UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

+ + + + +

DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES (DAC-IPAD)

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY JANUARY 25, 2019

+ + + + +

The Committee met in the Monument View Room at the Doubletree by Hilton Crystal City, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia, at 9:00 a.m., Ms. Martha Bashford, Chair, presiding.

**PRESENT:** 

Ms. Martha S. Bashford, Chair Major General Marcia M. Anderson, U.S. Army (Ret.) Hon. Paul W. Grimm Ms. Jennifer Gentile Long\* Mr. James P. Markey Dr. Jenifer Markowitz CMSAF Rodney J. McKinley, USAF (Ret.) Brig. Gen. James R. Schwenk, USMC (Ret.) Dr. Cassia C. Spohn Ms. Meghan A. Tokash\*

Hon. Reggie B. Walton

```
STAFF:
```

Major Dwight Sullivan, Designated Federal Officer Col. Steven Weir, USA, Staff Director Ms. Julie Carson, Deputy Staff Director Mr. Dale Trexler, Chief of Staff Dr. Janice Chayt, Investigator Dr. Alice Falk, Editor Ms. Theresa Gallagher, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Nalini Gupta, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Amanda Hagy, Senior Paralegal Mr. Chuck Mason, Attorney-Advisory Ms. Meghan Peters, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Stacy Powell, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Stayce Rozell, Senior Paralegal Ms. Terri Saunders, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Kate Tagert, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Patricia Ham, Attorney-Advisor Ms. Marguerite McKinney, Analyst Dr. William Wells, Criminologist

PRESENTERS:

Lieutenant Colonel (Retired) Joseph "Jay" Morse,

USA

Colonel (Retired) Doug James, USAF

Colonel (Retired) David "Wil" Riggins, USA

\*Present via telephone

## CONTENTS

| Pag                                      | je |
|--|----|
| Welcome and Introduction                 | 4  |
| Panel: Effects of Sexual Assault         |    |
| Investigations on Accused Service        |    |
| Members                                  | 7  |
| Data Working Group Presentation of       |    |
| Sexual Assault Court-Martial Data 1      | 25 |
| Case Review Working Group Presentation   |    |
| of Investigative Case File Review Data 1 | 51 |
| Committee Deliberations on March 2019    |    |
| Draft Report                             | 04 |
| Adjourned                                | 29 |

|    | 4   |
|----|---|
| 1  | P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S                             |
| 2  | (9:00 a.m.)                                       |
| 3  | MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning. I'm                   |
| 4  | Dwight Sullivan, the Designated Federal Officer   |
| 5  | of the Defense Advisory Committee on              |
| 6  | Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual |
| 7  | Assault in the Armed Forces, better known as the  |
| 8  | DAC-IPAD.   |
| 9  | Public comments will be heard at the              |
| 10 | end of the meeting at the discretion of the       |
| 11 | Chair. It would be inappropriate for anyone in    |
| 12 | the public gallery to make a comment at any other |
| 13 | time.   |
| 14 | However, written public comments may              |
| 15 | always be submitted to the Committee for          |
| 16 | consideration. This meeting is open. Ms.          |
| 17 | Bashford, you have the time.                      |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you, Mr.                    |
| 19 | Sullivan and good morning. I would like to        |
| 20 | welcome members and everyone in attendance today  |
| 21 | to the 11th meeting of the Defense Advisory       |
| 22 | Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and      |
|    |   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces, or 1 2 DAC-IPAD. Of the 15 committee members, ten 3 4 members are present this morning and another 5 member will be joining us, will be joining us telephonically. 6 Five members were not able to attend. 7 8 Judge Leo Brisbois, Ms. Kathleen Cannon, Ms. 9 Margaret Garvin, Ms. Jennifer Long and Mr. A.J. 10 Kramer. 11 The DAC-IPAD was created by the 12 Secretary of Defense in accordance of the NDAA for a Fiscal Year 2015 as amended. Our mandate 13 14 is to advise the Secretary of Defense on the 15 investigation prosecution and defense of 16 allegations of sexual assault and other sexual 17 misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces. 18 Please note that today's meeting is being transcribed and a written transcript will 19 20 be posted on the DAC-IPAD website. We will begin 21 the meeting with testimony from three former service members about their experience as service 22

members accused of sexual assault.

| 2  | That Panel will be followed by a                  |
|----|---|
|    |   |
| 3  | court-martial data presentation provided by the   |
| 4  | Committee's Data Working Group.                   |
| 5  | After a break for lunch, the Committee            |
| 6  | will receive a presentation from its case review  |
| 7  | working group on statistical data from the        |
| 8  | working groups review of investigative case files |
| 9  | closed in Fiscal Year 2015, involving penetrative |
| 10 | sexual assault defenses.                          |
| 11 | For the final session, the Committee              |
| 12 | will review and conduct deliberations on the      |
| 13 | initial draft on its annual report, findings and  |
| 14 | recommendations.                                  |
| 15 | Each public meeting of the DAC-IPAD               |
| 16 | includes a period of time for public comment. We  |
| 17 | have received one written public comment prior to |
| 18 | today's meeting from Mr. Michael Conzachi,        |
| 19 | Director of Investigations at Save Our Heroes.    |
| 20 | That comment has been posted to our website and   |
| 21 | copies are available at the sign in table.        |
| 22 | So far we have received no request for            |

| 1  | public comment at today's meeting. As Mr.         |
|----|---|
| 2  | Sullivan said, if a member of the audience would  |
| 3  | like to make a public comment on issues before    |
| 4  | the Committee, please direct your requests to the |
| 5  | DAC-IPAD Staff Director, Colonel Steven Weir.     |
| 6  | All public comments will be heard at              |
| 7  | the end of the meeting at the discretion of the   |
| 8  | Chair. Written comments may always be submitted   |
| 9  | for committee consideration.                      |
| 10 | Thank you all for being here today.               |
| 11 | And, Lieutenant Colonel Morse, you may begin when |
| 12 | you're ready. Thank you.                          |
| 13 | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Thank you very                 |
| 14 | much. Good morning, my name is Jay Morse, I'm     |
| 15 | grateful for this opportunity to share with you.  |
| 16 | My experience is in the Army as                   |
| 17 | someone accused of assault. I intend for my       |
| 18 | comments to focus on how this allegation has      |
| 19 | impacted me, both my last year in the Army and    |
| 20 | the five years since then.                        |
| 21 | I'd like to supplement my previously              |
| 22 | written comments and I welcome any and all        |
|    |   |

questions. And I hope that we can engage in some
 meaningful dialogue.

Some brief background. I was
commissioned as an Aviation Second Lieutenant in
the Army in 1993 as serving as an ROTC cadet. I
was selected for what's called a Funded Legal
Education Program in 1998.

8 I graduated from law school in 2001 9 and switched over to be a judge advocate later 10 that year.

I had served in various positions between January of 2002 and March of 2014, when an accusation was made against me. Those included positions, including, as a prosecutor, as a defense counsel and a supervising defense counsel, as deputy staff judge advocate and as a staff judge advocate.

18 My second to the last job in the Army 19 was as the chief of the Trial Counsel Assistance 20 Program, of which I suspect many of you are 21 familiar.

22

During the, about two years and change

| 1  | that I was the chief of TCAP, myself and          |
|----|---|
| 2  | approximately 30 other civilian and Military      |
| 3  | attorneys lead the training, instruction, advice  |
| 4  | of every prosecutor in the U.S. Army.             |
| 5  | In addition, at the same time as the              |
| 6  | chief of TCAP, I supervised as part of those 24,  |
| 7  | or excuse me, as part of those 30, 24 special     |
| 8  | victim prosecutors located at bases around the    |
| 9  | world who took the lead on prosecuting or         |
| 10 | advising on all cases involving special victims.  |
| 11 | So not just sexual assault but domestic violence. |
| 12 | And child victims as well.                        |
| 13 | In addition, I was one of a small                 |
| 14 | handful of people that I believe really took the  |
| 15 | lead in representing the U.S. Army to Congress.   |
| 16 | A handful of times, ten to 15 times, I personally |
| 17 | briefed either members of Congress or their       |
| 18 | staffs, on how the Army was handling sexual       |
| 19 | assault.  |
| 20 | In addition, while I was the chief of             |
| 21 | TCAP, I was selected to be the lead prosecutor in |
| 22 | the case of U.S. v. Sergeant Bales. Which is a    |
|    |   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

16 homicide case occurring in Afghanistan. 1 2 Myself and about ten other attorneys and paralegals, port Navy efforts of about 100 3 4 other people, to prosecute Sergeant Bales. I have been previously selected to 5 attend senior service college, and I deferred 6 7 that for a year. And probably ahead of most my 8 peers. 9 In early 2014 I was selected to be the Staff Judge Advocate of the 1st Cavalry Division 10 later on that summer. 11 12 On or about February 21st of 2014, Ι 13 was informed that a colleague claimed that I 14 groped and tried to kiss her at about 1 o'clock in the morning in my hotel room, at a conference 15 16 in March of 2011. 17 At some point this allegation against 18 me was leaked to the press on March 5th of 2014. 19 Every major news outlet ran my name, my title, my 20 picture and the accusation against me. 21 I had to talk to my girlfriend, call my mother in Nevada, sent an email to a small 22

group of family and close friends to let them I 1 2 would be on national news that night. I actually got an email the next day from a friend in London 3 4 to say that I made The Guardian. Perhaps most importantly, or at least 5 relevant, this story aired the night before a 6 7 Senator Kirsten Gillibrand sponsored a bill to 8 remove sexual assault from a Military chain of 9 command, was put to a vote on the senate floor. Much of my negative experience since 10 11 I left the Army is a direct result of what you 12 could probably still find on the internet should 13 you Google my name. 14 I was ultimately fired from my job at I was given what's called a locally-filed 15 TCAP. 16 letter of reprimand, which essentially means it 17 goes in a desk drawer and is supposed to 18 disappear once you either PCS. Once you either 19 move from that assignment, or in my case, leave 20 the Army. 21 CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm sorry --22 LT. COLONEL MORSE: Go ahead.

| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: what is PCS?                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: I'm sorry. Yes,                |
| 3  | so permanent change of station.                   |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you.                        |
| 5  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: So you can have an             |
| 6  | officially-filed letter that becomes part of your |
| 7  | file. It can be used against you later            |
| 8  | essentially.                                      |
| 9  | But locally-filed is supposed to be,              |
| 10 | for all intents and purposes, a counseling        |
| 11 | statement.  |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you.                        |
| 13 | LT. COLONEL MORSE: In October of 2015             |
| 14 | I retired honorably as Lieutenant Colonel.        |
| 15 | I don't want to re-litigate my case               |
| 16 | here today, and I'm certainly, though I'm willing |
| 17 | to answer any questions, what I want to make      |
| 18 | clear and ensure there's no confusion, that at no |
| 19 | point did I assault my accuser, at no point did I |
| 20 | do anything that could be misinterpreted as non-  |
| 21 | consensual interactions.                          |
| 22 | The primary intent, I understand of               |
|    |   |

the Panel and of me, is to help understand how 1 2 allegations of assault effect those accused, and whether or not there might be instances of how 3 4 the Army, or even civilian leadership, might not 5 fairly affect this position. I could unhappily talk about this for 6 7 hours, and I'm happy to talk to any of you any 8 time, any place, in further detail. But I'll try 9 to focus my comments on a few of my experiences. After the accusation I was given no 10 tasks or responsibilities. I had to move to an 11 12 empty office away from my team. And was told to 13 stay away from them. 14 I had a top secret SCI security clearance, that was suspended. Once the, it's 15 16 called a flag, once that flag was removed, then 17 essentially you can reinitiate your clearance, I 18 was told that because I was going to retire that 19 there was no need to do it. And so I do not have 20 a clearance. 21 Before the investigation was complete, I was told that I was removed from my following 22

| 1  | position as a staff judge advocate of the 1st     |
|----|---|
| 2  | Cavalry Division, and I was also removed from the |
| 3  | list of selectees for senior service college.     |
| 4  | After receiving my letter of                      |
| 5  | reprimand, but prior to the General making the    |
| 6  | final determination, I was told that if I did not |
| 7  | submit a request to retire the reprimand would be |
| 8  | filed in my official file. I would then face a    |
| 9  | separation board and whatever comes after.        |
| 10 | My selection board for the rank of                |
| 11 | Colonel was meeting just a few weeks actually     |
| 12 | after the filing of the reprimand. I was told     |
| 13 | that I needed to withdraw my name from            |
| 14 | consideration for the rank of Colonel.            |
| 15 | I believe that I was competitive to be            |
| 16 | promoted. If I had been promoted and if I had     |
| 17 | served three years at the rank of Colonel,        |
| 18 | instead of retiring as a lieutenant colonel, my   |
| 19 | retirement pay over the next 40 years of my life  |
| 20 | would have been about \$2 million more than       |
| 21 | retiring as a lieutenant colonel.                 |
| 22 | I was notified after the final                    |
|    |   |

I

| 1  | determination, it was made locally, I was         |
|----|---|
| 2  | notified by my senior rater that my file would go |
| 3  | in front of a Grade Determination Board to make a |
| 4  | determination as to what rank I should retire.    |
| 5  | I should clarify, I was notified                  |
| 6  | twice. The first notification listed a Deputy     |
| 7  | Undersecretary of the Army as the approval        |
| 8  | authority of my grade, my retirement grade.       |
| 9  | That's in accordance with the regulation.         |
| 10 | About two days later I received a                 |
| 11 | subsequent notification that said the Secretary   |
| 12 | of the Army would personally act on my case.      |
| 13 | I don't know if this is typical. I do             |
| 14 | know that the regulations states that the default |
| 15 | is of a Secretary of the Army acts on people      |
| 16 | retiring in the rank of one-star general and      |
| 17 | above.  |
| 18 | During the investigation phase,                   |
| 19 | multiple witnesses voluntarily contacted my       |
| 20 | defense attorney with information that was        |
| 21 | relevant to my case. As a result, a battalion     |
| 22 | commander in my chain of command, under the order |
|    |   |

from the advising staff judge advocate, so a
 colonel judge advocate, ordered me to cease
 conducting my defense.

The specific order said that if me or anyone acting on my behalf, to include my defense counsel, spoke to anyone about the case, we would be in violation of a lawful order and we could both be charged with interfering with an investigation.

The advising trial counsel, or the 10 11 advising prosecutor in this case, when making a 12 determination as to whether or not I should be titled, which I can talk about in more detail, he 13 14 told my attorney they would not consider the 15 credibility of either me or my accuser. This is 16 contrary to the, specifically contrary to the 17 regulation.

18 The regulation that determines whether 19 or not should be titled says that you are 20 supposed to determine or assess credibility, 21 given the totality of the circumstances and the 22 source of the information.

| i  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | If I can shift to after the Army                  |
| 2  | leaking this accusation to the press has affected |
| 3  | me in various ways. Literally from the day I      |
| 4  | retired.  |
| 5  | This has been five years. The                     |
| 6  | examples I'm going to give you have occurred just |
| 7  | over the last five months.                        |
| 8  | I had an offer from a local think tank            |
| 9  | to write several blog posts about the training of |
| 10 | NATO forces. The offer was withdrawn shortly      |
| 11 | after someone in that organization Googled me.    |
| 12 | A colleague and I had an oral                     |
| 13 | agreement with the U.S. Air Force JAG School at   |
| 14 | Maxwell Air Force Base to provide a week long     |
| 15 | advance communications course to mid-level judge  |
| 16 | advocates. About ten days before that course,     |
| 17 | the JAG school called my colleague and told him   |
| 18 | that he could come, I was not welcome.            |
| 19 | I've applied to three executive MBA               |
| 20 | programs in the last few months. I addressed my   |
| 21 | exit from the Army and what any selection         |
| 22 | committee might find should they Google my name   |
|    |   |

in each one of those applications. All three
 schools denied my applications.

