens in
2	practice, I would agree that that's pretty
3	uncommon, and I think even if you had an
4	independent rating chain, in my opinion and
5	experience in the military, that wouldn't
6	necessarily help to get someone promoted.
7	But in any event, right, so I probably
8	mischaracterized it. I think the recommendation
9	would be with exceptions for operational
10	necessity.
11	MEMBER SCHWENK: Or personal like
12	Martha said, you know, a family problem or, you
13	know, something where
14	COL. BOVARNICK: Right.
15	MEMBER SCHWENK: which happens all
16	the time, somebody has a problem somewhere and
17	they transfer them to take care of the problem,
18	so.
19	COL. BOVARNICK: Right, and that's
20	regardless of what the particular assignment is.
21	MEMBER SCHWENK: Yeah, like I got
22	cancer when I was in Okinawa and I served a short

tour and I was out of there, you know, and back 1 2 to get care, so a personal problem. 3 MEMBER WALTON: I mean, the 4 recommendation I made was to some degree, I 5 guess, political to the extent that it would appease those two congressional members in 6 7 reference to the 24 months, but at the same time 8 would take into account the concerns expressed by 9 the military members themselves about, during at least that first term, the 24-month requirement 10 11 because it would consume so much of their tenure. 12 COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, sir, you've 13 convinced me. I think we should vote on that first if that's the -- I think it seems like we 14 probably could have unanimous on that. 15 16 So, with that, based on the Chair's 17 direction to me, I think we'll call for a vote. 18 All those in favor of the, I'll just call it the 19 compromised position, you all just heard it, 20 first tour, minimum of 18 months, second or 21 subsequent, 24 months. All in favor of that, say 22 aye?

Ī	L.
1	(Chorus of aye.)
2	COL. BOVARNICK: I think unanimous,
3	okay. Sorry, anyone opposed? I want to make
4	sure I don't miss somebody.
5	MEMBER GRIMM: So, Colonel, could I
6	MEMBER SMITH: I thought Judge Grimm
7	was trying to speak.
8	COL. BOVARNICK: Oh, sorry.
9	MEMBER GRIMM: Yeah, Colonel, it might
10	just be easier to say is there anyone who votes
11	against it so that we don't have to keep saying
12	aye for everything. It's hard to know how many
13	people said aye. We're not waving our hands and
14	we're not marking it. So, it might be easier
15	just to say, is there anyone who votes against
16	it?
17	COL. BOVARNICK: Roger, sir, will do.
18	So, for that one, I think no one was opposed.
19	For this second recommendation, which is that the
20	Army's rating chain be independent similar to the
21	other services, is anyone opposed? Nothing
22	heard.

ll call
port
_
3
ort?
le
ou said
e can
heard
.nk we
`e
the
o do
ed on
ler
rc i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

eight, and then whatever else the subcommittee
 develops.

Right, absolutely 3 COL. BOVARNICK: 4 right. So, this was actually more focused, like 5 this report, wrapping this one up, and then, yes, that study would be assigned to a subcommittee 6 7 for further study, yes, ma'am. 8 So, I think with that, ma'am, if you 9 want to, we can probably hit that last part on 10 page five and leave the script for you. 11 MEMBER SCHWENK: Disagree. 12 CHAIR SMITH: Yeah, we didn't ask if 13 anyone was opposed to removing recommendations 14 three through ten and then referring it to the 15 subcommittee. Any opposition? No. 16 COL. BOVARNICK: None heard. So, on 17 page five there, for you, ma'am. 18 CHAIR SMITH: Oh, okay, thank you. 19 So, this concludes the voting on the SVC/VLC 20 The staff would complete the report, report. 21 remove, oh, I'm sorry, remove parts three through 22 ten for work to be completed by the subcommittee,

1	and return the report to us for a final review.
2	Once it's completed, we will submit
3	the final report to DoD, OGC, and the Congress.
4	Once submitted to Congress, it will also be
5	posted on the DAC-IPAD website for public access.
6	COL. BOVARNICK: Thank you, ma'am.
7	Okay, so now we want to conclude on the appellate
8	report discussion that was briefed yesterday by
9	Ms. Tagert and Ms. Critchley, and so there was a
10	great discussion there, and we want to open that
11	up for first off, are there any other
12	comments?
13	My real quick summary was it appeared
14	that the committee is ready to vote on whether to
15	include child sex offenses, the definition of
16	sexual assault that was proposed by the staff,
17	and then whether to report on the study in
18	phases, and so is there any additional comment on
19	the appellate review project that will be
20	ultimately assigned to a subcommittee?
21	So, with no other comment then, ma'am,
22	should I call for a vote on that now? Okay, so

is anyone opposed to including child sex offenses
 in the appellate case review study? No
 opposition heard.

Is anyone opposed to the definition of sexual assault that was proposed by the staff? And no opposition heard. And finally, is anyone opposed to reporting on that study in phases as was described by the staff yesterday? Okay, no opposition heard, so that concludes the appellate report.

Next, we're going to move into the subcommittees and proposals to assign projects to subcommittees, and just a couple of additional comments on that. So, the three subcommittees that are proposed for nomination, you all heard yesterday.

17 I'm not covering the members, but the
18 actual committees, special projects subcommittee,
19 case review subcommittee, and a policy
20 subcommittee.
21 The initial recommendation is to
22 assign two projects or studies to each

subcommittee at this time, and then obviously if
 more are added, but for today's purposes, at
 least get two per subcommittee.

And so, if everyone recalls, it was 4 5 covered in great detail yesterday. I won't belabor it today, but right now, the committee 6 7 has seven specific tasks, and we just actually 8 finalized the, well, we haven't finalized it, but 9 we know where we're going with the SVC report, so 10 that one, we don't have to assign to a subcommittee. 11

12 Two, I had mentioned yesterday, 13 statutory don't have to be assigned to a 14 subcommittee, the March 2023 annual report and 15 the September 2023 biennial, collateral 16 misconduct, don't have to be assigned to a 17 subcommittee.

So, that leaves us with four current tasks, three of them you were briefed on yesterday and one you heard extensively about today, and so those would be the victim impact statements, the alternative forms of justice,

both in the ToR, the appellate case review that
 we just discussed, and then the Office of Special
 Trial Counsel task.

So, to start out, again, I'll just 4 throw out the recommendation, but leave it to the 5 committee for a discussion, would be, for 6 7 example, for the special project subcommittee, 8 what I would recommend and throw out to the group 9 for discussion before any vote is that the Office of Special Trial Counsel task be assigned to that 10 11 subcommittee.

12 So, that subcommittee would track that 13 fully as it develops, and so my recommendation 14 would, again, throwing it to the committee if 15 there's any discussion on whether the special 16 project subcommittee would be the best 17 subcommittee to take on that task.

I guess with nothing heard, we could go right to the vote. Is anyone opposed to placing that Office of Special Trial Counsel task under the special project subcommittee? Okay, no opposition heard, so that will be placed under

So, in other words, when we request
our subcommittee formation through the General
Counsel, that will be aligned under that
subcommittee.
The appellate case review proposal, to
align that under the case review subcommittee
seems self-evident, but is anyone I guess,
I'll just ask, is anyone opposed to the appellate
case review task that we just voted on be
assigned to that case review subcommittee?
Nothing heard.
And then the two JES tasks that also
appear in the terms of reference that you were
briefed on yesterday, is anyone opposed to those
two studies being placed under the policy
subcommittee? Nothing heard.
Okay, so that leaves us, as we can all
see, two kind of slots there, and so what I'll do
now is, if we go to the okay, actually it's at
the bottom, sorry.
So, what you see here is we had, when

there.

we sent out the recommendations for studies that the committee would be interested in, actually there's one before that that's not on here. So, there was one that came from the IRC and it had to do with studying -- actually, let me, rather than try to ad lib this.

7 So, the IRC recommended at the back of 8 their report, not one of their primary 9 recommendations that were actually assigned, but they recommended that the National Guard Bureau 10 11 modify its model state code of military justice 12 to include changes made by Congress to the UCMJ as a result of the IRC recommendations and 13 14 encourages states to adopt the modified model 15 code.

And so, that was listed in that. I'll just state here -- and importantly, the Secretary of Defense did not direct that study to the DAC-IPAD. The Secretary of Defense directed other studies to the DAC-IPAD, but they did not direct that one.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

We asked if the committee had any

interest and the vast majority of the committee 1 2 did not express interest in undertaking this study, and so what I would ask is, I guess --3 4 actually I got the double negative here. So, is anyone opposed to not studying 5 that, if that works? 6 7 (Laughter.) 8 COL. BOVARNICK: Okay, does anyone, I 9 guess, yeah, does anyone want to study that? Nothing heard. Okay, so that one's off the 10 11 table. Okay, so now these are the nine write-12 ins here and I want to comment on the bottom 13 14 That, you heard mentioned today and the right. staff mentioned it in Mr. Mason's briefing 15 16 yesterday. 17 There is a provision in the FY 22 18 NDAA, Section 547, which some of our staff 19 members are working on creating a database, so I 20 say we take that off the table for one, and then 21 up for discussion are these others. 22 I'll just throw out the standing

military magistrate. I talked to Ms. Tokash 1 2 about it. That may be a good one potentially for the special project subcommittee, again to be 3 developed on what that would mean as far as the 4 specifics, but now it's just thinking about what 5 does the committee want to study and throw out? 6 And I think acquittal rates and 7 8 courts-martial, a great proposal by Ms. Bashford, 9 might be a great one to fall under the case review subcommittee, but with that, I will stop 10 talking and turn it over to the committee. 11 12 MEMBER TOKASH: This is Meghan Tokash. 13 COL. BOVARNICK: That's a good point, 14 The other thing I'll throw out, this great veah. 15 point, and do we want to assign the best 16 practices on the SVC task, maybe another one 17 that's not up here, but we obviously just 18 discussed it, assign that to a subcommittee. 19 So, with that said, now I'll stop 20 talking. So, there's one that's not listed up 21 there, but it's one we all just discussed. 22 MEMBER SMITH: I think Meghan Tokash

1	
2	COL. BOVARNICK: Oh, sorry, Ms.
3	Tokash, sorry, because we can't see up on the
4	screen, but go ahead, please.
5	MEMBER TOKASH: No problem. Yes,
6	Colonel Bovarnick, you and I did speak about the
7	standing magistrates.
8	I think that that makes the most sense
9	to be one of the special projects that the
10	subcommittee can take on, especially considering
11	membership of the committee includes Judge Grimm
12	and Judge Walton, who I think would be very
13	helpful in studying that particular issue, over.
14	COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, ma'am, so I
15	guess I'll just go right to it. Is anyone
16	opposed to putting the standing military
17	magistrates, there's obviously a lot to be
18	developed with the parameters of that study, but
19	assigning that to the special projects
20	subcommittee? With none heard, that takes care
21	of that.
22	And then how about the proposal from

1	Ms. Bashford, who is the subcommittee chair for
2	the case review subcommittee, to study acquittal
3	rates and courts-martial? Anyone opposed to
4	that? Okay, none heard.
5	MEMBER GARVIN: This is Ms. Garvin.
6	COL. BOVARNICK: Oh, sorry, go ahead.
7	MEMBER GARVIN: I'm just wondering,
8	and I know this will get fleshed out or whatever
9	the vocabulary is in whatever subcommittee it
10	gets tasked to, but I do wonder, we've had some
11	conversation about ensuring that we don't stay
12	narrow on percentages, on rates, on conviction
13	rates or acquittal rates.
14	And so, I'm wondering if the, as I
15	look at my screen, the acquittal rate one
16	combined with the box right below it, analysis on
17	why victims withdraw, if it's actually a combined
18	thing, topic that gets referred to a subcommittee
19	about attrition, right, or case conclusion, or
20	something that goes as opposed to something
21	that says acquittal rates, because then I believe
22	the committee as a whole, we're kind of falling

into the trap of looking at data without going into, I believe Ms. Long noted, the why. We're looking at the what, not the why.

So, I just put that out there that maybe even as we refer it to a committee, that we are referring that when they think about what the scope of the question is that they're going to tackle, that they consider the box below it also or something along those lines.

10 COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, ma'am. That could definitely be included in the scope of 11 12 Again, these obviously are very broad, that. 13 even just the concept of standing military 14 magistrates. They can incorporate a lot. Just like the one below that says appellate practice, 15 16 I mean, that can certainly be wrapped up into the 17 appellate case reviews.

So, a lot of these issues that the members proposed on these write-ins absolutely can be included, and some that we already have and certainly for future studies as well, but, yes, the one you mentioned, I think, definitely

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

can be included and should be included in that acquittal rate one.

MEMBER BASHFORD: This is Martha 3 4 Bashford. One thing I don't want us to lose is -5 - General Schwenk did such a great job leading case review before, and then with the data 6 7 analysis, when we were sort of all stood down, 8 there were a lot of interesting things that 9 needed further development from that. I don't want us just to kind of forget 10 where we left off, and some of it was why do 11 12 victims withdraw? What's the effect of alcohol? 13 The fact that force or a threat of force hardly 14 ever showed up in these cases. Victims not represented by VLC had better court-martial 15 16 outcomes. 17 So, I think I agree with Meg that we 18 want to -- we don't want to just look at one 19 I think it would be good to follow up on thing. 20 some of the questions that came out of that 21 massive case review. 22 COL. BOVARNICK: Yes, ma'am, and I

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1	think that will be up to the subcommittee as you
2	develop the parameters of the study and then, you
3	know, basically provide that as an update to the
4	full committee, whether that's in September or
5	the December time frame, but absolutely.
6	So, I think, Madam Chair, I think we
7	could, I guess, if we say that, yes, under the
8	special projects one, we have the standing
9	military magistrates to be developed, and then
10	the acquittal rates and other issues associated
11	with that to be under the case review
12	subcommittee.
13	Okay, so that concludes that portion
14	of the discussion. Now over to the deputy
15	director to
16	MS. SAUNDERS: Colonel Bovarnick?
17	COL. BOVARNICK: Oh, yes, ma'am?
18	MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry. I just
19	wanted to bring up one issue. I think it was an
20	open question yesterday on some of the JES
21	topics, for example, whether the victim impact
22	statement issue is still something that the

committee wants to discuss in light of judgealone sentencing.

3	So, for example, the two JES topics
4	would presumably go to policy, but if the
5	committee determines that either that topic is
6	kind of OBE, for lack of a better word, or
7	perhaps should be deferred, is there more
8	discussion that the committee wants to have on
9	that? Do you want to take up that topic?
10	Alternatively, we could summarize that
11	in the annual report to simply say the committee
12	determined that this is, you know, that this does
13	not need to be looked at at this time based on
14	judge-alone sentencing or deferred pending the
15	changes to the system.
16	So, I just, with those two topics in
17	there, I just wanted to see if the committee had
18	any more discussion on that or had thought any
19	that any more.
20	CHAIR SMITH: I know we talked about
21	the fact that you could look at or we could look
22	at the judge-only cases that are occurring now

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

and still have it be a topic that we look into. 1 2 I don't know how folks feel about that or what other people think about that. 3 MEMBER GARVIN: This is Ms. Garvin. 4 MEMBER WALTON: As I understand, most 5 sentencings are judge-alone sentencings already, 6 and that's going to be the requirement, I guess, 7 8 down the line, and it seems to me that it's an 9 issue that needs to be addressed. 10 Because, I guess, from the world in which I come, I don't understand the limitation 11 that's put on the ability of a victim to express 12 13 whatever his or her perspective is in reference 14 to the sentencing at the time, you know, the

sentencing is going to be imposed.

I mean, if the judge wants to disregard whatever is in the victim impact statement, the judge can do that, but I just don't see why a victim should be limited in what he or she can say to the court about what they feel about what the sentence should be in a case where they were, you know, impacted by what

15

1

2	MS. SAUNDERS: Okay, so I just wanted
3	to make sure that you had all discussed that and
4	make sure I understood where we were coming out
5	on that, so I think that covers it. Thank you.
6	CHAIR SMITH: Can I add one more thing
7	that I had spoken to Ms. Tokash about and I
8	mentioned to Ms. Carson?
9	I was concerned yesterday by one, the
10	statistics, or not the statistic, the educated
11	guess about the percentage of appeals that dealt
12	with child sex abuse, and then two, the study Ms.
13	Tokash brought up which said that in the
14	military, that child pornography is, you know,
15	four times greater than in the civilian world.
16	And so, it seemed to me that looking
17	at sexual child abuse might be something that
18	this committee should consider, so if that's
19	something that we could assign to a subcommittee
20	or people could discuss whether that's something
21	that we want to look at, but the idea that
22	You know, listen, 30 percent of the

appeals or somewhere around there are sexual 1 2 child abuse cases. That could be a function of those are the types of cases that people are 3 appealing or that could be a function of, you 4 5 know, 30 percent of the cases flowing through the military courts involve child sexual abuse. 6 I don't know, but I certainly think 7 8 it's something that the committee should consider 9 looking at if it's something people are interested in. 10 11 COL. BOVARNICK: Oh, sorry, next on 12 the agenda that Ms. Carson was going to cover. 13 MS. CARSON: So, Chair smith, that's 14 a great segue into our discussion of the scope of projects for the committee. 15 16 Just for some background for our 17 newest members, the committee, at the first 18 meeting it had five years ago, set some 19 boundaries on the scope of what it would look 20 into, and one of those boundaries was not to look 21 into child cases. 22 By what I've heard from yesterday and

today especially, I think we don't want to 1 2 continue that boundary. It sounds to me like perhaps it should be considered on a case, 3 4 project by project basis. If you want to have any discussion, if 5 there are any other scoping issues on things you 6 want to be sure or things you think are out of 7 8 bounds for what this committee should be looking 9 into as a blanket, I thought that would be a worthwhile discussion to have and then move on 10 from, so I'll open it to you all to discuss. 11 12 CHAIR SMITH: I would be curious about 13 contact offenses, not penetrative, but contact 14 offenses. I'm trying to recall. I did take notes, but one of the people on the panel 15 indicated 70 percent of their cases were contact 16 17 offenses. 18 And it seems to me that many times sex 19 offenses start as kind of the -- it's all 20 offensive, but not penetrative things, right? It 21 starts with groping and then it eventually moves 22 to something that might become penetrative.

1	And so, if we're looking at sexual
2	assault in the military, certainly groping and
3	contact offenses would be something, I think,
4	that we should be looking at, especially if I
5	don't remember who said it. Someone said it was
6	70 percent of the offenses that they saw.
7	So, that might be and I know that
8	makes it a that casts a wider net for us, but
9	it's worth discussing, particularly because one
10	of the issues that the military faces is things
11	that are, not accepted, I won't say accepted, but
12	that there is a tone, and if the tone is supposed
13	to be one of keep your hands to yourself and, you
14	know, that isn't always followed through with,
15	perhaps we could come up with some
16	recommendations.
17	MS. CARSON: I think that's a really
18	good point because it's interesting these
19	committees have evolved since the first one, the
20	RSP. The committee looked at penetrative and
21	contact offenses all together and didn't separate
22	them, and the next committee

1	Actually, I think the JPP may have
2	done that too, but then the decision was made to
3	look specifically at penetrative offenses, and
4	that's what the case review committee did the
5	last time, but that's a good point that contact
6	offenses have now, which are very different than
7	the penetrative offenses, have not been looked at
8	as a separate category. So, is there any other
9	comment on
10	MR. SULLIVAN: Ms. Carson, could I ask
11	Ms. Carson, Dwight here, could I ask one
12	clarifying question?
13	MS. CARSON: Oh, sure, sure. I
14	thought that was Judge Grimm, sorry.
15	MR. SULLIVAN: It's all right. So,
16	that's quite a compliment to be confused with
17	Judge Grimm. So, yesterday when the guesstimate
18	of 30 percent was made, was that 30 percent of
19	the whole pie of appellate cases or was that 30
20	percent of the one-third of appellate cases that
21	were sex abuse cases?
22	MS. CARSON: I'm not sure what the 30

percent is. What's the statistic you're -- 30 1 2 percent of what? Oh, it's Audrey, okay. MS. CRITCHLEY: Yeah, Mr. Sullivan, 3 4 that was a guesstimate of the one-third, one-5 third of the one-third. MR. SULLIVAN: Right, so ten percent 6 7 roughly of the appellate cases then would fall 8 under that? 9 MS. CRITCHLEY: Well, we couldn't answer as to the others because we -- as to the 10 remaining two-thirds, so I don't know. 11 12 MR. SULLIVAN: Right, so 30 percent of 13 roughly a third, so it's somewhere between nine 14 and ten percent, guesstimate. MS. CRITCHLEY: Well, I mean, you know, 15 16 of the remaining maybe 70 percent, it might be 17 even higher than that. I can't speak to the 18 percentage in that pool, but, yes, it's referring 19 to that third. 20 MEMBER BASHFORD: Martha Bashford. 21 CHAIR SMITH: And it was Major General 22 Bligh who said that 70 percent of the offenses

1

are touching in the Marines.

2	MEMBER BASHFORD: Martha Bashford.
3	MEMBER SCHWENK: Yeah, I think the
4	reason this is Jim Schwenk. I think the
5	reason that we went to penetrative rather than
6	everything is purely a function of trying to save
7	Kate Tagert's sanity by coming up with a number
8	of cases that we thought we could handle in one
9	year, but we were off by two years, so it was
10	case load, just
11	You know, it wasn't a decision, I
12	don't believe, that we valued studying
13	penetrative more than contact. It was just we
14	had to cut it somewhere to get the numbers down
15	and that was one of our arbitrary cutoffs.
16	CHAIR SMITH: Ms. Bashford, did you
17	have something you wanted to say?
18	MEMBER BASHFORD: That's what we
19	wound up with 2,000 cases just on the felony
20	level penetrative, so I don't think we possibly
21	could have included contact offenses in that
22	intensive case review which had never been done

before.

1

2	But I think that 70/30 is probably the
3	same for civilians as well. We've seen much more
4	of somebody smacking somebody or rubbing up
5	against somebody way more than we would see
6	penetrative offenses in the civilian side as
7	well.
8	MS. TAGERT: And we can certainly
9	as the staff, we have years of data that shows
10	both contact and penetration, so we can certainly
11	get those statistics to you, you know, in a form
12	easy to read that the data team has collected
13	over the years.
14	MS. CARSON: And I think the
15	recognition of the difference in penetrative and
16	contact as a form of sexual offense to study was
17	really an important one, that it was looked at
18	separately to the penetrative offenses because
19	you would expect things to be different in those.
20	So, it's just, exactly, a function of
21	time and ability to look at the contact offenses,
22	but I think it's a good point that that's a

category that hasn't been looked at. 1 2 CHAIR SMITH: How does the -- I just have a question real quick. 3 How does the military define sexual harassment? And that 4 might not be an easy question. 5 Dwight? 6 MS. CARSON: So, Your Honor, the --7 MR. SULLIVAN: 8 in January of this year, the President issued an 9 executive order that added sexual harassment as a prescribed Article 134 offense, so this is going 10 to take a little bit of background, my apologies. 11 12 But we're all used to statutes that --13 you know, we're used to the norm that a criminal 14 statute is enacted by the legislature. Well, one of the UCMJ punitive articles is Article 134, 15 16 which is called the general article, and it 17 prohibits three things. 18 It prohibits conduct that's 19 prejudicial to good order and discipline, it prohibits conduct that is service discrediting, 20 21 and it prohibits a service member and allows the 22 service member to be prosecuted by court-martial

for violating any federal offense that is not 1 2 capital. So, any 18 USC offense, any 21 USC 3 4 offense, you know, a drug offense, all of those 5 can be prosecuted under Article 134. Now, what the President has done is 6 7 he's prescribed in the Manual for Courts-Martial 8 certain ways that are likely to be associated 9 with conduct that is either prejudicial to good order and discipline or service discrediting. 10 11 And in January of this year, the 12 President issued an executive order that established sexual harassment as one of those 13 14 means. 15 Now, I'll say before that, the 16 military already prosecuted quite a lot of sexual 17 harassment cases, but they did it either as an 18 orders violation or they did it as maltreatment 19 of a subordinate where it was a 20 subordinate/superior relationship. 21 But we now have a prescribed Article 22 134 offense. It does require the terminal

element that that sexual harassment be either service discrediting or of a nature to discredit the Armed Forces, but the executive order lays 4 out the elements and the staff can easily get you that provision, and Rich Gross has just brought me that provision.

7

1

2

3

5

6

(Laughter.)

Thank you. And so, the 8 MR. SULLIVAN: 9 elements that were prescribed by the President are that the accused knowingly made a sexual 10 11 advance, demand, or request for sexual favors, or 12 knowingly engaged in other conduct of a sexual 13 nature, that such conduct was unwelcome, and that 14 under the circumstances, such conduct would, and then it lays out those familiar EEO standards for 15 16 the effect of those actions in that particular 17 context, but again, we'll get you the actual 18 language. Thanks so much.

19 CHAIR SMITH: So, I was asking that 20 question because I'm wondering whether that is 21 something as well that we want to look at or is 22 that biting off too much? I don't know.

- -
MS. CARSON: That was my exact next
comment was going to be sexual harassment.
CHAIR SMITH: Okay.
MS. CARSON: It was looked at by the
IRC. It's a new, exactly, a new offense, so is
that too much? Does the committee want to say
they're going to or not going to specifically at
this time or leave it for a later date to decide?
MEMBER O'CONNOR: I guess I have a
question which is what does it mean to look at
it, like a general topic, but like what would we
be looking for, and analyzing cases, trying to
add legislative description to what the meaning
of the words are? What would we be looking at?
What's your thought about that?
MEMBER SCHWENK: One of the immediate
things this is Jim Schwenk. One of the
immediate things we could do is, if we wanted to
do a short-term one and not miss the boat or miss
the train because it left the station, I mix my
metaphors all the time, but
(Laughter.)