One school, I asked all three for 3 4 feedback, only one did. They stated that their 5 main concerns were regarding my ability to fit with their program and specifically because 6 7 "their institution relies so heavily on close 8 student interaction and effective teamwork." 9 There are a long list of reasons that I probably 10 should not go to a top 20 MBA program, but my 11 ability to be part of a team is not one of them. 12 I've had Global Entry for the last 13 three years. Global Entry, as you know, is one 14 of the programs that allows you to bypass customs and border patrol. 15 I've had it for three years. 16 I've 17 made literally 20 to 30 trips, international 18 trips, without interruption. Without any sort of 19 an issue. 20 In November, so just a few months ago, 21 I was coming back from Mexico on my way to 22 Seattle, I was pulled out of a line and told that

1 I required additional questioning because in 2 2014, their computer said that, 2014, I was arrested for assault and that I was given a 3 4 reprimand. 5 I was recently offered a permanent position with one of my clients. I've been doing 6 consulting for about the last year. 7 8 Before accepting I had a very, very 9 long talk with the leadership, essentially to make sure they knew everything and also to ask 10 11 them what they were going to do when someone in 12 their organization inevitably Googled me. They assured me they had done their homework and that 13 14 it was not going to be an issue. About two weeks later I was told that 15 16 someone in the organization Googled me, the permeant offer was rescinded. I still work with 17 18 that organization, but I do it as a contractor. 19 On a very personal basis, I can't 20 properly articulate to you how I feel the Army, 21 JAG Corps leadership, treated me over the last year of my time in the Army. 22

(202) 234-4433

| Ī  |  |
|----|--|
| 1  | I had a very strong sense of self, a             |
| 2  | pretty strong opinion of what I believe my role  |
| 3  | in the world was and that changed. A profound    |
| 4  | sense of justice and fairness and what I believe |
| 5  | my role in that process was.                     |
| 6  | And frankly, I didn't know what to do            |
| 7  | with myself if I didn't belong to something      |
| 8  | bigger than me. Because of this I've become      |
| 9  | withdrawn, depressed.                            |
| 10 | I used to be someone who always sought           |
| 11 | out challenges and leadership opportunities and  |
| 12 | had a very strong desire to make the world, and  |
| 13 | those around me, better. And I just don't        |
| 14 | anymore.   |
| 15 | It's effected the way I interact with            |
| 16 | my family, with my girlfriend, with my former    |
| 17 | colleagues, and generally, with the world around |
| 18 | me. I don't know, and this has been five years,  |
| 19 | it's at the point where it's absurd frankly.     |
| 20 | I don't know what it takes to get me             |
| 21 | back to the type of person I think I was before. |
| 22 | But I hope that today is maybe the beginning.    |
|    |  |

Thank you for your time. 1 2 CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you. Colonel 3 James. 4 COLONEL JAMES: Thank you, Chairman Bashford, I appreciate it. Panel Members, thanks 5 for letting me be here today. 6 7 Colonel Weir, great job setting everything up, I really appreciate it. 8 9 It is an honor to be here. And, Jay, 10 thank you for your comments. My name is Doug James, as she said. 11 12 I'm a retired Air Force Colonel, a fighter pilot by trade. I flew the A-10 and the F-15. 13 14 I now fly for a major airline, I run my own consulting business and more most 15 16 importantly, I'm honored to be the president of a 17 non-profit for falsely accused service members. And I'll just say, Jay's comments are 18 19 typical of what I see every day at my non-profit. 20 I'm here today to offer my testimony 21 on my personal experience with the Military judicial system. Specifically, what happened to 22

| 1  | me after a false allegation, which was a          |
|----|---|
| 2  | byproduct of a very hostile and protracted        |
| 3  | custody battle from my wife's ex-husband.         |
| 4  | My objective is not to only provide               |
| 5  | you with my personal testimony but I'm going to   |
| 6  | try to meld in what I see every day in the common |
| 7  | threads and Save Our Heroes. We just heard some   |
| 8  | of them.  |
| 9  | Unfortunately, the common thread of               |
| 10 | career killing, family destruction and the lack   |
| 11 | of holding proven false accusers accountable has  |
| 12 | turned the Military judicial system into a threat |
| 13 | of national security. I don't say that lightly.   |
| 14 | I worked hard at the Air Force, with              |
| 15 | the Air Force. I flew the A-10 and F-15 for my    |
| 16 | first eight years. Then I had an assignment to    |
| 17 | the Pentagon, CENTCOM Headquarters in Tampa and   |
| 18 | then Pacific Air Force Headquarters in Hawaii.    |
| 19 | While at these three assignments I was            |
| 20 | a senior colonel, so I was able to work with      |
| 21 | today's Who's Who in senior leadership, in both   |
| 22 | the Military and the civilian world.              |

I spent half my career on active duty, 1 2 the other half as a reservist. But 21 out of 28 years was active duty. That's a number that's 3 4 very important later on. 5 There's no doubt my career was on an upward trajectory. In Winter of 2013, while I 6 7 was living in Southern California, my wife's 8 custody battle reached a level where we felt her 9 husband's threats, her ex-husband's threats, were to be taken seriously. 10 11 I was drilling a PACAF at the time. 12 I had a personal meeting with my two-star and I explained to him about the threats to our family 13 14 and asked if he needed full-time help. 15 Without hesitation, the two-star 16 needed to fill a critical O-6 fighter pilot billet due to a last minute assignment change. 17 18 It was perfect for both of us. 19 I could get my wife out of the dangerous situation and help my PACAF. 20 Little 21 did I know that decision was going to be the end 22 of my Military career.

|    | 4   |
|----|---|
| 1  | This same two-star would be my                    |
| 2  | convening authority and conveniently forget about |
| 3  | my dangerous situation at home.                   |
| 4  | Just after arriving at PACAF I learned            |
| 5  | I screened for brigadier general. I never cared   |
| 6  | about rank my entire career, but it was exciting  |
| 7  | to have an opportunity to take my senior          |
| 8  | leadership to the next level.                     |
| 9  | My short-lived accomplishment ended in            |
| 10 | July of 2013 when a new reservist two-star boss   |
| 11 | of mine told me I was under investigation for     |
| 12 | accusation of a sexual assault. I was shocked     |
| 13 | and could not believe my wife's custody battle    |
| 14 | made it into the Air Force.                       |
| 15 | After I got a hold of myself, I had a             |
| 16 | great discussion with my new boss. I told him     |
| 17 | about the situation with my wife's ex-husband,    |
| 18 | why I was at PACAF full-time in the first place.  |
| 19 | And after hearing my story, he                    |
| 20 | counseled me to work my butt off and was sure the |
| 21 | situation would resolve itself. We were both      |
| 22 | confident the Air Force would look at the         |
|    |   |

entirety of the situation and dismiss my case 1 2 shortly. Little did I know my career actually 3 4 ended at that point. It's important to note that an allegation will end your career. 5 I take pride in saying I worked my 6 7 butt off as a deputy assistant director for all 8 of operations in the Pacific while being 9 investigated for a completely baseless allegation. 10 11 My whole family assumed the Air Force, 12 would see how baseless this allegation was. Ι 13 never lived with my stepdaughter, and in fact, I 14 was never alone with her because of my wife's fear of her ex-husband. And I had orders to 15 prove the multiple times I was in Tampa and et 16 17 cetera, et cetera. 18 A CD of his threats, phone messages 19 and even pictures were given to the OSI, Office 20 of Special Investigation. None of this critical 21 information ever made the OSI's final report. 22 In fact, my wife's testimony was

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

reduced to three-quarters of a page while the ex husband's baseless rambling testimony made up
 most of the report.

We eventually learned the Air Force was not interested in the truth and more interested in appeasing Congress. The Air Force, quite honestly, was going to do everything possible to convict me for something I didn't do.

9 At Save Our Heroes, in reference to
10 the UCMJ we say, your guilty until proven guilty.
11 Sadly, I felt this firsthand.

I was trailed to the OSI for almost a year and a half. The team, whose tactics I'm not going to talk about here, but all with the goal to disregard the truth, and at a minimum, convict me for some sort of derived collateral charge.

17Remember, a conviction for a derived18collateral charge allows the Military to19statistically show a conviction for sexual20assault.

In summer of 2014, a year after the
investigation, I have started, I sat down with my

| 1  | four-star boss at the time, and I sat down with   |
|----|---|
| 2  | him one-on-one, and he was one of the only few    |
| 3  | people on base that knew what was going on, and I |
| 4  | said, you can help me find the next job. Because  |
| 5  | that was the next step for brigadier general.     |
| 6  | And he said, no, I can't, with the                |
| 7  | investigation going on. I told him I understood,  |
| 8  | and I was done.                                   |
| 9  | The four-star asked me if I could help            |
| 10 | through the summer because they needed help. I    |
| 11 | still considered him a mentor, even at that       |
| 12 | point, because I had worked for him four times    |
| 13 | through both of our careers. So I agreed.         |
| 14 | I found out later the four-star wrote,            |
| 15 | in emails that summer, let's just send him to a   |
| 16 | court-martial and see what happens. This was a    |
| 17 | guy I looked up to.                               |
| 18 | Two days before my last day on active             |
| 19 | duty in August 2014, I called retirements to make |
| 20 | sure my paperwork was ready to go. I had          |
| 21 | preplanned everything a month prior with my       |
| 22 | retirement, perhaps I figured it was going to be  |
|    |   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

just a quick confirmation call. 1 2 Unfortunately, it wasn't. The GS-9 told me my retirement was on OSI hold. 3 Yet, no officer in my chain had the leadership competency 4 5 to tell me I couldn't retire. The whole thing, the whole time I kept 6 7 thinking, the Air Force will figure this out. 8 I'll get an apology from somebody high up and 9 even get a retirement ceremony. I went back to my civilian airline and 10 11 waited for my retirement. My security clearance 12 was suspended in September of 2014. In Fall of 2014, at my wife's urging, I hired a civilian 13 14 lawyer for \$40,000. That's the going rate. I couldn't believe I needed to hire a 15 16 lawyer when it was so obvious this was a 17 fabricated story. Just after my arraignment in 18 September 2014, my Military lawyer gave me a 19 heads up the Air Force Times would be posting an 20 article about me the following Monday. 21 Immediately I got a hold of the VP at my civilian airline and asked for a conference 22

call. My wife and I sat down with him, the VP 1 2 was awesome. I knew him guite awhile because I had 3 4 just interviewed three months prior for the 5 flight OPs VP job at my airline. I was a 6 finalist for the position. One of two people. 7 Little did I know, at the same time of 8 interviewing for the VP job the OSI was asking 9 the airline questions about me. Needless to say, 10 I never got the job. 11 During our conference call I explained 12 what happened about the news article. I will 13 never forget what he said. We see this all the time with 14 15 employees going through divorces and custody 16 battles, I'll have my ex-FBI guys check it out. 17 If we think you're good to go, we'll let you keep 18 flying, if not, you're grounded. And I thought 19 that was reasonable. 20 I never heard from my airline again. 21 And I continue to fly. 22 My airline had just treated me with

more respect than the Air Force. I think that's 1 2 part of the reason we got a pilot shortage to be quite honest. 3 4 In 2015, August 2015, two months 5 before my planned court-martial, I couldn't take The stress was getting to me and my 6 it anymore. 7 family, I needed to start preparing for the 8 trial. 9 I asked PACAF to put me on full-time 10 orders so I could prepare, because remember, I'm a reservist at this point. My request got denied 11 12 by Colonel Stickle. The Colonel in charge of all 13 my type of reservists in the Air Force. 14 Colonel Stickle told me on the phone, and I quote, "you don't deserve orders because 15 16 you're going to a court-martial." I questioned 17 her and said, it sounds like you think I'm 18 guilty. 19 I then told her my civilian airline 20 treated me better than the Air Force, an 21 organization I was willing to die for. Her answer was another classic. They should, we only 22

| 1  | get you two weeks a year.                       |
|----|---|
| 2  | I couldn't believe what I heard. I              |
| 3  | answered, I guess you don't know my career.     |
| 4  | Remember, I had worked 21 out of 28 years.      |
| 5  | A reservist one-star was assigned to            |
| 6  | make sure I was okay. They call him the wing    |
| 7  | man. Kept pushing the decision all the way to   |
| 8  | the new PACAF commander, General Robinson.      |
| 9  | There was no way I was going to fly             |
| 10 | out in a civilian airline this close to the     |
| 11 | court-martial. General Robinson was awesome.    |
| 12 | She signed off on the orders.                   |
| 13 | But why did it take a four-star to              |
| 14 | sign off on them? Four emails later proved      |
| 15 | Colonel Stickle's barbaric thought process was  |
| 16 | manifested up and down the chain of command.    |
| 17 | Unbelievably, my case was dropped two           |
| 18 | weeks before my court-martial for reasons I've  |
| 19 | never been told. In fact, when my lawyer called |
| 20 | me with the news he said, I've never seen this  |
| 21 | before, take the gift and go away.              |
| 22 | He emphasized that many times, and              |
|    |   |

| 1  | actually had a Military lawyer call me to         |
|----|---|
| 2  | reinforce it, yes, these were serious red flags.  |
| 3  | The damage was done, I retired two days later.    |
| 4  | There was no discussion about keeping             |
| 5  | me, I was a liability. Within a month, three      |
| 6  | general officers in my chain of command quietly   |
| 7  | retired, to include the convening authority who   |
| 8  | brought me on active duty and knew the whole      |
| 9  | story in the first place. Remember, he knew       |
| 10 | everything.                                       |
| 11 | The Air Force never asked the Air                 |
| 12 | Force Times to take the article off the net. So,  |
| 13 | Jay, I understand what you're saying.             |
| 14 | At my lawyers urging, the Air Force               |
| 15 | Times wrote one sentence in an article six months |
| 16 | later saying, all charges against Doug James have |
| 17 | been dropped due to new evidence.                 |
| 18 | Now, keep in mind, I didn't know what             |
| 19 | the new evidence was. So I asked my Military      |
| 20 | lawyer for the new evidence. And she told me      |
| 21 | there was no evidence and sometimes the press     |
| 22 | gets it wrong.                                    |
|    |   |

| 1  | Again, through FOIA, I found out the              |
|----|---|
| 2  | Air Force had actually written public affairs     |
| 3  | guidance, it's a PAG. And in that PAG were        |
| 4  | questions to answer the press if they asked.      |
| 5  | And one of them specifically was,                 |
| 6  | charges were dropped due to new evidence. The     |
| 7  | Air Force lied to me.                             |
| 8  | The Air Force told me they couldn't               |
| 9  | take the original article down because they had   |
| 10 | nothing to do with the Air Force Times. The Air   |
| 11 | Force Times article finally came down when I sent |
| 12 | the editor a FOIA email written to the chief of   |
| 13 | staff and the Secretary in the Air Force about my |
| 14 | case.   |
| 15 | I was able to get the article off.                |
| 16 | The Air Force lied to me again. Unfortunately,    |
| 17 | as Jay has just said, there is still a dead link  |
| 18 | which will be there forever.                      |
| 19 | I'm still feeling the effects today.              |
| 20 | My case was dismissed without prejudice, which as |
| 21 | you know, that means the Air Force can hold it    |
| 22 | over me for eternity.                             |
|    |   |

| 1  | A year ago, my wife was reunited with             |
|----|---|
| 2  | her kids. The things we've learned is shocking.   |
| 3  | I will not go into details, but I know the Air    |
| 4  | Force knows about their horrific life. Keep in    |
| 5  | mind, they were my dependents.                    |
| 6  | Unfortunately, because of the                     |
| 7  | disposition of the case, I've been paying \$1,000 |
| 8  | a month for the kids to live in the apartment. I  |
| 9  | can't be anywhere near them because of the Air    |
| 10 | Force and reprisal.                               |
| 11 | I spend about \$800 a month into                  |
| 12 | counseling to get them back to normal in maturity |
| 13 | levels. These kids were abused to their dad to a  |
| 14 | level you can't imagine, but the Air Force        |
| 15 | ignored it and would rather have destroyed a      |
| 16 | dedicated O-6's life.                             |
| 17 | It took me over a year to get my                  |
| 18 | security clearances back after the dismissal.     |
| 19 | And the only reason why I got them back is        |
| 20 | because I went through the help of the late       |
| 21 | Senator McCain, who is my Senator, and Senator    |
| 22 | Sinema. Now Senator Sinema, was my congressional  |

representative at the time. 1 2 Both were fantastic. And I have a lot 3 of respect for our new senator. But why would it take working through 4 5 my congressional delegation to get my clearances back? 6 7 My DNA is still in the FBI Database. 8 The Air Force just blew me off when I asked for 9 I've written numerous agencies to have it help. 10 taken out. 11 And as you've heard in previous 12 testimony, the last quarter, that's almost 13 impossible to do. Interestingly enough, all the 14 Military lawyers and prosecutors in my case have 15 been promoted. 16 The lead prosecutor is now a sitting 17 judge at Travis Air Force Base. He has a history 18 of destroying people's lives. 19 The JAG who was advising the convening 20 authority is now a one-star. And I don't 21 understand how those people, who propagated a two 22 and a half year investigation over a baseless

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

allegation, single handedly destroying three
 generals and a single colonel's career got
 promoted.

There have been some blessings in 4 5 disquise. My wife was so shocked this case would even move forward. She went to ASU Law School. 6 7 As you know, it's a top 25 law school. She 8 passed the Arizona bar this July, one of the 9 toughest in the country, and is now working for a leading Military defender here in D.C. 10 Verv 11 proud of her. 12 I would argue her education and legal 13 maturity is higher than 80 percent of those in 14 the Military prosecutor, JAG Corps today. Most importantly though, what I 15 16 learned over my entire career is helping over 300 17 others in the same situation as the president of 18 Save Our Heroes. 19 We are picking up a client every two Military justice, injustice, is 20 weeks. 21 destroying lives every day. I will conclude by emphasizing, this 22

www.nealrgross.com

is a national security issue and the impacts to 1 2 me, personally, are immeasurable. Four highly decorated senior officers lost their jobs because 3 4 the Military justice system could not read a calendar. 5 If you think I'm joking, ask the Air 6 Force why the case against me was dropped. 7 8 The monetary impacts can't be 9 calculated. I lost both a chance at brigadier general and the VP at the airline I love. 10 With today's electronic medium, what 11 12 future opportunities am I going to lose because 13 of a dead link, and we've already heard that with 14 Jay. Unfortunately, I think my case is just 15 16 the tip of the iceberg. Thank you for your time, 17 I really, really appreciate it and I'll be happy 18 to answer questions later. 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you. Colonel 20 Riggins. 21 COLONEL RIGGINS: Gentlemen, thank you 22 for your remarks. And, Colonel Weir, thanks for

| 1  | the invite to be here today, I appreciate it.     |
|----|---|
| 2  | Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, my            |
| 3  | name is Wil Riggins. Thank you for the            |
| 4  | opportunity to address the Committee. Today, of   |
| 5  | all days, is my 54th birthday.                    |
| 6  | I understand my purpose here today is             |
| 7  | to inform the Committee with regard to the impact |
| 8  | and allegation of sexual assault had on my career |
| 9  | and my private life.                              |
| 10 | While preparing these remarks, it                 |
| 11 | occurred to me that simply sharing my story would |
| 12 | fall woefully short of actually providing an      |
| 13 | understanding, true understanding, of the impact  |
| 14 | this allegation had on me, my career and my       |
| 15 | family.   |
| 16 | After all, prior to my own experience,            |
| 17 | I certainly have heard of others in similar       |
| 18 | situations. Dealing with the allegations and      |
| 19 | trying to manage the ball out of having life, as  |
| 20 | they knew it, came to a dramatic and painful      |
| 21 | pause while subsequent events unfold.             |
| 22 | So, I supposed I was informed about               |
|    |   |

the potential impact, but I can assure you that 1 2 until I experienced the horror myself, I did not understand the depth and breadth of the crushing 3 impact on those who I love and care most about, 4 5 on the everyday mundane functions of our lives. And certainly, not on my own emotional health or 6 7 on my professional life. 8 For this reason, I need your help 9 today to effectively create a level of understanding that I believe must go beyond 10 simply informing in order to be effective. 11 12 In preparation for today's 13 discussions, I reviewed all of your online 14 professional biographies. That review revealed a simple fact. 15 16 You were all asked to serve on this 17 committee because each of you have invested the 18 daily sweat and toil, the education and training, 19 the sacrifice in commitment as required to