I	1 
1	MEMBER SMITH: We get what you mean.
2	MEMBER SCHWENK: but anyway, is
3	Congress last year listed 11 offenses for the
4	special trial counsel.
5	Unlisted was sexual harassment
6	because, at that time, there was no punitive
7	article of sexual harassment, but in that
8	legislation, they directed the President to do
9	what he did in January.
10	So, now there is a punitive article,
11	so it seems to me the ball is in Congress's court
12	with this year's NDAA to decide do you do it
13	number 12, sexual harassment, or do you leave it
14	at number 11?
15	And there are, we heard on the
16	Independent Review Commission, some cogent
17	arguments for why it should be included. It's
18	sexual harassment. It's the predicate in so many
19	cases for sexual assault. It's silly not to have
20	it all together.
21	On the other hand, most sexual
22	harassment are minor and they're taken care of

quickly by the command in order to get the 1 2 attention of the command and discipline the individual. 3 And so, obviously if you make it 4 5 number 12 on the list and it has to go to the special trial counsel, you're not going to get 6 7 any quickness in that system, or you could get 8 some quickness, but not as quick as if the 9 command just did it themselves. 10 So, there were arguments back and That's a narrower beginning of looking at 11 forth. 12 the sexual harassment issue, but it's one that 13 is, I think, timely. Dwight, does that -- am I 14 wrong or right? 15 MR. SULLIVAN: You're right. 16 MEMBER SCHWENK: Okay, I paid him \$20 17 before we came in. So, that would be something 18 narrower in scope that would open the door to 19 other sexual harassment analysis, but is timely 20 for the NDAA that they're going to be writing 21 this year. 22 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: If I may, this is

Jen Markowitz, I would say, just my own 1 2 experience with these cases, it is so incredibly pervasive. I would love to see us take this 3 4 particular issue on. 5 And as General Schwenk just described, in this very narrow way, I think we would have 6 7 the ability to move the needle a little bit on 8 So, from my perspective, I think it's a this. 9 great use of our time, over. MS. CARSON: From an authorities 10 11 perspective, the statute says that you will 12 advise the Secretary of Defense on the prescribed sexual misconduct and other sexual misconduct, so 13 14 I believe it's within your discretion whether or not that's something you wish to consider. 15 Yeah, I agree it's a 16 MEMBER WALTON: good idea because often it's a precursor to more 17 18 serious misconduct. 19 I also think with the MS. CARSON: 20 FY23 NDAA, you may see some additional offenses 21 added in, or at least attempted to be added in, 22 including sexual harassment.

1	MEMBER SCHWENK: That would be
2	important because Congress has to move the ball
3	while DoD is doing all of this
4	MS. CARSON: Yes.
5	MEMBER SCHWENK: planning for how
6	many cases and how big the office should be.
7	Obviously, you want to move the ball, so all of
8	that has to be changed.
9	MS. CARSON: Are there any other
10	issues that come to mind that you think would be
11	beneficial to weigh in on now, whether or not the
12	committee thinks it's within your jurisdiction,
13	or tasking, or scope?
14	MEMBER SCHWENK: I think Colonel
15	Bovarnick mentioned it, but on the appellate case
16	review, I think that that subcommittee, Martha,
17	should also be looking at the process, the
18	system, the interface with VLC, you know, so that
19	once they finish looking at the cases and writing
20	their report on cases, they're prepared to come
21	back to the committee and say, we think there is
22	more there we could look at in the appellate

process, or we looked at that while we were doing the case review and there's nothing worth looking Go get another issue. So, I think that at. 4 makes sense.

5 So, my next two topics to MS. CARSON: 6 discuss are kind of part and parcel of the same The first is the composition of the March 7 thing. 8 2023 annual report, which is coming up sooner 9 than we would like probably. The second is the presenters and focus for the September meeting. 10

11 And so, I always try to look at every 12 task and begin with the end in mind, and so in 13 thinking of who you want in September, it's 14 helpful to think of how many more meetings do we 15 have and how will we do that annual report?

16 So, there will be a meeting in 17 September and then there will be, I mean, yeah, 18 in December, and then one sometime before the 19 March deadline when you vote on that annual 20 report, which means you can hear testimony in 21 September from whatever you want to focus on 22 next.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1	I think a piece that you heard from
2	today or yesterday was the appellate project.
3	There are some folks that the government and
4	defense appellate divisions could potentially be
5	people you want to hear from in pursuing that
6	appellate project potentially at the September
7	meeting.
8	You were also sent a list of topics
9	that I believe you responded to, topics of
10	interest. I believe the military criminal
11	investigators were top on that list, so that's
12	not associated with a current topic, but that's
13	another group that we could bring testimony in
14	from.
15	We penciled this in, a few of us, over
16	lunch, just to think of what we, you know, how to
17	fill that September meeting, and if we brought in
18	government appellate and defense appellate I
19	try to think of each meeting as a block of five
20	hours. That's about as much scheduling as you
21	can do.
22	If we did a government and a defense

	L
1	appellate division, that would be about three
2	hours, and then an appellate presentation and
3	deliberations, probably another hour, 30 minutes
4	to an hour.
5	Another thing we can do before
6	September is get an update on the Office of
7	Special Trial Counsel by issuing some requests
8	for information that the staff can put together
9	and see where things are.
10	We can summarize the testimony that we
11	heard and present that to the members to review
12	and have some further discussion on thinking
13	through what do you want to say in that March
14	report.
15	So, the areas in the report are going
16	to be the typical, describing the reconstitution
17	of the committee, the formation of the
18	subcommittees, the request that they be formed by
19	DoD, the summary of the SVC/VLC report since it
20	will be released before that date, and then an
21	update on the required appellate project.
22	So, having some testimony to discuss

in that report would potentially be beneficial,
 also further discussion of the information that
 you heard, maybe some additional information on
 the data, what we can get or how we can deliver
 some more information on the offenses. The
 number of cases for each offense, I know, was of
 interest in the discussions.

8 MEMBER SCHWENK: And updates from each 9 subcommittee, because we've done that in the past 10 where, you know, you say here is where we're at 11 on stuff.

MS. CARSON: Well, let me ask Dwight that question because my understanding from the Federal Advisory Committee Act is the subcommittees can't meet until they're established by the General Counsel. Is that correct?

18 So, I don't think there can be
19 official subcommittee meetings before -- well,
20 hopefully maybe by the September meeting, we can
21 have them.

MEMBER SCHWENK: I'm sorry. I was

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

talking about the annual report, that in the 1 2 annual report --3 MS. CARSON: Yeah, sorry, you're 4 absolutely right. 5 MEMBER SCHWENK: -- you could have updates on what we're doing in each subcommittee. 6 MS. CARSON: And if --7 8 MEMBER SCHWENK: This subcommittee is 9 doing this. MS. CARSON: That will be, absolutely 10 be in there. 11 12 MEMBER SCHWENK: Here is what they've 13 done and not done, and maybe some subcommittee 14 will have something done and we can report it in 15 there. 16 MS. CARSON: And that will be the section on the formation of the subcommittees. 17 18 If we're that far along, sure, that would be 19 great. 20 COL. BOVARNICK: I'm sorry, if I could 21 just jump in, I have assurances that I think we're going to be able to turn the subcommittee 22

1 approval fairly quickly.

2	I'll have all of the documents ready
3	for the Chair's signature, get it over to Mr.
4	Sullivan, and he's given assurances that he's
5	going to be able to turn that around pretty
6	quickly.
7	So, there could be an alternative
8	where the subcommittees are formed, then perhaps
9	the subcommittees could hear from the appellate
10	personnel, so that's just another option, but I
11	think that that's one of the things that's
12	another option out there.
13	MEMBER SCHWENK: Yeah, when we're
14	going to the appellate people, besides appellate
15	government and appellate defense, it might be
16	interesting to get a few VLC/SVC/VC people and
17	ask their perspective on how they are interacting
18	appellate wise.
19	MS. CARSON: At the appellate level.
20	MEMBER SCHWENK: And maybe Bill
21	Cassara or somebody could help us get some
22	civilian practitioners who have done some

appellate work as civilian defense counsel so
 that we're hitting a broader scope.

MS. CARSON: That would fill a meeting 3 4 for sure, and maybe -- that would be four panels, 5 so it may be best to do maybe two in September and two in December so that you can really 6 7 marinate on what each one says each time, so that 8 might take us through the end of the year and 9 then give us a lot of material to discuss for the 10 annual report.

11 The MCI, the military criminal 12 investigators, was the highly reviewed topic as 13 well. Is that something -- do you want to put 14 that on the list for maybe the first meeting of 15 2023 to hear from the investigators or a follow 16 up from the IRC on the Fort Hood report?

MEMBER SCHWENK: I think the MCIOs are important. They're the key to getting started on the whole process for those offenses that have to go to an MCIO, and we've dealt with them closely in the past and they've been really responsive. They were great with the IRC and who

knows what they're doing with the recommendations 1 2 out of the IRC? I don't know, but I think we probably owe it to ask them how goes it? What's 3 4 going well and what isn't? 5 COL. BOVARNICK: One option could be -- sorry to jump in there. One option could be 6 7 to have a panel with the MCIOs in September. Ι 8 know that was kind of what everyone recommended 9 as their number one, or the vast majority of the 10 panel. 11 So, is that something we could convene 12 like a 90-minute panel with the heads of each of 13 the, or at least close to the heads of each of 14 the organizations from the MCIOs? Martha Bashford. 15 MEMBER BASHFORD: 16 Just one thing when we're thinking of who we want 17 to hear from, it seems to me that when we lost 18 Master Sergeant McKinley on the panel, we don't 19 really have any enlisted perspective advocate 20 anymore on our panel. 21 So, when we're thinking of people to 22 invite, could we try to just keep that as

something we really want to hear from as well 1 2 where appropriate? 3 MS. CARSON: So, an enlisted panel, enlisted members? 4 5 Yeah, you know, in MEMBER BASHFORD: some form or fashion. We don't have that voice 6 7 for us anymore and I don't want to forget about 8 it. 9 MS. CARSON: Okay, so the next 10 question is do you want to address victim impact and alternative justice in the 2023 report? 11 You 12 can just, you know, make a decision in September 13 or at a later date of what you want to say, but 14 we're just outlining what that report is going to look like. 15 16 CHAIR SMITH: I think that's good to 17 include. I was actually asking the general about 18 having, what was it, sexual response --19 MEMBER SCHWENK: Victim advocates and 20 sexual assault response coordinators, a panel of 21 those. We did that when we traveled and they 22 were, you know, an important constituency to talk

1

2 CHAIR SMITH: Right, I think that 3 might be helpful to hear from them.

MS. CARSON: In September or in December? So, now I think you've identified several groups now to hear from. The question is going to be in what order? Do you want to hear from all of the appellate folks, that's a whole meeting together?

Do you want to split those up between 10 11 Do you want to hear from the criminal the two? 12 investigators and the victim advocates on the 13 same meeting or we can split them all up? 14 CHAIR SMITH: I think maybe appellate 15 in one meeting, and then I'm open to suggestions 16 obviously, and then MCIOs and victim advocates in 17 another.

18 MS. CARSON: In December?
19 CHAIR SMITH: Yeah.
20 MS. CARSON: Any other comments?
21 MEMBER WALTON: I think alternative
22 justice is something we can defer until some

1 point later.

2	COL. BOVARNICK: How about as a
3	proposal for the September meeting, the MCIOs and
4	the victims if we want to defer the victim
5	advocates so we can do the MCIOs, and then
6	depending on when the appellate subcommittee,
7	excuse me, the case review subcommittee is
8	formed, we could see how it works out with the
9	appellate team. What does the full committee
10	want to hear from the folks on the appellate
11	side? I guess throwing that back out.
12	CHAIR SMITH: I think the full
13	committee wants to hear from the appellate folks,
14	right? Yeah.
15	MEMBER SCHWENK: Okay, I think the
16	idea this is Jim Schwenk. I think the idea
17	that the whole committee gets to hear from the
18	constituency that a subcommittee is looking at in
19	more depth helps the full committee take on board
20	whatever the subcommittee ultimately recommends
21	and prepares, so anytime we can do that, that's,
22	in my view, that's a plus for me if I'm not on

that subcommittee.

2	CHAIR SMITH: Right, and also I think
3	the questions that are asked by the full
4	committee will assist the subcommittee in kind of
5	knowing which angle they want to take or things
6	they may not have thought about, so I think it's
7	beneficial.
8	MEMBER MARKOWITZ: The other question
9	is are we still talking about having an update on
10	the OSTC at the December meeting? Because now we
11	are talking about a fairly full December meeting,
12	which I'm all for. I just want to point out that
13	we also talked about that.
14	MS. CARSON: So, we will have to have
15	a draft of the report essentially that we're
16	going to do of the OSTC in December, so the
17	update would be best placed in September. That's
18	why I'm limiting to two panels per meeting as a
19	way to let us have some deliberation time.
20	Four panels is an awful lot. I mean,
21	it's an exhausting meeting to have four with, you
22	know, five people on each one. You know, your

mind is kind of on tilt by the end of the day. 1 2 That's right. We discussed having the Service Secretaries or General Counsel also back 3 4 in December. Sorry, that's what 5 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: I was talking about is --6 7 MS. CARSON: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm 8 sorry. 9 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: -- them coming back 10 for the update in December. 11 MS. CARSON: Yes. 12 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: They were talking 13 about coming back for their update --14 MS. CARSON: That's right, and so I think that's, so that's one block --15 16 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: Right. 17 MS. CARSON: -- right there, so maybe 18 that block and the MCIOs if that's the next 19 group. 20 MEMBER MARKOWITZ: So, because of 21 that, I think we might have to put off the conversation about alternate justice to --22

1	MS. CARSON: To the next year.
2	MEMBER MARKOWITZ: 2023. I just
3	don't see where we if people are committed to
4	the MCIOs, which I think is fine, I don't see
5	where we can slot in the conversation about
6	alternative justice and give it the appropriate
7	conversation and attention it deserves.
8	Because I think it definitely deserves
9	a significant amount of conversation and we need
10	the appropriate panel with the advocates, and so
11	I definitely think that we want to make sure we
12	have a meeting that we can really have the kind
13	of panel and the attention that that topic is
14	going to require.
15	COL. BOVARNICK: How about for it
16	sounds like for September, it would be the
17	appellate groups. We could fit as many as we
18	want to because it's a full day, and then in
19	December, the MCIOs, and then if there's an
20	update from the Office of Special Trial Counsel?
21	Does that sound like is anyone
22	opposed to that? And then we push the

alternative justice issue -- oh, sorry. I'll
 repeat that because I'm sure the folks on the
 screen didn't hear.

So, the proposal is, based on the discussion that I've heard, is in September, a one-day meeting, as many folks as we can get, a wide variety on all appellate issues, judges, civilian practitioners, government appellate practitioners, and defense appellate.

And then at the December meeting, which would be two days, MCIOs and hopefully an update on the Office of Special Trial Counsel at least for initial, and obviously we can develop December more when we reconvene in September, but that's -- okay, no opposition heard, so that's what we'll go with for that.

17 MEMBER SCHWENK: This is Jim Schwenk. 18 If we're going to do two days in December, then I 19 think Martha's idea is really a good one, to get 20 some enlisted people in here and hear what they 21 think is going on and what they think is, yeah, 22 what they think is going on.

(202) 234-4433

I	
1	COL. BOVARNICK: Noted, yes, sir, and
2	I'll also note that General Anderson, in one of
3	her recommendations, also was on the line of the
4	junior NCO leadership and some of the junior
5	officer leadership as well, so I'll note that for
6	General Anderson, but, yeah, we're tracking that
7	now for December as well.
8	MS. CARSON: I think we have enough
9	feedback to get working on the agenda for the
10	next meetings. I don't know, do you want, I
11	don't know that we need to do more than that to
12	just move on now to the
13	MEMBER SCHWENK: Okay. Because I am
14	slow and old, unlike Judge Walton who is old but
15	not slow
16	(Laughter.)
17	MEMBER SCHWENK: I was wondering,
18	if you could go back over the specific
19	assignments to each subcommittee so I know I have
20	them down here?
21	COL BOVARNICK: Yes, sir. So for the
22	special project subcommittee it would be the

task, the general task of tracking the Offices of Special Trial Counsel. So for example, whatever is going to come out of the summaries for this meeting and what Tokash and that subcommittee want to work on.

The second task assigned to them is a 6 7 So it's going to have to develop with new one. 8 the subcommittee once the subcommittee is 9 officially designated and appointed by the General Counsel, would be a discussion about 10 11 military, standing military magistrates to cover a variety of areas, military protective orders in 12 13 the detention hearings.

Whether you start talking about preliminary hearing officers and things of that nature. So that would be a study that would have to be developed and the parameters set.

For the second subcommittee, which would be the case review subcommittee where Ms. Bashford is the chair, it would be the appellate project that's been briefed. And of course it was just discussed bringing in those appellate

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

practitioners for the full committee to hear from as they develop that project, which is well underway as was briefed.

And then the second one would be this concept of acquittal rates and courts-martial. However, expanded beyond that, with the other topics on kind of why things happen. And so that would be that second one. Again, to be developed.

And then for the policy subcommittee, 10 11 sir, which you're the subcommittee chair, or 12 nominated to be, we're looking at, because at the 13 end of the day we still have those two tasks 14 assigned by the terms of reference. And so that's the victim impact statements, whatever 15 16 comes of that. As well as the alternative forms 17 of justice.

So I just want to, that's what I just want to point out, like, those are two tasks that the committee has in the Terms of Reference. So it can't be, accepting however far it's pushed, but it's something that it is a task. Not

assigned by me, assigned by the General Counsel in 1 2 the Terms of Reference. And so the placement of those would be 3 4 under your subcommittee to develop with the 5 Staff's assistance. If I can, if there is not 6 MS. CARSON: 7 any questions on that, I guess I'll wait for 8 The next item we wanted to discuss is the that. 9 court-martial observations project. If we have Terry Gallagher from our Staff available. 10 I see 11 her. There she is. 12 Do you want to tell the members about 13 the court-martial observations project? Thank 14 you. Yes. Yes. And I'll 15 MS. GALLAGHER: be very brief. I am very happy to announce the 16 17 restart of this valuable program. 18 For those of you that don't know the 19 court-martial observation project is designed to 20 get each of you to attend a contested sexual 21 assault court-martial, accompanied by a staff 22 member, to observe firsthand the current court-

martial environment. The court-martials are held 1 2 worldwide and by all Services and so we can facilitate your attendance at a court-martial 3 that fits with your schedule. 4 For your scheduling purposes, these 5 courts normally last between three and five days. 6 And we do hope that each of you will be able to 7 attend at least one court-martial this year. 8 9 We only ask of you, that you record some observations on a checklist that we've 10 11 prepared. And these responses from you will be 12 consolidated and used as a tool to identify 13 practice areas that may warrant further study. 14 So really, on this program you should expect to receive, from me, an email in the near 15 future that identifies courts-martials that are 16 17 already docketed to occur within the next three 18 to four months. Where that court-martial will be 19 located and the service that the court-martial 20 corresponds to. 21 Is there any other -- and some detailed information. 22 Is there anyone with

questions on this program? 1 2 MEMBER BASHFORD: Terry, it's Martha. Do you have any, occurring on any bases in Italy 3 4 coming up? MS. GALLAGHER: I will check on that 5 for you. 6 MEMBER BASHFORD: 7 Okay. 8 MS. GALLAGHER: But I do note, and I 9 am very happy to announce that Ms. Bashford will be the first one attending a court-martial in the 10 11 very near future. 12 COL BOVARNICK: Madam Chair, that kind 13 of concludes all the topics that we were going to And so want to hand it back. 14 cover. If there is anything else that the 15 16 Committee wants to discuss? And then we can take 17 a break. 18 And then we can come back for the 19 public comment, which is, I think we can take at least a ten minute break and we'll figure out if 20 21 we have to wait until 2:30. One second, ma'am. 22 With that said, ma'am, I mean, we can

I	
1	really so first of all, is there any other
2	topics that any member of the Committee wants to
3	raise now?
4	Whether it's from this meeting, what
5	we've discussed about the next meeting,
6	subcommittees or anything like that?
7	MEMBER TOKASH: This is Meghan Tokash.
8	COL BOVARNICK: Go ahead, ma'am.
9	MEMBER TOKASH: So, I just had a
10	question about the victim impact statements. It
11	seems like that, I'm just not sure where we, as a
12	Committee, left off on that. And I just want to
13	make sure that that doesn't get lost. Especially
14	if it's a pressing need while military panels are
15	still hearing sentencing cases. Over.
16	MS. CARSON: I think the only question
17	we need to resolve today is whether or not you
18	want to include anything about it in the annual
19	report in March. You know, do we want to put
20	that on a priority track or is that something we
21	should take up, the committee should take up,
22	afterward.

1	MS. TAGERT: From the victim impact
2	perspective, potentially you may want to put it
3	under the appellate project for the report.
4	We've seen a number of the cases already in the
5	appellate system. That may just be a more
6	natural place for it to sit until we hear
7	testimony on it from victims or judges and
8	practitioners. But that's just a suggestion.
9	MEMBER O'CONNOR: As a practical
10	matter what does that mean?
11	MS. TAGERT: From a practical
12	perspective, the appellate project has already
13	reviewed cases so we could certainly be briefing
14	the issue in the report itself and just
15	introducing it to the public, as well as the
16	committee members, before actually making any
17	recommendations or acting on it. But considering
18	we have two meetings left, that may be the most
19	natural thing for it to fall.
20	MS. CARSON: If it helps, the tasking
21	is to report on recurring issues in the appellate
22	cases. So there could be a recurring issue

related to victim impact statements. 1 2 MEMBER GARVIN: This is Ms. Garvin. Go ahead, Ms. Garvin. 3 MS. CARSON: 4 MEMBER GARVIN: Thank you. So, I 5 appreciate that approach. And I think it might be a recurring issue, and that would be 6 7 interesting. I'm not sure it's wholly responsive 8 to the topic as it was presented to us from the 9 prior testimony, which was more about the striking out and the editing and the not being 10 fully heard. 11 12 And the reason I say I don't think it 13 is going to be fully responsive is I don't think 14 those issues are being appealed based on my experiences. So, I think it might be a 15 16 placeholder for this year in the report. 17 And really appreciate that approach 18 that we're not losing the thread from the 19 testimony we heard. As long as we're 20 acknowledging that that is, what gets appealed is 21 such a narrow piece, particularly at the issue as it was already testified to in front of us. 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

	2
1	And then I would strongly recommend it
2	be a priority in the next year so that, I mean,
3	we will then have been almost three years out
4	from the testimony that presented it to us in the
5	first instance I think. So, I very much
6	appreciate that creative thinking. And just also
7	for the appellate group to know, I think it will
8	be such a narrow subset.
9	CHAIR SMITH: I think what Ms. Garvin
10	said sounds good. Making sure that it remains an
11	issue and it's clear that it's still something
12	that we're looking at.
13	COL BOVARNICK: Any other comments
14	from any of the Committee Members? Okay, so
15	MEMBER BASHFORD: Martha Bashford.
16	COL BOVARNICK: Oh, sorry.
17	MEMBER BASHFORD: Oh, sorry. I just
18	have one more. At our next meeting, it's hard
19	when you're virtual, but could we maybe identify
20	who the service members are and give them a
21	couple minutes just to like run through their
22	backgrounds?

	2
1	MS. CARSON: Did you want the service
2	representatives or did you want presenter service
3	members?
4	MEMBER BASHFORD: (Audio interference)
5	for the Committee. The service representatives
6	who attend the committee sessions. There is
7	usually one from every service.
8	MS. CARSON: (Audio interference).
9	MEMBER BASHFORD: Yes. Like five
10	minutes or something.
11	(Audio interference.)
12	MEMBER SCHWENK: overseas travel or
13	around the country travel. You know, to go to
14	bases and stations.
15	And the other one is, whether there
16	would be any value in putting a small group
17	together and sending them to talk with militaries
18	of other countries that have similar justice
19	systems to ours to see, you know, I guess maybe
20	Canada should be off the list at the moment, but
21	otherwise, to see what lessons we might learn or
22	not learn from them. So those are two thoughts

for a discussion sometime in the future. 1 2 COL BOVARNICK: Yes, sir. I think we can add that to the agenda for September at least 3 to get some initial thoughts and then move out as 4 the members want to execute. 5 MEMBER WALTON: Why not talk to Canada? 6 MEMBER SCHWENK: 7 I'm not sure how many 8 senior officers in Canada have been either 9 prosecuted or fired. And I'm talking like their 10 equivalent to the chairman of the joint chiefs and whatever, for either the way they handled 11 12 sexual misconduct cases or, I don't know. Ι 13 don't know. 14 But any comments there, Dwight? 15 MR. SULLIVAN: No comment. 16 COL BOVARNICK: Okay, so I'll cover 17 the public comment session. We received one 18 public comment via email prior to the meeting. 19 The email sent by Navy Lieutenant 20 Commander Manuel Dominguez, who appeared at the 21 last meeting on April 21st, 2022, was received by 22 the Staff on Monday, June 6th and sent to all

211

Committee Members on Tuesday, June 7th. Your materials appear at Tab 10 of your read ahead packet.

We received no other public comments 4 via email or a question of the public during this 5 meeting, yesterday or today. And so now Ms. 6 7 Saunders is going to provide our recap of the key events of the past two days. And as best she 8 9 can, we all just kind of heard it, as she has a 10 kind of an initial preview of the next meeting. 11 MEMBER SCHWENK: Let me just say that, 12 on that Lieutenant Commander Dominguez 13 submission, we've had people from the public talk 14 to us in the past, and on other advisory committees they've done the same thing, and 15 16 written submissions, and they're all helpful 17 because it's a different perspective than you get 18 when you're having panels of people from DoD 19 coming in, in front of you.

20 So I personally value them. And I 21 thank him for putting that one out, or providing 22 them with this handout, and appearing before us.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

And encourage anybody that wants to in the future 1 2 to feel free to sign up. I'll just note that 3 MS. CARSON: 4 that's the court-martial that Ms. Bashford is 5 going to be attending. Okay, so for the recap, 6 MS. SAUNDERS: 7 what I'll do is try to recap some of the votes 8 you've made today and some of the topics you've 9 discussed and then go through the due-outs as I And please feel free to jump in and 10 have them. 11 correct me if I misstate something or add to the 12 list. 13 So for the, I'll start with the 14 SVC/VLC report. So, the votes were for the first 15 We'll call it the Judge Walton recommendation. 16 compromise. 17 So for first tour judge advocate, 18 first tour judge advocates were serving as SVC or 19 VLC there would be a minimum of 18 months tour of 20 duty. But any SVCs or VLCs who are second or 21 subsequent tour would be 24 months minimum. That was a unanimous vote on that. 22

	2
1	MEMBER SCHWENK: With exceptions for
2	personal or
3	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
4	MEMBER SCHWENK: operational
5	assessment.
6	MS. SAUNDERS: Agree. No, great
7	point, General Schwenk. With the exceptions for
8	those things that you mentioned.
9	The second recommendation on the
10	rating chain, that was also unanimous that the
11	Army should have a separate stovepipe rating
12	chain. Similar to the other services.
13	And then the third thing that you
14	voted on was Recommendations 3 through 10 would
15	be deleted from the report and would be assigned
16	to a subcommittee for further study.
17	The only thing that I think did not
18	get accomplished here but perhaps would be
19	discussed in a future meeting is which
20	subcommittee those should go to and who should
21	take that up. Is that something that you want to
22	discuss now or is something that you want to hold

	2
1	off on?
2	(Off-microphone comment.)
3	MS. SAUNDERS: Table it? Great.
4	Okay, so that does it for the SVC/VLC report.
5	For the appellate issue, the votes
6	were that child sex offenses would be included in
7	this study. The definition of sexual assault
8	that the staff provided to you is what will be
9	used for the study. And that the reporting on
10	the appellate study will be done in phases. That
11	was also a unanimous vote.
12	And I think, General Schwenk, you also
13	mentioned that part of this study should be a
14	look at the appellate process itself.
15	On the issues of subcommittees, we
16	have three subcommittees that have been approved
17	by the committee. The first is special projects
18	with the Chair of Meghan Tokash.
19	The members of that committee will be,
20	in addition to Ms. Tokash, will be Judge Grimm,
21	Mr. Kramer, Dr. Markowitz, Dr. Spohn and Judge
22	Walton. And the topics that the subcommittee

will be taking up will be the Office of Special
 Trial Counsel. And also standing military
 magistrates.

The second subcommittee is case review 4 5 subcommittee, with a Chair of Ms. Bashford. The members of that subcommittee, in addition to her, 6 will be Ms. Garvin, Ms. Long, Mr. Markey and 7 8 General Schwenk. The topics that that 9 subcommittee will take up will be the appellate case review. And also acquittal rates and court-10 martial and additional topics related to that. 11 12 And then the third subcommittee will

be the policy subcommittee. The Chair will be
General Schwenk. And the additional members will
be General Anderson, Ms. Goldberg, Ms. O'Connor
and Chair Smith. And the topics will be the two
JES topics, which are victim impact statements
and alternative justice.

For the, going through here, for the meetings. At the September meeting, going through here, at the September meeting, the concurrence of the committee was that you would

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

hear from appellate practitioners, both 1 2 government and defense. And we'll see whether we can fit in the SVC practitioners and civilian 3 practitioners. And Ms. Bashford had also added 4 5 that she would like to hear introductions of the service representatives. 6 7 For the December meeting, which will 8 be two days, we will invite the Service 9 Secretaries, or their designees, back for an update on the OSTC project. Also, a panel of 10 11 MCIOs and an enlisted panel. 12 And the committee also discussed 13 tabling the alternative justice issue to 2023. 14 To look at it then. 15 Update on subcommittees. MS. CARSON: 16 MS. SAUNDERS: And also the update on 17 the subcommittees in the December public meeting. 18 Also, there will be a discussion for, 19 probably in the September meeting, of site visits 20 and what you just suggested, General Schwenk, 21 small groups going to talk to the allied militaries. 22

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

I	21 
1	And I'm just going through my notes to
2	see if I've forgotten anything. In the
3	alternative justice, one thing that you also had
4	discussed in 2023 would be to hear from a panel of
5	victim advocates and SARCs related to that issue.
6	
7	Anything else? Did I forget
8	something?
9	COL BOVARNICK: I was just going to
10	say, I know we have a couple of requests for
11	information on some data. I know we have that
12	written down somewhere specifically, but we'll
13	make sure that we have an update on the RFIs as
14	well. This one specifically on the diversity and
15	gender type of statistics that you requested,
16	ma'am.
17	MS. SAUNDERS: So I do have the list
18	of due-outs, which I can go through very quickly.
19	These are, the first one, tracking the Federal
20	Register Notice for the rewrite of the JSC. The
21	JSC is rewriting the rules and noting when the
22	public hearing would be held for that and

providing that information to the committee. 1 2 The second, Colonel Bovarnick mentioned that the Army TJAG had invited members 3 4 of the Committee to the Army Advocacy Center. So 5 that's something we'll provide you further The diversity statistics that information on. 6 Colonel Bovarnick just discussed. 7 8 Ms. Long mentioned the competency 9 sheets for the OSTC. So we will request those from the services. 10 11 General Anderson mentioned the, 12 brought up the idea of the Army memos to the 13 promotion board. Whether there could be some 14 kind of mechanism to enhance future promotion 15 potential. And so we can provide some 16 information on that if there is such a thing. 17 MEMBER SCHWENK: They're called 18 precepts. 19 MS. SAUNDERS: Precepts. 20 MEMBER SCHWENK: P-R-E-C-E-P-T-S. 21 MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you. 22 MEMBER SCHWENK: I think the Navy used

	۷.
1	to have it. And whether they still do, I don't
2	know.
3	COL BOVARNICK: The Army does as well.
4	I know they're always available after the board.
5	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
6	COL BOVARNICK: We'll figure that out
7	though.
8	MEMBER O'CONNOR: I think the Navy
9	does.
10	MS. SAUNDERS: Also, the Secretary of
11	the Navy Guidance memos and other, what's that
12	word
13	MEMBER O'CONNOR: Other services.
14	MS. SAUNDERS: other services.
15	Judge Grimm had requested information on how the
16	Coast Guard plans to implement the Special Trial
17	Counsel provisions. So we could prepared a
18	request for information to them.
19	And then, yes, I have the guidance.
20	And then the invitation to the General Counsels,
21	or their designees to return for the December
22	2022 public meeting for an update.

1	
1	So have I forgotten any of the due-
2	outs? Are there any additional items? Okay, I
3	guess that wraps it up.
4	COL BOVARNICK: Chair Smith, over to
5	you.
6	MEMBER SCHWENK: Could I have a
7	question?
8	COL BOVARNICK: Yes, sir.
9	MEMBER SCHWENK: Unrelated to that
10	list. Staff support for the subcommittees, is
11	there a plan for that?
12	COL BOVARNICK: Yes, sir.
13	MEMBER SCHWENK: In other words, I
14	want to know who I, as soon as I get approved,
15	who do I task to take care of everything for me?
16	(Laughter.)
17	COL BOVARNICK: That's predecisional,
18	sir. I still have to work that with the staff.
19	(Laughter.)
20	COL BOVARNICK: I'm on it for you.
21	MEMBER SCHWENK: I was afraid of that.
22	COL BOVARNICK: I'm on it.

1	MEMBER SCHWENK: But I was assured
2	early, at the last meeting, don't worry, there
3	will be plenty of support. And I'm counting on
4	it.
5	COL BOVARNICK: Sir, there is. I
6	just, we just have to go through who that is
7	going to be for you. We'll get you the best.
8	But the thing there is, every member of our staff
9	is incredible, so.
10	MEMBER SCHWENK: Unlike the Special
11	Trial Counsel where the individual has to want to
12	do it and they have to have the ability, I'll get
13	nobody if you do that. So just go with, they
14	have the ability, they're assigned.
15	COL BOVARNICK: There is going to be
16	a preference sheet, and I know you'll be first up
17	for everybody.
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIR SMITH: Anyone have any, oh,
20	hold on.
21	(Off-microphone comment.)
22	MEMBER CASSARA: Do we have dates for

221

1	4
1	the next meeting or just general September and
2	December?
3	Can you hear me?
4	(Off-microphone comment.)
5	MEMBER CASSARA: Okay. Do we have
6	definitive dates for the next meetings or just
7	September and December? I may have missed that.
8	COL BOVARNICK: Yes sir so the (audio
9	interference).
10	MEMBER CASSARA: Okay.
11	COL BOVARNICK: (Audio interference)
12	exact time to be determined. Virtual session for
13	everybody, we'll cover the admin stuff. So no
14	more than an hour. So basically the meeting
15	itself, Tuesday the 13th of September.
16	December, I have to defer. Hopefully
17	someone else on the team remembers the December
18	dates. I don't have those off the top of my
19	head.
20	MR. TREXLER: 13, 14.
21	COL BOVARNICK: 13, 14 December. It
22	should be a Tuesday, Wednesday as well.

1 MEMBER CASSARA: Thank you very much. 2 COL BOVARNICK: Yes, sir. I will be thinking of 3 MEMBER SCHWENK: you for my cruise ship in September. 4 5 CHAIR SMITH: Anyone have anything else before we ask Mr. Sullivan to adjourn the 6 7 meeting? MR. SULLIVAN: This meeting is 8 officially closed. 9 10 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 11 went off the record at 2:06 p.m.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

223

Α A.J 1:16 95:22 **a.m** 1:10 4:2 26:18 27:3 27:5.6 130:12 **ABA** 120:19 ability 90:13 118:17 167:12 175:21 182:7 221:12.14 able 32:15 43:3 90:14 96:11 100:1 140:20 188:22 189:5 203:7 above-entitled 27:4 130:11 223:10 absconded 140:14 absent 124:8 absolutely 75:14 79:20 85:14 118:2 127:16 152:3 163:19 165:5 188:4,10 abuse 168:12,17 169:2 169:6 172:21 accept 111:13 acceptable 33:16 accepted 171:11,11 accepting 146:9 201:21 access 82:12 129:4,11 153:5 accessibility 122:1 accompanied 202:21 accomplish 13:3 accomplished 213:18 accomplishment 36:20 accomplishments 35:10 account 144:18 149:8 accountability 30:21 58:20 74:7 79:10 accountable 51:19 accredited 120:19 accurate 147:16 accused 40:11 50:4 98:21 178:10 achieve 16:8 32:19 48:17 49:12 87:22 achieved 57:1 acknowledged 7:3 acknowledging 207:20 acquittal 160:7 162:2 162:13,15,21 164:2 165:10 201:5 215:10 Act 5:6 15:16 16:10 29:15 37:12 41:10 187:14 acting 31:8 206:17 action 32:11 64:4 65:17 76:1 125:17 actions 178:16 active 20:11

Active-Duty 100:16 actively 44:6 47:3 111:7 activities 8:10 15:7 actual 87:3 154:18 178:17 ad 158:6 Adam 11:15 adapt 71:11 adapted 71:10 add 24:2,3 33:1 75:10 88:17 99:16,17 104:20 108:8 119:14 168:6 179:13 210:3 212:11 added 155:2 176:9 182:21,21 216:4 adding 99:14 addition 33:18 38:19 42:12 45:14 89:10 91:20 99:22 214:20 215:6 additional 33:1 36:9 41:13,18 44:13 55:1 63:7 99:18,18,19 104:10 121:18 122:10 126:18 136:18 138:16 153:18 154:13 182:20 187:3 215:11,14 220:2 **Additionally** 13:9 44:6 address 21:5 30:6 47:9 50:18 102:15 116:12 192:10 addressed 118:19 151:22 167:9 addressing 46:19 118:11 125:6 adequate 127:16 adequately 127:19 adjourn 223:6 Adjourned 3:21 adjudicate 16:13 25:11 admin 222:13 administered 55:13 administration 9:7 43:1 administrative 54:8 68:6,9 70:13 111:16 132:7 admiral 27:13 29:9 30:5 31:5 32:1 34:6,11 39:21 42:17 43:7 44:4 47:5 75:7 Admiral's 77:3 adopt 158:14 adopted 66:1 adult 72:5 advance 36:16 57:2 178:11

advanced 95:13 advantage 118:21 adversely 50:3 advise 5:8 30:16 182:12 advisor 31:17 65:16 advisors 11:1 12:14 64:22 65:1 advisory 1:1,9 4:5,15 24:13 30:16 125:9,13 187:14 211:14 advocacy 92:13 93:1 134:3 218:4 advocate 6:1,2,3,5,5 9:13 28:6 31:4,6 35:13 40:19 46:18 52:6 60:6 61:9 62:8 68:5 81:18 90:18 103:8,11,18,20 112:19 121:3 129:7 133:1 141:8 144:1 191:19 212:17 advocates 27:1 45:10 46:17 58:13,17 61:13 63:22 64:10,19 70:3 79:2 85:20 103:19 104:14 111:20 112:12 118:1 125:2 137:14 144:4,19 192:19 193:12,16 194:5 197:10 212:18 217:5 Affairs 31:9 affect 15:20 56:8 affectionately 59:22 affinity 120:20 affirmative 120:13 affordable 103:1 afraid 220:21 African-American 121:5 afternoon 130:17 afterward 205:22 agencies 122:13,16 agenda 10:6 169:12 199:9 210:3 agent 78:12 agents 54:16 77:8,10 78:1 79:14 94:10 aging 108:1 ago 138:1 169:18 agree 75:11 147:17 148:2 164:17 182:16 213:6 agreement 45:21 ahead 116:15 128:9 161:4 162:6 205:8 207:3 211:2 aimed 82:6 Air 5:19,22 6:6 7:15

9:18 10:4 11:19 12:11 19:1 23:15 27:17,20 27:21 47:19 50:21 51:8 53:1,8 54:19 56:22 58:1 108:13 114:10 121:1,13 135:14 airmen 49:16 alacrity 58:22 alcohol 164:12 Alice 2:4 align 157:7 aligned 157:4 allegations 5:10 38:5 allied 216:21 allocate 56:1 allocation 41:18 allotted 132:13 allow 68:16 80:17 102:22 111:20 allowed 94:11 118:16 allowing 59:20 68:9 71:15 144:2 allows 106:10 176:21 alongside 34:6 alternate 196:22 alternative 65:18 155:22 189:7 192:11 193:21 197:6 198:1 201:16 215:18 216:13 217:3 Alternatively 166:10 alternatives 126:1 altogether 119:11 Amanda 2:6 amending 13:5 amendment 3:10 5:20 amendments 8:22 9:1 13:7 16:2,14 America 121:10 **Americans** 107:15 amount 104:7 117:6 197:9 analysis 55:14 162:16 164:7 181:19 Analyst 2:7 analyzing 179:12 Anderson 1:13 136:11 136:12 138:9 199:2.6 215:15 218:11 anew 16:22 angle 195:5 announce 202:16 204:9 announced 15:1 annual 8:19 60:2 131:15 155:14 166:11 184:8,15,19 188:1,2 190:10 205:18

annually 13:4 112:8 answer 110:10 123:14 123:15 127:1 128:21 173:10 answers 28:19 75:18 109:20 anticipate 89:21 97:2 97:12 anticipated 53:10 63:17 anticipates 53:17 anticipating 140:14 anybody 25:19 212:1 anymore 107:18 147:9 147:15 191:20 192:7 anytime 194:21 anyway 180:2 apologies 176:11 apologize 135:13 appealed 207:14,20 appealing 169:4 appeals 11:2 12:20 15:3 168:11 169:1 **appear** 47:16 59:20 84:2 127:14 157:14 211:2 appearance 37:17 42:2 101:8 **appeared** 153:13 210:20 appearing 28:13 123:11 211:22 appears 69:6 133:8 **appease** 149:6 appellant 115:4 **appellate** 64:16 68:12 69:13 86:10 87:14 98:1 112:8 113:3 116:4 131:7,8 153:7 153:19 154:2,9 156:1 157:6,9 163:15,17 172:19,20 173:7 183:15,22 185:2,4,6 185:18,18 186:1,2,21 189:9,14,14,15,18,19 190:1 193:8,14 194:6 194:9,10,13 197:17 198:7,8,9 200:20,22 206:3,5,12,21 208:7 214:5,10,14 215:9 216:1 applicable 71:2 applications 112:11 applies 113:16 138:21 138:22 apply 103:11 112:6 114:7,14 125:16 139:4 141:3 applying 46:6

**appointed** 18:20 48:13 63:18 200:9 appreciate 28:14 76:21 80:20 87:20 123:9 126:21 207:5,17 208:6 approach 36:3 44:22 52:3,19 207:5,17 approached 30:7 approaching 101:18 appropriate 8:22 13:5 66:10 67:18 192:2 197:6,10 appropriately 124:21 approval 22:1 43:11 189:1 **approved** 14:18 38:12 41:11 67:5,7 103:17 104:6 214:16 220:14 approximately 39:3,12 April 210:21 arbitrary 174:15 architect 52:7 area 115:8 120:5 areas 39:4 105:8 186:15 200:12 203:13 arena 92:11,21 111:4 122:3,3 arguments 180:17 181:10 Arlington 1:10 armed 1:2 4:7,17 5:11 11:2 12:20 15:3 33:20 38:15 101:12 140:17 178:3 arms 77:9,12 Army 2:2 5:22 6:2 11:12 28:2,6 54:19 59:9 60:11,15,19 61:1,7,15 61:20 62:2,7 64:9,13 64:21 65:15 71:10 81:21 93:4 100:6 109:14 112:19,22 115:3 122:1 128:11 128:18 129:5,7 134:3 135:14 136:18 143:17 145:7 213:11 218:3,4 218:12 219:3 Army's 59:21 61:18 134:3 150:20 arrange 21:11 arranging 10:8 arrival 36:11 article 18:1 42:15 141:2 176:10,15,16 177:5 177:21 180:7,10 articles 176:15 **Asians** 119:7

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

aside 66:5 asked 107:18 122:11 145:20 158:22 195:3 asking 178:19 192:17 aspects 139:17 assault 1:1 4:7,17 5:10 30:20 47:21 48:4 49:17,20 50:19 51:3 60:22 76:6 81:6,12 95:20 153:16 154:5 171:2 180:19 192:20 202:21 214:7 assaults 72:6 77:11 assembled 64:3 66:4 assess 36:19 46:20 57:20 75:15 assessed 66:10,15 assessing 46:6 75:12 76:13 assessment 36:17 46:13 213:5 assessments 75:14 83:11 assign 70:12 87:1 151:19 154:12,22 155:10 160:15.18 168:19 assigned 9:5 13:10 38:9 42:12 43:4 58:3 67:14 68:22 69:10 72:16 128:12 152:6 153:20 155:13,16 156:10 157:11 158:9 200:6 201:14 202:1,1 213:15 221:14 assigning 67:20 131:10 161:19 assignment 33:3 56:9 115:13 144:7,12 148:20 assignments 136:17,22 137:6,8,16 144:3 199:19 assist 6:22 36:1 53:6 64:22 69:2 92:2 97:6 195:4 assistance 68:11 202:5 assistant 31:8 105:6 assisted 45:9 99:3 assisting 82:6 94:22 associated 165:10 177:8 185:12 assume 69:19 assumptions 65:18 assurances 188:21 189:4 assure 80:22 assured 122:19 221:1

attempted 182:21 attend 25:7 133:9 202:20 203:8 209:6 attendance 203:3 attendee 6:16 attendees 4:14 54:16 attending 7:5 59:21 204:10 212:5 attention 15:12 181:2 197:7,13 attorney 31:22 attorney's 54:4 65:14 Attorney-Advisor 2:4,5 2:5,6,8,10,10,11,12 attorneys 51:17 53:14 53:19,20 64:20 attrition 83:22 162:19 attuned 43:2 audio 18:16 72:18 209:4,8,11 222:8,11 Audrey 2:4 173:2 augmented 57:9 AUSA 73:22 Austin 32:22 authorities 52:1 56:13 57:13 61:13 94:8 114:11 182:10 authority 22:2 46:11 49:5 58:2 139:7,8,12 Authorization 5:6 15:16 16:10 29:15 37:12 41:10 **available** 129:5,15 202:10 219:4 average 39:9 103:14 117:15 averages 108:13 avoid 68:15 134:12 aware 15:17 20:2 21:6 98:18 awful 195:20 aye 149:22 150:1,12,13 В **B** 2:4 back 5:17 16:17 25:10 27:7 28:10 74:20 81:15 87:8 100:18 103:12 109:16 117:3 117:4,18 121:11 122:11,18 123:13,13 125:17 130:14 131:1 134:21 140:21 145:18 149:1 158:7 181:10 183:21 194:11 196:3 196:9,13 199:18

204:14,18 216:9

background 10:18

169:16 176:11 backgrounds 208:22 balanced 98:3 110:12 ball 122:17 180:11 183:2.7 balls 108:21 109:1 bar 111:22 120:20 Base 41:4 based 83:5 91:18 108:10 143:2 149:16 151:21 166:13 198:4 207:14 baseline 67:3 bases 100:13 204:3 209:14 **Bashford** 1:14 23:7,8 72:3,3 78:16 80:6 147:3,3,4,5 160:8 162:1 164:3,4 173:20 173:20 174:2,2,16,18 191:15,15 192:5 200:20 204:2,7,9 208:15,15,17 209:4,9 212:4 215:5 216:4 basic 122:8 basically 77:6 85:21 119:16 165:3 222:14 basis 107:10 125:18 126:14 128:18 170:4 becoming 73:6 began 14:20 36:21 52:20 beginning 181:11 begins 13:15 16:21 55:18 begun 36:5 47:2 behalf 8:4 29:18 47:16 65:13 125:5 behaviors 34:4 60:20 61:4 88:1 belabor 155:6 **believe** 16:8 72:12 74:15,17 76:8 89:5 99:13 108:9.14 162:21 163:2 174:12 182:14 185:9,10 **bell** 135:21 Belvoir 92:14 134:4 bench 114:17 115:6 bencher 140:21 beneficial 183:11 187:1 195:7 benefit 115:3 benefits 72:22 Beshar 27:18 47:13 50:15 74:22 78:16 79:5 87:19 88:19 126:21

best 24:20 30:17 66:19 67:12 82:7 83:6 108:4 145:13 146:10 151:2 151:21 156:16 160:15 190:5 195:17 211:8 221:7 **beta** 56:2 Beth 7:14 better 59:17 73:2 76:2 78:12 115:10 116:18 117:8 118:7,20 120:1 120:6 121:12,13,15 164:15 166:6 beyond 59:2 64:9 95:20 108:19 201:6 BGen(R) 1:19 biennial 155:15 **big** 103:4 128:3 183:6 biggest 19:19 **Bill** 189:20 **billets** 85:20 86:6,7,8,8 86:10,11 97:20,22 98:1,2 144:19,21 bit 59:16 77:4 84:21 89:20 93:14 103:21 106:18 111:3 151:16 176:11 182:7 biting 178:22 biweekly 31:13 Blacks 119:6 blanket 170:9 bleeding 106:3 Bligh 27:16 29:10 30:5 31:6 32:2 34:7 35:7 39:17,19 43:10 44:20 45:1 47:6 75:7 76:22 77:1 78:9 87:4 99:1 105:18,21 113:6,12 120:7 173:22 **block** 185:19 196:15,18 **board** 40:17 44:3 62:10 70:18 86:19 105:12 112:9 113:13 115:16 136:19,20 138:5 194:19 218:13 219:4 boards 113:14 **boat** 179:19 **bodes** 123:4 **body** 13:1 bottom 145:14 157:21 159:13 bound 139:13 boundaries 169:19,20 **boundary** 170:2 bounds 170:8 **Bovarnick** 2:2 7:6,8 26:17 27:7 130:14 133:21 136:8 138:15

141:20 142:16 147:2 147:4,17 148:14,19 149:12 150:2,8,17 151:9 152:3,16 153:6 159:8 160:13 161:2,6 161:14 162:6 163:10 164:22 165:16,17 169:11 183:15 188:20 191:5 194:2 197:15 199:1,21 204:12 205:8 208:13,16 210:2,16 217:9 218:2 218:7 219:3,6 220:4,8 220:12,17,20,22 221:5,15 222:8,11,21 223:2 **box** 126:11 162:16 163:8 brain 20:13 24:16 **branches** 141:18 breadth 92:1 break 5:2 26:18 130:10 204:17,20 brief 6:9 109:19 123:10 131:14 202:16 briefed 38:14 128:17 153:8 155:19 157:15 200:21 201:3 briefing 117:6 119:15 159:15 206:13 briefings 131:3 140:16 140:19 **briefly** 87:19 brigadier 40:21 106:13 121:4 brilliant 147:1 bring 71:7 82:2 91:8 117:22 123:8 165:19 185:13 bringing 200:22 brings 13:21 32:5 115:5 broad 163:12 broader 190:2 brought 34:8 65:15 74:12 89:16 95:16 168:13 178:5 185:17 218:12 Budget 16:15 **bug-**101:3 build 56:20 75:4 79:15 79:20 89:14 building 36:8 43:14 45:2 90:9 92:22 built 91:4 95:21 Bureau 158:10 burnout 143:21 147:14 147:22 burnt 147:8,11

business 60:1 70:6.22 106:6 107:17 С cadre 49:6 79:21 91:3 calendar 92:18 California 41:3 call 8:12 76:1 77:2 99:18 108:21,22 145:2,13 146:8 149:17,18 151:1 153:22 212:15 call-in 132:6 called 30:15 82:1 103:8 140:5 176:16 218:17 Camp 41:2,2 77:21,21 Canada 209:20 210:6,8 cancer 148:22 candidates 115:15 capabilities 43:18 95:11 capability 32:20 36:4 36:16 45:2 56:12,18 56:21 57:1,8,21 capacity 7:18 19:4 38:8 43:14 135:16 capital 177:2 capitalize 68:14 **Capitol** 40:15 captain 11:22 12:6 110:19 captains 118:4 care 148:17 149:2 161:20 180:22 220:15 career 39:6 65:4 69:15 85:17,21 86:2,16 91:22 92:8,10 108:13 111:19 121:12 137:14 138:4 careful 75:11 Carlos 29:19 Carolina 41:2 Carrie 28:2 59:11 80:3 carries 9:4 carry 13:9 Carson 2:3 132:1 168:8 169:12,13 171:17 172:10,11,13,22 175:14 176:6 179:1,4 182:10,19 183:4,9 184:5 187:12 188:3,7 188:10,16 189:19 190:3 192:3,9 193:4 193:18,20 195:14 196:7,11,14,17 197:1 199:8 202:6 205:16 206:20 207:3 209:1,8 212:3 216:15