19 the sacrifice in commitment as required to 20 achieve consistent excellence, and to be 21 recognized as experts and leaders within your 22 fields and to advance to a pinnacle that is

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1 beyond most of your peers, and possibly, even 2 beyond your own expectations. You also have my biography for 3 4 reference. 5 Like you, I had arrived at the mountain top of my Army career in 2013. 6 Having achieved success in every level during the 7 8 preceding 26 years, since my graduation from West 9 Point in 1987. I had been selected for multiple 10 11 promotions and advanced military and civilian 12 education opportunities well ahead of my peers. 13 Like you, that success came at a significant 14 personal price. In my case, that included long hours, 15 16 time spent away from families and friends, missed But also, exposure 17 birthdays, sporting events. 18 to extreme danger on foreign soil. Not only to 19 myself but exposure to subordinate soldiers, men 20 and women, who were my responsibility. 21 On July 2nd of 2013 I formally learned 22 that I was one of 35 officers selected from a

| 1  | field of over 3,500 colonels to be selected for   |
|----|---|
| 2  | promotion for brigadier general in the Army.      |
| 3  | Judging from your bios, most of you,              |
| 4  | if not all, can relate to the elation and the joy |
| 5  | that I experienced, along with my entire family,  |
| 6  | with that promotion announcement.                 |
| 7  | Here's where I need your help.                    |
| 8  | Because I need you to reflect on your own         |
| 9  | background, your own history, your promotion, job |
| 10 | announcement, appointment or nomination to either |
| 11 | your current position or that earlier position,   |
| 12 | that in your mind marks the absolute peak of your |
| 13 | professional accomplishments.                     |
| 14 | That moment where you realize that you            |
| 15 | had achieved something truly amazing. A time      |
| 16 | when you felt unparallel elation.                 |
| 17 | Now, I need you to imagine that in the            |
| 18 | midst of your elation and the excitement shared   |
| 19 | with loved ones and close friends, you become     |
| 20 | subject of an allegation of wrong doing. Pick     |
| 21 | your own poison.                                  |
| 22 | It could be cheating on an examine,               |
|    |   |

plagiarizing a thesis, stealing. Or in my case, 1 2 the allegation that I sexually assaulted another West Point cadet 27 years earlier in 1986. 3 Regardless of what allegation you 4 5 chose, you know first and foremost that you did not commit the offense, yet, the more you affirm 6 7 that fact the more vehemently your accuser 8 claimed that you did. 9 The other condition to imagine, and 10 it's very real, as we've heard earlier, is whatever the allegation you chose, it represents 11 12 a perceived epidemic of wrong doing that's 13 determined by Congress, and the media, where 14 aggressive action must be taken at any cost, to eliminate similar future offenses within your 15 16 profession. 17 For this reason, your appointment, 18 announcement or promotion is publicly delayed 19 while you are formally charged with the offense. 20 Like Doug, my DNA still remains in the DNA 21 database. 22 The ensuing investigation involves

| 1  | family members across the country and the friends |
|----|---|
| 2  | you haven't seen or spoken with in years. You     |
| 3  | may not even have accurate contact information    |
| 4  | for somebody.                                     |
| 5  | At this point, you're determined to               |
| 6  | clear your name. After all, your entire           |
| 7  | professional career and every accomplishment      |
| 8  | you've ever achieved is now at stake.             |
| 9  | In my case, my 70-year old mother in              |
| 10 | Florida was one of the first to be interviewed as |
| 11 | part of my six month investigation. Followed      |
| 12 | closely by my wife, who is here this morning, my  |
| 13 | sister, my college roommates and other friends    |
| 14 | from college.                                     |
| 15 | Your family loves you, so they are all            |
| 16 | in and support you in every way possible. But     |
| 17 | you can see, and you hear in their voices, the    |
| 18 | stress and concern. And you remember, they        |
| 19 | didn't choose this, they're only dealing with     |
| 20 | this for my sake.                                 |
| 21 | After a long, painful and exhausting              |
| 22 | six month investigation you're told the outcome,  |
|    |   |

"there was insufficient evidence to establish probable cause to believe that you committed the offense."

Throughout the investigation your family has been rock solid with their support, but you can visually see the fatigue and stress the ordeal has had on them. You can also see it when you look in the mirror. And you feel it all of the time.

10 You stop counting the number of 11 sleepiness nights months ago. Your friends have 12 been mostly supportive, at least to your face. 13 But you've heard a couple of them say that there 14 must be something to the allegation, "it's taken 15 this long and you still haven't gotten that 16 promotion."

Nevertheless, you breathe a cautious
sigh of relief now that the investigation is
over, thinking that you can finally resume your
life. The elation you felt months before at your
once in a lifetime achievement has faded, but you
still believe that you have much to offer your

1

2

3

profession in this new position of elevated responsibility.

So you inquire as to the status of 3 your promotion but hear nothing for another five 4 5 Then, an official in your field months. determines that a panel should be assembled to 6 7 revisit your selection for the screened job. 8 Interestingly, this panel process is 9 how you were chosen initially. But you support the process and are pleased to learn that a 10 11 second panel reviewed your complete body of work, 12 and also reviews the complete investigation 13 outcome, before arriving at the exact same 14 decision that the first panel made. And that is, you were the best person qualified for this 15 16 promotion. 17 In my case, the Secretary of the Army 18 was the official who ordered a promotion review board of five Army generals to review my file, 19 20 and the investigation, before making a 21 recommendation on my promotion.

That promotion review board

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

1

2

3

unanimously upheld the decision on my initial promotion board by recommending, again, that I be promoted.

4 Another month passes before you learn 5 that the official, in my case the Secretary of the Army who assembled the panel, decided to 6 ignore the findings of the panel and punitively 7 8 withdraw the support for your nomination.

9 You, your friends and your family are devastated. You don't understand because your 10 11 expertise and qualifications for the position 12 have been affirmed twice now.

Most recently in full light of the 13 14 false allegation made against you, and the related investigation. So I ask you, what would 15 16 you do next?

I requested a 15 minute office call 17 18 with the Secretary of the Army, 15 minutes, to 19 try to understand his decision making rational. 20 That request was flatly rejected. 21 Again, I have to ask you to imagine

yourself in this situation. You've been denied a

www.nealrgross.com

| 1  | once in a lifetime opportunity that you           |
|----|---|
| 2  | previously had earned, but now on top of that,    |
| 3  | you've been disgraced and humiliated across the   |
| 4  | entire breadth of your profession.                |
| 5  | So, even returning to the position you            |
| 6  | held previously is not an option. Again, think    |
| 7  | about what you would do in that situation.        |
| 8  | For my wife and I, we elected to                  |
| 9  | exercise the only options we believed were        |
| 10 | available to us at the time. I filed two sets of  |
| 11 | paperwork.  |
| 12 | The first was for retirement from the             |
| 13 | Army. The second was a lawsuit for defamation.    |
| 14 | Forty-nine months and \$200,000 later, about 15   |
| 15 | miles from where we are right now, a Fairfax      |
| 16 | County juror of four men, I'm sorry, of four      |
| 17 | women and three men, determined that the          |
| 18 | allegation was indeed a lie with intent to        |
| 19 | defame.   |
| 20 | The amount of damages they awarded was            |
| 21 | record setting, because according to one jury     |
| 22 | member, "we wanted to make sure nothing like this |

would ever happen again."

1

| 2  | So I ask you to place yourself in some            |
|----|---|
| 3  | of the situations that I experienced during the   |
| 4  | period beginning July 2013 and continuing over    |
| 5  | the next four years when the Secretary of the     |
| 6  | Army decided to ignore the recommendations of two |
| 7  | promotion boards and take unqualified punitive    |
| 8  | action against me.                                |
| 9  | I believe that was the only way for               |
| 10 | this Committee to truly understand how perversely |
| 11 | my case was handled by the Army and Department of |
| 12 | Defense and how devastating the impact was. I     |
| 13 | truly hope you took the opportunity to image      |
| 14 | yourself in a similar situation, because, as you  |
| 15 | heard this morning already, it's happening to     |
| 16 | current service members far too often.            |
| 17 | I also hope that at some point during             |
| 18 | these remarks you came to the same conclusion as  |
| 19 | that juror, it should never happen again. Thank   |
| 20 | you all for your time and attention and I look    |
| 21 | forward to answering your questions.              |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you.                        |
|    |   |

Questions? Oh, I'll start them. 1 2 Lieutenant Morse. Colonel Morse, If you said it, I missed it. How long 3 sorry. 4 was the investigation into the allegation of your 5 case? LT. COLONEL MORSE: 6 It was, from 7 accusation until filing of a reprimand, was about 8 four months. And then the grade determination 9 board lasted another six or seven months, I think. 10 11 CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you. 12 BGEN SCHWENK: So, what would you guys 13 recommend to change the system to fix some or one 14 or more of the things that you experienced, or 15 that you know others have experienced, that 16 didn't work well? 17 COLONEL JAMES: In my position at Save 18 Our Heroes, we actually made eight trips to the 19 Capitol this last year. And we've analyzed this 20 as well as we can. 21 We're only 40 volunteers, but we do 22 have a lot of legal people on our team. And we

hooked up with some well-known and well-connected 1 2 people here in D.C. And basically, we've boiled it down to 3 a couple of suggestions. And I saw Ms. Coyne's 4 testimony from last time. Very, very good by the 5 6 way. But her suggestion was a little more 7 8 band-aid. And I think, Judge Grimm, I think you 9 used that term if I remember correctly. Anyway, we think it's at a very high level. 10 11 Right now, basically one senator can 12 ruin a general officer's career. We think, and 13 we're driving to have at least ten senators, in 14 writing, say that the general officer is not fit, whatever, on a nomination. 15 16 We also believe strongly in 17 eliminating the Feres doctrine. And I'm sure you 18 know the Feres doctrine. But that would help in 19 a lot of these cases, and it would help in Wil's 20 case. 21 Having a chance to hold the prosecutor 22 accountable, much like was done in the Duke

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 50

1 Lacrosse case.

| 2  | And then, and this falls to what                  |
|----|---|
| 3  | happened with all of us here, and we think you    |
| 4  | got to keep these investigations out of the       |
| 5  | newspaper. We are finding that once they get      |
| 6  | into the newspaper, that person is dead.          |
| 7  | Literally.  |
| 8  | Because the service must save face.               |
| 9  | They put them in there in the first place and now |
| 10 | they have to save face and they're going to go    |
| 11 | for some sort of collateral charge to make it     |
| 12 | look good. We're seeing that all the time.        |
| 13 | And I think Jay's is a perfect example            |
| 14 | how they're using non-judicial type punishment in |
| 15 | not going through the normal system. Which is     |
| 16 | exactly against what Secretary Mattis wanted in   |
| 17 | the letter he wrote about six months ago.         |
| 18 | So that would be my recommendation.               |
| 19 | That's what we're working with our people in the  |
| 20 | Hill on. And we'll make at least eight more       |
| 21 | trips in 2019.                                    |
| 22 | HON. GRIMM: Can I ask you a follow-up             |
|    |   |

www.nealrgross.com

on that question, Colonel? 1 2 COLONEL JAMES: Yes, Judge. Your experience was, is 3 HON. GRIMM: 4 that the announcement to the press came from 5 within the Air Force itself as opposed to from the accuser or from someone else, is that what --6 7 COLONEL JAMES: Well, how mine came 8 about was they found an Air Force Times writer 9 fishing around on the docket. And I guess he 10 gave my lawyer a heads up he was going to post 11 something on the next Monday. So that's how mine 12 got in there. But, I mean, that's all it takes is a 13 14 reporter to go through the docket and then put 15 something in the paper. 16 HON. GRIMM: Because, if the 17 information was publicly available information 18 and there was no action by the Military service 19 to bring that to the attention of the reporter, 20 how would that be possible to prevent that from 21 getting in the press, one of your recommendations 22 was, don't disclose this information to the press

until such time that there is some event, such as 1 2 a charge or something that would be public record, how could this Committee make 3 recommendations to the services themselves that 4 5 would prevent premature disclosure of this 6 information to the press? Do you have any 7 thoughts on that? 8 COLONEL JAMES: I don't. But I know 9 of two that I'm working right now that are very high profile. I won't go into details. 10 But it was almost like there was a 11 12 chest-beating going on by the services to get it I think that's very unfair. And we've 13 out. 14 heard the reasons why already. And it's going to 15 be very unfair to these two cases. 16 BGEN SCHWENK: Colonel Morse or 17 Colonel Riggins, did you guys --18 COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes. 19 BGEN SCHWENK: -- have anything you wanted to add about the case? 20 21 LT. COLONEL MORSE: It's all right if 22 I go after you?

| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay.                               |
|----|---|
| 2  | COLONEL RIGGINS: I certainly have                 |
| 3  | some thoughts here and absolutely agree with      |
| 4  | everything that Doug commented on, based on my    |
| 5  | personal experience.                              |
| 6  | The other thing that strikes me in all            |
| 7  | of these situations is that there is this         |
| 8  | constant mantra of epidemic, and I believe, and I |
| 9  | saw personally, and knowing a little bit about    |
| 10 | these gentlemen's cases as well, having that      |
| 11 | mantra continue on and one leads a perception     |
| 12 | that these are not individual cases, that each    |
| 13 | have to be looked at and weighed on their own     |
| 14 | merit.  |
| 15 | I think somewhere along the way we                |
| 16 | lost the basic focus that every single            |
| 17 | accusation, every single event, every one of      |
| 18 | these, has got to be looked at as a separate      |
| 19 | standalone case.                                  |
| 20 | And in all of those cases, both the               |
| 21 | perceived victim and the accused, have rights     |
| 22 | that need to be absolutely protected. I think     |
|    |   |

| 1  | some of that is informational in nature. It has   |
|----|---|
| 2  | certainly processed, focused in nature.           |
| 3  | And I know in my case, for the                    |
| 4  | Secretary of the Army, the review that I talked   |
| 5  | about, where he was able to back away from the    |
| 6  | promotion review board's case, I mean, those were |
| 7  | reviewed by both the Army Inspector General and   |
| 8  | then the Department of Defense Inspector General. |
| 9  | And so, essentially punitive action               |
| 10 | was allowed with no finding of wrongdoing. And,   |
| 11 | again, in my mind, it's because we've got this    |
| 12 | tidal wave where we say we've got this epidemic   |
| 13 | and so we stop looking at the individual aspects. |
| 14 | I think if we, more than anything                 |
| 15 | else, we need to refocus on that.                 |
| 16 | BGEN SCHWENK: Thank you.                          |
| 17 | LT. COLONEL MORSE: I think two                    |
| 18 | things, and one touches upon what Colonel Riggins |
| 19 | said.   |
| 20 | This is the same thing I said every               |
| 21 | time I went to Congress before all this happened  |
| 22 | to me. I mean, every single staffer or            |
|    |   |

representative or senator I talked to, I said, this is two separate systems you're talking about. This is how we treat someone who alleges that they've been assaulted, and this is how we treat someone whose been accused of being assaulted.

7 And I think that what we've done, I 8 think because of congressional oversight, and I 9 mean over, in both terms, as in too much in 10 oversight, we've created one system. So we've 11 essentially said, if you follow that trail the 12 conclusion is that anytime a victim makes a 13 statement it is, by default, true.

And there is no circumstance where
someone whose claiming that she was assaulted,
whatever, lied. And it's just not true.

17 It's created a situation where there's
18 nothing I can do to defend myself. Nothing. No
19 matter what the evidence.

20 A specific example, as it relates to 21 Judge Grimm's statement about leaking this to the 22 media. I was 40 years old, I had never been

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

married, I was single at the time. I think I had a reputation as an outgoing guy.

I'm sure that CID, I believe that the 3 4 investigation was leaked by someone from the CID 5 Office in Germany, watch all the other allegations come rolling in. When none did, and 6 part of that is based on, this person who accused 7 8 me, this isn't just a, this person ordered me to 9 come to his hotel room and assaulted me, this is five pages of statements that I feel I was able 10 11 to overwhelming disprove. But none of that 12 matters.

Because if you say anything that puts into question the victim's credibility or why the victim would lie, then you are perceived as victim shaming or if you then put more weight or more credibility to the accused, you're going to get taken to task by Congress.

So, two separate things. One is,
understand that these are two separate systems
and they can be two separate systems, and they
should be two separate systems.

1

2

| 1  | If a person comes in and says I was               |
|----|---|
| 2  | assaulted, it's okay to say, I'm sorry this       |
| 3  | happened to you and I believe you and we're going |
| 4  | to do a proper investigation. It's also okay to   |
| 5  | go back later and tell her, we did an             |
| 6  | investigation and we're not, we don't think you   |
| 7  | lied, what we're saying is we don't think there's |
| 8  | enough evidence to go forward and we're not going |
| 9  | to. That's okay to do.                            |
| 10 | The second part of that is the role of            |
| 11 | the attorney in this. I think that a staff judge  |
| 12 | advocate has an obligation to uphold the law at   |
| 13 | all costs.  |
| 14 | My fear is that that has been, that's             |
| 15 | been subordinated to a desired protector          |
| 16 | convening authority. And so, as an advisory       |
| 17 | staff judge advocate, if you tell the convening   |
| 18 | authority there is not evidence here, not only    |
| 19 | not evidence to not go forward, there's not       |
| 20 | evidence for probable cause and there's not       |
| 21 | evidence for titling, then you've just placed     |
| 22 | that convening authority at risk of being         |
|    |   |

1 promoted to the next rank or even a lateral
2 transfer.