			2
case 54:10 55:11,12,14	certify 42:13 113:1	characteristics 83:16	closer 126:10 142:4
56:3 73:21 74:16 83:8	certifying 58:7,13 87:6	84:2	CNO's 76:10
83:11,12 86:4 95:2,5	cetera 75:3	charged 51:17 73:12	co-panelists 104:21
97:12 106:6 107:18	chain 38:2 49:2 98:15	74:7	Coast 11:22 12:3 37
154:2,19 156:1 157:6	143:17,19 145:8,9	charges 72:7 73:21	138:13,17 139:5,1
157:7,10,11 160:9	148:4 150:20 213:10	74:11	139:16,19 140:3,12
162:2,19 163:17	213:12	charging 49:13 73:3	140:18 141:4 219:
164:6,21 165:11	<b>chair</b> 1:10,13 4:11 7:10	95:14 125:3	code 9:2 12:22 13:6
167:21 170:3 172:4	7:13,16 9:16,18 10:2	Charlottesville 112:20	18:2 42:16 139:1,3
174:10,22 183:15	11:20 17:2 26:12	113:10 122:9	158:11,15
184:2 194:7 200:19	28:12 29:7 34:14	<b>check</b> 204:5	codes 92:8
215:4,10	39:21 47:7,12,13 48:7	checked 101:4	coercion 42:10 43:6
cases 49:20 51:18	52:12 59:7,11,14,18	checklist 203:10	<b>Coffey</b> 27:12 29:6,7
53:10 54:9 55:5 56:5	71:22 78:6,15 82:22	chewing 125:14	34:13,19 39:20 47:
57:12 63:21 70:9	83:2 85:5,9 90:6,20	chief 2:3 7:14 16:18	72:19 75:9,11 85:9
72:10 73:11 74:6	93:7,9,11 95:22 96:2	31:7,17 35:19 38:13	125:4 141:11
77:13 82:17 83:7,14	96:4 101:14,16	41:15 54:3 64:14,14	cogent 180:16
84:1,3,5,6 90:15	109:13 119:5 123:22	65:5 75:22 98:8	cognitive 95:9
91:10 92:3,20 95:13	129:20 130:9,15	108:22 112:9 114:8	cohesion 33:9,20
95:15,20 101:20	131:19 135:3 141:11	114:18 115:14 116:19	COL 7:8,12 17:16,20
164:14 166:22 169:2	142:2,10 143:3 151:7	chiefs 12:18 18:18	18:10,14 19:7,16 2
169:3,5,21 170:16	151:10 152:12,18	64:12,15 107:2	23:14,21 24:3,22 2
172:19,20,21 173:7	162:1 165:6 166:20	210:10	26:15,17 27:7 130
174:8,19 177:17	168:6 169:13 170:12	child 153:15 154:1	133:21 136:8 138:
179:12 180:19 182:2	173:21 174:16 176:2	168:12,14,17 169:2,6	141:20 142:16 147
183:6,19,20 187:6	178:19 179:3 192:16	169:21 214:6	147:4,17 148:14,19
205:15 206:4,13,22	193:2,14,19 194:12	children's 108:1	149:12 150:2,8,17
210:12	195:2 200:20 201:11	Chorus 150:1	151:9 152:3,16 15
Cassara 1:14 189:21	204:12 208:9 214:18	Chuck 2:6	159:8 160:13 161:
221:22 222:5,10	215:5,13,16 220:4	<b>CID</b> 81:5,11,19	161:14 162:6 163:
223:1	221:19 223:5	circuit 56:4	164:22 165:17 169
casts 171:8	Chair's 149:16 189:3	circuits 113:20	188:20 191:5 194:
casual 60:1	<b>chairman</b> 210:10	circumstances 143:13	197:15 199:1,21
category 172:8 176:1	Chairman's 11:3 12:17	178:14	204:12 205:8 208:
caused 111:2	chairs 31:9	<b>City</b> 1:9	208:16 210:2,16
caution 74:3 83:4 84:13	Chairwoman 63:5	<b>civil</b> 111:16	217:9 219:3,6 220
celebrating 117:17	108:15 109:19 121:17	civilian 29:19 39:13	220:12,17,20,22
center 92:13 93:1 134:4	Chairwoman's 86:12	48:15 54:4 64:20 65:3	221:5,15 222:8,11
218:4	challenge 65:18 121:19	65:16 66:20 70:12	223:2
Central 40:5	challenges 31:17 82:13	81:11 82:14 91:22	collaborate 95:2
certain 10:22 83:16	82:19	102:3 107:12 111:8	collaboration 56:3
109:4 177:8	chance 136:12	116:2,10 121:14	94:15
certainly 19:21 25:4	change 14:11,12 22:19	123:17,20 124:5,5	collaborative 61:8
47:9 82:12 88:21	23:10,11,17 24:2	132:19 168:15 175:6	collaboratively 48:1
91:14 98:18 114:9	25:21 52:15 53:16	189:22 190:1 198:8	collateral 155:15
115:9 119:8 121:19	61:16 64:2 71:7 80:16	216:3	colleague 112:22
133:11 134:20 136:22	102:22 104:6 105:11	civilian-led 48:9	colleagues 30:10 35
140:12,22 141:3	107:16 144:5	civilians 175:3	47:10 48:11 50:13
163:16,21 169:7	changed 13:18 103:15	clarifying 172:12	52:4 63:6 115:2
171:2 175:8,10	183:8	<b>class</b> 63:21	130:20 140:2
206:13	changes 10:9 13:13,20	clear 37:21 47:20 61:3	collected 175:12
certification 44:18	14:1,3,5,9 15:4,18	128:21 208:11	collective 50:18
67:15,19 85:6 86:13	16:1,8 17:8 19:18	clearly 63:14 76:20	collectively 128:7
01.10,10 00.0 00.10	20:14 21:4,19 24:20	97:11 120:5	College 37:5
89:2,11,13 91:13		close 115:7 191:13	colloquially 4:7
	25:13 46:20 51:10,15	<b>CIUSE</b> 115.7 191.15	
89:2,11,13 91:13 136:18 certified 46:1 57:10		closed 223:9	
89:2,11,13 91:13 136:18	25:13 46:20 51:10,15		<b>colonel</b> 2:2 5:18 7:6, 7:14 11:13,15,17 1

23:13 24:6 26:13 110:21 118:4 132:20 133:3 150:5,9 161:6 165:16 183:14 218:2 218:7 **com** 4:10 59:13 **combined** 44:9 162:16 162:17 come 9:22 10:16 14:16 18:6,22 25:6 50:1 60:13 84:5 87:8 92:16 99:5 100:18 118:21 120:1 121:11 123:13 123:21 135:5 167:11 171:15 183:10,20 200:3 204:18 **comeback** 142:17 comes 19:2 118:14 120:11 201:16 coming 24:7 25:12 26:22 88:14 105:10 110:14 168:4 174:7 184:8 196:9,13 204:4 211:19 command 49:2 73:17 98:10.15 120:10.13 137:1 181:1.2.9 commandant 6:4 27:2 27:15 31:6 35:20 41:16 46:18 79:12,17 106:2.7 commander 98:9 104:3 210:20 211:12 commander-directed 55:11 commanders 33:22 53:14 70:3 73:13,14 125:1 commanding 33:5 37:21 73:5,19 commend 50:10 comment 3:18 6:11,17 10:10 14:6,20 21:10 21:11,15,20 22:9,14 22:16 25:6 83:5 123:10,16 131:18 132:12 133:13 138:16 153:18,21 159:13 172:9 179:2 204:19 210:15,17,18 214:2 221:21 222:4 commented 79:7 comments 6:20 10:8 15:4 16:12,13 25:6,9 87:20 115:18 131:3 132:17 143:5 146:7 151:14 153:12 154:14 193:20 208:13 210:14

211:4 commission 17:6 30:19 41:12 47:10 49:19 180:16 commit 103:21 commitment 30:7 40:9 52:16 60:4.8 61:18 104:1 committed 29:21 34:7 41:22 51:3 59:2 107:2 120:17 197:3 committees 38:16 140:17,20 154:18 171:19 211:15 common 44:22 84:1 communication 17:11 53:13 69:22 communities 37:4 116:22 117:10 community 35:17 103:18 116:21,21 118:1 120:8 company 24:15 compared 117:9 **compete** 99:6 106:16 106:18 competencies 85:3 88:22 competency 135:15,19 218:8 competent 116:6 competing 66:6 144:20 competition 121:9 competitive 86:19 112:5 113:15.18 competitively 40:18 complaints 116:5 complementary 62:13 complete 6:13 45:19 67:8 80:12 137:15 152:20 completed 36:6 152:22 153:2 completely 76:18 complex 49:8 complexities 90:15 95:9,19 complexity 87:20 compliment 172:16 complimented 79:1 component 69:21 100:16,17 components 68:20 composed 9:10 20:15 composition 8:9 184:7 comprehensive 13:1 comprised 30:22 37:2 compromise 146:14

212:16 compromised 149:19 Comptroller 31:11 concentrated 57:5 concentration 39:4 105:8 concept 138:19 141:2 163:13 201:5 concern 99:9 124:2 concerned 72:15 106:22 168:9 concerns 149:8 conclude 36:10 153:7 concludes 47:7 152:19 154:9 165:13 204:13 conclusion 162:19 conclusions 84:15 concur 109:20 concurrence 215:22 **conduct** 8:19 43:3 176:18,20 177:9 178:12,13,14 conducting 82:7 conference 4:20 confess 26:3 **confident** 100:19 **confirm** 32:18 confirmed 62:9 70:19 conflict 15:21 confused 172:16 **Congress** 9:2 15:20 23:19 49:15 70:19 153:3,4 158:12 180:3 183:2 Congress's 180:11 congressional 48:8 124:2,4,10 149:6 consequence 74:14 consider 9:3 20:20 163:8 168:18 169:8 182:15 considerable 34:8 consideration 88:14 96:17 151:5 considered 97:13 143:18 170:3 considering 161:10 206:17 considers 13:12 consisted 64:7 consistency 49:12 consistent 15:14 42:14 45:17 consists 9:12 10:13 consolidated 203:12 constituency 192:22 194:18 constitutionally 40:6

construct 39:11 consultation 46:16 **consume** 149:11 contact 124:16 170:13 170:13,16 171:3,21 172:5 174:13,21 175:10,16,21 contained 41:10 contemplate 63:19 CONTENTS 3:8 contested 202:20 context 30:10 124:14 178:17 contextual 123:10 continually 118:10 119:1 continuation 103:8,19 106:1 continue 34:2 45:3 46:9 54:22 57:12 58:21 71:11 75:20 97:9 104:12,17 119:2 121:15 122:19 130:3 170:2 continuing 28:20 55:20 71:19 89:22 continuous 36:18 contract 111:15 114:18 contribute 128:7 control 37:21 convene 191:11 convening 48:6 50:10 114:11 conversation 162:11 196:22 197:5.7.9 conversations 105:1,4 convey 29:18 conviction 72:13,20 73:12 74:4,13 75:12 162:12 convinced 149:13 coordination 44:16 47:2 54:8 69:22 70:7 coordinators 192:20 core 111:14 112:1 Corner 60:1 **Corp** 65:15 corps 6:4 12:5 27:2 29:20 30:6 32:17,19 34:20 35:2,6,17,21 37:3 41:3,17,21 45:16 46:5,19 47:1 57:4 58:5,978:287:11 100:6 102:18 107:9 108:12 112:12 117:8 117:14 118:3 119:3 120:8 121:1 122:2,8 123:5

**Corps'** 39:17 40:3,12,22 41:7 44:21 45:1 **correct** 138:15 187:17 212:11 correcting 76:4 correctly 57:6 151:13 corresponds 203:20 cost 103:16 Counsel's 11:4 12:15 13:10 19:22 37:6 counsels 5:22 105:6 219:20 counterparts 82:14 109:21 115:12 counting 221:3 countries 209:18 country 119:17 209:13 county 65:7,8,14 couple 25:2 26:2 75:10 110:9 120:22 127:4 132:17 141:22 154:13 208:21 217:10 course 22:4 24:22 51:9 54:15,21 60:21 91:14 91:16 94:13 112:20 115:3.19.20 129:9 132:21 133:7 200:21 courses 64:4 65:17 129:10 court 6:22 11:2 12:20 14:12 15:3 93:6 109:4 109:5 167:20 180:11 court- 72:11 202:22 215:10 court-martial 5:20 132:10 164:15 176:22 202:9,13,19,21 203:3 203:8,18,19 204:10 212:4 court-martials 203:1 **courtroom** 110:22 courtrooms 114:10 courts 66:16 89:8 169:6 203:6 Courts- 19:17 142:12 courts-martial 8:20 10:9 13:1,4,8,13 14:10 15:9,10 23:18 53:8 140:1 160:8 162:3 177:7 201:5 courts-martials 203:16 cover 8:2 130:17 143:1 169:12 200:11 204:14 210:16 222:13 covered 38:5 40:8 46:11,22 49:2 53:11 53:22 55:2 56:5,19 61:14 62:17 68:4,18

69:10 78:13 93:18 94:8 98:21 139:6 155:5 covering 154:17 covers 168:5 coveted 114:5 **COVID** 118:14,21 Crandall 27:13 29:9 30:5 31:5 32:1 34:6 34:12,13 39:21 42:17 43:7,9 47:6 75:7,9 85:12 97:1 102:16 112:3 113:11 116:15 119:14 Crawford 121:5 create 53:15 65:8 67:5 71:12 created 5:4 17:22 81:22 creates 71:8 creating 62:19 159:19 creation 47:17 141:13 creative 208:6 credibility 51:13 crew 104:8,9 crime 47:21 crimes 49:8 51:19.20 73:177:799:4 criminal 5:13 13:2 20:9 31:10,22 53:10 64:13 65:3 77:5,22 78:8 80:10 176:13 185:10 190:11 193:11 Criminologist 2:11 **Critchley** 2:4 153:9 173:3.9.15 criteria 44:18 87:3,6 critical 32:6 33:10 34:10 35:14 48:19 55:15 64:22 66:5 75:14 127:22 147:7 crucial 70:7 127:16 cruise 223:4 **CTCs** 94:2 culminates 56:11 cultural 52:17 culture 53:15 curious 93:18 96:10 170:12 current 8:10 15:7 64:11 81:1,21 98:7,11 105:22 155:18 185:12 202:22 currently 9:17 10:4 11:10 15:8,22 19:16 52:6 56:15 57:4 **cut** 92:13 143:20 144:17 147:11 174:14 cutoffs 174:15

D D.C 38:17 DA's 78:17 **DAC-**21:1 158:18 DAC-IPAD 1:2 2:1 4:8 4:17 5:5,7 6:14 83:12 153:5 158:20 **DAC-IPAD's** 5:14 daily 66:5 **Dale** 2:3 damaging 33:19 data 53:7 82:11 163:1 164:6 175:9,12 187:4 217:11 database 159:19 date 73:6 179:8 186:20 192:13 dates 221:22 222:6,18 David 27:16 day 4:14 6:9 99:6 131:22 132:6,11 133:5,19,20 196:1 197:18 201:13 days 6:9 14:7 22:20 93:2 133:8 142:1,20 198:11,18 203:6 211:8 216:8 days' 133:4 deadline 32:21 57:2 184:19 deadlines 71:6 deal 129:15 147:14 dealt 168:11 190:20 debt 103:14 106:11 decade 91:4 December 32:21 34:22 36:16 46:12 52:2 56:12,19 64:1 142:5,6 142:18,19 165:5 184:18 190:6 193:5 193:18 195:10,11,16 196:4,10 197:19 198:10,14,18 199:7 216:7,17 219:21 222:2,7,16,17,21 decide 179:8 180:12 decides 108:3 146:2 decision 16:20 48:21 72:22 78:10 115:17 172:2 174:11 192:12 decision-making 128:2 decisional 22:12 23:3 decisions 10:21 49:13 51:11 62:15 63:20 73:3 77:19 116:4 125:3 deck 69:6 dedicated 49:7 63:15

dedication 52:15 deep 60:8 deeper 89:20 defending 98:21 defense 1:1,1 4:5,6,15 4:16 5:4,6,8,9 8:13,15 8:18 9:6 15:16 16:10 17:7 29:15 31:22 32:22 37:11 39:8 41:9 44:11 45:18 46:16 64:15,16 69:11,18 86:8 87:13 91:5 96:18 96:20 97:16,22 98:12 98:15,20 99:14 100:1 101:6,21 102:10 110:10 111:1 124:9 140:8 144:21 158:18 158:19 182:12 185:4 185:18,22 189:15 190:1 198:9 216:2 Defense's 41:11 defenses 94:8 defer 85:10 193:22 194:4 222:16 deferred 166:7.14 define 176:4 defined 60:18 definitely 25:1 136:9 142:21 151:15 163:11 163:22 197:8,11 definition 153:15 154:4 214:7 definitive 222:6 degree 102:2 149:4 **Del** 29:19 30:14 31:13 33:17 125:8 delegated 10:22 delete 145:14 146:4 151:11 deleted 146:1 151:3 213:15 deleting 146:10 deliberation 143:2 195:19 deliberations 32:13 131:6 186:3 deliberative 35:8 delineated 54:6 deliver 187:4 demand 141:8 178:11 demands 83:7 144:20 deny 129:11 department 8:13,15,18 9:6 17:6 18:20 26:22 27:11 28:1 29:13 30:11,15 31:1,7,12 32:7,11 33:13 34:4,17 35:22 36:2 47:8,18

48:16 52:2.14.18 53:7 53:17 54:14,20,22 56:8,16,22 64:12 76:2 76:4,7 86:14 89:17 112:5,11,14 125:6 126:13 127:13 139:11 140:7 Department's 36:22 140:4 departments 44:10 depending 194:6 depends 107:13 depth 92:1 108:7 194:19 deputy 2:3 6:2,5 27:21 43:22 45:4 52:6 85:15 121:3 141:8 165:14 derived 37:11 describe 32:15 93:13 described 154:8 182:5 describing 186:16 description 179:13 deserves 197:7,8 design 44:8,13 48:7 designated 1:22 4:4 9:13 200:9 designed 70:16 82:1,2 202:19 designee 11:1,3,4 12:15 designees 123:12 216:9 219:21 **Designer** 2:8 desire 114:7 destructive 33:7 34:4 detached 42:15 detail 87:2 155:5 detailed 40:2 69:16 203:22 details 52:9 63:1,8 88:20 detention 200:13 determination 34:3,9 determine 22:8 66:21 83:15,17 97:19 133:9 determined 63:21 79:16 166:12 222:12 determines 166:5 determining 67:3 97:22 develop 28:21 35:3 49:6 55:1,19 78:19 95:4 114:1 130:4 165:2 198:13 200:7 201:2 202:4 developed 36:2 46:7 52:3 65:19 66:8 70:22 87:9 136:5 141:1 160:4 161:18 165:9

200:17 201:9 developing 40:6 44:7 44:17 56:15 57:5 67:16 95:12 136:1 development 28:18 37:8 39:18 43:8,12,17 44:21 65:20 69:16 70:5 92:8 116:20 164:9 develops 152:2 156:13 devote 34:2 devoted 32:11 34:1 DFO 131:19 133:17 dialogue 28:21 71:20 130:3 **Diego** 39:3 difference 175:15 different 22:19 25:2 109:6 113:4 117:20 118:8,9 119:15 172:6 175:19 211:17 difficult 118:14 122:5 difficulties 5:1 82:20 digging 10:17 digital 95:15 dignity 51:21 diligently 70:12,21 128:13 direct 79:19 151:20 158:18,20 directed 17:6,7 42:21 64:2 125:10 158:19 180:8 direction 18:4 93:1 122:20 143:3 149:17 directly 42:7 49:4 51:11 57:22 77:15 98:8 126:16 139:10,21 director 2:2,3 31:10 32:2 52:8 77:5 121:6 165:15 directorates 82:1 Disagree 152:11 disappointment 33:12 discipline 55:17 61:19 176:19 177:10 181:2 disciplines 111:14 112:1 discredit 178:2 discrediting 176:20 177:10 178:2 discrete 32:9 discretion 6:21 144:13 182:14 discuss 8:6,8 14:1,17 21:13 28:15 39:17 47:17 132:1 166:1 168:20 170:11 184:6

186:22 190:9 202:8 204:16 213:22 discussed 146:16 156:2 160:18,21 168:3 196:2 200:22 205:5 212:9 213:19 216:12 217:4 218:7 discussing 89:1 171:9 discussion 3:16 6:8 28:22 30:11 76:20 83:5 131:3,6,11,15,16 132:20 143:4,8,10 153:8,10 156:6,9,15 159:21 165:14 166:8 166:18 169:14 170:5 170:10 186:12 187:2 198:5 200:10 210:1 216:18 discussions 81:10 129:1 131:19 132:18 135:3,4 187:7 disposition 46:22 50:6 55:12,13,15 61:13 63:20 dispositions 49:21 disregard 167:17 distinguished 29:7 34:14 39:22 47:14 50:16 52:13 distributing 10:6 district 54:4 districts 114:20 diverse 53:1 117:22 120:9,14 122:10 diversion 65:11 diversity 116:11,13,13 116:13,18 117:9 121:22 122:6 134:18 217:14 218:6 division 19:3,12 64:13 77:22 80:11 186:1 divisions 5:14 64:16 185:4 **DJAG** 48:14 docketed 203:17 documents 189:2 **DoD** 22:4 24:15 47:2 57:2 61:16,21 138:21 138:22 140:16 153:3 183:3 186:19 211:18 doing 10:5 31:20 102:12 120:21 134:5 183:3 184:1 188:6,9 191:1 **DOJ** 100:18 **DOJ's** 92:15 dollars 106:8 **domestic** 65:9,10

Dominguez 210:20 211:12 door 181:18 double 159:4 Dr 1:18 2:11 214:21,21 draft 13:19 195:15 drafter 123:22 draw 31:20 107:12 108:2 drug 177:4 due 50:8 due- 220:1 due-outs 212:9 217:18 duplication 68:16 duration 88:6 duties 8:9 13:10 18:21 19:9 38:9 42:4,5,11 43:4 54:7 58:14 duty 20:11 22:6 36:12 38:11 45:7 46:3 144:2 144:6 212:20 Dwight 1:22 4:4 23:9 139:15 142:14 172:11 176:6 181:13 187:12 210:14 Ε 94:15 95:2 120:18 126:19,20 221:2

230

**E** 1:14 earlier 33:8 74:20 117:4 early 28:18 32:12 87:7 earn 103:19 easier 150:10,14 easily 141:4 178:4 East 45:10 Eastern 6:19 easy 175:12 176:5 echo 115:11 economy 102:20 edited 123:20 editing 207:10 **EDT** 1:10 educated 168:10 education 38:10 55:20 67:18 74:8 103:16 EEO 178:15 effect 19:18 52:2 56:13 164:12 178:16 effective 51:4 55:14 57:15 effectively 38:9 66:22 effects 46:20 effectuate 52:16 efficiencies 68:15 77:19 efficient 55:14 57:15 efficiently 132:15

effort 35:1 36:18 47:3 58:19 61:8 71:18 77:20 137:4 138:5 efforts 35:9 36:2 40:3,5 44:14 45:2 50:18 51:22 56:14 57:19 59:2 63:9 65:8 68:14 101:21 122:1,6 eight 53:3 94:10 120:2 152:1 either 114:21 145:2 166:5 177:9,17 178:1 210:8,11 Eleanor 2:11 element 40:14 53:19 70:14 178:1 elements 82:2 178:4,9 eliminate 48:4 eliminating 60:22 Elizabeth 5:18 email 5:4 25:16 203:15 210:18,19 211:5 embedded 81:18 embedding 81:12 embraced 58:19 emergency 147:8 emotional 90:14 emphasis 75:22 emphasized 127:21,21 employment 107:22 enable 68:2 enact 58:21 enacted 41:9 103:12 176:14 enacting 51:6 enactment 59:3 encourage 88:2,4 103:9 212:1 encourages 158:14 endeavor 34:5 enduring 48:3 energy 34:2,9 enforcement 55:9 94:20 engage 57:17 81:6 engaged 42:18 44:7 178:12 engaging 80:8 engender 49:14 enhance 51:13 218:14 enhanced 137:8 enhancing 70:9 137:15 enlisted 191:19 192:3,4 198:20 216:11 ensuing 127:3 ensure 11:6 21:12 41:19 43:2 51:18,20 52:1 53:15 55:10,15

57:6 58:20 60:15 61:9 65:18 66:12 67:16 68:22 69:3,8,14,17 70:16,22 98:3 101:4,7 101:10 108:5 111:20 114:22 ensures 12:21 70:8 ensuring 37:15 41:22 58:7,17 62:13 63:10 98:19 107:2 114:16 162:11 entails 91:16 entered 43:13 enterprise 97:4,14 105:3 enterprise- 44:7 enthusiasm 136:16 137:10 entire 15:9 85:21 144:9 entirely 68:3,8 entities 81:9 enumerated 18:1 environment 46:8 112:18 118:22 203:1 environments 87:16 envision 124:18 envisions 124:11 equal 103:13 138:6 equally 51:3 equation 99:14 equipment 39:14 44:15 equipping 58:8 equity 121:22 equivalent 9:14 18:19 118:5 210:10 eradicate 34:3 eradicating 29:21 Erin 12:6 especially 24:20 102:3 102:19 161:10 170:1 171:4 205:13 essential 30:4 essentially 110:7 122:12 144:8 145:16 146:4 195:15 establish 40:3 45:19 62:3 established 30:14 33:18 77:6 177:13 187:16 establishes 38:16 establishing 61:21 67:3 67:9 establishment 32:16 36:22 61:10 96:16 et 75:3 etcetera 116:14 ethnicities 118:9