And so, if I were you, I would ask 3 4 some staff judge advocates if they believe that 5 they've given, what they believe their obligation 6 is to their convening authority, they're sure that he or she is --7 8 Absolutely. BGEN SCHWENK: 9 LT. COLONEL MORSE: -- he or she is ready for promotion to the next rank and how that 10 11 advice might play out if they advised to not go 12 forward on sexual assault charges. I have a multi-13 CHIEF MCKINLEY: 14 layered question for each one of you. First off, 15 I want to thank you for your service. I served 16 alongside each one of you and I want to thank you 17 for all that you've done for our country. 18 I also want to make a statement that 19 the Air Force Times is not an official Air Force 20 magazine. We all have our opinion on them but 21 it's not from the Air Force.

22

I want to ask you, for your defense

| 1  | counsel, each one of you had the Military defense |
|----|---|
| 2  | counsel, did you feel that they were properly     |
| 3  | trained and willing and had the time to put forth |
| 4  | on your cases?                                    |
| 5  | Do you think there was undue pressure,            |
| 6  | like you, Colonel Morse, to the JAGs and so       |
| 7  | forth, convening authorities, to really try to    |
| 8  | find guilt and, we all served because it's all    |
| 9  | about mission and how did your cases affect       |
| 10 | mission capability and the morale of the people   |
| 11 | surrounding you? Thank you.                       |
| 12 | LT. COLONEL MORSE: If I could answer              |
| 13 | the second question first. You know, one of my,   |
| 14 | I have never wanted to turn this into, for me, I  |
| 15 | never wanted to turn this into me being like a    |
| 16 | poster child for a men's advocacy group.          |
| 17 | That's not the person I've been,                  |
| 18 | that's not how I lead in the Army. I believe      |
| 19 | that I have treated everyone who's ever worked    |
| 20 | for me fairly.                                    |
| 21 | And I would ask all of you to go back             |
| 22 | and interview every single woman who ever worked  |
|    |   |

for me and see if any of the allegations against 1 2 me are consistent with any of their experiences. I bring that up because, what I do 3 4 fear is that you now have, you potentially have a 5 situation where a male senior officer will never ever be in a room with the door closed with a 6 subordinate female. Won't take a, won't share a 7 8 taxi with her TDY, will get separate rental cars 9 or whatever it might be. It's created, as we all know, 10 11 perception is more important than reality. It's 12 created this perception that if I am anywhere with a female solider or a female airman or a 13 14 female Marine or a female sailor, I'm putting myself at risk of an accusation that I cannot 15 16 defend. And I think it's awful. 17 I think it's, some of the absolute 18 best people I've served with were women judge 19 advocates. And to think that this situation has 20 the unattended consequences that they're 21 potentially discriminated against more than they were before is troubling to me. 22

(202) 234-4433

| 1  | But that's not my story to tell. You              |
|----|---|
| 2  | ought to call some women in here and ask them if  |
| 3  | they, if my experience has affected their         |
| 4  | experience in the JAG Corps since this happened.  |
| 5  | CHIEF MCKINLEY: Okay.                             |
| 6  | COLONEL JAMES: Chief, thanks for your             |
| 7  | question. First of all, I want to clarify in the  |
| 8  | Air Force, hopefully I didn't lead people astray  |
| 9  | by thinking it was by the Air Force, but my point |
| 10 | of that was, if I could have taken the article    |
| 11 | out, I think somebody from the Air Force could    |
| 12 | have taken the article out.                       |
| 13 | Your question about defense counsel.              |
| 14 | I had two Military defense counsels. The first    |
| 15 | one was actually my best. He was a young          |
| 16 | captain, good guy. I got frustrated and fired     |
| 17 | him because I couldn't understand why this thing  |
| 18 | was going on.                                     |
| 19 | Then I ended up getting a major who               |
| 20 | was supposed to be the best ADC in the Pacific,   |
| 21 | because I was in the Pacific at the time. And     |
| 22 | she was okay.                                     |

| 1  | The only thing, she didn't understand            |
|----|--|
| 2  | what SAID meant. I asked her one day, you know   |
| 3  | what SAID is? And I don't, most of you probably  |
| 4  | know, sexual allegations in divorce.             |
| 5  | I thought that was kind of                       |
| 6  | frustrating. And another comment I asked her, I  |
| 7  | said, how is this thing continuing, I don't      |
| 8  | understand what evidence they have. And she's,   |
| 9  | well, they have very strong testimony from a 15  |
| 10 | year old kid. That frustrated me.                |
| 11 | As far as, I did not see any effects             |
| 12 | of mission capability effects at the time. I had |
| 13 | a very high profile position.                    |
| 14 | Hats off to my leadership at PACAF at            |
| 15 | the time. There were only about three people on  |
| 16 | base that even knew what was going on. The four- |
| 17 | star, the three-star and probably the two-star.  |
| 18 | And obviously all the other side guys chasing    |
| 19 | after me.  |
| 20 | I was able to, my boss was, my                   |
| 21 | immediate boss was gone a lot, so I was in the   |
| 22 | very close hold meetings with only about six of  |
|    |  |

us in the room, twice a week. Which was great.
 They treated me as a professional, as I thought
 they should.

Things started breaking down towards the end though. After a year, when I said I'm done, actually, my last day on active duty I represented PACAF on a very high profile, and it just happened in the Pacific.

9 So I can honestly say I was treated 10 with respect and professionalism until my last 11 day. But, after the whole thing ended, you will 12 have to go back and ask, Chief, some of your 13 friends, but the entire PACAF leadership was 14 decimated. And that goes back to my national 15 security issue.

If a meth addict from LA can destroy
PACAF leadership like that, what could a spy from
a bad actor state do? So, I will stand by what I
said.

20 COLONEL RIGGINS: Chief, thanks for 21 the question. You know, in terms of the military 22 defense aspect to the question, and I'm not sure

how the other services do it, but in the Army 1 2 once you are selected for promotion to general officer -- from a personnel standpoint you are 3 handled a little bit differently. 4 One of the ways you are handled 5 differently is if you do have a need for military 6 representation you are typically given one of if 7 not the most senior staff judge advocate member 8 9 that is available on staff. And initially I was assigned a 10 11 lieutenant colonel who was the branch chief for 12 defense here at Fort Myers, right next door. 13 About a week into the investigation I was told 14 that I was getting reassigned by the JAG office 15 to a major, a very competent young man, but it 16 was his first assignment as a defender, so before 17 that he had only been in a prosecutorial role. 18 You know, he represented himself well 19 and did a fair job. I mean there were a couple 20 of issues that we had to work through. And, ultimately, at the end of the six month 21 22 investigation that was conducted by the Criminal

Investigative Division in the Army, you know, 1 2 there was no finding of wrongdoing. Part of the issue though is that I 3 asked the question -- and it really didn't hit 4 5 home with me at the point that the outcome of the investigation was published, it took a couple of 6 7 months while I was waiting to see, okay, what's 8 next. 9 I learned through the more senior defense attorney that the outcome of failure to 10 11 establish probable cause is not the same as what 12 Jay talked about, and when he said, look, we 13 don't have any reason to take this forward. 14 And so what the outcome of the investigation did, and, quite frankly, I think 15 16 counsel was, their hands were tied in terms of 17 what they could do for me here, we were left in 18 this middle ground, not guilty, but not innocent. 19 And so I asked the question, I called 20 CID, I even talked to the one-star director of 21 CID in the Army and he admitted to me in conversation that there is a reluctance, unless 22

the accuser goes back and admits that they made a false allegation there is a reluctance for the investigation to go down the road and say we have no reason to believe that this allegation is valid.

6 Well, so in the meantime we're stuck 7 in the middle and that's why, you know, the word 8 "Colonel" is on my nametag today instead of 9 Brigadier General. We've got to figure out a way 10 to get out of that gray space.

11 LT. COLONEL MORSE: If I could add to 12 that titling decision. So basically there is --13 You can get titled for an offense. The standard 14 is that it's supposed to be credible.

So if we have, in my case, a trial 15 16 counsel who says I'm not going to assess either 17 party's credibility, and to go back to one of my 18 previous answers, I do believe that's because if 19 you either as a prosecutor or even as a defense 20 attorney if you bring up evidence that suggests that the claimant is not credible then that is 21 22 called victim-shaming and it's automatically

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

1

| 2  | So the titling decision is supposed to            |
|----|---|
| 3  | be if credible evidence exists. It's a very,      |
| 4  | very low standard but it has absolutely outsized  |
| 5  | ramifications if you title somebody with an       |
| 6  | offense.  |
| 7  | To go back and get it overturned is               |
| 8  | nearly impossible. There are two circumstances.   |
| 9  | One is, as the Colonel said, is that it's         |
| 10 | basically the wrong person, completely. There is  |
| 11 | DNA evidence, the alleged victim comes back and   |
| 12 | overturns her claim.                              |
| 13 | The other is if there is new evidence.            |
| 14 | In my case I actually presented what I believed   |
| 15 | was new evidence, two brand new statements that   |
| 16 | were undeniably, overwhelmingly, contradicted     |
| 17 | very key points in my accuser's statement, I mean |
| 18 | overwhelmingly.                                   |
| 19 | CID came back with their answer. Nine             |
| 20 | separate people on the Board said that I provided |
| 21 | no new evidence. A couple of them said all I did  |
| 22 | was to serve to victim-shame.                     |

| 1  | These are in written statements that              |
|----|---|
| 2  | when you read it you're just, you have the sense  |
| 3  | of like I don't, are we reading two separate      |
| 4  | documents, like it's not based on any sort of     |
| 5  | reality.  |
| 6  | And I do think it's because of a                  |
| 7  | reluctance that if we don't title someone or if   |
| 8  | we don't take some sort of action then our        |
| 9  | convening authority at some point is going to get |
| 10 | in trouble.                                       |
| 11 | COLONEL JAMES: I totally agree with               |
| 12 | what Jay said. In my experience at Save Our       |
| 13 | Heroes we are seeing a tendency now in the last   |
| 14 | six months to go the non-judicial punishment      |
| 15 | route because here's what happens, and Wil and    |
| 16 | Jay are both good examples of this and I have     |
| 17 | seen it multiple times.                           |
| 18 | I've got a lieutenant commander in the            |
| 19 | Navy in this exact situation. Accused goes        |
| 20 | through like all this and figures out that, you   |
| 21 | know, it didn't happen, but now they are a        |
| 22 | liability.  |
|    |   |

69

They can't put their name in front of 1 2 Congress to be promoted because they are afraid a senator, specifically a senator, because it's 3 senators that approve it, will see that and start 4 5 asking questions, so they are done. So typically what they will do is, you 6 7 know, what they did to Wil and I, just basically 8 get us out or find the non-judicial punishment 9 route so they can go back now and say we did something. That's typically what we are seeing 10 11 at Save our Heroes. 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: I have a question 13 because due to your rank and length of service 14 you are somewhat atypical of the bulk of the 15 people who are accused. 16 How do you think your experiences 17 differ from that of say an E-3 or a private, 18 somebody who is 19, 20, 21, or do you think they 19 differ at all? 20 LT. COLONEL MORSE: I mean I think I 21 had the fortunate or unfortunate experience of 22 knowing how the system worked basically, you

know, from bottom to top, and I don't think our
 young soldiers know that.

What I don't think is different is 3 4 that they are still immune to the same pressures. 5 Even if they have a company commander or a battalion commander who might be taking action 6 7 those commanders are still being advised by the 8 same staff judge advocates, or trial counsel who 9 answer to those staff judge advocates who are still ensuring that action is taken. 10 11 I don't think they are able to do 12 things like, you know, afford outside counsel to 13 ask specifically, in my case, for a regional 14 defense counsel, someone that I thought, someone who I admired and wanted to represent me. 15 16 I don't know that young soldiers have

17 that same experience. I also think you just --18 And the fact is an E-4 does not have the same 19 power or wherewithal or knowledge of the Army, 20 you know, that a 40-year-old O-5 does. 21 COLONEL JAMES: That's a great 22 question, ma'am. That's why our organization

exists, for that young E-3/4/5/6. 1 2 You know, a colonel can figure it out. But I just put myself back when I was a second 3 lieutenant and a captain was the big guy as a 4 second lieutenant. 5 I can't even imagine being an E-3 or 6 7 E-4, and typically what we are seeing, and, 8 again, I'm using my experience, and I see this 9 all the time, they'll go to the young E-3 or E-4 10 and say, hey, if you go to a court-martial you're 11 going to get 25 years so you might want to just 12 plead, you'll get three years, you'll get kicked 13 out, but you'll be good to go. 14 What they don't tell him is he's going to be a registered sex offender for the rest of 15 16 his life. So those are the people I am trying to 17 get. 18 We've got one case going on now it 19 went that exact scenario that I just said and we're helping him and they told him -- Just don't 20 21 plead to something you didn't do. 22 Get a court-martial, go to a court-

| 1  | martial. They have now denied the court-martial   |
|----|---|
| 2  | and given him an LOR. And you know what's going   |
| 3  | to happen now, they're going to kick him out.     |
| 4  | And he is a class act. I mean he's a              |
| 5  | fantastic kid, so we're going to do everything we |
| 6  | can.  |
| 7  | COLONEL RIGGINS: Chairwoman, thank                |
| 8  | you for the question, it really is a good one. I  |
| 9  | would echo, you know, I mentioned the civil court |
| 10 | case that I had and when that hit the news in     |
| 11 | August 2017 my email inbox immediately filled up  |
| 12 | with emails and requests for information about    |
| 13 | how I did what I did and it spanned the spectrum  |
| 14 | of rank and it included a lot of parents.         |
| 15 | And the parents typically were                    |
| 16 | involved with the young enlisted service members  |
| 17 | exactly that you are talking about, because, you  |
| 18 | know, there is absolutely a realization, it's     |
| 19 | already been said there that, you know, these are |
| 20 | 19, 20, 21 year olds who are absolutely, they are |
| 21 | already in a situation that is unlike anything    |
| 22 | they have ever experienced before because they    |
|    |   |

1

are in the military.

2 They are still trying to figure this Now they are dealing with something that is 3 out. going to affect the rest of their life and in a 4 5 lot of cases they don't even realize it but their 6 parents do. 7 And so I would tell you that within 45 8 days after, you know, my case hitting the news I 9 probably had 30 to 35 different sets of folks contacting me. 10 11 And, again, I can think right now at 12 least seven of those cases it was parents and in 13 every one of them I picked up the phone and I 14 talked to them and I said, hey, how can I help 15 you. In many cases I referred them to Doug 16 17 and to the organization there and in some other 18 cases I actually ended up talking to them about 19 attorneys and in some cases linking their 20 attorneys up with the civil attorney that I ended up hiring. 21 22 But what you find, especially for some

of those young enlisted folks, you know, they 1 2 come from, you know, low or middle class families, they certainly -- We know that service 3 members don't have the resources it would take to 4 5 fend for themselves, but I would tell you their families don't either. 6

7 And so they are truly in a situation 8 where they have no recourse other than to take 9 what is offered and in some cases it does really become a, well, what's, you know, what looks to 10 11 be the lesser, and far too often we have seen 12 cases, and I have had parents tell me, well, you 13 know, my son has been offered a reduced, you 14 know, offense plea, what do you think.

I felt woefully inadequate to answer 15 16 the question. But the fact that they were even 17 offered that and, you know, again, back to the 18 point we made earlier, their case hadn't been 19 heard at that point, the investigation wasn't 20 even done.

21 I mean this was pre-conclusion of the 22 investigation and these are offers that are

Washington DC

already being made, hey, go ahead and plead 1 2 guilty to save us all the time, because this is part of an epidemic and you are just swept up in 3 4 the wave. We've got to do better. 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: I have a follow-up. 6 Since a letter of reprimand can end a career or a 7 finding of insufficient evidence to go forward can end a career, Colonel James, you had said, 8 9 you know, sometimes your advice is go ahead and hold out for the court-martial. 10 11 If you are acquitted by a court-12 martial do you have any sense of what that does 13 to your career, if anything? 14 COLONEL JAMES: Yes. I will stand by what I said earlier, you are done with an 15 16 allegation alone. You're done. But at least you 17 can clear your name, you know, you go to the 18 court-martial. 19 What Wil said is so true. I get more 20 phone calls from parents than anything else and 21 my heart drops when I hear somebody has pled 22 because they're done, you know, so, yes.

| I  | 7   |
|----|---|
| 1  | MS. TOKASH: I have a question for Mr.             |
| 2  | James. This is Meghan Tokash calling              |
| 3  | telephonically.                                   |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Sure, please.                     |
| 5  | MS. TOKASH: Thank you, Chair                      |
| 6  | Bashford. Mr. James, you used the term "proven    |
| 7  | false accusers" in your opening remarks and to me |
| 8  | as a federal prosecutor the words "proven" and    |
| 9  | "false" have special meaning and in some cases    |
| 10 | they have legal implications.                     |
| 11 | Can you please explain what you mean              |
| 12 | when you used the term "proven false accusers"    |
| 13 | and how you prove that accusations are false in a |
| 14 | trial before a judge or a jury?                   |
| 15 | COLONEL JAMES: Sure. Thanks for the               |
| 16 | question. Again, I am not a lawyer. Sometimes     |
| 17 | we in the flying world thing we are, but I'm not, |
| 18 | and my wife keeps me under control, but           |
| 19 | (Off microphone comments.)                        |
| 20 | COLONEL JAMES: Thank you, sir.                    |
| 21 | (Laughter.)                                       |
| 22 | COLONEL JAMES: Thank you, sir. Those              |
|    |   |
| •  |   |

words, ma'am, were used very specifically. 1 We 2 have one proven false accuser that we have, and I don't know what the term would be, but we are 3 4 following in our organization. 5 I won't go into the details because this isn't the forum for it, but it was in two 6 7 different courts. We have written two letters to 8 the FBI over it, and, in fact, I made a phone call to the FBI field office over this issue. 9 This person is collecting VA benefits. 10 This is a whole other enchilada that should be 11 12 brought up in a different forum. So I understand 13 what that word means and in my term, you know, 14 ma'am, I am not aware, again, but this was proven 15 in two different court settings. 16 HON. GRIMM: Could I just follow --17 (Simultaneous speaking.) 18 MS. TOKASH: So do you mean acquittal? 19 Yes, that's what I was HON. GRIMM: 20 going to ask, are you saying that there were 21 charges brought by this person that went to trial in a criminal context where the jury found the 22

accused not guilty? Is that what you mean by --1 2 (Simultaneous speaking.) Yes, sir. 3 COLONEL JAMES: One was 4 actually appellate level and the other was 5 actually a Board of Inquiry. Okay, where the official 6 HON. GRIMM: 7 result of whatever the Board or the court was 8 determined that the accused was not guilty or not 9 responsible? 10 COLONEL JAMES: Yes, Judge. 11 HON. GRIMM: Did those specific 12 findings include a discussion of credibility? Ι 13 think what Ms. Tokash is trying to get to is that 14 sometimes there are specific comments in a court's review of evidence that comments on 15 16 credibility. 17 Were there comments on the credibility 18 as being the reason why that was the finding or 19 would you have us understand that if a charge 20 proceeds to an adjudication and the accused is 21 not found responsible that that means that the 22 accusation was false, do you equate that as being

1

the same thing?

| 2  | COLONEL JAMES: This one was blatantly             |
|----|---|
| 3  | obvious. And, ma'am, I know your background. I,   |
| 4  | like Wil, studied all the bios and I know your    |
| 5  | background, a victim, and I appreciate everything |
| 6  | you are doing, because I understand the other     |
| 7  | side of the coin, too. This one was               |
| 8  | MS. TOKASH: I was a defense counsel               |
| 9  | too.  |
| 10 | COLONEL JAMES: Yes. Judge, this one               |
| 11 | was a clear cut credibility issues and the person |
| 12 | who was accused was a Navy lieutenant commander   |
| 13 | on a boat who she didn't even know and NCIS at    |
| 14 | the time investigated it and admitted that on     |
| 15 | their investigation.                              |
| 16 | So hopefully that answers your                    |
| 17 | question.   |
| 18 | HON. GRIMM: I think it does.                      |
| 19 | MS. TOKASH: It does.                              |
| 20 | COLONEL JAMES: The interesting thing              |
| 21 | though, back to this particular case and what     |
| 22 | we've been talking about, this poor lieutenant    |

commander is waiting to get promoted to commander but because of the issues we've talked about he's been on hold for almost two years.

HON. WALTON: Let me ask a question. It seems to me that all of you are expressing the underlying reason why you think you were not treated fairly, and that's political pressure, whether it be political pressure externally for the military or within the military.

As a federal judge I don't have that 10 11 type of pressure because I am insulated from that 12 type of political pressure. Understandably there 13 has to be a disciplinary system within the 14 military, but is there any way that the military system can be totally fair and impartial when 15 16 those external and internal political pressures are in play? 17

18 LT. COLONEL MORSE: You know, I don't 19 have an answer to that but I do have maybe some 20 thoughts, and this goes back to when Senator 21 Gillibrand first posed, so 2014, when she first 22 presented a bill for the Senate floor.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

| 1  | If I recall correctly one of her                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | suggestions was that all determinations be turned |
| 3  | over to judge advocates. And I thought at the     |
| 4  | time you will see charges and conviction, you     |
| 5  | will see charges plummet if you did that.         |
| 6  | You might see convictions go up, but              |
| 7  | my belief was that you would see charges plummet  |
| 8  | because now you have a trained judge advocate who |
| 9  | is looking at the facts and not making a          |
| 10 | determination of I believe this person or not,    |
| 11 | but making a determination as to whether or not   |
| 12 | this meets a legal standard and if I should take  |
| 13 | this forward to trial.                            |
| 14 | I don't know if the answer is to give             |
| 15 | this purely to judge advocates to take authority  |
| 16 | out of the hands of commanders and to remove      |
| 17 | Congress's ability to provide oversight to that   |
| 18 | judge advocate, because my personal experience, I |
| 19 | believe that the advising staff judge advocate in |
| 20 | my case gave orders based on a desire to ensure   |
| 21 | his convening authority was not going to come     |
| 22 | under scrutiny by Congress.                       |

I mean ordering a defense attorney to 1 2 stop talking to witnesses is so unbelievably offensive to me, unbelievably offense, and I 3 think that his advice was a direct result of 4 5 concern about congressional oversight for his convening authority. 6 7 So I think if you take it out of the 8 hands of commanders and give it to judge 9 advocates you have to ensure that they then don't fall under the same scrutiny that the commander 10 11 had done previously. 12 COLONEL JAMES: Judge, thank you for 13 the question, sir. It's important to note that 14 Save Our Heroes is an apolitical organization. Ι 15 have been in Senator Gillibrand's office a couple 16 times. I appreciate what she is doing. 17 We feel it's definitely political 18 influence and that's why the senatorial approval, 19 ten senators or more, we have worked through that 20 issue. 21 We think it has nothing to do with the 22 crime, it's just the crime of the day, the

| 1  | politically incorrect crime of the day. Next      |
|----|---|
| 2  | year it could be something different, somebody is |
| 3  | trying to drive some sort of political agenda,    |
| 4  | and I think the system is ripe for that.          |
| 5  | One we are seeing trending now is, you            |
| 6  | know, you've seen it in the newspapers, certain   |
| 7  | situations happen overseas and the law of armed   |
| 8  | conflict question.                                |
| 9  | So I think you might see that trend in            |
| 10 | the next couple years and I will tell you from    |
| 11 | our organization we are going to be helping those |
| 12 | people just as, you know, now it's sexual         |
| 13 | assault, but in two years it might be something   |
| 14 | different. That's why we think this senator       |
| 15 | thing is so important.                            |
| 16 | MG ANDERSON: I have a question. Oh,               |
| 17 | you're going to, Colonel Riggins you're going to  |
| 18 | respond to that? I'll let you finish.             |
| 19 | COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes, ma'am, if I                 |
| 20 | may.  |
| 21 | MG ANDERSON: I'll let you finish.                 |
| 22 | COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes, Judge, and I'll             |
|    |   |
|    |   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 try to keep it short, but, you know, I think back
2 to the case that -- Your question is absolutely
3 excellent and I am probably more prone to
4 answering it in the negative.

5 I do believe it's a top-down problem. 6 I believe it has to start with the most senior 7 leaders within the Defense Department but it goes 8 higher than that and it absolutely goes to the 9 power of the purse that controls Defense spending 10 and Defense promotions.

11 The Senate Armed Services Committee, 12 the House Armed Services Committee, we know the 13 roles that they play. When statements come out 14 of those bodies that say we have to do to something at any cost -- I hate to draw the 15 16 analogy, but those are the same kind of 17 statements that were made that led to a very 18 unfortunate historical incident in Vietnam in My 19 Lai. 20 When you make just blanket categorical

20 when you make just blanket categorical 21 statements like that we need to do anything, pick 22 whatever it is, at any cost, you open a flood

gate there and people will interpret that 1 2 differently, and I think we have seen many cases where that is exactly the case. 3 So it creates a command environment 4 where from the top down you have individuals 5 interpreting that. 6 7 In 2013 the way the Secretary of the 8 Army at the time interpreted it -- So we had 9 conflicts going on, as everybody here knows, on two different battlefields, Afghanistan and Iraq. 10 11 The Secretary of the Army's number one priority for 2013 had nothing to do with combat 12 13 operations, it had nothing to do with training 14 for combat operations. The Secretary of the Army's number one 15 16 priority in 2013, and you can look it up, it's 17 still online, was complete eradication of sexual 18 assault in the ranks of the Army. Now I'll leave it to you to determine 19 20 how realistic of a goal was that anyway, complete 21 eradication, because we all know the military is 22 nothing but a reflection of society.

| 1  | And I applaud the efforts that are                |
|----|---|
| 2  | going on to properly identify and to punish       |
| 3  | validated cases of sexual assault, please don't   |
| 4  | misinterpret.                                     |
| 5  | But when we have a command influence              |
| 6  | that says at any cost realize that will be        |
| 7  | interpreted in ways that none of us I think if we |
| 8  | were under the microscope and we were on the      |
| 9  | receiving end of that we'd be comfortable with.   |
| 10 | Thank you.  |
| 11 | MG ANDERSON: My question is kind of               |
| 12 | a follow-on a little bit to what General Schwenk  |
| 13 | asked you regarding suggestions or                |
| 14 | recommendations you might make and it kind of     |
| 15 | goes to the damage to your reputations along the  |
| 16 | lines of information that is still in the DNA     |
| 17 | database, and your experience, Colonel Morse,     |
| 18 | trying to get back into the country and finding   |
| 19 | yourself on some kind of a list.                  |
| 20 | So I guess I am kind of leaning in the            |
| 21 | direction of do you have recommendations to       |
| 22 | suggest to us that would address this issue of    |
|    |   |

| 1  | the DNA database, your ability to travel when, in |
|----|---|
| 2  | your cases, you know, there were no, you          |
| 3  | basically were found, it was unfounded, is there  |
| 4  | something you could suggest along those lines?    |
| 5  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Let me clarify                 |
| 6  | first the allegation against me wasn't unfounded, |
| 7  | but that's part of my concern is that I was       |
| 8  | titled for these offenses.                        |
| 9  | The titling is what has Google is                 |
| 10 | one thing. The titling has affected me less so.   |
| 11 | Like these other two gentlemen, my fingerprints   |
| 12 | and DNA are in a file somewhere as well.          |
| 13 | The process has affected me. So if                |
| 14 | defense investigators, if commanders feel         |
| 15 | compelled to find something no matter what so     |
| 16 | that they can then tell their commanders or       |
| 17 | Congress that I took certain action then it's     |
| 18 | I mean it eliminates the need for any sort of a   |
| 19 | regulation or a standard because no one is        |
| 20 | following it anyway.                              |
| 21 | When I provide evidence that I believe            |
| 22 | clearly fits the definition of new evidence and   |
|    |   |

instead that's spun as victim-shaming then you've
 essentially, you've got a rule without any sort
 of purpose or enforcement.

I think -- I mean one way to do it is, or one suggestion is that the defense bar has more resources than they do right now. I was as a defense counsel and a prosecutor as well.

8 The way you interact with criminal 9 investigators who are supposed to be neutral is a 10 very, very different relationship and it's a very 11 different experience.

12 As a defense counsel if I wanted 13 something done I did it myself. If I asked an 14 investigator to go do a follow-up interview 15 typically the investigator would not do it.

16 If they did do it and the evidence was 17 potentially favorable to my client, and this is 18 my experience as one accused as well, if the 19 person being interviewed has information that is 20 favorable to me, to the accused, then the 21 investigator didn't want anything to do with it. 22 I had two witnesses actually have to

write their own separate statements because CID 1 2 had four questions for them and when they answered negatively to each question they weren't 3 4 interested in the other answers, so two full long 5 statements all with very relevant evidence. The way it's set up right now, because 6 the government controls all resources for the 7 8 defense, especially in the early stages, as a 9 defense counsel or someone accused you don't have access to the information that might be able to 10 11 help your client. 12 HON. GRIMM: Could I go down that 13 path, please, Colonel, on General Anderson's 14 question? 15 LT. COLONEL MORSE: Yes, sir. 16 HON. GRIMM: What specific resources 17 do you believe that military defense counsel who 18 are assigned to defend accused in these types of 19 offenses should have that they do not have? 20 I am hearing part of it, you're saying 21 separate investigators, is that what you are 22 saying?

Yes, and I think that the 1 HON. GRIMM: 2 CID could follow the sort of career path that judge advocates do. I felt like I was both a 3 4 better prosecutor and a better defense attorney 5 and a better supervisor of trial counsel having served as both a defense attorney and as a 6 7 prosecutor. 8 Why can't a CID investigator do the 9 same thing? Why can't he or she serve a term as a defense investigator and then go back to serve 10 11 as a government investigator? 12 HON. GRIMM: Any other resources other 13 than investigators? 14 LT. COLONEL MORSE: You know, I don't I believe in -- In my experiences I 15 know. 16 believe in, let me say this the right way. Ι 17 believe in the power of judge advocates. 18 I believe that if you went as an 19 individual you would find overwhelmingly 20 attorneys who want to do the right thing. 21 I think the higher up that you get and 22 the more likely you are going to get your head

chopped off if you stick your head out too far
 then people start becoming beholden to political
 pressures.

I do believe that judge advocates receive great training both as prosecutors and defense attorneys, and, frankly, from some of the people in the room here right now.

8 I don't think that needs to be 9 changed, but I do think an ability to have an 10 investigator that can go out and find information 11 and that information is going to be confidential 12 and privileged, that that investigator has the 13 same credibility as a government investigator 14 has.

15 That's the other problem is if in a 16 civilian world you might get labeled as a defense 17 hack or as a government hack and because you 18 carry that sort of background with you any 19 information you give is automatically conditioned 20 based off of what type of a hack you are. 21 I think in the military because you

serve both as a defense and a prosecution you are

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 less likely to be labeled that way and I think 2 you could do the same with investigators. So that would be, your 3 HON. GRIMM: 4 thought would be is that as opposed to a separate 5 defense investigative service with its own 6 resources, its own chain of command, its own 7 individuals that it responds to, that you believe 8 that if it was part of CID, for example, in the 9 Army --10 LT. COLONEL MORSE: No, sir, the 11 I think that you could treat it more reverse. 12 like judge advocates. I mean the trial defense 13 services in the Army is stovepiped. 14 So, Judge, individual judge advocates can go back and forth, and many do, and, frankly, 15 the best ones do. You could do the same for 16 17 criminal investigators. 18 HON. GRIMM: But within the CID 19 command, right? So you would have --20 LT. COLONEL MORSE: No, you could make 21 them a separate --22 HON. GRIMM: A separate one.

| 1  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Separate one.                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | (Simultaneous speaking.)                          |
| 3  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Just the                       |
| 4  | individuals go back and forth, yes, sir.          |
| 5  | HON. WALTON: Colonel Riggins, if you              |
| 6  | had outside counsel representing you obviously    |
| 7  | the prescription imposed could not have been      |
| 8  | done, right?                                      |
| 9  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Is that question               |
| 10 | to me, sir?                                       |
| 11 | HON. WALTON: Yes.                                 |
| 12 | COLONEL RIGGINS: Could you ask that               |
| 13 | one more time?                                    |
| 14 | HON. WALTON: You indicated that there             |
| 15 | was an intrusion in the ability of your lawyer to |
| 16 | interview   |
| 17 | COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes, absolutely.                 |
| 18 | HON. GRIMM: If you had outside                    |
| 19 | counsel that would not have been the case, right? |
| 20 | COLONEL RIGGINS: You know, I don't                |
| 21 | know, and the way that that played out was that I |
| 22 | was given this order, my judge advocate, or my    |
|    |   |

defense counsel filed a writ with the Army Court 1 2 of Criminal Appeals. The Defense Appellate Division, who is 3 4 normally supposed to defend any government orders 5 refused to defend that order at appellate court. The day of or the day before, I 6 7 believe, that that was supposed to go in front of 8 the Army Court of Criminal Appeals the government withdrew their order and said that the 9 investigation was complete. 10 11 All that is fact. My opinion is that 12 that was a lie. I think they withdrew it because 13 they knew that it was illegal and it was wrong. 14 The evidence I would give to that is witnesses were still contacting my defense 15 16 counsel as much as a week later saying, hey, 17 investigators just talked to me about your case. 18 So I don't know that an outside 19 counsel would have fared any better. 20 HON. GRIMM: To follow-up on Judge 21 Walton's question, are you saying that your concern would be -- What I think Judge Walton was 22

saying was a military defense attorney ordered or threatened with criminal charges of obstructing an investigation if you continued to ask questions with respect to this investigation in order to defend your client.

A civilian attorney could, is not 6 7 subject to be charged in a court-martial, but are 8 you suggesting that had you had a civilian 9 attorney who continued to try to contact witnesses and gather evidence in your defense 10 11 that the command would then have interpreted that 12 as your action through your attorney and then 13 taking action against you?

I think if I had a 14 COLONEL RIGGINS: civilian attorney and that attorney did not file 15 16 a writ with ACCA and instead said basically thank 17 you but I'm going to continue to do my own thing, 18 I believe I would have been, I believe that would 19 have been an additional -- Had I been charged, I 20 was never charged with anything, I believe that 21 would have been an additional issue.

22

1

2

3

4

5

HON. GRIMM: So that's the protection

| 1  | of having outside counsel not part of the         |
|----|---|
| 2  | military system would not address some of the     |
| 3  | concerns that you have expressed?                 |
| 4  | COLONEL RIGGINS: Maybe, maybe not.                |
| 5  | All I would add is that, you know, the way you    |
| 6  | respond to being accused of a crime and the way   |
| 7  | you handle yourself throughout that process is a  |
| 8  | very, very personal thing.                        |
| 9  | Throughout the process I made a                   |
| 10 | determination early when a lot of people were     |
| 11 | telling me basically burn the house down, to      |
| 12 | include some of my own seniors in the JAG Corps.  |
| 13 | My very personal thought was I want to            |
| 14 | conduct myself in a way that I believe I have     |
| 15 | conducted myself over the last 20 years and I     |
| 16 | wanted to conduct myself in a way that my peers   |
| 17 | and colleagues are going to admire and continue   |
| 18 | to respect me for and I wanted to conduct myself  |
| 19 | in a way that five years from now or ten years    |
| 20 | from now I'm going to be proud of how I conducted |
| 21 | myself, and I think if I would burn the house     |
| 22 | down I would not be proud of myself.              |

| 1  | COLONEL JAMES: I couldn't agree more.             |
|----|---|
| 2  | One of the things I am proudest about is I was    |
| 3  | going to represent and represented PACAF in front |
| 4  | of the PACOM Commander on my last day at work.    |
| 5  | And, General Anderson, I just want to             |
| 6  | answer the question you asked, too. In my case,   |
| 7  | my case has been dismissed without prejudice.     |
| 8  | What I think the better thing to do would have    |
| 9  | been investigate me, I understand that, and if it |
| 10 | takes a year fine, I think it should have taken   |
| 11 | six months, honestly.                             |
| 12 | I think everything was done in my                 |
| 13 | opinion perfectly to that point. I was still      |
| 14 | working. Like I said I was still in some of the   |
| 15 | very high profile meetings, blah, blah, blah, but |
| 16 | where it broke down was after that.               |
| 17 | There comes a point where you have to             |
| 18 | say nothing happened and dismiss it with          |
| 19 | prejudice. There should be no reason it can't be  |
| 20 | dismissed with prejudice.                         |
| 21 | Keep in mind it's costing you \$1000 a            |
| 22 | month to put my stepdaughter in an apartment down |
|    |   |

the street because I can't be anywhere near her 1 2 because, as you all know, under the USMJ I am retired so they can call me back any time, and so 3 there has got to be -- and I have been told, I've 4 5 been working on it and I have been told it's almost impossible to do that, too, get it 6 7 dismissed with prejudice. 8 And, General, COLONEL RIGGINS: understand I would echo that as well. 9 Ι

10 mentioned the outcome of the investigation being 11 in the gray space, neither innocent, you know, 12 nor guilty.