119:16 evaluate 56:5 evaluated 56:6 evaluating 55:5 127:9 event 148:7 events 211:8 eventually 67:5 106:7 170:21 everybody 25:9 100:9 115:5 221:17 222:13 evidence 15:11 73:15 95:13,15 evolution 115:10 evolved 171:19 exact 105:15 120:4 121:11 179:1 222:12 exactly 91:15 138:1 175:20 179:5 example 14:8 21:18 49:18 85:15 88:3,8 105:6 122:4 134:8 143:18 156:7 165:21 166:3 200:2 excellent 16:5 24:8 exception 143:13 144:17 exceptionally 84:18 exceptions 146:20,21 147:6 148:9 213:1,7 excess 64:7 excited 105:2.12 excitement 100:12 104:21 105:16 109:21 110:13 excluded 24:16 excuse 30:19 131:7 134:2 194:7 execute 37:19 42:9 45:20 52:19 57:7 64:5 124:20 210:5 **executed** 48:9 53:3 69:5 71:8 123:17,21 124:5 executing 53:21 execution 36:10,13 46:4,9 57:15 63:9 executive 2:2 10:1,3,5 12:10 18:5 19:5,9 25:17,22 176:9 177:12 178:3 exercise 46:11 55:9 exercises 53:4 exhausting 195:21 exigent 143:13 existence 91:2 existing 56:4 57:13 expanded 201:6 expansive 65:2

expect 21:7 97:4 175:19 203:15 expedite 18:4 expedited 17:8 expeditious 70:8 experience 31:21 34:2 34:9 38:11,21 39:10 40:20 45:6 49:10 54:13 65:3 84:22 88:17 90:1,6,7,17 91:7 92:2,9 94:1 95:20 96:12 101:22 102:7 108:7 110:22 111:6,18 148:5 182:2 experienced 41:5 51:12 62:7,16 64:8 67:13 69:8 84:19 96:9,11 97:2,6 99:3,20,21 100:2,8 106:14 experiences 88:5 207:15 expert 30:3 38:7 51:12 64:20 86:1,3,6 **expertise** 38:8 49:9,12 61:17 78:20 123:7 129:15 experts 5:15 37:2 52:21 54:19 65:2 86:4,9 89:2,18 91:22 95:16 129:8,10 explained 34:19 express 60:4 159:2 167:12 expressed 149:8 extend 49:22 extensive 45:5 extensively 155:20 extent 82:5 92:4 149:5 extra 98:4 104:7 138:6 145:21 extrapolated 53:7 extremely 64:7 116:6 137:1 eye 102:18 122:17 eyes 30:8 F faces 171:10

faces 171:10 facilitate 57:14 203:3 facilities 39:14 45:12 facility 44:14 92:15,15 92:17 fact 37:17 42:2 49:8 100:20 101:1 102:4 110:18 138:21 164:13 166:21 fair 99:10 fairly 109:19 189:1

195:11 fairness 66:13 109:3 **Falk** 2:4 fall 70:20 160:9 173:7 206:19 falling 139:6 162:22 familiar 178:15 families 71:14 118:18 family 65:6 107:17 147:7 148:12 far 20:17 43:17 95:12 102:10 126:18 142:7 147:22 160:4 188:18 201:21 fashion 132:15 192:6 favor 149:18,21 favored 73:17 favors 178:11 February 37:13 federal 1:22 4:4 10:10 14:5,19,22 16:3 22:3 22:15 24:12 25:5 26:4 26:9 31:21 122:13,16 133:4,19 177:1 187:14 217:19 feedback 25:1.19 119:19 199:9 feeds 75:2 feel 23:9 50:8 60:8 100:20 115:9 167:2 167:21 212:2,10 feeling 147:8,11 fellow 29:9 felony 174:19 felt 105:15 female 121:4,5 fewer 49:22 74:6 107:14,20,20 field 36:15 42:22 46:8 53:15,20 54:2 55:21 100:12 108:14 fields 121:12 fierce 121:9 **fifth** 56:15 figure 73:20 204:20 219:6 figured 127:2 fill 87:17 144:19,20 185:17 190:3 filling 11:21 54:11 filter 74:14 final 16:19 62:4 78:10 82:10 115:17 151:1 153:1.3 finalization 17:18 finalize 44:14 finalized 16:14 128:21 155:8,8

finally 12:5 36:17 44:16 46:1,13 67:7 92:12 134:17 145:11 154:6 find 82:16 107:15 137:9 fine 123:14,15 197:4 fingerprints 125:20 finish 183:19 finished 16:1 20:1 fired 210:9 firmly 29:21 71:5 firms 122:12 first 9:11 44:5 45:7 48:19 59:19 60:4,17 61:2,5 68:21 86:20 90:21 109:22 119:18 121:3 127:5,6 143:22 144:18 146:9,18 149:10,14,20 153:11 169:17 171:19 184:7 190:14 204:10 205:1 208:5 212:14,17,18 214:17 217:19 221:16 firsthand 33:7 202:22 firsts 120:22 fiscal 5:6 15:15 29:14 30:13 32:10 63:13 72:6 111:15 fit 197:17 216:3 fits 203:4 five 111:14 152:10,17 169:18 185:19 195:22 203:6 209:9 flag 38:20 44:2 98:10 100:7 139:10 flavor 93:19 fleet 39:4 105:2,8,17 fleshed 151:16 162:8 floor 80:17 flowing 169:5 FOC 57:16 94:5 focus 32:4 61:4 66:6 68:3,17 87:21 122:19 131:16 184:10,21 focused 38:4 43:16 53:21 61:17 63:10 92:19 95:18 113:19 152:4 focuses 113:4 focusing 40:6 folks 9:10,15 59:9 85:10 87:17 89:17 90:1 91:10 93:21 94:3 94:9 99:10 100:1,2,10 100:18,21 105:2,17 106:17 107:18 111:7 114:14,17 115:14 128:6 142:2 167:2 185:3 193:8 194:10

194:13 198:2.6 follow 93:12 132:18 135:3,7 136:9 137:19 141:21 164:19 190:15 followed 50:9 171:14 following 29:18 force 5:19 6:1,6 7:15 9:18 10:4 11:19 12:12 23:16 27:17,20,21 47:19,19,22 51:8 53:2 53:2,8 54:19 57:1 58:1 66:14 108:13 109:22 114:10 121:1 121:1,13 124:6 135:14 164:13,13 Force's 19:1 50:21 Forces 1:2 4:7,17 5:11 11:2 12:20 15:3 33:21 178:3 foremost 110:1 113:19 forensic 95:11 forget 164:10 192:7 217:7 forgotten 217:2 220:1 form 25:18 26:6 137:12 175:11.16 192:6 formation 157:3 186:17 188:17 formations 60:22 formed 8:15 186:18 189:8 194:8 former 22:4 31:21 52:8 64:12 73:5,22 forms 155:22 201:16 Fort 60:7 81:17 92:14 134:4 190:16 forth 81:16 181:11 fortunate 34:5 forum 59:22 116:20 127:14 forums 127:14 forward 22:2 50:1,11 59:4 63:2 71:5,19 80:14,15 81:10 100:3 104:19 106:19 114:2 122:2,7 145:15 found 15:15 77:17 110:17 122:12 four 66:4 155:18 168:15 190:4 195:20,21 203:18 fourth 28:7 frame 111:11 165:5 framework 35:3 43:13 Frank 47:16 free 23:9 37:19 42:9 43:5 62:15 119:13 212:2,10

frequent 42:21 front 19:19 141:9 207:22 211:19 full 19:6.8 32:20 34:21 36:3,16 46:9 56:11,17 56:20 57:7,21 64:2 71:1 143:10 165:4 194:9,12,19 195:3,11 197:18 201:1 full-time 18:12 20:20 fully 31:2 41:17 42:18 55:7 70:20 96:11 156:13 207:11,13 function 68:18 69:3 96:18 97:17 98:17 107:8 169:2,4 174:6 175:20 functionality 34:21 functions 54:8 79:11 fundamental 50:7 funding 39:14 68:10 furnished 10:2 further 40:7 45:14 51:13 55:19 81:14 117:5 131:6 139:18 143:8 146:2 152:7 164:9 186:12 187:2 203:13 213:16 218:5 fusion 82:1 future 57:20 63:10 81:1 89:22 97:10 114:20 123:4 137:7 146:11 151:4 163:21 203:16 204:11 210:1 212:1 213:19 218:14 **FY** 41:9 42:4 45:17 46:15 61:15 159:17 FY22 3:11 FY23 182:20 G **G3** 64:21 Gail 121:5

G3 64:21 Gail 121:5 gained 77:19 Gallagher 2:5 132:10 202:10,15 204:5,8 garner 53:16 Garvin 1:15 162:5,5,7 167:4,4 207:2,2,3,4 208:9 215:7 gate 22:10 gather 134:22 GC 34:1 73:6 GEN 39:19 45:1 50:14 52:11 77:1 78:9 79:4 87:4 88:18 89:4 93:20 99:1 100:4 105:18,21

106:21 113:12 114:3 120:16 gender 116:13 217:15 gendered 23:17 generally 89:7 144:6 generation 58:9 100:9 generations 107:16 generous 123:7 Gentile 1:17 gentleman 23:20 gentlemen 7:13 16:22 26:16 George's 65:7,14 getting 60:5 90:5 91:19 93:18 190:18 Gillibrand 145:20 give 25:2,20 63:1 106:16 109:14 119:6 130:16,17 133:4 138:6 190:9 197:6 208:20 given 22:18 96:15 189:4 giving 124:22 glad 87:8 globe 38:18 goal 13:3 34:7 49:11 50:7 67:12 74:10,13 78:19 88:4 goals 48:17 Goldberg 1:15 215:15 govern 128:19 governed 8:13 government 64:16 70:3 111:1 122:13 185:3 185:18,22 189:15 198:8 216:2 grab 7:22 graduate 115:21 grandparents 107:19 granted 42:4 Graphic 2:8 grateful 47:15 greater 49:14 63:1 75:21 76:10 168:15 Grimm 1:16 139:14,14 150:5,6,9 161:11 172:14,17 214:20 219:15 groping 170:21 171:2 **Gross** 178:5 groundbreaking 50:22 group 9:11,11,12,20,21 10:12,14,19 11:8 12:4 12:7,9 13:18,21,22 14:15,16,18 18:17,22 19:2 53:1,3 117:22 156:8 185:13 196:19

208:7 209:16 groups 9:10 120:20 134:14 193:6 197:17 216:21 grow 97:9 100:9 115:1 119:4 growth 39:12 53:10 68:15 110:7,8 111:11 Guard 11:22 12:4 37:7 100:17,18 108:5 138:13,17 139:5,12 139:16,19 140:12,18 141:4 158:10 219:16 Guard's 140:3 guardians 49:16 guess 17:12 125:5 142:4 143:4 149:5 156:18 157:8 159:3,9 161:15 165:7 167:7 167:10 168:11 179:9 194:11 202:7 209:19 220:3 quesstimate 172:17 173:4,14 auests 27:9 guidance 37:13 45:17 124:22 141:12,17 219:11,19 quide 130:21 quideposts 60:19 guiding 35:9 37:10,14 Gupta 2:5 н Hagy 2:6 half 44:5 117:12 hand 7:9 28:10 66:7 75:6 180:21 204:14 handle 69:10 134:19 174:8 handled 77:10 210:11 handling 51:18 78:5 handout 211:22 hands 90:16 107:20 141:18 150:13 171:13 happen 17:11 201:7 happened 168:1 happens 133:18 148:1 148:15 happy 61:20 202:16 204:9 harassment 17:22 48:4 176:4,9 177:13,17 178:1 179:2 180:5,7 180:13,18,22 181:12 181:19 182:22 hard 34:20 127:1 150:12 208:18

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

harmful 60:20 harms 47:21 hat 73:22 Hayes 1:10 head 135:17 139:10 222:19 headquarters 38:16 40:14 53:19 64:12 70:14 heads 96:8 191:12,13 healthy 108:14 hear 25:9 59:13,13,14 84:16 119:8 145:5,5 184:20 185:5 189:9 190:15 191:17 192:1 193:3,6,7,11 194:10 194:13,17 198:3,20 201:1 206:6 216:1,5 217:4 222:3 heard 6:20 129:8 133:22 135:9 139:16 142:22 143:7 145:11 149:19 150:22 151:12 152:16 154:3,6,9,15 155:20 156:18,22 157:12,17 159:10,14 161:20 162:4 169:22 180:15 185:1 186:11 187:3 198:5,15 207:11,19 211:9 hearing 14:7 15:2,5 16:4 17:14,17 18:8 25:8 48:6 50:11 123:16 200:15 205:15 217:22 hearings 200:13 hears 126:12 heavily 91:5 held 14:7 15:2 39:10 44:2 54:15 112:9 145:22 203:1 217:22 help 16:8 24:13,20 34:3 110:14 148:6 189:21 helpful 28:22 161:13 184:14 193:3 211:16 helps 194:19 206:20 Hernandez 5:19 7:9,12 7:14 17:16,20 18:10 18:14 19:7,16 22:13 23:6,8,14,21 24:3,7 24:22 26:7,13,15 132:21 133:3 Hernandez's 23:13 hey 105:9 **Hi** 135:12 high 65:9,10 higher 74:12 117:15 173:17

highlight 75:13 80:5 highlights 31:14 highly 49:7 57:9 68:1 84:18 91:21 114:4 190:12 hired 64:22 65:2 hiring 61:2 Hispanics 119:6 historic 52:14 120:22 history 121:4 hit 117:14 152:9 hitting 190:2 hold 16:3 51:19 106:5 146:11 213:22 221:20 holds 10:2 holistic 58:19 79:8 Hollywood 28:8 home 100:18 Homeland 140:7 Hon 1:13,15,16,18,20 honest 118:13 honor 60:13 140:11 176:7 Honorable 1:10 27:12 27:18 28:2 50:14 74:22 honored 5:21 7:21 Hood 60:7 81:17 190:16 hope 28:20 129:17 130:3 203:7 hopefully 74:7 77:21 78:1 104:17 106:8 187:20 198:11 222:16 horizon 19:19 hosted 112:19 hour 186:3,4 222:14 hours 93:17 132:13 185:20 186:2 house 17:7 18:4 38:15 103:7 140:16,19 142:13 L IAP 30:16,22 31:9,13,14 32:3,8,11 33:18 125:9 idea 75:14 140:2 168:21 182:17 194:16,16 198:19 218:12 ideas 115:10 identified 11:18 12:3 32:8 43:20 45:4 62:7 86:13 136:22 193:5 identifies 203:16 identify 47:4 87:17 99:20 136:21 203:12 208:19 identifying 7:1 45:11,14

115:15

ignites 71:9 **III** 1:9 imbalance 96:18 97:15 97:16 99:8 100:22 101:9 immediate 63:10 81:5 179:16,18 impact 50:4 51:15 95:17 105:14 109:1,2 123:2 155:21 165:21 167:17 192:10 201:15 205:10 206:1 207:1 215:17 impacted 167:22 implement 3:11 30:17 61:15 65:8 219:16 implementation 30:2 30:16 34:17 35:4,11 36:1,19 41:6,20 51:4 65:21 69:15 71:1 75:16 124:12 125:9 128:12,14 141:2 implementing 29:14 implicates 21:17 importance 30:8 94:14 127:22 important 50:11 60:17 62:12 68:17 76:14,20 97:18 101:1 127:6,11 129:3 130:1 137:1 138:3 175:17 183:2 190:18 192:22 importantly 95:3 158:17 imposed 167:15 impression 147:6 151:14 improper 23:10 62:15 **improve** 109:10 improved 109:9 inaugural 54:15 incentives 102:20 inception 39:7 include 9:6 15:10 85:7 94:20 131:11 153:15 158:12 192:17 205:18 included 14:10 37:8,14 54:18 64:10 163:11 163:20 164:1.1 174:21 180:17 214:6 includes 11:1 36:9 47:19 56:21 60:21 128:15 161:11 including 31:4 49:15 54:16 123:18 124:21 125:18 154:1 182:22 inclusion 51:21 121:22 incorporate 163:14

incorporates 44:8 incorrect 72:4 increase 93:5 103:18 110:22 increased 110:15 111:9 incredible 9:10 10:17 221:9 incredibly 182:2 incremental 36:10 independence 48:20 61:17 67:8 128:1 independent 17:5 30:2 30:18 37:17 38:2 41:11 42:1 43:3 49:18 51:1 55:12 56:10 60:7 68:18 73:1 98:7,14 143:19 145:7 148:4 150:20 180:16 independently 62:14 indicate 137:6 indicated 63:7 141:13 146:22 170:16 indicating 110:1 indicia 88:11 **individual** 66:11.13 127:12 181:3 221:11 individual's 127:9 individuals 9:21 84:22 87:12 99:3 110:16 113:20 122:15 infancy 81:15 influence 42:10 43:5 62:15 inform 87:9 information 10:20 71:17 82:5 134:20 135:1 139:19 186:8 187:2,3,5 203:22 217:11 218:1,6,16 219:15,18 informed 10:20 31:2 initial 29:1 43:17 44:1 45:8 55:19 56:18 57:1 62:6 86:22 96:7 112:17 113:1 137:9 154:21 198:13 210:4 211:10 initially 14:16 151:10 initiation 53:12 initiatives 65:13 **input** 24:18 131:13 ins 159:13 insert 108:7 inside 13:16 78:11 inspections 42:22 installation 70:1,6 installations 64:11 instance 208:5

instilling 83:14 institutional 108:6 instruction 8:14 91:6 91:16 instructions 5:3 57:14 instructor 87:15 Instructors 54:18 insular 24:14,15 integrate 79:11 integrated 45:19 78:22 94:18 integration 51:16 intelligence 31:10 90:14 intend 79:20,22 101:9 intensive 174:22 intent 15:20 48:8 49:1 75:5 78:3 124:3,4,10 130:19 inter-service 8:14 interacting 189:17 interagency 16:15,16 17:18 interest 114:12 159:1,2 185:10 187:7 interested 122:21 142:3 158:2 169:10 interesting 104:5 164:8 171:18 189:16 207:7 **interface** 183:18 interference 18:16 37:20 72:18 127:20 209:4,8,11 222:9,11 interim 11:11 43:20 62:6 85:15.15 internal 67:10 intervening 22:10 49:5 58:2 139:12 interviews 94:13 95:9 introduce 27:9 29:3 introduced 65:19 introducing 206:15 introductions 216:5 introductory 29:4 invaluable 65:22 investigated 74:19 75:6 investigation 1:1 4:6,16 5:9 40:8 50:6 54:9 72:10 78:8 79:10 81:3 81:6 82:3,7,17 84:11 88:7 95:5 investigations 49:21 53:9,11 54:17 55:5 66:16 investigative 77:6,7,22 80:11 97:7 132:3 investigator 81:13 95:3 95:10

investigators 51:17 70:2 72:16 76:16 77:15,18 78:5,7,21 80:1 94:16 185:11 190:12,15 193:12 investment 20:20 **invitation** 134:1,14 219:20 invite 92:16 191:22 216:8 **invited** 218:3 inviting 7:20 involve 81:7 169:6 involved 50:8 66:14 81:3 85:10 92:3,4 involving 5:11 53:11 89:8 **IOC** 94:7 IPAD 21:2 158:19 IRC 32:9 51:7 79:8 125:16 128:11 158:4 158:7,13 179:5 190:16,22 191:2 issue 13:19 14:1 32:5 33:15 108:1 109:8 116:17 117:3.5 118:22 130:1 161:13 165:19,22 167:9 181:12 182:4 184:3 198:1 206:14,22 207:6,21 208:11 214:5 216:13 217:6 issued 141:12,17 176:8 177:12 issues 10:16 14:15 19:14 91:18 103:4 117:2 118:19 140:17 146:8 163:18 165:10 170:6 171:10 183:10 198:7 206:21 207:14 214:15 issuing 186:7 Italy 204:3 item 202:8 items 220:2 J Jag 27:21 35:17 51:8 57:4 58:5,8 65:15 85:11 96:13,20 99:9 100:14 102:18 105:19 107:8 108:12 112:22 115:3 117:1,7,14 118:3 119:3,4 121:1

www.nealrgross.com

122:2 123:4 129:7

**JAGC** 2:2

James 1:17,19

Jameson 11:21

January 17:21 18:6 52:20 66:4 176:8 177:11 180:9 **Japan** 41:3 Jeff 2:2 Jen 182:1 Jenifer 1:18 Jennifer 1:17,18 83:1 135:10 JES 157:13 165:20 166:3 215:17 **Jim** 133:16 146:13 174:4 179:17 194:16 198:17 **job** 10:17 116:6 164:5 jobs 92:10 John 27:12 joined 5:16 48:11 joining 4:21 26:14 joins 107:14 joint 7:16 8:4,8,11 9:9 9:18 11:7,9,16,20 12:8,17,21 13:12,16 15:8 16:12 18:21 19:10 23:16 87:4 112:17 140:9 210:10 Josh 12:11 JPP 172:1 **JSC** 8:12,19 9:4,22 10:22 16:21 17:10 18:13,21 19:15 21:21 24:9,13,14,17 142:12 217:20,21 **JSC's** 22:7 judge 4:10 6:1,2,3,4,5 7:10 9:13 27:1 28:6 28:10 31:4,5 35:13 40:19 45:10 46:17,18 52:6 58:13,16 60:6 61:9,13 62:7 63:21 64:10,14,19 68:5 74:4 81:18 85:19 87:13 90:18 98:2 101:19 103:8,11,18,19,20 104:14 111:20 112:9 112:12,19 113:14,18 114:8,15,18 115:14 118:1 121:3 125:2 129:7 133:1 141:8 144:1,4,18 146:14 150:6 161:11,12 167:16,18 172:14,17 199:14 212:15,17,18 214:20,21 219:15 judge's 109:16 119:9 129:9 judge- 166:1 judge-alone 166:14

167:6 judge-only 166:22 judges 44:11 69:12 86:9 108:20 109:8,12 111:22 112:4,7,10,13 112:18 113:2,3,5,21 114:1,19 115:4,21 116:1,5 198:7 206:7 judging 109:11 judicial 114:15 judiciary 115:17 **Julie** 2:3 July 40:16 45:7 55:18 57:2 61:22 70:19 jump 23:9 72:4 116:15 131:1 188:21 191:6 212:10 June 1:7 56:22 210:22 211:1 junior 97:5 99:4 105:7 114:22 199:4,4 jurisdiction 99:13 183:12 jury 73:20 justice 3:12 7:11,15,17 8:5,12 9:2,5,8,16 12:22 13:6 15:14 16:9 17:9 18:2,19 19:2,11 20:8,9 35:12 36:20 38:21 39:6 40:20 41:8 41:19 42:16 43:1 45:6 51:8,14 52:8 54:12,20 57:16,18 58:20 63:16 64:8 66:12 69:5,15 71:12 74:5 76:5 85:17 86:2,16 87:16 89:17 92:7,9,11,21 99:10 100:8 101:11,18 102:6 104:8,9 108:22 109:2,5,6 110:6,12 111:5,18 121:6 137:22 138:4 155:22 158:11 192:11 193:22 196:22 197:6 198:1 201:17 209:18 215:18 216:13 217:3 Κ **K** 2:3 Karla 1:10,13 Kate 2:10 174:7 Kazin 11:15 keenly 43:2 keep 90:9 102:18 104:3 104:15,17 106:9 110:11 150:11 171:13

Kendall 47:16,20 Kerry 130:8 key 31:1 67:3 126:12 190:18 211:7 kill 23:20 knew 77:18 knowing 21:7,8 195:5 **knowingly** 178:10,12 knowledge 108:6 known 4:7 59:22 knows 191:1 Kramer 1:16 95:22 96:1 96:2,3,5 214:21 L L 2:3 lack 73:9 74:21 101:22 102:1 106:21 107:5 166:6 ladies 7:13 16:22 26:15 language 23:17 98:19 123:21 137:21 138:2 138:8 139:13 178:18 large 64:11 73:7 102:2 118:4 119:17 120:8 larger 64:3 Lastly 58:15 latest 104:6 Laughter 105:20 141:10 142:15 159:7 178:7 179:22 199:16 220:16,19 221:18 Laurel 2:8 law 5:13 7:15 10:18 13:2 18:19 19:2.11 26:11 30:14 51:11 55:9 56:7 64:13 65:3 94:20 111:6,15,15,16 117:13 118:17 120:19 122:12 126:6 lawyers 102:6 lays 178:3,15 lead 37:22 38:20 42:8,9 43:21,22 44:5 45:4,15 49:3 53:18,18 57:22 62:8,8 67:9 68:2 70:17 84:19 85:1,15 85:16 91:6 106:13 124:16 126:6,15 128:22 129:3,11 135:17 leader 59:21 116:20 121:7 122:22 leaders 31:12 34:7 48:16 128:6 leadership 29:20 31:15 40:21 81:22 123:3 127:18 199:4,5

leading 97:11 113:21 126:4 164:5 leads 81:12 116:22 117:1 lean 38:16 40:14 91:4 learn 25:12 209:21,22 learned 64:1 115:7 learning 76:4 leave 102:9 108:2,3 112:1 115:18 126:19 132:4 135:7 151:4 152:10 156:5 179:8 180:13 leaves 155:18 157:18 led 38:22 40:14 41:4 61:7 65:7,12 112:9 123:17,20 124:5 left 28:3 164:11 179:20 205:12 206:18 legal 5:16 11:3 12:17 31:7,17 37:4 44:12 52:1,16 55:6,8 56:13 63:19 67:10 70:3 77:16 81:13 86:10 90:4 98:9.12 103:16 111:14 112:1 119:17 120:8 121:10 legislation 13:5 180:8 legislative 65:12 179:13 legislature 176:14 legs 101:5 Lejeune 41:2 77:21 length 48:22 65:20 66:10 91:21 144:1,7 lengths 143:21 **lengthy** 49:22 lens 55:6 lessons 115:7 209:21 let's 109:14 lethality 47:22 65:10 letter 123:19 124:1 145:19 level 10:15 66:11 70:1 86:1,21 90:8 94:19 95:19 102:7 105:7,7 106:4 107:4 110:19 110:20,22 112:7,8 113:3 118:4 122:22 137:2 174:20 189:19 leveled 68:4 levels 86:1 111:9 118:7 leverage 49:11 levers 111:19 liaisons 5:15 lib 158:6 licensing 58:16 lieutenant 11:13 12:11

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

191:22

keeps 85:19

12:16 27:19 28:5 48:11 60:10 62:18,22 80:18 110:21 134:2 210:19 211:12 light 166:1 limitation 167:11 limited 129:16 167:19 limiting 195:18 line 5:3 145:14 167:8 199.3 lines 114:4 146:17 163:9 linkage 78:4 linkages 79:15 list 19:13 20:4 24:4 181:5 185:8,11 190:14 209:20 212:12 217:17 220:10 listed 158:16 160:20 180:3 listen 168:22 litigate 90:13 110:19 111:9 litigation 39:6,9 44:8 54:10 68:12 85:4.17 85:20 86:2.5.16 87:10 91:17 92:19 93:22 95:20 104:8,9 111:6 litigators 38:17,22 41:5 67:13 69:9 84:19 85:14 99:16,21 104:13 106:14,19 110:20 little 59:16 77:4 84:21 87:7 89:20 93:14 96:6 103:21 106:18 108:19 111:3 121:13 130:16 130:17 145:21 151:16 176:11 182:7 lives 33:19 LNO 78:11 LNOs 79:18 load 174:10 loan 103:14 local 55:8 90:4 94:19,21 145:10 located 1:9 38:18 39:4 40:14 41:1 94:19 123:19 134:4 203:19 location 15:1 82:4 132:5 locations 39:1 logistical 41:14 logistics 68:10 long 1:17 23:12 49:20 82:22 83:1,3 87:19 116:16 117:19 135:10 135:10,11,12 136:4

163:2 207:19 215:7 218:8 long- 43:12 longer 103:10,22 104:4 111:3 144:12 look 24:19 50:11 59:4 71:19 82:15 83:8,14 87:2 92:16 95:14 117:7,19 120:15 123:2 162:15 164:18 166:21,21 167:1 168:21 169:19,20 172:3 175:21 178:21 179:10 183:22 184:11 192:15 214:14 216:14 looked 26:10 72:5 73:16 95:11 166:13 171:20 172:7 175:17 176:1 179:4 184:1 looking 28:8 35:21 79:9 80:15 83:7 85:1,2 87:5,11 111:6 114:15 119:1,1 133:6,19 138:7 163:1,3 168:16 169:9 170:8 171:1,4 179:12,14 181:11 183:17,19 184:2 194:18 201:12 208:12 looks 82:11 131:19 Lopez 32:2 77:5 lose 108:6 164:4 losing 207:18 lost 122:9 191:17 205:13 lot 84:16 88:13 91:9 95:17 103:4 117:20 127:8 134:5 136:16 161:17 163:14,18 164:8 177:16 190:9 195:20 lot's 103:15 lots 130:1 love 182:3 lower 44:4 **LT** 50:14 79:4 88:18 100:4 106:21 114:3 120:16 LTG 63:4 80:19 90:20 109:18 115:11 121:17 lunch 6:7 130:10 185:16 lure 102:7 Μ

**ma'am** 7:8 26:17 59:17 78:9 79:5 80:19 87:4 120:16 121:15 136:8 142:16 147:18 151:9

152:7,8,17 153:6,21 161:14 163:10 164:22 165:17 204:21,22 205:8 217:16 Madam 7:13 29:7 34:14 39:21 47:7,13 48:6 52:12 59:11 63:4 82:22 85:9 86:12 90:20 93:9 95:22 101:14 108:15 109:18 121:17 128:10 130:15 165:6 204:12 Magers 2:11 magistrate 160:1 magistrates 161:7,17 163:14 165:9 200:11 215:3 main 8:18 39:1 maintain 62:12 71:11 maintaining 58:16 **MAJ** 39:19 45:1 52:11 77:1 78:9 87:4 89:4 93:20 99:1 105:18,21 113:12 **MAJGEN** 120:7 major 27:15,22 29:10 32:4 35:7 39:16 48:12 52:5 110:20 121:2 124:12 173:21 majority 159:1 191:9 making 10:19 48:21 63:16 74:3 75:5 90:10 115:10 119:22 120:13 127:15,20 206:16 208:10 maltreatment 177:18 manage 67:1 Management 2:7 16:15 manager 116:21 managers 120:12 Manhattan 78:17 manner 89:13 manning 44:8 71:3 manpower 31:9 41:13 68:10 manual 8:20,22 10:9 12:22 13:4,8,13 14:10 15:9 19:17 20:15 21:4 23:11,18 24:1 140:1 142:12 177:7 Manuel 210:20 March 36:6 43:15 131:15 155:14 184:7 184:19 186:13 205:19 Marcia 1:13 136:11 137:20 Marguerite 2:7 marinate 190:7

Marine 6:4 12:5 27:2 29:20 30:6 32:17,19 34:20 35:2,6,20 37:3 39:17 40:3,12,21 41:3 41:7,17,21 44:21 45:1 45:16 46:4,19 47:1 78:2 87:11 100:5 112:12 120:8 Marines 174:1 mark 25:13 56:14 marketable 106:15 Markey 1:17 215:7 marking 150:14 Markowitz 1:18 181:22 182:1 195:8 196:5,9 196:12,16,20 197:2 214:21 marks 91:9 Marshal 14:13 Martha 1:14 23:7 72:3 147:3 148:12 164:3 173:20 174:2 183:16 191:15 204:2 208:15 Martha's 198:19 martial 19:18 66:16 72:12 142:13 203:1 215:11 Maryland 65:7 Mason 2:6 Mason's 159:15 massive 164:21 master 77:8.11 191:18 match 145:8 material 190:9 materials 13:7,14 21:17 211:2 matter 27:4 29:17 37:2 54:18 64:20 65:2 81:7 130:11 206:10 223:10 maximize 93:3 MCI 190:11 MCIO 190:20 MCIOs 190:17 191:7,14 193:16 194:3.5 196:18 197:4,19 198:11 216:11 McKinley 191:18 McKinney 2:7 mean 57:3 74:19 125:19 126:7 140:6 149:3 160:4 163:16 167:16 173:15 179:10 180:1 184:17 195:20 204:22 206:10 208:2 meaning 37:18 179:13 meaningful 53:15 means 9:16 177:14 184:20

measure 36:19 measured 37:10 measures 47:4 mechanism 218:14 medical 147:7 meet 32:2 40:17 58:17 61:21 71:5 187:15 meeting 1:4 3:19,21 4:9 4:15,18 6:10,12 7:7 21:12 22:21 60:2 105:1 123:13 131:17 131:20,22 132:4,8 134:22 142:6,17,19 169:18 184:10,16 185:7,17,19 187:20 190:3,14 193:9,13,15 194:3 195:10,11,18 195:21 197:12 198:6 198:10 200:4 205:4,5 208:18 210:18,21 211:6,10 213:19 215:20,21 216:7,17 216:19 219:22 221:2 222:1,14 223:7,8 meetings 31:15,18 32:4 116:20 128:14 184:14 187:19 199:10 206:18 215:20 222:6 meets 31:13 70:19 **Meq** 1:15 164:17 Megan 24:5 Meghan 1:19 2:8 123:6 160:12,22 205:7 214:18 member's 91:19 members 4:13,19,20 5:11 7:1 10:14 11:5,9 11:11,11 12:8,9 16:6 18:17,22 20:11 24:18 29:8,9 34:15 35:16 38:15 39:22 47:14 50:16 52:13 57:4 58:5 58:8 63:5 80:21 84:17 99:11 118:8 131:13 133:11,12,22 134:11 134:15 136:20 138:5 141:21 143:15 146:18 149:6,9 154:17 159:19 163:19 169:17 186:11 192:4 202:12 206:16 208:14,20 209:3 210:5 211:1 214:19 215:6,14 218:3 membership 161:11 memorandum 45:21 memory 95:8 memos 136:20 218:12

219:11 mentioned 13:11 22:13 74:22 79:12 91:1 107:5 115:2 125:15 130:2 133:3 136:16 140:15 141:11 142:18 155:12 159:14,15 163:22 168:8 183:15 213:8 214:13 218:3.8 218:11 mentoring 113:22 message 76:10 **met** 1:9 metaphors 179:21 methods 47:4 microphone 20:18 30:9 59:16 Mil-Just 113:19 milestone 56:22 militaries 209:17 216:22 mind 183:10 184:12 196:1 mindful 80:13 **minimum** 14:6 144:12 146:19 149:20 212:19 212:21 minor 180:22 minute 204:20 minutes 5:2 186:3 208:21 209:10 mirror 77:20 **mirrors** 123:3 mischaracterized 148:8 misconduct 5:11 29:22 33:8,14 73:8 74:9,16 155:16 182:13,13,18 210:12 missed 25:13 222:7 mission 33:10 34:10 35:18 36:19 48:1 53:21 57:7 108:8 120:18 139:17 missions 101:13 misstate 212:11 mix 36:9 90:12 179:20 model 40:14 52:7 55:12 55:13 69:16 92:8,10 111:19 158:11,14 modeled 92:15 models 52:22 53:5 94:17 modified 158:14 **modify** 158:11 moment 7:22 23:5 61:6 209:20 momentarily 122:18

momentum 71:12 Monday 210:22 money 102:8 105:21 106:9 monitor 21:2 month 43:21 79:13 months 54:21 88:15 97:19 127:3 128:9 130:4 142:6 143:11 143:12 144:14,16 145:2,3 146:8,19,21 149:7,20,21 203:18 212:19,21 monumental 60:16 Moran 2:8 morning 4:3,12 7:13 24:6 26:14 28:14 47:13,15 48:11 63:4 140:13 MOSs 99:18 move 5:2 76:13 97:18 104:18 132:14 135:6 143:7 154:11 170:10 182:7 183:2,7 199:12 210:4 movement 70:8 71:9 moves 170:21 moving 63:2 71:5 80:14 80:14 81:10 114:1 140:3 multi-phase 52:3 multi-tour 113:21 multimillion-dollar 92:14 multiple 87:12 mute 4:21 Ν Nalini 2:5 name 6:17 7:3,14 9:20 narrow 162:12 182:6 207:21 208:8 narrower 181:11,18 national 5:5 15:15 16:9 29:15 37:11 40:15 41:9 83:21 111:14 158:10 natural 74:14 206:6,19 nature 52:15 80:7 178:2 178:13 200:16 Naval 31:10 35:20 37:4 38:13 41:16 75:22 77:5 98:8,9 116:19 Navy 5:22 6:3 12:6 27:12,14 29:18,20 30:6,12,15 31:1,12 32:7,10,17,19 34:1,19

37:3.6 38:12.13 39:11 41:15,21 42:6 43:13 43:19 44:2 46:5 47:1 47:8 54:19 73:6 75:21 76:11 86:14 97:1 98:6 100:5 103:4,7,17 104:6,16 105:15 112:5,10,11 116:20 116:22 117:2,7,10,14 117:16 118:3,18 125:6,16,17 137:21 138:3 141:12 210:19 218:22 219:8,11 Navy's 29:13 31:4 34:17 37:13 39:6 40:13 43:8,15 NCIS 32:2 78:1 **NCO** 199:4 NDAA 3:11 15:18 19:18 30:13,20 32:10 42:5 45:17 46:15 51:5,6 52:1 56:13 58:11 61:15 63:14 125:15 159:18 180:12 181:20 182:20 near 114:20 121:19 203:15 204:11 necessarily 107:17 117:17 136:21 140:8 144:17 148:6 necessary 10:20 14:1 38:8 39:14 68:6 82:8 necessity 143:14 147:20 148:10 need 10:19 15:18 41:17 75:11,19 76:12 84:17 85:13 98:2,16,18 101:3 104:12 106:2,5 107:4 117:21 118:20 120:5 123:12 124:18 143:8 166:13 197:9 199:11 205:14,17 needed 75:3 77:18 164:9 needle 182:7 needs 13:17 55:16 97:8 167:9 negative 159:4 **neglect** 76:12 nests 76:10 net 171:8 Nettinga 12:11 neutral 42:14 never 72:7 74:1 174:22 new 21:3 53:16 55:10 56:2,7 66:2 91:7,8 97:9 104:16 105:3,5 105:13 110:17 144:6

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

35:1,6,17,19 36:2

179:5.5 200:7 newer 96:13 **newest** 169:17 nine 103:22 159:12 173:13 no-fail 35:18 no-kidding 90:16 nominated 201:12 nomination 40:18 154:15 nominee 45:16 non-covered 90:4 non-judicial 14:11 non-voting 11:1 12:13 Norfolk 39:2 77:8 **norm** 176:13 normally 144:1 203:6 North 41:2 note 60:17 74:2 106:12 129:2 133:2,21 134:17 199:2,5 204:8 212:3 noted 48:7 79:13 124:9 133:21 136:8,15 141:20 163:2 199:1 notes 170:15 217:1 notice 14:22 21:20 22:9 22:14,16 26:6 133:4,5 133:7 217:20 notification 81:5 notifying 133:17 noting 217:21 November 15:2 17:15 17:17 18:8 number 20:10 21:18 35:13 51:9 54:5 82:16 92:20 108:11 109:22 110:4 112:15 117:15 174:7 180:13,14 181:5 187:6 191:9 206:4 numbers 82:16 83:20 108:10 117:8 119:6,7 119:9,15 120:1,4 121:12,18,19 122:10 122:20,22 174:14 numerous 143:11 0 **O'Connor** 1:18 93:9,12 135:22 179:9 206:9 215:15 219:8,13 **O-6** 38:22 40:19 43:20 43:22 45:5 62:7 85:16 **0-6s** 85:22 **O-7** 38:20 44:4 53:17 **OBE** 166:6 objective 8:19

objectives 48:19 60:18 obligation 138:14 observation 72:21 73:4 202:19 observations 127:5 132:11 202:9,13 203:10 observe 101:20 134:16 202:22 observed 33:17 49:19 obviously 67:2 73:14 90:2 92:19 96:7 113:4 124:7 155:1 160:17 161:17 163:12 181:4 183:7 193:16 198:13 Occupational 99:19 occur 22:21 56:19 203:17 occurred 33:8 74:16 occurring 166:22 204:3 October 14:21 Off-microphone 214:2 221:21 222:4 offenders 51:19 offense 18:1 56:5 175:16 176:10 177:1 177:3,4,4,22 179:5 187:6 offenses 33:19 38:5 40:9 46:12,22 49:2 50:19 53:11,22 54:1 55:3 56:19 61:14 62:17 67:2 68:4,19 69:11 78:5,13 89:9 90:2,4 98:21 139:6 153:15 154:1 170:13 170:14,17,19 171:3,6 171:21 172:3,6,7 173:22 174:21 175:6 175:18,21 180:3 182:20 187:5 190:19 214:6 offensive 170:20 offer 21:14 79:21 105:21 107:7 119:2 offered 4:18 office 11:3,4 12:15,17 13:11 16:14,18 19:22 26:20 29:16 30:3 37:6 38:10,12 40:3,12,22 41:7,22 42:11,19 44:1 45:8,21 46:21 47:2,17 51:4 54:2,3,4,16 56:1 56:10 61:8,9,10,22 62:3 63:11 65:14 66:9 66:17 67:6,11 68:4 70:14,16,20 76:9 77:16 78:18 80:9

81:19 83:11 94:4 99:1 99:22 101:2 123:18 124:13,15 128:15,20 129:6,16,17 134:8 135:17 138:18 139:9 145:10 156:2,9,20 183:6 186:6 197:20 198:12 215:1 officer 1:22 4:4 31:7 33:5 38:20 40:17 44:3 45:9 73:5,19 98:10 120:11 126:7 139:10 199:5 officers 85:16,19 115:16 117:16 200:15 210:8 offices 3:14 28:16,21 31:2 34:18,21 35:6 36:14 37:15,20 38:22 39:3 41:1 42:9 44:11 45:13 46:7 53:20 55:8 72:17 81:13,22 83:13 84:19 85:1 90:4 96:8 96:10,21 124:4,18,19 130:4 200:1 official 134:19 187:19 officially 4:9 200:9 223:9 offsite 60:1 **OGC** 22:1 153:3 **Okinawa** 41:3 148:22 **old** 94:8 199:14,14 OMB 16:17 17:12,18 once 12:2 14:18 16:1 16:11 17:12 20:1 22:13 73:19 90:7 110:17 119:9 126:3 153:2,4 183:19 200:8 one's 159:10 one- 173:4 one-day 131:22 132:4 142:19 198:6 one-hour 132:6 one-on-one 31:18 one-third 110:9 172:20 173:4,5 ones 17:14 72:11 ongoing 36:18 43:16 online 17:3 28:1 open 4:9 14:6 23:2 29:5 30:8 72:1 93:2 115:9 132:16 143:4 153:10 165:20 170:11 181:18 193:15 opening 47:8 operate 37:20 62:14 operating 45:20 46:6 57:1,5,14

operation 47:17 operational 32:20 36:3 37:1 43:14,17 45:2 46:10 55:7 56:12,18 56:20 57:7,21 64:3,6 66:3 143:14 147:19 148:9 213:4 operations 35:20 38:14 41:16 75:22 98:9 116:19 140:9 opinion 23:13,15 148:4 opinions 65:19 opportunities 111:8 119:3 137:2 opportunity 16:5 25:16 29:11 34:15 40:1 47:15 50:17 59:5 63:6 69:2 101:19 109:15 119:12 121:21 134:15 opposed 150:3,18,21 151:3,5 152:13 154:1 154:4,7 156:19 157:9 157:15 159:5 161:16 162:3,20 197:22 opposition 145:6 152:15 154:3.6.9 156:22 198:15 **OPT** 66:8,15 optimal 66:21 option 189:10,12 191:5 191:6 **options** 66:8 order 55:17 61:18 62:2 99:6 176:9,19 177:10 177:12 178:3 181:1 193:7 orderly 132:15 orders 18:5 105:4,10 177:18 200:12 organization 62:8 69:1 organizational 37:9 52:22 organizations 5:16 19:1 132:3 191:14 organized 54:7 organizing 42:18 original 123:22 145:18 **Orion** 33:6 **OSI** 54:19 79:14 94:10 95:12 **OST** 135:17 OSTC 24:21 30:11 32:3 32:6,12,17 36:3 37:10 39:5,17 43:8,12 44:9 44:21 48:8,17,19 50:7 52:22 53:4 54:11 55:4 55:6,9,13,19 56:9,17 56:18 57:3,6,9,17

58:3.4.10 59:3 62:13 62:20 67:20 68:7,13 68:21 69:4,11 76:17 78:4,7,11 85:11 90:8 97:4 98:17 100:6 105:9 125:1,2,7,19 126:5 127:7,10,15 141:13 195:10,16 216:10 218:9 OSTC's 53:21 **OSTCs** 32:21 46:5 **OTJAG** 68:9 outcome 50:5 83:8 87:22 outcomes 49:13 83:7 83:15 164:16 outlining 192:14 outs 220:2 outset 50:1 130:2 outside 13:16 89:18 145:9 overcharged 84:9 overcharging 73:11 overly 49:20 overseas 209:12 oversee 124:20 oversight 67:10 140:19 overview 8:7 owe 191:3 Ρ **P-3** 33:6 P-R-E-C-E-P-T-S 218:20 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4.1 p.m 6:19 130:13 223:11 packet 211:3 page 8:3 152:10,17 paid 181:16 panel 3:14 26:19 29:9 30:15,16 52:13 84:17 125:10,13,13 132:21 138:12 170:15 191:7 191:10,12,18,20 192:3,20 197:10,13 216:10,11 217:4 panelist 135:14 panelists 136:14 panels 132:2 190:4 195:18,20 205:14 211:18 **Paralegal** 2:6,9,9 paralegals 51:17 53:14 53:19,21 54:5 parameters 161:18 165:2 200:17 parcel 184:6

parents 107:19 108:1 part 18:18 33:2 34:3 66:2 75:1 79:14 85:16 95:6 97:14 99:13 100:15,19 101:17 105:3,13 106:11 125:6 138:20,21 152:9 184:6 214:13 part-time 19:4.6 20:15 **PARTICIPANT** 108:15 participants 101:11 participate 94:11 participated 89:8 94:10 particular 15:12 78:13 87:21 101:12 117:9 122:2 146:5 148:20 161:13 178:16 182:4 particularly 49:16 171:9 207:21 partner 56:4 57:17 partnership 62:12 124:7 parts 74:8 152:21 passage 63:13 patience 129:21 patterns 49:9 Paul 1:16 139:14 pay 103:8,19 paying 15:12 **PCS** 144:5,7 **PCS'ing** 11:17 peer 114:13 penciled 185:15 pending 17:13,20 19:14 166:14 Pendleton 41:2 77:21 penetration 175:10 penetrative 72:5 77:11 170:13,20,22 171:20 172:3,7 174:5,13,20 175:6,15,18 Pentagon 1:9 people 18:12 20:16,20 47:21 68:22 84:20 87:1 90:13 93:15 96:10,12,12,14 100:14 102:12 103:1 103:9 104:4 105:4 106:9,10 111:2 118:17 119:22 136:17 137:5 138:4 150:13 167:3 168:20 169:3,9 170:15 185:5 189:14 189:16 191:21 195:22 197:3 198:20 211:13 211:18 percent 19:8,11 72:6,12 72:16,20 74:18 77:12

83:19.19 84:5.8 107:14 110:8 117:14 117:16 118:3,6 120:3 168:22 169:5 170:16 171:6 172:18,18,20 173:1,2,6,12,14,16,22 percentage 88:9,11 168:11 173:18 percentages 162:12 Perfect 59:18 perform 38:9 58:14 performance 47:4 127:9,10 performed 22:5 period 11:11 14:20 21:11 22:17,22 23:2 51:9 56:17 62:6 92:6 **periods** 49:22 permanent 144:5 permitted 108:12 permitting 132:9 person 4:19 9:12 32:2 129:17 personal 23:13,14 31:21 33:4 129:3 143:14 148:11 149:2 213:2 personally 141:9 211:20 personnel 37:16 39:13 44:14 53:1 54:11 58:10 62:16 63:19 68:10,16 70:12 86:17 100:7,7 108:11 110:2 110:8 189:10 perspective 48:18 65:16,22 97:2 113:6 167:13 182:8,11 189:17 191:19 206:2 206:12 211:17 perspectives 102:10 pervasive 182:3 Pete 2:12 27:18 Peters 2:8 phase 36:5,5,8,8,13,13 36:17,18,21 43:8,13 43:16 44:20 45:2 46:4 46:13,14 52:20 53:12 55:18,22,22 56:8,11 56:16 75:15 76:13 104:11 phases 28:18 44:22 97:7 103:9,11,21 104:1 153:18 154:7 214:10 phasing 52:7 philosophies 124:22 **picked** 126:2

picture 128:3 pie 172:19 piece 76:13,15 185:1 207:21 pilot 77:2 pioneering 78:17 pitch 106:11 pivotal 68:20 69:3 118:2 place 25:8 51:11 55:6 61:5 67:21 85:18 89:5 113:22 119:22 122:14 147:10 206:6 placed 156:22 157:16 195:17 placeholder 207:16 placement 202:3 placing 156:20 plagues 116:9 plan 46:19 53:13 63:1 71:4 99:15,15 100:3 142:17 220:11 planned 71:8 planners 37:5 planning 3:16 6:8 35:9 36:5,9,21 37:1,8 40:2 40:5 43:13 57:19 63:8 64:3,6,21 65:20 66:3 130:20 183:5 plans 29:2 45:19 69:16 139:20 219:16 plays 115:14 please 4:21 6:17 29:3 59:16 137:20 161:4 212:10 pleased 29:10 32:15,18 48:10 pleasure 7:18 plenty 221:3 Plummer 27:19 48:12 50:13,14 52:12 79:3,4 88:16,18 100:4 104:22 106:21 114:3 120:16 127:17 plus 68:12 194:22 plussed 124:19 point 33:1 72:1 74:20 76:21 80:7 82:10 90:3 90:21 92:12 103:2 104:2 117:18 124:16 130:5,9 143:22 160:13,15 171:18 172:5 175:22 194:1 195:12 201:19 213:7 pointed 117:11 points 75:10 143:12,15 policies 55:20 67:10 **policy** 7:15 18:19 19:3