I think we've got to be willing to make that call, we've got to get to that point. I think Colonel Morse's point about a defense investigator, based on a conversation I had with the Commander of Army CID, is absolutely a valid recommendation going forward.

Again, from the words of a one-star general who is responsible for all CID, there was no effort to ever prove that the event didn't happen. There was only an effort to confirm that

the allegation was true. 1 2 That's such a different viewpoint, especially when you are on this side of the 3 4 table. Thank you. 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: I just want to follow up on one thing because there are cases where 6 there is insufficient evidence. You're not 7 8 saying it didn't happen, you're not saying it did 9 happen, you're saying I don't really know, I can't decide, I do know there is insufficient 10 11 evidence to bring charges forward. 12 And I think in the civilian world that 13 rarely has an impact on a suspect because nothing 14 happened. But I think it's much harder in the 15 military world because there are cases where you 16 simply don't know what happened. 17 What do you think chain of command 18 should do in those situations? Should they treat 19 it as a declaration of innocence? Do they run 20 any risks of having somebody still in positions where the ultimate conclusion was we just don't 21 know? 22

|    | -   |
|----|---|
| 1  | COLONEL RIGGINS: Chair Bashford,                  |
| 2  | thank you for the question. I thought about this  |
| 3  | quite a bit. I'm certainly not, you know, a       |
| 4  | constitutional scholar, but to me it comes back   |
| 5  | to a very simple concept and that is you are      |
| 6  | innocent until proven guilty.                     |
| 7  | I know that is an over-simplification             |
| 8  | in this case, but I think it's absolutely         |
| 9  | applicable. There was You know, in the course     |
| 10 | of an investigation if you can't prove guilt then |
| 11 | where does that leave you, and, you know, in the  |
| 12 | courtrooms that you all see where does that leave |
| 13 | you?  |
| 14 | I just think that there is a different            |
| 15 | viewpoint within the military because of the      |
| 16 | stigma and an unwillingness. And, again, you      |
| 17 | know, the chief investigator for the Army is      |
| 18 | saying we never tried to look at it from that     |
| 19 | angle, that's not what we do. Why not?            |
| 20 | I mean can we not do that? Are we                 |
| 21 | incapable of doing that? Is that maybe not the    |
| 22 | right thing to do, you know, in some cases, in    |

| 1  | all cases?  |
|----|---|
| 2  | I think that's a question we need to              |
| 3  | take a look at.                                   |
| 4  | COLONEL JAMES: Ma'am, we have to                  |
| 5  | solve that problem because this is I'm serious    |
| 6  | on what I said, this is a national security       |
| 7  | issue.  |
| 8  | We are seeing I sat down and talked               |
| 9  | with some of these contacts here in D.C., they're |
| 10 | pretty knowledgeable, and we spent an hour and a  |
| 11 | half across the way there talking about it, and   |
| 12 | they say it boils down to simple game theory.     |
| 13 | There are people out there that                   |
| 14 | realize what benefits they get if they make an    |
| 15 | accusation. One is an automatic PCS of their      |
| 16 | choice, permanent change of station, VA benefits, |
| 17 | so it's going to get worse.                       |
| 18 | There are actually Facebook pages out             |
| 19 | there that talk about how to do this, so we have  |
| 20 | to get a handle on it. I think it's at epidemic   |
| 21 | levels now, but we have to get a handle.          |
| 22 | And that is a great question. That's              |
|    |   |

probably the panacea right there. 1 2 LT. COLONEL MORSE: I don't think it's some of these cases we just don't know what 3 4 happened, I think most of the cases we just don't 5 know what happened. That's a reason that you have things 6 7 like credibility assessments. That's a reason why you have judge advocates that are supposed to 8 9 follow the law and apply standards to 10 determinations every step along the way. 11 I go back to some of my original 12 comments that these are two separate systems. Ι 13 mean it doesn't have to be you lied or you're 14 guilty, it can be it's okay to say we just don't know what happened here and we're still going to 15 16 provide all the resources that we have to ensure 17 that someone who alleges that they have committed 18 a, someone who alleges they have been assaulted 19 is provided every resource possible. I would agree with Colonel James that 20

there are people out there who would make claims

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

just so they can get favorable treatment.

22

21

www.nealrgross.com

Ι

think it's this big and who cares.

1

| 2  | If you are going to have a person or             |
|----|--|
| 3  | two who abuses the system, that's everything.    |
| 4  | Sex assault doesn't make it special. Soldiers    |
| 5  | since the beginning of time have abused the      |
| 6  | system, a small number of soldiers have abused   |
| 7  | the system for their favor.                      |
| 8  | That doesn't mean that you completely            |
| 9  | swing the pendulum the other way so that it      |
| 10 | causes so much unfairness for people who are     |
| 11 | accused. You can have it both ways.              |
| 12 | SGT. MARKEY: Chair Bashford?                     |
| 13 | (Off microphone comments.)                       |
| 14 | SGT. MARKEY: First of all thank you              |
| 15 | for being here today. Part of this committee is  |
| 16 | designed for the investigation, prosecution, and |
| 17 | defense, so I think it's important to have your  |
| 18 | testimony here today for us as we move forward.  |
| 19 | I am hearing a couple different things           |
| 20 | just as an initial observation, and I have a     |
| 21 | question. One is I am hearing political and      |
| 22 | cultural issues that are occurring within the    |

military, a bigger tackle than maybe the
 technical aspects of your investigative and the
 process you went through.

4 So I wanted to look at more of what 5 are some opportunities in the technical aspects, 6 and I know there has been some testimony about 7 the quality, the unbiased, the fairness of your 8 investigation and the process that you went 9 through.

And I think Colonel Riggins mentioned that investigators said that they didn't -- I forget your exact words, but we wouldn't look at this from that particular angle, meaning that they would only look at one side of the issue.

I can tell you if any of my 15 16 investigators ever came to me and said that they 17 probably would not be investigators for me 18 because we are, we are supposed to be objective, 19 unbiased, and fair from the very beginning of the 20 investigation. The minute we show some sort of 21 bias we are no longer credible as an 22 investigator.

(202) 234-4433

| 1  | And I know, Lieutenant Colonel Morse,             |
|----|---|
| 2  | you mentioned something about the command staff   |
| 3  | making a certain decision, so there is a sore     |
| 4  | point where the investigation information is      |
| 5  | collected, witnesses are interviewed, documented, |
| 6  | evidence is collected, and it eventually comes to |
| 7  | somebody within your chain of command to make a   |
| 8  | decision about what they want to do with this     |
| 9  | case, preferral of charges or not.                |
| 10 | So we've had testimony from all angles            |
| 11 | regarding the current process and whether that is |
| 12 | a fair and objective process to have somebody     |
| 13 | within in your chain of command make a decision   |
| 14 | about you or somebody else that might be within   |
| 15 | that person's chain of command deciding that.     |
| 16 | So we have heard all kinds of                     |
| 17 | statements about whether that should be continued |
| 18 | as a process or whether that's a process that     |
| 19 | needs to be reviewed.                             |
| 20 | Do you feel in your opinion within                |
| 21 | your particular situations that that was an issue |
| 22 | you were concerned about or do you think that     |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| 1  | that was not an issue that was of concern?        |
|----|---|
| 2  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: Are you talking                |
| 3  | about the effect of a commander making a          |
| 4  | decision?   |
| 5  | SGT. MARKEY: Yes.                                 |
| 6  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: So I'll give you               |
| 7  | another very, a specific example to me, so please |
| 8  | don't apply this to my experience at large.       |
| 9  | I was told before I went in for my                |
| 10 | official reading for my officer letter of         |
| 11 | reprimand, again, that if I did not file a        |
| 12 | voluntary retirement that the letter would be     |
| 13 | filed in my permanent file.                       |
| 14 | This was told by the military, the                |
| 15 | advising staff judge advocate. When I went        |
| 16 | through my reading the general went around the    |
| 17 | room and asked everyone else in the room what     |
| 18 | their recommendation was, so either official      |
| 19 | file, local file, or get rid of it.               |
| 20 | He asked the sergeant major and he                |
| 21 | said local file. He asked the staff judge         |
| 22 | advocate and he said I'll talk to you afterwards. |

| i  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | He asked my first line supervisor and             |
| 2  | my first line supervisor said throw it away, this |
| 3  | isn't, throw it away, this isn't even just a      |
| 4  | local file, tear it up. The evidence has proven   |
| 5  | that he didn't do this.                           |
| 6  | He is already not going to be a                   |
| 7  | colonel. When he said that the two-star general   |
| 8  | jumped down his throat a little bit and he said   |
| 9  | we're not here to determine whether or not        |
| 10 | Colonel Morse can be a Colonel, he can dust       |
| 11 | himself and serve after this.                     |
| 12 | It was clear at that point to me that             |
| 13 | whatever was told to me was from the JAG Corps    |
| 14 | and not the commander. So that's my opinion,      |
| 15 | that's not fact.                                  |
| 16 | Based on my experience I believe the              |
| 17 | JAG Corps ensured that I submitted my letter of   |
| 18 | retirement, not the two-star general, not the     |
| 19 | commander.  |
| 20 | I think if the commander had There                |
| 21 | was evidence to me that the commander didn't care |
| 22 | if I continued to serve or not.                   |
|    |   |

| 1  | I think that, again, when you have                |
|----|---|
| 2  | both commanders, especially at high levels, when  |
| 3  | you have commanders and judge advocates who are   |
| 4  | advising them and every case is potentially a     |
| 5  | high profile case.                                |
| 6  | This was not the case, you know, 15 years         |
| 7  | ago or ten years ago. You had limited high        |
| 8  | profile cases that got congressional attention.   |
| 9  | Now every single sex assault allegation is a high |
| 10 | profile case, somebody is watching.               |
| 11 | Commanders and judge advocates are                |
| 12 | human beings and they are going to be affected a  |
| 13 | certain way.                                      |
| 14 | If they think that if they don't find             |
| 15 | a case, right, I mean the titling decision, if    |
| 16 | they say this is unfounded or if our stats show   |
| 17 | that we unfound a large number of cases that's    |
| 18 | going to get somebody's attention and it causes   |
| 19 | you to change your decision-making process and it |
| 20 | causes judge advocates to change how they advise  |
| 21 | and it causes commanders to change how they might |
| 22 | give a certain disposition.                       |
|    |   |

| 1  | 11  |
|----|---|
| 1  | SGT. MARKEY: So do you think the                  |
| 2  | commanders are in a position to make that         |
| 3  | decision?   |
| 4  | Do you think they should have the                 |
| 5  | authority or do you think somebody outside the    |
| 6  | commander who has direct supervision over that    |
| 7  | unit or those people should be in a position to   |
| 8  | make those decisions in these cases?              |
| 9  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: So I'm going to                |
| 10 | play lawyer and say I don't know. There is a lot  |
| 11 | of different answers.                             |
| 12 | What I will tell you is that                      |
| 13 | commanders need real authority to do their jobs.  |
| 14 | Commanders need real authority to effect good     |
| 15 | order and discipline.                             |
| 16 | Judge advocates need real authority to            |
| 17 | advise on the law and not based on politics.      |
| 18 | Commanders need real authority, whether that is   |
| 19 | direct or indirect, real authority to make        |
| 20 | decisions that effect could order and discipline. |
| 21 | When they are getting told, or believe            |
| 22 | that they getting told, because, again,           |
|    |   |
|    |   |

perception is more important than reality, if 1 2 their perception is that if I don't act a certain way on this one particular case I am not going to 3 4 get promoted, I am not going to get a lateral transfer, then it's going to change the way they 5 make a decision. 6 7 It's very hard to say I don't believe 8 evidence is sufficient therefore I'm not finding 9 That's a hard thing to do. this case. People don't like confrontation. 10

It's very easy to say let's just court-martial it and see what happens, let's just kick it over to the court-martial system and let them do whatever they want to do.

15 COLONEL JAMES: I have a very strong 16 answer for that. I believe the convening 17 authority is a dead man walking, a woman walking, 18 one way or the other, because they are either 19 going to put an innocent person in jail or their 20 career is over.

We've got historical evidence of that.
And I won't go into details, but a very famous

| 1  | one in the Air Force in 2013, somebody I respect  |
|----|---|
| 2  | a lot lost her job over a decision she made.      |
| 3  | That goes back to the non-judicial                |
| 4  | route that I talked about earlier. This is how    |
| 5  | they are saving themselves is they are going the  |
| 6  | non-judicial route so that they can say, yes, we  |
| 7  | took care of it non-judicially.                   |
| 8  | So I agree with what Jay is saying, if            |
| 9  | they have the authority, which they do now, as    |
| 10 | the convening authority to make that decision let |
| 11 | them make the decision.                           |
| 12 | Keep the politics out of it. If they              |
| 13 | think that is their constitutional or UCMJ        |
| 14 | authority and they decide the person, it did not  |
| 15 | happen, then let them make that decision.         |
| 16 | COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes, Sergeant                    |
| 17 | Markey, thanks for the question. And I wanted to  |
| 18 | go back, you know, if I gave you the impression   |
| 19 | that I felt that any of those investigators were  |
| 20 | biased in any way against me I led you completely |
| 21 | astray on that because I never felt that way with |
| 22 | any of the investigators I worked with.           |

| 1I do believe how you approach a2question and how you approach, you know, what3your start point is affects your viewpoint of4what the outcome possibilities are, and I think5that's all I was trying to eliminate.6In my case, just to echo what these7gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my8last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.9I had a successful brigade command.10But I thought about the effect on my11peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the12Army makes a decision, and so he was levels13above, you know, as I think now at least five14levels above the convening authority in my case,15so the convening authority, you know, six months16Morn the road said, insufficient evidence, you17know, let's move on.18But then the Secretary of the Army19comes back and now it's a political clout and a20political capital question and it gets back to                            |    | -<br>   |
|--|----|---|
| <ul> <li>your start point is affects your viewpoint of</li> <li>what the outcome possibilities are, and I think</li> <li>that's all I was trying to eliminate.</li> <li>In my case, just to echo what these</li> <li>gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my</li> <li>last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.</li> <li>I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul> | 1  | I do believe how you approach a                   |
| <ul> <li>what the outcome possibilities are, and I think</li> <li>that's all I was trying to eliminate.</li> <li>In my case, just to echo what these</li> <li>gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my</li> <li>last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.</li> <li>I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>  | 2  | question and how you approach, you know, what     |
| <ul> <li>that's all I was trying to eliminate.</li> <li>In my case, just to echo what these</li> <li>gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my</li> <li>last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.</li> <li>I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>   | 3  | your start point is affects your viewpoint of     |
| <ul> <li>In my case, just to echo what these</li> <li>gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my</li> <li>last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.</li> <li>I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>  | 4  | what the outcome possibilities are, and I think   |
| 7 gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my<br>8 last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.<br>9 I had a successful brigade command.<br>10 But I thought about the effect on my<br>11 peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the<br>12 Army makes a decision, and so he was levels<br>13 above, you know, as I think now at least five<br>14 levels above the convening authority in my case,<br>15 so the convening authority, you know, six months<br>16 down the road said, insufficient evidence, you<br>17 know, let's move on.<br>18 But then the Secretary of the Army<br>19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 5  | that's all I was trying to eliminate.             |
| <ul> <li>8 last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander.</li> <li>9 I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>10 But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>11 peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>12 Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>13 above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>14 levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>15 so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>16 down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>17 know, let's move on.</li> <li>18 But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>   | 6  | In my case, just to echo what these               |
| <ul> <li>J I had a successful brigade command.</li> <li>But I thought about the effect on my</li> <li>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the</li> <li>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels</li> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>  | 7  | gentlemen have already said, you know, one of my  |
| But I thought about the effect on my<br>peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the<br>Army makes a decision, and so he was levels<br>above, you know, as I think now at least five<br>levels above the convening authority in my case,<br>so the convening authority, you know, six months<br>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you<br>know, let's move on.<br>But then the Secretary of the Army<br>comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 8  | last jobs in the Army was as a Brigade Commander. |
| 11peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the12Army makes a decision, and so he was levels13above, you know, as I think now at least five14levels above the convening authority in my case,15so the convening authority, you know, six months16down the road said, insufficient evidence, you17know, let's move on.18But then the Secretary of the Army19comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 9  | I had a successful brigade command.               |
| 12Army makes a decision, and so he was levels13above, you know, as I think now at least five14levels above the convening authority in my case,15so the convening authority, you know, six months16down the road said, insufficient evidence, you17know, let's move on.18But then the Secretary of the Army19comes back and now it's a political clout and a  | 10 | But I thought about the effect on my              |
| <ul> <li>above, you know, as I think now at least five</li> <li>levels above the convening authority in my case,</li> <li>so the convening authority, you know, six months</li> <li>down the road said, insufficient evidence, you</li> <li>know, let's move on.</li> <li>But then the Secretary of the Army</li> <li>comes back and now it's a political clout and a</li> </ul>   | 11 | peer brigade commanders when the Secretary of the |
| 14 levels above the convening authority in my case,<br>15 so the convening authority, you know, six months<br>16 down the road said, insufficient evidence, you<br>17 know, let's move on.<br>18 But then the Secretary of the Army<br>19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a  | 12 | Army makes a decision, and so he was levels       |
| <pre>15 so the convening authority, you know, six months 16 down the road said, insufficient evidence, you 17 know, let's move on. 18 But then the Secretary of the Army 19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a</pre>  | 13 | above, you know, as I think now at least five     |
| 16 down the road said, insufficient evidence, you 17 know, let's move on. 18 But then the Secretary of the Army 19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 14 | levels above the convening authority in my case,  |
| 17 know, let's move on. 18 But then the Secretary of the Army 19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 15 | so the convening authority, you know, six months  |
| But then the Secretary of the Army<br>comes back and now it's a political clout and a  | 16 | down the road said, insufficient evidence, you    |
| 19 comes back and now it's a political clout and a   | 17 | know, let's move on.                              |
| -  | 18 | But then the Secretary of the Army                |
| 20 political capital question and it gets back to  | 19 | comes back and now it's a political clout and a   |
|  | 20 | political capital question and it gets back to    |
| 21 exactly the question I think that Doug came up  | 21 | exactly the question I think that Doug came up    |
| 22 with, you know, am I going to end a career or am  | 22 | with, you know, am I going to end a career or am  |
|  |    |   |

I going to subject the Army to more scrutiny, congressional scrutiny, that we are not being tough on sexual assault. His decision point was very clear.

And you have to realize when the 5 Secretary of the Army takes the input from 6 7 promotion review boards and takes all the input 8 that has come up to him through the uniform 9 branches, and I didn't mention I met with the Vice Chief of Staff from the Army, the second 10 11 highest four-star in the Army, and I had his 12 support going forward.

13 So I don't know what happened with the 14 Chief of Staff, but I do know what happened with 15 the Secretary, so there were only two more levels 16 there.

But when the Secretary of the Army makes a statement like that you know as well as anybody who has served in uniform the flow-down effect, the command influence, that that has and the ripple down effect that's going to have throughout the formation, and that's exactly what

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1 happened in my case.

| 2  | So, you know, no question, I agree                |
|----|---|
| 3  | with the statement on convening authorities but I |
| 4  | think we've got to realize the influence that is  |
| 5  | causing them to be dead people walking is         |
| 6  | happening at a much higher level.                 |
| 7  | And, you know, unless we give them                |
| 8  | some top cover at that higher level, the          |
| 9  | convening authorities, from that higher level, I  |
| 10 | don't know that we can solve the problem.         |
| 11 | HON. GRIMM: Colonel Riggins, when the             |
| 12 | first steps were taken to explore what to try to  |
| 13 | do with the problem of sexual assault within the  |
| 14 | United States military a number of options were   |
| 15 | discussed both within and without the military.   |
| 16 | One option that was discussed not                 |
| 17 | within the military, or at least not publicly     |
| 18 | portrayed as being within the military, was that  |
| 19 | perhaps that type of offense should not be within |
| 20 | the Uniform Code of Military Justice and the      |
| 21 | military should not prosecute.                    |
| 22 | That was resisted by the military for             |

the reason that there was concern that the 1 2 function of the military justice system is to promote the good order of discipline within the 3 military. 4 COLONEL RIGGINS: 5 Correct. That also makes a 6 HON. GRIMM: 7 tremendous amount of sense when you are dealing with the type of military offenses that the 8 9 Uniform Code of Military Justice has. You don't hear any other oversight of 10 11 any other aspect of the military justice system 12 except for this slice. Would it be better for the protection 13 14 of the rights of the victim, would it be better for the protection of the rights of the accused, 15 16 and better for the military to remove the type of 17 pressures that you have alluded to if these types 18 of offenses were removed from the Uniform Code of 19 Military Justice and were to be investigated, 20 prosecuted, and handled as in the civilian 21 community? 22 COLONEL RIGGINS: Judge, that's been

|    | -   |
|----|---|
| 1  | a question that we have been struggling with for  |
| 2  | a long time and I know, you know, if I put on my  |
| 3  | old brigade commander hat I had a very strong     |
| 4  | impression  |
| 5  | (Simultaneous speaking.)                          |
| 6  | HON. GRIMM: Right, a different view               |
| 7  | than you might                                    |
| 8  | COLONEL RIGGINS: You're absolutely                |
| 9  | right. It's amazing, you know, what a difference  |
| 10 | a day made between, you know, when I was in       |
| 11 | command of that brigade versus when I came out of |
| 12 | command of that brigade and then went through     |
| 13 | this experience.                                  |
| 14 | So that brigade commander would have              |
| 15 | answered your question absolutely, you know, the  |
| 16 | commander and their command responsibility for    |
| 17 | good order and discipline within a unit is as old |
| 18 | as our country and that responsibility exists     |
| 19 | whether you are in the continental United States  |
| 20 | or whether you are deployed on foreign ground and |
| 21 | people are shooting at you and, you know, it's an |
| 22 | issue of whether somebody is, you know,           |

1

2

3

4

5

retreating in the face of the enemy.

So to caveat a one size fits all answer to your question I just don't think I can do it wearing either hat, before or after command.

I think, and I thought this along, and 6 my wife and I have discussed this and I discussed 7 8 this with my civilian attorney, you know, 9 throughout the civil trial that we had, and, you 10 know, maybe there are some triggers that should 11 be implemented just based on the experiences that 12 we've had where it would be necessary to pull 13 this jurisdiction away from the typical command 14 channels.

I am very reluctant to say that though 15 16 because there are second and third order effects 17 in that that I think are very, very difficult 18 first of all to measure, second of all to 19 comprehend, and third of all if you don't 20 understand, you know, what those second and third 21 order effects are the absolute worst time to find out about it is when we have the absolute 22

treasure of our country facing the enemy. 1 2 I don't want to use that as a crutch, but I really -- The stakes are high. And so I 3 4 certainly would be willing, after having gone 5 through the experience and having commanded and 6 having been responsible and had UCMJ authority 7 over, you know, thousands of soldiers, I would be 8 willing to have that conversation to look for 9 triggers and times when maybe that makes sense to do it. 10 11 In terms of saying an absolute every 12 time, I don't think I could get there. 13 HON. GRIMM: Thank you. 14 COLONEL JAMES: Judge, when I came on to Save Our Heroes a couple years ago I would 15 16 have said a violently yes, let's take them out. I have matured in that decision 17 18 process as I go through this. I honestly think it boils down to politics and the political 19 influence of the UCMJ. 20 21 You are assuming that this type of crime or whatever will be the one. What if it is 22

| 1  | like I think two years down the road it's         |
|----|---|
| 2  | something else, so I think it boils back to we    |
| 3  | have to have a way to keep the UCI out of it,     |
| 4  | Unlawful Command Influence, out of the system.    |
| 5  | So I agree with Wil, I would hate to              |
| 6  | see us take the commander out and then find out   |
| 7  | on the battlefield we needed it.                  |
| 8  | DR. SPOHN: One of the things that we              |
| 9  | have been doing as a committee is looking at      |
| 10 | sexual assault cases, penetrative sexual assault  |
| 11 | cases, from Fiscal Year 2017 and the outcomes of  |
| 12 | those cases.                                      |
| 13 | We have reviewed, or we have data on              |
| 14 | about 2000 of these cases and what we see is that |
| 15 | in 80 percent of these cases no action was taken  |
| 16 | and no charges were preferred.                    |
| 17 | And I think this is what has, whether             |
| 18 | it's a crisis of confidence in the military, I    |
| 19 | mean data like that have been used by Congress    |
| 20 | and other agencies to illustrate the lack of      |
| 21 | seriousness with which this crime has taken. How  |
| 22 | would you interpret that figure?                  |
|    |   |

| I  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | LT. COLONEL MORSE: I would want to                |
| 2  | know why. I mean I think this is part of the      |
| 3  | problem that we take these statistics and just    |
| 4  | apply a blanket rule to them and say that because |
| 5  | you are not doing, because my presumption is that |
| 6  | if there are more charges and more convictions    |
| 7  | then you must be doing your job and because there |
| 8  | are fewer charges and convictions you are not     |
| 9  | doing your job, and I think that's false on its   |
| 10 | face.   |
| 11 | I think that that is I am not a                   |
| 12 | political guy but I think when people start       |
| 13 | saying things like that that's political          |
| 14 | pressure, right, because that resounds with the   |
| 15 | American public.                                  |
| 16 | I would want to know all those cases.             |
| 17 | I was a budget officer for the JAG Corps and      |
| 18 | someone asked me how much does it cost to do a    |
| 19 | court-marital and I said it depends, what are the |
| 20 | facts. This is the same.                          |
| 21 | So our, you know, 80 percent is that              |
| 22 | a no action taken because we are investigating or |
|    |   |
|    |   |

-- I would want to know the reason behind those 1 2 I don't think you can draw a conclusion facts. from just that statistic. 3 DR. SPOHN: But people do. 4 LT. COLONEL MORSE: Of course they do. 5 This is what I said, I mean perception is more 6 important than reality. 7 So as human beings we have to be as 8 9 senators, as convening authorities, as 10 Secretaries of the Army, we have to be bigger 11 than that and say that you might think perception 12 is more important than reality, but we're trying 13 to deal in truth and we're trying to have answers 14 that meet truth not perception. COLONEL RIGGINS: Yes, Dr. Spohn, I'd 15 16 go back to, you know, the point I tried to make 17 earlier on where we really need to treat each of 18 these cases individually on some of the merits, 19 and that's hard to do and it certainly doesn't 20 resonate well when there are statistics that are 21 put out there and, you know, we're never going to 22 get ahead of that, right, I mean they're always

1 going to be out there.

| 2  | But I think that is an opportunity for            |
|----|---|
| 3  | strategic level leadership to step up and do      |
| 4  | exactly what these gentlemen just talked about    |
| 5  | and say, look, we pledge a few things here,       |
| 6  | right, one is we will always, always investigate  |
| 7  | every one of these things and we're going to go   |
| 8  | down and we're going to get beyond the statistics |
| 9  | into the details, right. We need to do that.      |
| 10 | And that's not just for, you know, a              |
| 11 | sexual assault related, I mean that's anything    |
| 12 | that's going on, you know, in the military and I  |
| 13 | think we've got a great group of investigators    |
| 14 | who do that kind of work.                         |
| 15 | But then we've got to be able to act              |
| 16 | on the outcome of that either way, right, without |
| 17 | worrying about, you know, the political influence |
| 18 | that comes with potentially making what's         |
| 19 | perceived as the politically wrong decision.      |
| 20 | That's the challenge I think.                     |
| 21 | DR. SPOHN: Thank you.                             |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Do any of the members             |
|    |   |

www.nealrgross.com

have anything further to ask the panel? 1 2 (No audible response.) CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you all for 3 4 coming. I want to thank the staff, for I know it 5 was hard to assemble to come. I don't know what your schedules are, 6 7 but if you have time you might be interested in 8 two of the data presentations we are doing, which 9 is the presentation of sexual assault courtmartial data right after our break and then after 10 11 lunch the presentation of the investigative case 12 file data. 13 You are more than welcome to join us 14 if you have the time. Thank you again for coming. We'll take a 10-minute break. 15 16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 17 went off the record at 10:56 a.m. and resumed at 18 11:10 a.m.) 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay, Mr. Mason, 20 Dr. Wells, Ms. Rozell, you're now going to be 21 presenting us with your sexual assault court martial data. Is that correct? 22

| 1  | MR. MASON: Yes, ma'am.                            |
|----|---|
| 2  | CHAIR BASHFORD: You have it.                      |
| 3  | MR. MASON: Thank you, ma'am.                      |
| 4  | Everybody, we have the PowerPoint behind you, but |
| 5  | you all have a copy of the slides with you. And   |
| 6  | this is a variation of what you saw yesterday,    |
| 7  | with modifications, based on clarifications that  |
| 8  | I could make so that the charts are a little      |
| 9  | clearer for you.                                  |
| 10 | What we'll be discussing is the                   |
| 11 | descriptive statistics for FY 2015 through 2017.  |
| 12 | And then, Dr. Wells is going to do his            |
| 13 | multivariate analysis takeaways, which are for    |
| 14 | FY 2016 and 2017.                                 |
| 15 | Just for situational awareness, the               |
| 16 | multivariate analysis that you see at the end of  |
| 17 | the data chapter where it says, FY15, and then    |
| 18 | there's a bunch of bullet points, and then FY16   |
| 19 | and '17 in the text of the chapter of the bullet  |
| 20 | points, those are a combination of the            |
| 21 | multivariate analysis that Dr. Spohn did for      |
| 22 | 2015.   |
|    |   |

125

| T    |
|------|
| be   |
| ne   |
| 5    |
|      |
|      |
| l of |
|      |
| the  |
| E    |
| ır   |
| ints |
| c    |
|      |
| that |
| ok   |
|      |
| 3.   |
| re   |
|      |
|      |
|      |
| ls   |
|      |
|      |

requested the footnote. So, that should tell you 1 2 where -- you know, much like yesterday, him saying that we do the simple work. He may have a 3 4 problem with Dr. Spohn. So, we will have to 5 discuss that later. All right, so the first slide that 6 7 you're going to see is that in the cases that are 8 documented by the DAC IPAD that are in our 9 database at this point, for FY15, '16 and '17, you can see a slight downward trend. 10 11 One question that has been raised is, 12 as the numbers change, you see it in later charts. You'll see where different results are 13 14 being realized. 15 That's as -- as we do quality control 16 on the database and we update files, as well as 17 add in new files that we're constantly receiving 18 that we'll see on the Court of Appeals, that a 19 case is out and it's on appeal but we don't have 20 it, we'll go out and ask for it. 21 And you can see where we've added approximately 30 cases just in the FY16 numbers, 22

that were not included in the analysis last year, 1 2 but are included at this point. BGEN SCHWENK: And these count all 3 4 three types of courts martial? 5 MR. MASON: Yes, this is all cases that we have in. So, for FY 17, anything that 6 went to -- any charges that were preferred that 7 8 are sexual assault are included in that number. 9 So, if it then went to NJP, it's still in that 658 number. That's just the number of 10 11 cases for that fiscal year that are currently in 12 our database. 13 BGEN SCHWENK: Okay, so it's not 14 necessarily court martial. That is just -- it's 15 MR. MASON: No. 16 all cases that are in the system that had a 17 charge preferred for sexual assault that meet our 18 initial standards. 19 Right. And sexual BGEN SCHWENK: 20 assault charges, we're just talking anything 21 under 120. 22 MR. MASON: Yes, sir. And there's a

few other categories how it falls in. And that's 1 2 also in the report that explains where they come from. 3 In addition to forcible 4 MS. ROZELL: 5 sodomy under Article 125. MR. MASON: On the next slide you'll 6 7 see -- this is similar to what you saw yesterday 8 in the preparatory session. We have FY15, '16 9 and '17, the Military Service of the accused. The last column has been added, and it 10 11 is the percentage of the Force in FY2017. So, 12 what you're able to do now is to look and see that in our database the Coast Guard is three 13 14 percent of the cases for FY17. As a percentage of the total force, 15 16 they were 3.1 percent. So, there's parity 17 between how many cases we have in our database, 18 compared to what is their total force percentage. 19 That last column, you'll see on the 20 next slide, is sourced from our tables that we 21 have for '15, '16 and '17 -- you're only seeing 22 '17 here -- that explains what the population is

for each service, percentage-wise, versus the 1 2 cases that are in the database. And there's no change to the FY17 slide as a representation, 3 4 that you're seeing today. 5 On the next slide we have the sex of 6 the accused. And there's no change to this 7 slide. It is again showing that it's fairly consistent that 99 percent of the accused from 8 9 '15, '16 and '17 are male, and roughly less than one percent are female accused. 10 11 As a question that was raised 12 yesterday on terminology, you can now see there's 13 just FY17. Here's a representation, and this 14 change will be made on the other slides. But rather than say rank of the 15 16 accused, it now is titled Pay Grade of the 17 Accused. And that was just a concern that was 18 raised, so it's been modified. 19 Going to -- since we just were talking 20 the accused at that point, we now go to the sex 21 of the victim, that we can tell from our database 22 what we are observing.