19:12 65:12 81:4 154:19 157:16 166:4 201:10 215:13 political 149:5 pool 173:18 population 120:14 pornography 168:14 Porter 11:13,17 portion 165:13 pose 33:19 position 9:17 127:18 146:15 149:19 positioned 57:6 positions 54:11 87:2 96:9 114:5 **positive** 106:12 positively 51:15 possessed 38:7 **possible** 22:18 84:9,10 88:7 139:18 141:15 possibly 174:20 posted 6:14 26:6 153:5 potential 37:9 63:19 65:17 115:15 132:2 137:7 218:15 potentially 86:10 105:5 160:2 185:4,6 187:1 206:2 Powell 2:9 practical 206:9,11 practice 15:6 102:9 107:12 121:14 144:4 148:2 163:15 203:13 practices 66:19 83:6 145:13 146:10 151:2 151:21 160:16 practicing 89:12 practitioners 64:8 189:22 198:8,9 201:1 206:8 216:1,3,4 pre- 22:11 23:2 pre-decisional 21:17 32:14 125:22 precede 21:19 83:4 precept 137:21 138:2,8 precepts 218:18,19 preclude 144:15 precursor 86:15 182:17 predecessor 11:15 predecisional 220:17 predicate 180:18 preference 221:16 preferred 72:7 136:21 prejudicial 176:19 177:9 preliminary 127:4 200:15 preparation 92:2

prepare 8:21 9:1 56:16 116:2 prepared 47:9 60:3 183:20 203:11 219:17 prepares 16:19 194:21 preparing 10:6 34:20 prescribed 42:6 176:10 177:7,21 178:9 182:12 present 1:12,21 2:13 24:15 27:10 34:15 106:7 141:9 186:11 presentation 3:10 5:18 7:11 24:8 125:19 186:2 presentations 96:22 141:22 presented 126:1 136:13 151:17 207:8 208:4 presenter 209:2 presenters 4:19 131:16 135:5,9 184:10 presenting 137:13 preserving 61:18 President 176:8 177:6 177:12 178:9 180:8 president's 16:17 presidential 16:20 presiding 1:11 pressing 205:14 pressure 73:13,20 presumably 166:4 pretty 100:19 103:13 115:9 119:19,20 148:2 189:5 prevent 61:5 prevention 30:20 61:2 74:8 79:9 preview 3:18 6:10 130:16 211:10 previous 13:11 previously 39:10 103:2 131:20 Price 12:19 primarily 73:9 92:19 primary 54:7 124:15 158:8 Prince 65:7,13 principles 35:9 37:11 37:14 print 26:9 prior 62:4 67:20 71:1 132:6 207:9 210:18 priorities 128:4 priority 32:6 35:13 51:9 205:20 208:2 private 102:8 privilege 60:14 113:13

pro 7:22 probably 19:8,22 73:10 98:16 103:22 118:5 148:7 149:15 152:9 175:2 184:9 186:3 191:3 216:19 problem 102:5,11,14 106:4 108:10 116:9 148:12,16,17 149:2 161:5 procedure 13:2 procedures 36:15 45:20 46:7 52:22 53:5 55:10,21 56:7 57:5 proceed 126:17 proceeding 7:4 126:2 process 3:11 5:20 13:15 14:9,14 16:21 20:21 32:16 46:5 48:21 50:2,3,8 56:3 57:19 61:2 62:10 66:13,14 67:15,20,21 70:9 85:6 86:13,19 87:7 88:6 89:3,13 109:3,8,10 112:6,14 113:15 115:12.13 122:7 136:19 183:17 184:1 190:19 214:14 processed 56:6,6 processes 8:9 22:11 36:15 65:21 processing 17:8 18:5 49:19 54:9 product 93:5 products 140:22 profession 119:17 121:10 professional 38:14 58:17 68:13 74:15 107:8 professionalizes 40:7 professionally 54:22 professionals 111:5 proficiency 51:16 profound 33:12 program 2:7 21:3 44:12 51:1 68:1 74:9 77:2 81:1,2 91:2,13 92:5 93:14 113:8.8.9 124:20 151:21 202:17 203:14 204:1 programs 122:14 progress 29:13 31:17 32:16 46:14 60:15 80:15 88:11 prohibits 176:17,18,20 176:21 project 53:9 131:8

153:19 156:7,16,21 160:3 170:4,4 185:2,6 186:21 199:22 200:21 201:2 202:9,13,19 206:3,12 216:10 projects 39:12 131:10 131:14 154:12,18,22 161:9,19 165:8 169:15 214:17 prolonged 50:3 promised 119:11 promoted 48:13 148:6 promotion 40:20 70:18 136:19,20 137:2,7 218:13,14 promulgated 51:5 proof 14:11 116:3 properly 51:18 84:6 proposal 14:2 17:12 157:6 160:8 161:22 194:3 198:4 proposals 9:22 10:7 14:17,19 154:12 propose 13:5 16:7 25:20 proposed 8:21 9:1 10:9 13:12,19 14:3,5,9 15:4 16:2 20:14 21:19 22:19 25:14 53:4 131:13 153:16 154:5 154:15 163:19 prosecute 57:12 62:17 73:1 prosecuted 176:22 177:5,16 210:9 prosecuting 53:22 prosecution 1:1 4:6,16 5:9 38:4 40:8 50:6 51:11 54:10 55:2 56:3 68:3,18 78:4 82:4,8 82:18 86:8 88:7 94:18 98:5,11 101:6 102:11 prosecutions 101:21 prosecutor 31:22 65:4 67:22 73:2 74:15 81:7 81:19 91:2,12 prosecutorial 48:20 66:10 124:22 128:1 prosecutors 39:8 49:7 51:12 57:10 64:17 77:18 78:21 79:22 81:2 84:10 89:6,19 90:11 92:1 94:16 102:4 132:20 protect 48:20 protecting 40:10 128:1 protective 200:12 protocol 65:9,10

proud 115:20 prove 74:17 provide 21:21 29:4 30:10 51:1 63:7 64:4 65:16 68:5,9 133:14 136:6 143:6 165:3 211:7 218:5,15 provided 45:18 67:17 91:17 104:17 109:11 136:20 137:12 140:18 214:8 provides 67:8 providing 67:10 71:16 95:1 133:13 140:16 211:21 218:1 provision 139:2 159:17 178:5,6 provisions 30:19 219:17 **Prucha** 2:8 **public** 1:4 3:18 4:14 6:10,16 10:10 14:6,7 15:1,4,5 16:3,6,11 17:14,16 18:8 21:10 21:10,20 22:8,14,16 22:20 24:8 25:5,6,7 25:16 26:8 132:8.12 133:10,13 153:5 204:19 206:15 210:17 210:18 211:4,5,13 216:17 217:22 219:22 publication 10:8 22:2 publish 46:19 **published** 14:4,19 16:2 22:15 25:5 pudding 116:3 **pull** 111:19 151:6 **pulled** 110:16 pulls 107:21 punishment 14:12 punitive 176:15 180:6 180:10 purely 174:6 purpose 5:7 20:7 purposes 155:2 203:5 pursuant 35:5 46:14 pursuing 185:5 purview 22:7 66:17 108:19 push 197:22 pushed 201:21 put 73:22 76:1 93:6 108:4 115:22 117:12 122:2 138:2 142:21 163:4 167:12 186:8 190:13 196:21 205:19 206:2 puts 20:13

putting 66:5 106:6 145:21 161:16 209:16 211:21 Q qualification 54:15 89:16 135:19 qualified 62:16 67:13 69:9 89:7 91:22 94:2 98:20 104:13,13 qualify 104:10 quality 102:2,12 107:5 109:1,4,6,11 111:21 Quantico 41:4 question 7:2 8:2 17:10 21:17 23:8 24:12 72:8 79:17 80:22 83:1,3 84:16 86:13 90:22 91:19 93:10,21 95:7 96:15 97:16 99:8 100:5,22 101:1,15 102:17.21 108:18 109:15,16,17 110:11 112:4 116:8 119:10 119:12 123:10,14 124:14 125:5.7 126:22 136:13 138:11 139:15 140:14 151:8 163:7 165:20 172:12 176:3.5 178:20 179:10 187:13 192:10 193:6 195:8 205:10 205:16 211:5 220:7 questions 17:1,2 20:7 26:12 29:5 47:9 50:12 53:7 59:4 72:2 80:6 93:8 96:5 130:1 164:20 195:3 202:7 204:1 quick 134:1 143:6 153:13 176:3 181:8 quickly 75:1 119:5 181:1 189:1,6 217:18 quickness 181:7,8 quite 29:10 127:11 172:16 177:16 quorum 134:13 quotation 91:9 quote 33:18 R

R.C.M 3:10 races 118:9 119:16 racial 116:13 RAINN 82:11 raise 205:3 raised 107:20 117:4 118:18 143:12,15,22 raising 76:21 range 86:7 ranks 29:22 48:5 78:20 116:11 rapidly 71:11 rate 72:20 74:3,13 162:15 164:2 rated 58:4.4 rates 75:12 160:7 162:3 162:12,13,13,21 165:10 201:5 215:10 rating 38:2 143:17,19 145:7,7,9 148:4 150:20 213:10,11 raw 53:7 reach 62:14 react 72:19 read 21:13 26:4 146:17 175:12 211:2 readiness 33:10,20 47:22 59:21 ready 69:18 153:14 189:2 real 55:2 76:2 105:14 107:12 153:13 176:3 reality 101:8 144:18 realize 39:11 realized 110:18 rear 44:4 **reason** 38:10 83:9 174:4,5 207:12 reasons 73:8 107:13,15 109:22 143:14,21 **Rebecca** 27:22 rebuilding 35:14 recall 135:13 170:14 recalls 155:4 recap 3:18 6:9 211:7 212:6,7 receive 24:17 89:10 112:11 203:15 received 131:4 210:17 210:21 211:4 receiving 10:7 recognition 175:15 recognize 94:14 104:11 111:10 129:6 recognizes 13:17 52:14 recommend 40:18 147:20 156:8 208:1 recommendation 21:22 21:22 44:3 81:16 125:13 134:7 143:9 143:11 144:10,11,14 144:16 145:1,4,6 146:17 147:22 148:8 149:4 150:19 151:6 151:19 154:21 156:5

156:13 212:15 213:9 recommendations 13:22 16:7 17:5 18:11 30:17 31:3 32:9,14 41:12 51:7 66:1 125:14 128:11 131:12 143:16 145:12,13,16 145:22 152:13 158:1 158:9.13 171:16 191:1 199:3 206:17 213:14 recommended 45:15 158:7,10 191:8 recommends 194:20 reconstitution 186:16 reconvene 27:3 198:14 record 27:5 130:12 203:9 223:11 recorded 6:12 recruit 106:4 111:12 recruiters 120:11 recruiting 111:4 117:5 118:2,15 120:9,10,12 120:18 122:3,5 recurring 206:21,22 207:6 reduce 60:20 74:9 refer 73:21 163:5 reference 149:7 157:14 167:13 201:14,20 202:2 referral 62:14 referred 72:7 162:18 referring 72:22 152:14 163:6 173:18 refine 55:10 refinement 70:5 refining 44:7 reflect 13:1 reflected 78:16 reform 41:19 reforms 3:12 15:15,21 16:9 35:12 36:20 41:8 52:17 58:21 63:16 75:17 76:6 regard 8:17 48:10 74:18 76:15 85:13 97:15 103:15 109:7 112:3 112:16 117:8 126:3 regarding 32:16 34:16 40:2 99:8 138:12 regardless 50:5 148:20 **Reggie** 1:20 137:17 **Region** 40:15 regional 38:21 40:13 41:1 45:13 77:16 87:17 114:19 regions 114:21

**Register** 10:11 14:5,20 14:22 16:3 22:3,15 25:5 26:4,9 133:4 217:20 Registers 133:19 regular 107:10 125:18 126:13 128:14,18 reinforces 40:9 reioin 5:3 relate 29:16 related 9:5,7 41:8 51:7 53:22 81:7 83:16 85:20 130:2 207:1 215:11 217:5 Relatedly 32:1 **relates** 102:3 relating 30:20 relationship 68:8 81:9 125:1 177:20 relationships 81:20 released 186:20 relish 121:21 rely 78:8 remain 32:14 42:17 43:3 50:2 58:12 88:5 remaining 173:11,16 remains 33:15 58:15 208:10 remarks 29:4 47:8 60:3 60:12 remember 11:14 20:5 120:3 136:6 171:5 remembers 222:17 remove 23:18 152:21 152:21 removing 49:1 152:13 **repeat** 198:2 **replace** 121:6 replacement 11:18 12:2 replayed 122:11 report 8:17 43:21 45:6 49:4 57:22 61:20 88:5 126:5,15 131:5,7,15 134:20 135:6 143:5 145:15,15 146:1,5 151:3,6,11 152:5,20 152:20 153:1,3,8,17 154:10 155:9,14 158:8 166:11 183:20 184:8,15,20 186:14 186:15,19 187:1 188:1,2,14 190:10,16 192:11,14 195:15 205:19 206:3,14,21 207:16 212:14 213:15 214:4 report's 143:10 145:6 reporter 6:22

**reporting** 42:7 46:2 56:10 73:7 125:17 139:10 154:7 214:9 reports 79:19 88:9,10 88:12 98:8 represent 71:16 representation 11:8 102:2 107:6 118:7 representative 10:13 12:16,19 23:15 140:13 145:19 representatives 5:12 31:1 37:5 70:4 209:2 209:5 216:6 represented 164:15 **reps** 99:6 request 10:10 134:18 134:20 135:12 136:9 142:21 157:2 178:11 186:18 218:9 219:18 requested 135:1 217:15 219:15 requesting 142:17 requests 135:8 147:21 186:7 217:10 require 39:12 41:12 49:9 88:13 177:22 197:14 required 16:9 31:2 32:10 38:5 45:12 67:17.19 68:6 69:22 125:16 186:21 requirement 139:22 149:10 167:7 requirements 29:14 39:15 44:9,15,19 57:20 61:16 66:6 requires 81:4 research 13:19 73:5 83:22 researching 10:16 Reserve 31:9 33:6 100:16,17 108:5 resident 129:10 residents 129:6 resignations 110:16 resolve 205:17 resourced 98:20 107:3 resources 41:13.18 45:12 56:2 67:4 75:2 99:15 102:1 106:22 127:16 129:5,12 resourcing 42:19 53:6 55:22 57:20 71:3 respect 24:21 46:21 51:21 124:3 respecting 40:10 respective 5:15 28:21

32:20 respects 20:9 respond 96:20 109:13 109:15 141:5 responded 185:9 responding 61:4 response 30:21 63:18 80:21 85:8 192:18,20 responses 203:11 responsibilities 37:19 42:15 58:12 responsibility 42:13 48:3 58:18 68:13 69:19 107:8 responsible 10:5,15 54:4 58:13,15 98:10 responsive 55:16 190:21 207:7,13 rest 122:18 restart 202:17 restricted 88:10 result 18:3 21:9 73:11 74:12 75:1 158:13 resulted 39:7 72:13 122:6 resumed 27:5 130:12 retain 104:12 106:2 retention 102:4,13,17 104:18 108:9 117:3 122:3 retired 12:1 60:6 64:19 retirement 102:22 103:1,3 return 33:13 142:3 153:1 219:21 review 8:20 13:4 16:15 16:16 17:5,18 30:18 38:4 41:12 49:18 60:7 114:13 153:1,19 154:2,19 156:1 157:6 157:7,10,11 160:10 162:2 164:6,21 165:11 172:4 174:22 180:16 183:16 184:2 186:11 194:7 200:19 215:4,10 reviewed 190:12 206:13 reviewing 15:9 reviews 16:18 68:13 83:12 112:21 163:17 rewrite 217:20 rewriting 217:21 RFI 134:20 136:14 137:12 141:6 **RFIs** 217:13 ribbon 92:13 Ricci 28:2 59:11,12,17

59:19 71:15 80:3.3 rights 40:10 132:3

128:10

**Rich** 178:5

rigorous 115:13 ring 135:21 **Risch** 28:5 60:10 62:18 63:1,4 80:18,19 90:20 90:20 109:18 115:11 121:17 128:17 130:8 134:2 risk 65:10 111:13 Ritz-Carlton 1:9 robust 11:6 53:13 107:7 Roger 150:17 role 58:6 69:20 72:9 115:14 124:12 127:5 127:8 roles 48:13 62:13 105:5 110:15 rollout 124:12,21 142:4 room 93:6 109:4,5 126:16 root 50:18 rotates 9:17 rotating 9:16 roughly 173:7,13 rounds 12:7 roundtable 83:17 routed 14:3 routinely 31:14 **Rozell** 2:9 RSP 171:20 rubbing 175:4 rug 73:16 Rugh 12:6 rule 5:19 14:12 **rules** 15:10,11,13,19 70:6,22 128:19 129:14 217:21 run 54:2,3 208:21 running 70:17 S s 1:10 19:9

safeguards 101:10 **Salon** 1:9 San 39:2 sanity 174:7 SAPRO 31:11 128:6 **SARCs** 217:5 sat 73:18 satisfaction 90:18 satisfied 119:21 Saunders 2:10 165:16 165:18 168:2 211:7 212:6 213:3,6 214:3 216:16 217:17 218:19

218:21 219:5,10,14 save 174:6 saw 53:12 151:4 171:6 saying 20:16 147:14 150:11 says 74:15 162:21 163:15 182:11 190:7 scales 110:12 111:17 schedule 21:12 92:22 203:4 scheduled 63:9 schedules 21:12 scheduling 185:20 203:5 school 26:11 100:14 112:19 115:3 120:19 129:7 schools 108:1 111:7 118:17 120:13 Schwenk 1:19 17:4,19 18:7,11 19:5,13 20:3 23:4,19 24:1 130:7 133:16.16 137:19 141:7 142:8,11 146:13,14 148:11,15 148:21 151:13,18 152:11 164:5 174:3,4 179:16,17 180:2 181:16 182:5 183:1,5 183:14 187:8,22 188:5,8,12 189:13,20 190:17 192:19 194:15 194:16 198:17,17 199:13,17 209:12 210:7 211:11 213:1.4 213:7 214:12 215:8 215:14 216:20 218:17 218:20,22 220:6,9,13 220:21 221:1,10 223:3 scope 64:2 67:2 131:14 163:7,11 169:14,19 181:18 183:13 190:2 scoping 170:6 scourge 29:22 33:14 scours 114:9 screen 59:9 80:4 161:4 162:15 198:3 screened 113:16,17 screening 125:2 script 152:10 scrutiny 88:14 seat 73:18 **SECNAV** 31:3 32:14 second 4:14 49:6 69:21 90:6 95:6 96:15 146:20 149:20 150:19 184:9 200:6,18 201:4

201:8 204:21 212:20 213:9 215:4 218:2 secretarial 43:4 Secretariat 126:4,9 secretaries 46:17 49:4 124:11 141:17 196:3 216:9 Secretary 5:4,8 10:1,3 10:5 12:10 16:19 19:6 19:9 25:17 26:1 29:18 30:14 31:8,13,19 32:22 33:3,17 35:19 37:12,21 38:13 41:11 41:15 42:6,8 45:18 46:15 47:16,20 56:10 58:1,7 60:9,18 63:14 67:5,8 68:21 69:14 71:16 98:4 106:8 107:1 110:1 111:10 124:8,9 125:8 126:12 127:6,11 128:4,10,18 139:11 141:12 158:17 158:19 182:12 219:10 Secretary's 43:11 62:5 71:4 123:12 124:15 124:18.19 section 46:15 124:9 159:18 188:17 sector 111:8 securing 45:11 security 111:15 140:7 seeing 100:12 107:10 seen 106:17 175:3 206:4 **seaue** 169:14 select 40:18 135:16 selected 70:18 85:22 86:17,20 93:17,22 94:7 selecting 109:8 selection 40:17 44:3,4 62:10 109:10 112:6 113:13,14 122:7 selections 96:8 self- 76:3 self-assessing 76:3 self-evident 157:8 self-select 93:16 Senate 38:15 140:17.19 Senator 145:19 send 25:16 141:5 sending 209:17 senior 2:6,9,9 29:19 31:12,15,15 38:22 39:8 41:5 45:10 48:15 59:21 96:9 99:16,20 112:10 113:21 122:22 137:2 144:4 210:8

sense 73:10 129:18 161:8 184:4 sensitive 108:20 sent 5:4 18:8 158:1 185:8 210:19,22 sentence 167:21 sentencing 166:2,14 167:14,15 205:15 sentencings 167:6,6 separate 35:6 78:7 171:21 172:8 213:11 separately 175:18 **September** 6:10 36:6 36:12,21 97:21 123:13 131:21 134:21 142:18 155:15 165:4 184:10,13,17,21 185:6,17 186:6 187:20 190:5 191:7 192:12 193:4 194:3 195:17 197:16 198:5 198:14 210:3 215:20 215:21 216:19 222:1 222:7,15 223:4 Sergeant 191:18 serious 33:15 50:19 126:22 182:18 seriously 58:12 126:15 serve 5:14 7:16 11:20 34:6 57:11 58:6 112:13 served 33:5 65:5 86:9 107:19,19 148:22 serves 9:18 service 5:14 7:10.17 8:4,8,11 9:9,12,19 10:2,13 11:7,9,16,20 12:8,10,21 13:12,16 15:8 16:12 18:18,21 19:10 23:16 31:11 44:11 46:16 49:4 64:15 69:12 77:6,16 99:11 104:14 107:1 108:4 109:20 124:11 124:17 146:18 176:20 176:21,22 177:10 178:2 196:3 203:19 208:20 209:1,2,5,7 216:6.8 services 5:13 27:1 33:15 38:15 44:17 45:21 81:11 87:5 93:4 93:5 98:9 112:18 115:6 121:20 134:19 137:5 140:17 144:13 145:9 147:10 150:21 203:2 213:12 218:10 219:13.14

serving 20:10 64:19 65:3 97:3 107:15 212:18 session 6:8,21 27:8 115:20 130:20 132:7 133:10 210:17 222:12 sessions 32:12 209:6 set 32:21 125:9 126:3 126:10 131:20 169:18 200:17 sets 99:5 139:3 setting 76:8 125:7 setup 98:7 seven 93:2 103:22 124:10 155:7 sex 50:18 77:7,11 153:15 154:1 168:12 170:18 172:21 214:6 sexual 1:1 4:6,16 5:10 5:10 17:22 29:22 30:20 33:7,14 47:21 48:4 49:17,19 51:2 60:22 72:6 76:6 81:6 81:12 83:22 84:21 89:8 90:1 95:19 153:16 154:5 168:17 169:1.6 171:1 175:16 176:4,9 177:13,16 178:1,10,11,12 179:2 180:5,7,13,18,19,21 181:12,19 182:13,13 182:22 192:18,20 202:20 210:12 214:7 Sgt(R) 1:17 shape 113:6 share 29:12 31:16 34:7 35:8,16 60:14 72:21 82:5 91:14 115:7,8 141:14 **shares** 48:3 sharing 91:7 **sheet** 135:15,16,20 221:16 sheets 218:9 **ship** 223:4 shocking 74:19 shooting 77:3 shop 64:21 99:5 short 8:13 144:17 147:11 148:22 short-term 179:19 Shortly 30:13 show 73:15 90:13 129:22 showed 122:8 164:14 showing 122:21 shows 12:9,10,13 175:9 side 91:5,6,12,12 98:6

99:14 103:7 104:18 110:10 111:1,1,18 116:2 175:6 194:11 sides 111:21 sign 212:2 signal 7:2 138:5 signature 62:5 189:3 signed 17:13,19,21 18:9 significant 34:16 35:12 38:21 40:19 54:12 56:21 58:11 63:8,15 69:18 71:18 75:17 96:12 102:5 113:2 121:22 122:5,6 123:2 197:9 significantly 109:2 **silly** 180:19 similar 19:1 50:21 52:3 54:3 96:19 100:5 101:10,10 119:16 138:10 141:16 150:20 209:18 213:12 similarly 26:8 140:18 **simple** 127:7 simpler 96:6 simply 115:11,22 147:10 166:11 Simultaneous 108:17 130:6 **sincere** 60:4 sir 18:10 19:8 23:21 24:3 77:1 89:4 100:4 105:18,22 106:21 112:4 114:3 120:7.16 121:15 138:15 147:2 149:12 150:17 199:1 199:21 201:11 210:2 220:8,12,18 221:5 222:8 223:2 sister 121:20 sit 83:16 113:1 115:16 206:6 site 216:19 sits 115:17 sitting 11:17 90:6 113:5 113:13 116:5 121:2 six 39:9 53:20 60:18 120:2 142:6 **SJA** 27:2,15 79:17 85:11 133:1 134:2 145:10 skill 58:22 skilled 49:7 84:18,18 85:13 skills 84:11 slated 113:17 slide 11:7 12:9,13 13:11 slides 8:1 slot 113:18 197:5 **slots** 87:18 157:19 **slow** 199:14,15 **SLRF** 59:22 smacking 175:4 small 64:11 209:16 216:21 smith 1:10,13 4:10,11 17:2 26:12 28:10,12 34:14 39:22 47:12 52:12 59:7,14,18 71:22 78:6,15 83:2 85:5 93:7,11 96:2,4 101:16 109:13 119:5 129:20 130:9 135:11 141:11 142:2,10 150:6 151:7,10 152:12,18 160:22 166:20 168:6 169:13 170:12 173:21 174:16 176:2 178:19 179:3 180:1 192:16 193:2 193:14,19 194:12 195:2 208:9 215:16 220:4 221:19 223:5 smooth 55:11 63:11 snapshot 99:21 soldiers 71:14 solely 38:3 66:6 solicited 131:13 solutions 63:20 somebody 13:15 147:10 148:16 150:4 175:4,4,5 189:21 someday 19:14 20:5 119:4 someplace 108:8 somewhat 140:4 soon 220:14 sooner 184:8 SOPs 70:6,21 sorry 21:16 96:3 137:18 150:3,8 152:21 157:21 161:2,3 162:6 165:18 169:11 172:14 187:22 188:3,20 191:6 196:5,7,8 198:1 208:16.17 sort 101:8 106:9 135:18 141:16 164:7 sorts 108:2 sought-after 106:15 sound 40:7 197:21 sounds 170:2 197:16 208:10 space 47:19 53:2 56:1 121:1

**spark** 71:9 speak 7:2,19 35:17 43:7 44:20 47:10 59:6,15 100:13 106:1 150:7 161:6 173:17 speakers 7:1 speaking 4:22 108:17 130:6 specialist 86:3,3,20 specialize 79:21 **specialized** 30:2 38:3 49:9,12 57:10 78:20 89:6 90:10 Specialties 99:19 specific 5:15 155:7 199:18 specifically 42:5 76:5 128:22 172:3 179:7 217:12,14 specifics 160:5 **Speier** 145:19 spend 133:19 split 193:10,13 **Spohn** 214:21 **spoke** 48:21 85:5 **spoken** 127:17 168:7 spouses 107:22 Squadron 33:6 Squares 28:9 **St** 1:10 stacked 69:6 **Stacy** 2:9 staff 2:1,3 6:3 12:18 16:18,19 29:8 31:5 38:14 46:18 56:9 61:7 63:5 64:10 70:13 81:17 91:20 125:2 128:2 132:19 133:2,5 133:11 135:8 145:21 152:20 153:16 154:5 154:8 159:15,18 175:9 178:4 186:8 202:10,21 210:22 214:8 220:10,18 221:8 Staff's 202:5 staffed 57:4 62:16 70:20 96:11 99:2 107:3 127:19 staffing 42:18 62:4 85:11 126:18 staffs 49:8 54:6 stage 28:19 62:4 staggering 106:10 staked 127:19 stakeholders 49:15 57:18 126:13 127:8 stand 44:1 45:8 50:22

98:17 105:10 124:3 stand-up 128:15 standard 14:11,14 15:5 22:17,20 45:20 46:6 74:4 standards 58:16,18 178:15 standing 159:22 161:7 161:16 163:13 165:8 200:11 215:2 star 98:7 start 7:6,11 8:7 26:19 27:8 29:6 87:1 90:2,3 90:5 94:7 125:5 131:2 132:7 156:4 170:19 200:14 212:13 started 137:22 190:18 starting 132:15 starts 170:21 state 158:11,17 State's 65:14 state-of-the-art 92:17 stated 48:2 statement 165:22 167:18 statements 95:17 155:22 201:15 205:10 207:1 215:17 states 5:19 158:14 statewide 65:13 stating 7:3 station 144:2,5,6 179:20 stations 36:12 209:14 statistic 168:10 173:1 statistics 83:5 87:21 117:19 134:18 168:10 175:11 217:15 218:6 stats 121:11 status 46:10 138:12 statute 23:22 35:5 38:6 71:2 126:8 176:14 182:11 statutes 176:12 statutorily 67:19 statutory 5:7 42:4,15 43:4 48:7 98:19 155:13 stay 103:10 106:10 111:2 162:11 Stayce 2:9 stayed 122:14 STC 54:3,15 81:2 85:6 85:15 89:15 96:10,21 126:15 STCs 54:5 56:3 57:11 58:14 90:6 94:4 96:16 steady 80:15

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

steal 62:21 steeped 100:21 **step** 89:15 stepping 69:19 steps 21:18 22:10 120:13 stick 106:17 stigma 143:20 stood 164:7 stool 101:5 stop 160:10,19 stovepipe 143:17 213:11 strangulation 65:9 strategic 3:16 6:7 37:1 37:5 130:19 strategy 61:3 strength 108:11 stress 53:4 strictly 19:10 strikes 108:21 109:1 striking 207:10 strong 101:5 102:19 115:9 strongly 208:1 structural 61:16 66:8 structure 38:12 39:18 43:12 62:19 66:2 67:7 110:17 145:8 structures 37:9 Stuart 28:5 student 103:14 students 94:11 117:13 studied 66:15 studies 9:7 131:14 154:22 157:16 158:1 158:20 163:21 study 146:2,3,12 152:6 152:7 153:17 154:2,7 158:18 159:3,9 160:6 161:18 162:2 165:2 168:12 175:16 200:16 203:13 213:16 214:7 214:9,10,13 studying 158:5 159:5 161:13 174:12 stuff 187:11 222:13 subcommittee 134:8 134:10 151:20,20 152:1,6,15,22 153:20 154:18,19,20 155:1,3 155:11,14,17 156:7 156:11,12,16,17,21 157:3,5,7,11,17 160:3 160:10,18 161:10,20 162:1,2,9,18 165:1,12 168:19 183:16 187:9 187:19 188:6,8,13,22

194:6,7,18,20 195:1,4 199:19,22 200:4,8,8 200:18,19 201:10,11 202:4 213:16,20 214:22 215:4,5,6,9,12 215:13 subcommittees 131:10 131:11 154:12,13,14 186:18 187:15 188:17 189:8,9 205:6 214:15 214:16 216:15,17 220:10 subject 37:2 54:18 59:5 64:20 65:1 99:12 138:13 139:5,8 submission 211:13 submissions 211:16 submit 6:17 25:22 153:2 submitted 153:4 subordinate 38:1 177:19 subordinate/superior 177:20 subordinates 33:22 subsequent 82:8 146:20 149:21 212:21 subset 208:8 substantial 104:12 128:7 success 33:11 48:1 52:1 60:16 63:15 68:21 69:4 75:12 successful 11:7 30:1 34:16 35:3.11 38:4 41:6,19 61:10 63:17 68:1 75:16 105:15 successfully 51:6 64:4 suffice 125:21 sufficient 116:10 suggested 216:20 suggestion 206:8 suggestions 193:15 suggests 9:20 Sullivan 1:22 4:3,4,12 12:14 21:16 23:12 138:16,18 140:11 172:10,15 173:3,6,12 176:7 178:8 181:15 189:4 210:15 223:6,8 summaries 200:3 summarize 166:10 186:10 summary 130:18 143:6 145:1 146:7 153:13 186:19 summer 11:10,17 46:1 105:5

supervise 43:22 45:7 supervised 58:5 supervises 38:17 supervising 39:3 supervision 42:22 113:22 supplementary 13:7,14 support 18:13,14 19:4 37:4 41:14,18 43:19 44:13,15 49:7 51:2,22 54:10 67:6 68:7,12 82:3 94:18 100:10 127:7,12 129:15 220:10 221:3 supported 39:5 supporting 58:6 **supposed** 119:10 171:12 supposedly 108:21 suspect 100:6 126:10 126:14,16 127:1 suspense 61:21 Suzanne 1:15 SVC 135:6 144:8 155:9 160:16 212:18 216:3 SVC/VLC 131:5 143:5 152:19 186:19 212:14 214.4SVC/VLC/VC 151:21 **SVCs** 145:8 146:19 212:20 **SVP** 91:13 **SVU** 94:1 SVU- 89:6 swept 73:15 switch 59:9 switched 28:4 **sync** 140:4 synchronize 57:19 system 20:8 35:12,15 40:7 42:14 51:8,14 53:16 55:16 58:21 68:1 71:12 73:9 74:5 74:21 98:3,3 99:11 101:12,18 102:6,22 166:15 181:7 183:18 206:5 systems 20:10 66:20 209:19 т Tab 123:19 211:2 table 53:3 117:12 159:11,20 214:3 tabling 216:13 tackle 163:8 tackling 32:12 tag 140:20

Tagert 2:10 153:9 175:8 206:1,11 Tagert's 174:7 taken 63:9 120:11 125:8 180:22 takes 58:11 161:20 talent 106:3,5 114:9 120:12 121:9 talk 84:17,20 89:18 99:10 117:2 118:17 121:21 131:7,9 132:10 141:1 192:22 209:17 210:6 211:13 216:21 talked 101:1 104:21 135:14 160:1 166:20 195:13 talking 87:5 93:15 97:16 105:17 114:16 116:16 118:11 137:20 160:11,20 188:1 195:9,11 196:6,12 200:14 210:9 tape 122:11 target 77:3 120:10 targeted 94:9 targeting 94:3 111:7 Tasikas 11:22 task 19:19 30:7,8 64:22 66:7 133:17 156:3,10 156:17.20 157:10 160:16 184:12 200:1 200:1,6 201:22 220:15 tasked 21:1 63:16 134:10 145:17 162:10 tasking 183:13 206:20 tasks 9:4,7 155:7,19 157:13 201:13,19 taught 113:8 **TDS** 91:12 110:10 team 35:1 48:3 52:21 55:4 57:17 60:11 62:18 63:18 64:3.6 66:3 94:18 127:18 134:9 175:12 194:9 222:17 teamed 55:8 teams 37:1 54:10 76:17 98:11,12,13 technical 2:4 5:1 techniques 95:14 teleconference 2:13 5:3 tell 21:8 83:18 100:11 106:12 121:8 122:4 202:12 temperament 38:11

114:16 template 25:18,21 ten 145:12 146:11 151:2 152:14,22 173:6,14 204:20 tenure 149:11 term 43:13 149:10 terminal 177:22 terms 23:1 81:12 82:3 91:6 93:15 110:12 116:4 123:17 157:14 201:14,20 202:2 Terri 2:10 Terry 202:10 204:2 test 53:4 55:9,20 57:13 tested 52:21 71:1 testified 207:22 testify 40:2 127:13 testimony 123:8 131:4 184:20 185:13 186:10 186:22 206:7 207:9 207:19 208:4 testing 36:15 56:2 70:5 text 23:22 thank 4:11 7:5,7,11,12 7:20 23:4,5,6 24:6,11 26:13,15 28:12,13 34:13,15 39:19 40:1 43:9 47:5,11,12 50:14 50:17 52:10,11,12 59:5,7,10,18,19 63:3 63:6 71:15,21,22 75:8 75:9 77:1 79:4 80:2 80:19 82:21 83:2 85:12 88:18 89:4 90:19,21 93:6,7,20 96:21,21 100:4 102:16 105:17 108:3 109:18 112:2 113:11 116:6 119:13 123:5,6 125:3,4 126:20 129:19,20 130:5,7 152:18 153:6 168:5 178:8 202:13 207:4 211:21 218:21 223:1 thanks 130:8 178:18 **Theresa** 2:5 things 10:6 17:11 19:22 20:5,21 21:1,2 88:13 94:12 95:7,8 98:17 103:6 107:6,10 108:2 116:18 118:16 136:22 138:6 141:2 145:20 164:8 170:6,7,20 171:10 175:19 176:17 179:17,18 186:9 189:11 195:5 200:15 201:7 213:8

thinks 183:12 third 49:14 146:3 173:5 173:13,19 213:13 215:12 Thomas 12:16 thought 24:7 146:22 150:6 166:18 170:9 172:14 174:8 179:15 195:6 thoughts 16:7 31:16 72:14 209:22 210:4 thread 207:18 threat 33:20 164:13 three 38:7 48:18 54:7 86:1 91:21 101:5 103:9,10,21 104:1 110:15 112:20 113:9 128:5 144:2 145:12 146:11 151:2 152:14 152:21 154:14 155:19 176:17 186:1 203:6 203:17 208:3 214:16 threshold 29:17 throughput 93:3 throw 156:5,8 159:22 160:6.14 throwing 156:14 194:11 thunder 62:21 tied 98:5 ties 75:21 tighter 78:3 tilt 196:1 timeline 20:22 timely 181:13,19 times 101:22 102:1 124:10 168:15 170:18 tip 7:22 111:17 tirelessly 61:7 **Title** 68:6 138:21,22 139:22 **TJAG** 27:14,20 48:14 58:6,11,15 62:11 134:3 218:3 today 5:16,17 7:6,19 8:1,6 22:5 28:22 29:11 32:5 40:2 117:13 123:9,11 132:21 155:6,21 159:14 170:1 185:2 205:17 211:6 212:8 today's 4:18 6:21 155:2 Tokash 1:19 7:22 24:5 24:5 26:3 48:21 119:11 123:6,6 126:22 129:19 160:1 160:12,12,22 161:3,5 168:7,13 200:4 205:7 205:7,9 214:18,20

tone 171:12.12 tool 104:16 203:12 top 28:3,8 53:3 104:15 185:11 222:18 topic 142:22 162:18 166:5,9 167:1 179:11 185:12 190:12 197:13 207:8 topics 8:6 89:20 93:18 95:13 165:21 166:3 166:16 184:5 185:8,9 201:7 204:13 205:2 212:8 214:22 215:8 215:11,16,17 ToR 156:1 Toro 29:19 30:14 31:14 33:17 125:8 total 104:11 touch 122:15 touching 174:1 touchings 77:12 tour 143:20 144:1,1,7 144:15,18 146:18 147:11 149:1,20 212:17,18,19,21 tours 87:12 146:20 track 32:19 39:6 61:21 71:5 85:17,18 86:2,17 86:18 87:10 92:9 104:8,10 133:3,14 137:22 138:4,7 156:12 205:20 tracking 10:7 88:8 199:6 200:1 217:19 train 145:7 179:20 trained 81:13 89:19 98:19 training 38:10 39:15 42:19 44:15,19 45:19 53:13 55:2,19 58:8 67:18 69:16 71:3 79:13,15,22 87:16 89:9,16 92:18 93:3,14 95:10 101:10 112:16 112:17,17 113:1,2,8 115:5,22 116:1 134:5 134:16 transcribed 6:13 transcript 6:13 transfer 61:12 148:17 transformation 80:12 transformational 51:10 61:6 80:7,16 transition 11:10,12 55:11 56:17 63:11,17 63:20 64:5 90:8 transitioning 90:5 transmit 16:13

transparency 70:10 trap 163:1 travel 100:13 209:12,13 traveled 192:21 traveling 105:1 treated 51:20 Trexler 2:3 222:20 trials 101:20 tried 91:10 true 60:9 truly 7:21 71:18 trumpeting 117:7 trust 20:13 24:16 33:21 35:15 49:14 51:13 53:16 55:15 58:20 73:9 74:21 trusted 71:13 try 79:15 104:3 108:4,7 116:12 132:14 141:18 158:6 184:11 185:19 191:22 212:7 trying 79:11 84:13 87:22 88:1 90:4 110:11 150:7 170:14 174:6 179:12 Tuesday 132:8 211:1 222:15.22 turn 50:12 59:15 62:22 135:2 136:5 160:11 188:22 189:5 turning 15:7 30:9 twice 73:19 **two** 6:9 9:17 34:7 38:3 39:1 40:16 45:9 64:21 68:20 81:9 85:16.22 96:5 107:1 132:13 142:20 143:16 144:3 144:9 145:4,16,20 146:9 149:6 151:22 154:22 155:3,12 157:13,16,19 166:3 166:16 168:12 174:9 184:5 190:5,6 193:11 195:18 198:11,18 201:13,19 206:18 209:22 211:8 215:16 216:8 two-part 72:8 two-star 121:3 two-thirds 173:11 two-year 144:6,7 type 67:4 84:21 217:15 types 95:7 107:9 169:3 typical 186:16 typically 10:14 86:5 **Tysons** 60:1 U

**U.S** 2:2 37:6 UCMJ 20:14 99:12 138:20 158:12 176:15 ultimate 50:5 67:4 69:4 ultimately 9:21 14:2 33:10 153:20 194:20 **umpires** 108:20 unanimous 149:15 150:2 212:22 213:10 214:11 unauthorized 42:10 43:5 uncertainty 71:7 unclear 126:9 uncommon 148:3 undergoing 80:11 undermine 33:21 undermines 47:22 Undersecretary 128:13 understand 5:12 28:17 41:17 84:4 90:15 140:6 167:5,11 understanding 24:9,14 29:2 95:19 187:13 understood 96:7 168:4 undertaken 137:4 undertaking 60:16 128:8 159:2 underway 201:3 unevenly 69:7 uniform 9:1 12:22 13:6 18:2 37:3 42:16 112:10 139:1,3 unique 20:8 unit 33:9 65:6 77:7,7 **United** 5:19 **units** 78:8 unlawful 37:19 42:10 43:5 **Unlisted 180:5** unnecessary 68:15 Unrelated 220:9 unrestricted 88:9,12 unsuccessful 101:21 **unwelcome** 178:13 **up-to-date** 71:17 update 142:9 165:3 186:6,21 195:9,17 196:10,13 197:20 198:12 216:10,15,16 217:13 219:22 **updated** 31:14 updates 15:14 187:8 188:6 urgency 30:7 **USC** 138:19 139:3 177:3,3 use 25:21 92:7 124:8

182:9 usually 209:7 V **VADM** 34:13 43:9 75:9 85:12 97:1 102:16 112:3 113:11 116:15 119:14 valuable 24:17 202:17 value 209:16 211:20 valued 174:12 variety 198:7 200:12 various 10:16 52:21 116:22 120:20 vast 159:1 191:9 VCs 146:19 vein 70:11 Vernon 27:22 48:12 50:13 52:5,11 79:3,7 88:16 89:4 93:13,20 121:2,6 127:17 Vernon's 88:19 versus 88:9 103:22 146:15 vest 103:3 vetted 67:18 Vice 27:13 29:9 34:11 39:21 42:16 43:7 victim 64:17,17 65:6 67:22 69:13 70:2.2 81:2,19 82:3 91:1,11 95:17 132:3 155:21 165:21 167:12,17,19 192:10,19 193:12,16 194:4 201:15 205:10 206:1 207:1 215:17 217:5 victim's 94:22 95:1 victims 33:9 40:11 49:17,22 51:2,20 70:10 71:13 82:6 88:4 162:17 164:12,14 194:4 206:7 victims' 33:19 44:12 50:22 79:1 86:10 98:12 101:7 video 2:13 4:20,21 view 30:1 95:2 129:3 194:22 views 65:19 89:18 vigor 125:8 violating 177:1 violation 177:18 violence 65:6,9,11 83:22 84:21 Virginia 1:10 41:4 92:14 virtual 208:19 222:12 virtually 27:10 59:20

118:16 visibly 127:7,12 **visit** 134:3,10 visits 216:19 visual 11:8 vital 48:15 VLC 100:2 144:8 164:15 183:18 212:19 VLC/SVC/VC 189:16 VLCs 146:19 212:20 vocabulary 162:9 voice 192:6 volume 66:16.21 voluntarily 99:12 volunteers 114:6 vote 9:22 14:2 143:8 145:2 146:8 149:13 149:17 153:14,22 156:9,19 184:19 212:22 214:11 voted 157:10 213:14 votes 150:10,15 212:7 212:14 214:5 voting 9:11,11,20 10:19 11:8 12:4,7 13:22 14:16.18 18:17 131:5 152:19 Vuono 2:11 W

## **W** 1:16 wait 7:3 98:16 103:2 202:7 204:21 waiting 142:12 walk 88:20 Walton 1:20 101:14,17 108:18 113:7 116:8 137:17,17 138:11 146:14 149:3 161:12 167:5 182:16 193:21 199:14 210:6 212:15 214:22 wane 137:10 wanted 25:7,20 26:9 93:12 151:16 165:19 166:17 168:2 174:17 179:18 202:8 wants 6:16 25:20 89:13 133:9,14 145:1 166:1 166:8 167:16 194:13 204:16 205:2 212:1 War 37:4 warrant 203:13 warranted 82:8 Washington 38:17 wasn't 84:11 174:11 watching 102:17 140:9 waving 150:13

way 14:8 25:11 26:5 60:11 71:4 73:16 106:5 126:5 128:4 133:18 147:18 175:5 182:6 195:19 210:11 ways 25:2 26:2 83:10 117:20 177:8 website 6:14 25:15,16 25:22 26:6,8 153:5 Wednesday 1:6 222:22 weeded 84:1,6 week 45:7 93:2 106:2 112:20 weekly 31:15,18 32:3 weeks 40:16 66:4 93:1 weigh 183:11 weight 98:5 138:6 welcome 4:13 5:17,21 16:22 25:1 27:7 28:13 69:2 130:14 142:14 well-trained 69:9 wellness 66:13 Wells 2:11 went 14:8 27:5 88:12 93:16 95:8.13 110:1 122:11 129:21 130:12 174:5 223:11 weren't 74:19 West 45:11 White 17:7 18:4 142:13 wholly 207:7 WHS.pentagon.em.m... 6:18 wide 42:14 44:8 65:8 198:7 wider 171:8 William 1:14 2:11 willing 141:14 willingness 28:15 wise 189:18 wish 7:2 105:19 112:6 112:12 182:15 withdraw 162:17 164:12 witness 94:13 witnessed 33:7 women 117:9,13,14,16 118:3,8 wonder 96:16 162:10 wondered 84:20 wonderful 92:5 wondering 101:3 162:7 162:14 178:20 199:17 Woodard 12:5 word 124:8 166:6 219:12 words 134:22 157:2 179:14 220:13

			24
work 11:6 18:21 32:3	30:13 32:10 43:15	<b>1250</b> 1:10	<b>23</b> 52:2 56:12,19
34:16,20 43:16,19	45:3 52:20 54:14 59:3	<b>13</b> 131:21 222:20,21	<b>23</b> rd 4:14
45:18 48:16 59:1	63:13 66:5 72:6 93:2	<b>130</b> 3:16	<b>24</b> 143:12 144:16 145:
62:19 66:6 75:5,20	104:1 174:9 176:8	<b>134</b> 18:1 176:10,15	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	146:9,15,21 149:7,2
77:15 78:17 79:7 97:8	177:11 180:3 181:21	177:5,22	212:21
102:12 117:21 124:6	190:8 197:1 203:8	<b>13th</b> 222:15	<b>24-month</b> 144:15
128:8,13 129:14	207:16 208:2	<b>14</b> 131:21 222:20,21	149:10
152:22 190:1 200:5	<b>year's</b> 51:5 180:12	<b>14-year</b> 104:2	<b>24(a)</b> 141:3
220:18	years 9:17 11:16 20:17	<b>140,000</b> 104:11	<b>25</b> 56:22
worked 14:15 20:17	33:8,13 39:9 65:4	<b>145</b> 110:8	<b>26</b> 118:5
35:2 76:18	67:22 86:5 90:9	<b>15</b> 5:2 57:2 61:22	<b>27</b> 3:14 32:21 52:2
workforce 61:3 124:6	101:18,19 103:3,10	137:22	118:5
working 10:12,14 12:9	103:15,22 110:4,9	<b>17</b> 116:21	<b>28</b> 46:12
13:18,21 14:15 15:22	112:15 113:9,15	<b>18</b> 14:21 54:21 88:15	
18:22 19:1,17 47:3	120:21 138:1 139:18	89:8 116:21 127:3	3
61:7 70:11,21 78:12	144:2,9 169:18 174:9	143:11 144:14 145:2	<b>3</b> 36:13 44:22 46:4
78:14 81:8,20 94:7,17	175:9,13 208:3	146:8,15,19 149:20	55:22 213:14
95:4 120:9,12 128:19	yesterday 48:22 101:2	177:3 212:19	<b>30</b> 20:16 64:7 168:22
159:19 199:9	132:20 143:2,7	<b>18-month</b> 144:3	169:5 172:18,18,19
workload 66:11,21	151:14 153:8 154:8	<b>1990s</b> 33:6	172:22 173:1,12
works 95:15 159:6	154:16 155:5,12,20		186:3
194:8	157:15 159:16 165:20	2	<b>36</b> 54:16
workshop 95:17	168:9 169:22 172:17	<b>2</b> 36:8 43:8,14,16 44:21	
world 20:12 55:2 102:3	185:2 211:6	45:2 55:18 86:3	4
116:10 167:10 168:15	yield 34:11 39:16 80:17	<b>2,000</b> 174:19	<b>4</b> 36:17 44:22 46:13
worldwide 203:2	<b>Yob</b> 2:12	<b>2.9</b> 110:8	56:8,11 75:15
Wormuth 63:14 71:16	young 111:5	<b>2:00</b> 6:19	<b>4-phase</b> 36:3
worry 221:2	Joung III.o	<b>2:06</b> 223:11	<b>40</b> 39:13 101:19 117:1
worth 171:9 184:2	Z	<b>2:30</b> 204:21	118:3
			110.5
worthwhile 170:10	<b>Zoom</b> 4:20 132:6	<b>20</b> 56:19 72:12,20 83:19	5
wouldn't 78:6 97:11	0	84:8 89:8 103:3,15	
140:8 147:20 148:5		117:16 120:21 181:16	<b>5</b> 123:19
wound 174:19	<b>03</b> 10:15 106:3	<b>2000</b> 103:13	5-phased 52:19
wrap 109:20 119:9	<b>04</b> 105:6 106:3 110:21	<b>2000s</b> 120:18	<b>50</b> 101:18
132:11	<b>05</b> 10:15 104:3 105:7	<b>2001</b> 103:13	<b>52</b> 93:1
wrapped 76:16 163:16	110:21	<b>2007</b> 39:7 85:19	<b>531</b> 124:9
wrapping 119:10 152:5	<b>05s</b> 114:21	<b>2010</b> 91:3	<b>532</b> 124:10
wraps 220:3	<b>06</b> 114:8,18 118:4	<b>2013</b> 51:1	<b>547</b> 46:15 159:18
writ 119:17 120:8	<b>06s</b> 113:20 114:20,22	<b>2015</b> 5:6	<b>5500.17</b> 8:14
write 135:20		<b>2017</b> 53:9 72:6 89:6	
write- 159:12	1	<b>2021</b> 14:21 15:2 36:6	6
write-in 131:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
write-ins 163:19	<b>1.3</b> 20:10	53:9 <b>2022</b> 1:7 15:15 29:15	<b>6</b> 42:16
	<b>1.4</b> 20:10		<b>60</b> 14:6 22:20 133:4,8
Writer-Editor 2:4	<b>10</b> 15:2 19:11 39:3 68:6	36:7 37:13 44:2 51:7	<b>60-day</b> 14:20 22:16,2
writing 25:7 181:20	138:19,21,22 139:3	62:1 210:21 219:22	23:1
183:19	139:22 211:2 213:14	<b>2023</b> 32:21 34:22 36:12	<b>60,000</b> 103:12
written 6:13 15:19	<b>10-year</b> 103:2	36:16 46:12 131:15	6th 210:22
146:9 147:19 211:16	<b>10,000</b> 104:10	142:5 155:14,15	
217:12	<b>10:00</b> 26:18 27:3	184:8 190:15 192:11	7
wrong 181:14	<b>1044(f)</b> 138:20	197:2 216:13 217:4	<b>7</b> 3:12 37:13 40:16
wrote 135:19	<b>11</b> 8:2 44:2 139:6 180:3	<b>21</b> 177:3	70 77:12 170:16 171:6
www.dacipad.whs.mil	180:14	<b>210</b> 3:19	173:16,22
6:15	<b>11:43</b> 130:12	21st 210:21	<b>70/30</b> 175:2
		<b>21</b> 3(210.21) <b>22</b> 1:7 20:5 30:13,20	
0.15	<b>110 000</b> 102000	LE I.I ZU.U UU.IU.ZU	<b>703D</b> 14:13
	<b>110,000</b> 103:20		79 00.0 405.44
X	<b>12</b> 65:4 86:5 180:13	32:10 41:9 42:5 45:17	<b>73</b> 32:8 125:14
X	<b>12</b> 65:4 86:5 180:13 181:5	32:10 41:9 42:5 45:17 46:15 61:15 63:14	<b>73</b> 32:8 125:14 <b>7th</b> 211:1
	<b>12</b> 65:4 86:5 180:13	32:10 41:9 42:5 45:17	

<b>80</b> 72:6,15 74:18 83:19		
84:4		
<b>824(a)</b> 139:3		
9		
<b>9</b> 8:3 <b>9:00</b> 1:10		
<b>9:01</b> 4:2		
<b>9:24</b> 27:5		
<b>9:58</b> 27:6 <b>90</b> 19:8		
90-minute 26:19 191:12		

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: US DOD DAC-IPAD

Date: 06-22-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

near Lans &

Court Reporter

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1716 14TH ST., N.W., STE. 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-7831

(202) 234-4433