|    | -   |
|----|---|
| 1  | And again, it's fairly standard                   |
| 2  | between '16 and '17, that 93 percent of the       |
| 3  | victims in the cases that we have seen are        |
| 4  | female. Roughly six percent between '16 and '17   |
| 5  | are males, and then, less than one percent        |
| 6  | is the victims are both male and female           |
| 7  | against an accused.                               |
| 8  | So, you'll have multiple victims in a             |
| 9  | case, and then, less than one percent, when there |
| 10 | are those multiple victims, it's going to be both |
| 11 | male and female.                                  |
| 12 | On the next slide, this is where                  |
| 13 | we're going to be looking now, this slide and the |
| 14 | next one that we're going to look at, you have an |
| 15 | option and I need direction from you,             |
| 16 | please on which version you would like to see     |
| 17 | in the report.                                    |
| 18 | It's not as much of an issue on this              |
| 19 | first one that we're looking at, which is the     |
| 20 | instances of you having male accused, and what    |
| 21 | the sex of the victim is.                         |
| 22 | So, whether the male is just                      |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| 1  | assaulting males, assaulting females only, or     |
|----|---|
| 2  | both male and female, the difference between the  |
| 3  | two charts is just how we represent it whether    |
| 4  | it's a percentage or the actual number of         |
| 5  | incidents we have in each situation.              |
| 6  | It is okay to have this chart remain              |
| 7  | percentages because we're talking such a large    |
| 8  | number and you just use a percentage.             |
| 9  | And on the next slide, where we talk              |
| 10 | about female-accused sex of the victims, do just  |
| 11 | numbers, because the concern was, yesterday when  |
| 12 | we had 60 and 40 percent, that what does that     |
| 13 | really mean when we're talking such a small       |
| 14 | number, when it's three and two.                  |
| 15 | So, in FY17, when we had a female-                |
| 16 | accused you can see there were five female-       |
| 17 | accused in our entire database for '17. So,       |
| 18 | rather than using percentages, the suggestion was |
| 19 | use numbers.                                      |
| 20 | So and we can have different we                   |
| 21 | can do the male-accused with percentages and the  |
| 22 | female-accused with numbers, or we can do them    |
|    |   |

both with percentages, or we can do them both 1 2 with numbers. It just depends on how you're comfortable with the representation. 3 4 HON. WALTON: Do any of the statistics reflect how many victims are transgender? 5 MR. MASON: No, sir. We don't have 6 7 that information. Is there -- is there a 8 preference? 9 DR. MARKOWITZ: I certainly think that for female -- for the female assailants, that we 10 11 need the specific number. 12 MR. MASON: Okay. DR. MARKOWITZ: I think that it's 13 14 pretty important. I don't have a particular preference in regards to the male-accused. 15 But 16 certainly for the female-accused I think we need 17 the specific number, because it is such a low 18 number. 19 I agree that the percentage would be 20 somewhat -- not necessarily misleading, but it 21 doesn't paint the specific picture. 22 MR. MASON: Doesn't give you

granularity of what we're actually looking at. 1 2 DR. MARKOWITZ: Exactly. So, that would be my particular preference. 3 4 HON. GRIMM: Does it have to be one or 5 the other? MR. MASON: We can put both in if you 6 7 would like both in. Absolutely. I mean, I 8 could -- I don't know if combining them on the 9 same slide will work 10 HON. GRIMM: No no no no. I'm not talking about that. 11 12 MR. MASON: Okay. No, we could have 13 all four charts very easily. That's not a 14 problem. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: Do you have 16 percentages for the male-accused and numbers for 17 the female-accused? 18 MR. MASON: We can do that, as well. 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: If I see the numbers, 20 I immediately start trying to do the percentages 21 for the big numbers, but I'm just not that good. 22 So --

|    | т<br>Т  |
|----|---|
| 1  | MR. MASON: So, if the direction that              |
| 2  | you're feeling more comfortable with is, I will   |
| 3  | leave the male-accused sex of victims as          |
| 4  | percentages, and for female-accused sex of        |
| 5  | victims, we will go to the individual numbers.    |
| 6  | Okay.   |
| 7  | And when you see the draft report at              |
| 8  | the next version, when you actually are going for |
| 9  | the approval, it will reflect those charts        |
| 10 | accordingly.                                      |
| 11 | Okay, so the next couple of slides                |
| 12 | we're not going to have any changes. With         |
| 13 | respect to court martial data and the victim      |
| 14 | relationship to the accused, this is something    |
| 15 | that we're able to add in as a chart this year.   |
| 16 | And the reason that it wasn't included            |
| 17 | last year and also goes to the reason of why      |
| 18 | we're only looking at FY15, '16 and '17 on these  |
| 19 | charts is, prior to FY15 we were not able to      |
| 20 | get the number specifically and how it was being  |
| 21 | requested through the JPP with respect to         |
| 22 | other the intimate relationship distinction       |
|    |   |

intimate partner or spouse relationship.

1

2 In '15, '16 and '17, we've been able to get that, and we will be getting it again in 3 FY18. So, rather than trying to compare two 4 different sets of data that do not have all the 5 same variables, we've dropped off the '12, '13 6 7 and '14 Fiscal Years in our representation. 8 We haven't removed them from the 9 database, so we still have that information. But we're just not going to be reflecting it in our 10 analysis, because we want to show that all the 11 12 variable are present in all the cases that we've 13 added. 14 So, it is a new chart, but it does show you, again for FY15, '16 and '17, what type 15 16 of activity we were seeing, or what type of 17 relationship we're seeing between the victim and 18 the accused. 19 On the next slide we talk about number 20 of victims per case. And again, there isn't 21 anything drastically different in this. The numbers are very similar, and between '15, '16 22

www.nealrgross.com

| 1  | and '17 it's fairly standard, or fairly                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | consistent, I should say.                                 |
| 3  | This chart has not changed from what                      |
| 4  | you saw yesterday with the type of sex offense            |
| 5  | charged penetrative versus contact. Again,                |
| 6  | the question that was raised earlier, what cases          |
| 7  | are in our database? And we look at the most              |
| 8  | serious charge offense with respect to a sexual           |
| 9  | assault.  |
| 10 | So, it's either a penetrative is the                      |
| 11 | most serious category, or a contact offense is            |
| 12 | the most serious category. And then, we break it          |
| 13 | down from there.  |
| 14 | What you can see in this has been                         |
| 15 | fairly consistent that the most serious offense           |
| 16 | charged type of sex offense charged is                    |
| 17 | going to be a penetrative at 73-74 percent, and           |
| 18 | then contact offenses, right around 26 to $26\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 19 | percent.  |
| 20 | DR. SPOHN: For accuracy, you might                        |
| 21 | change the title to Most Serious Sex Offense              |
| 22 | Charge, because some of those cases have both             |
|    |   |

137

kinds of cases. 1 2 MR. MASON: Okay. Both kinds of offenses. 3 DR. SPOHN: 4 MR. MASON: Okay, be glad to. Okay, 5 the next slide is talking about Article 32 Hearings, and it will be the slide after this, as 6 7 well. This is an issue that we started discussing last year. 8 9 As the Article 32 Hearing changed in format and, essentially, substance, we wanted to 10 11 track how many 32 hearings were actually being 12 held, versus how many are being waived, and what 13 might be happening. 14 As we mentioned at the beginning, the number of cases that we received in FY17 was 15 16 lower than what we received in FY16. However, 17 it's interesting when you look at FY16 and FY17 18 on this chart, if you do a percentage, it has not 19 changed in the number of held-versus-waived for 20 the last two years. 21 So, even though we had a drop in the 22 number of cases that we have in our database, in

this -- for this statistic the number has 1 remained constant. 2 And then, on the next slide, you can 3 4 see what happens when we're talking about the 5 actual 32 waivers, how many times that a case is being waived -- an Article 32 Hearing that's 6 7 being waived -- but there is not a pre-trial 8 agreement that's requiring them to waive it. 9 The percentage when they were waived that involved a contact offense, the percentage 10 that were waived involving a penetrative offense, 11 12 and then the conviction rate when the Article 32 13 was waived. 14 Last year, that was an issue that was brought up in the discussions by the committee, 15 16 was, what was happening when FY15 we had an 17 almost 80 percent conviction rate, and then in 18 FY16 it dropped down to 47. 19 We were curious to see where it was going to go. 20 It has bounced back up to almost 62 21 percent. We don't know why. And it's something 22 that the data working group -- again, what these

numbers show is what we can see in our database, 1 2 but we don't have the reason behind it. So, with the data working group, they 3 4 may be coming back to the full committee with, 5 here's guidance or suggestion of where we might want to go with further research to see what's 6 7 happening. 8 And I should put as a side note, we 9 have all the FY18 data, or we're in the process of adding that now. So, in six months or so we 10 11 should be able to come back to you and say, here's what happened in FY18, and we'll be able 12 13 to look at four years and make some better 14 decisions on research at that point, because we'll have four solid years of data. 15 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: Chuck, what is considered a conviction to be included in the 17 18 conviction rate? 19 MR. MASON: That it is a conviction on 20 any offense. 21 CHAIR BASHFORD: Anything. 22 MR. MASON: Anything. Just that they

| 1  | went to a 32 or waived the 32, but yet still had |
|----|--|
| 2  | a conviction or not.                             |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: So, it could be                  |
| 4  | dereliction of duty.                             |
| 5  | MR. MASON: Absolutely. The next                  |
| 6  | slide case disposition court martial type        |
| 7  | gives you an illustration of all of the cases    |
| 8  | that we have where the sexual assault was        |
| 9  | preferred. How are these cases being handled?    |
| 10 | It doesn't necessarily mean that a               |
| 11 | sexual assault is being handled at that level.   |
| 12 | It just it's in our database on how was it       |
| 13 | resolved if it did go to court martial. And      |
| 14 | you'll see the numbers are fairly consistent     |
| 15 | right around, you know, just pushing 80 percent  |
| 16 | for a general court martial, a little under 20   |
| 17 | percent for your special, and just about five    |
| 18 | percent for your summary court martial.          |
| 19 | The next two slides are, again, a                |
| 20 | decision point. I would like some guidance from  |
| 21 | you, if possible, of which style you would       |
| 22 | prefer. The original what you saw yesterday was  |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

| 1  | case disposition by Military Service of the      |
|----|--|
| 2  | accused, and it says, FY2017.                    |
| 3  | We have this slide this chart will               |
| 4  | be created for '15, '16 and '17, but I was just  |
| 5  | showing you '17 for discussion purposes.         |
| 6  | The next slide shows you when you                |
| 7  | break out each of the court martials and whether |
| 8  | it was a penetrate courts martial, or whether    |
| 9  | it was penetrative or contact.                   |
| 10 | Now, it is a bit of an eye chart when            |
| 11 | it goes in this direction. It can be cleaned up  |
| 12 | with shading or other ways to demark the         |
| 13 | different categories. But if you like the        |
| 14 | additional information, we can work with the     |
| 15 | second slide to provide that detail.             |
| 16 | DR. MARKOWITZ: Personally, I like the            |
| 17 | detail. I know I wasn't here yesterday for this, |
| 18 | but for me I definitely like the detail. And so, |
| 19 | if you are able to provide that shading, that    |
| 20 | for just knowing the way that I use data like    |
| 21 | this, that would be really helpful.              |
| 22 | MR. MASON: Okay.                                 |
|    |  |

|    | 14  |
|----|---|
| 1  | DR. MARKOWITZ: So, I personally would             |
| 2  | like it.  |
| 3  | MR. MASON: It's not a problem to                  |
| 4  | create this. It's a few more keystrokes, but      |
| 5  | we'll be glad to get it done and we'll do it for  |
| 6  | '15, '16 and '17, so you can compare the three    |
| 7  | years against each other.                         |
| 8  | BGEN SCHWENK: I agree with what Jen               |
| 9  | said. Backing up, when the Chair asked a          |
| 10 | question about the conviction rate and the answer |
| 11 | was, dereliction of duty or anything else, I      |
| 12 | personally don't care about dereliction of duty   |
| 13 | or anything else.                                 |
| 14 | What I care about is, was there a                 |
| 15 | conviction on the sexual offense that was         |
| 16 | charged. So, maybe we can't for this year, but    |
| 17 | for some year in the future when we're putting    |
| 18 | statistics together, I'd like to see the          |
| 19 | conviction rate of the penetrative sexual offense |
| 20 | when the 32 was waived, and the conviction rate   |
| 21 | of the contact offense when the 32 was waived, so |
| 22 | I really get an idea of what's happening with the |

I

1

sexual assault offenses.

| 2  | And so, that's on that slide. That's             |
|----|--|
| 3  | slide 16, I guess? And then, on slide 17, we     |
| 4  | have case disposition. So, my gut is, they're    |
| 5  | all the sexual assaults are put together on '17. |
| 6  | But my gut is, well, the penetrative ought to be |
| 7  | going to GCNs, generally speaking, and the       |
| 8  | contact probably not so much. But if we could    |
| 9  | break that down in the future                    |
| 10 | MR. MASON: The next slide. We're                 |
| 11 | getting there.                                   |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: Oh, we're getting                  |
| 13 | there?   |
| 14 | MR. MASON: Yes, sir.                             |
| 15 | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay. All right.                   |
| 16 | MR. MASON: Based on comments from                |
| 17 | yesterday, we're going to get there.             |
| 18 | BGEN SCHWENK: All right, you know me             |
| 19 | well, you can shut me up. Thank you.             |
| 20 | MR. MASON: And, sir, I will                      |
| 21 | BGEN SCHWENK: Sorry to bother you.               |
| 22 | MR. MASON: Okay. Sir, if we can do               |
|    |  |

| 1  | the Article 32 before the report goes to the      |
|----|---|
| 2  | final draft, I'll be glad to.                     |
| 3  | BGEN SCHWENK: Right. I mean, if you               |
| 4  | can't, I'm just                                   |
| 5  | MR. MASON: We'll give it a try.                   |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: Sure.                               |
| 7  | MR. MASON: If not, it'll definitely               |
| 8  | be included in the next round.                    |
| 9  | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay, thank you.                    |
| 10 | MR. MASON: Yes, sir. So, the next                 |
| 11 | slide, Case Disposition, Penetrative Offense      |
| 12 | Preferred and Contact Offense Preferred.          |
| 13 | The question yesterday was with the               |
| 14 | wording, whether it was as accurate as it could   |
| 15 | be whether it was misleading or not. Because      |
| 16 | the question became, we shouldn't be seeing       |
| 17 | penetrative offenses actually going to a summary  |
| 18 | court martial.                                    |
| 19 | We went into the database to look at              |
| 20 | FY17 to see exactly what was happening, and there |
| 21 | are ten cases where a summary court martial, a    |
| 22 | the most serious offense charged, or preferred is |

a penetrative offense.

| 2  | However, in six of those ten cases it            |
|----|--|
| 3  | was actually referred to the summary court       |
| 4  | martial. And in two of those instances they were |
| 5  | found guilty of it. I believe they were found    |
| 6  | guilty of contact, ultimately, but not the       |
| 7  | penetrative.                                     |
| 8  | So, even though we shouldn't be seeing           |
| 9  | these cases going to a summary court martial, it |
| 10 | still is happening.                              |
| 11 | So, I changed the titling on the slide           |
| 12 | to be Penetrative Offense Preferred and Contact  |
| 13 | Offense Preferred, because that is the most      |
| 14 | accurate way. And, Dr. Spohn, that was based on  |
| 15 | your concern about how it was worded.            |
| 16 | So, I think that clears it up because            |
| 17 | it does say that we're in the category. But we   |
| 18 | can show in the database also, just for          |
| 19 | information, there are 13 cases of a preferred   |
| 20 | sexual penetrative offense that went to the      |
| 21 | special court martial, and five of those were    |
| 22 | actually referred at a special court martial.    |

| I  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | So, the most serious offense was                  |
| 2  | penetrative in 13, and then five were referred    |
| 3  | still to a summary. So, it is still happening.    |
| 4  | It is a smaller number, but it's there.           |
| 5  | So, that the changes on that                      |
| 6  | reflect the concerns that were raised. The        |
| 7  | last next couple of pages I'm not going to go     |
| 8  | into detail on. Chair Bashford asked the          |
| 9  | question this morning of, again, well how did the |
| 10 | numbers change from year to year.                 |
| 11 | And I'm going to provide a little bit             |
| 12 | more detail and will be able to show in the       |
| 13 | appendices because the appendix that applies      |
| 14 | to our report has all the data tables.            |
| 15 | But you'll see if you look at last                |
| 16 | year's report, how some of the numbers have       |
| 17 | changed what the conviction rate was, what the    |
| 18 | acquittal rate was. And that's as we add in       |
| 19 | these 30-something cases and we're doing our      |
| 20 | quality control. We will see that as of the date  |
| 21 | today, you may have a different percentage.       |
| 22 | The one thing you can feel confident              |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| 1  | about is that Dr. Wells and I did these numbers   |
|----|---|
| 2  | separately and did them he's using his            |
| 3  | software, I'm using the Excel spreadsheet we      |
| 4  | came up with the same results.                    |
| 5  | So, we get there, but it does change              |
| 6  | from year to year as we're updating and adding    |
| 7  | more cases in that we did not have. Yes, ma'am.   |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm just going to                 |
| 9  | say, I realize the conviction rates for the       |
| 10 | members panels have increased with the addition   |
| 11 | of new cases, but these are still god-awful       |
| 12 | conviction rates, and I think Judge Walton, Judge |
| 13 | Grimm would agree with me.                        |
| 14 | So, these are the best of the best                |
| 15 | cases after the winnowing out period, and there's |
| 16 | just something wrong, you know, and I think that  |
| 17 | that's part of what we're here to decide, you     |
| 18 | know.   |
| 19 | And I don't know that we have the data            |
| 20 | yet to know, is it the wrong cases going forward, |
| 21 | is it the prosecutors, is it the you know.        |
| 22 | But this is not in norm with any other data       |
|    |   |

outside of this area -- you know, the civilian 1 2 area -- that I've ever seen. MR. MASON: And I think you will see, 3 4 as staff we're going to take some suggestions to 5 the working group of maybe other ways we can look at this information, or other data we might want 6 7 to try to pull, and see if we can inform it a 8 little bit. 9 But I would hope that by the next full meeting we will have some more direction for you. 10 11 Chair Bashford, I think BGEN SCHWENK: 12 in the case review working group, as we wrap up 13 with the preferred or no-action cases, one of the 14 discussion items has been whether we should then launch on, why is the acquittal rate so low. 15 So, 16 we would skip the process between preferred --17 CHAIR BASHFORD: Acquittal rate so 18 high. 19 The acquittal rate so BGEN SCHWENK: 20 high. Sorry. Yeah, why is the acquittal rate so 21 high. Thank you. Yes, and just skip into that and see what Chuck and the case review -- I mean, 22

the data working group has, and decide what we need.

But we're thinking that's going to be 3 4 records at trial, and going through those. So, even though the numbers are smaller, the size of 5 the file could be significantly larger. 6 But -- so, we're thinking about that 7 8 and I guess maybe at our next meeting, when Chuck 9 has more detailed things, we'll have a better idea of what to recommend to the full DAC IPAD 10 11 that the case review working group take on for 12 next year. 13 MR. MASON: One more? One more? 14 Okay, and I'm -- so, the last four charts -- the last four slides, you have seen those, they were 15 16 from yesterday, there aren't any major changes 17 for today. If you would go to, I believe it's

18 slide 26, it's Summary of Multivariate Results, 19 I'm now going to turn things over to Dr. Wells, 20 and he will talk to you about his analysis and 21 his takeaways.

22

1

2

DR. WELLS: Thanks, Chuck. Thanks,

Members. So, we don't have for you today the
 detailed analytic results from the multivariate
 models. What we're prepared are the four summary
 slides. But just to reiterate, we analyzed the
 data for FY16 and FY17 and we estimated a series
 of multivariate models for both of those years.

7 And we had looked at a series of outcome variables for both of those years. 8 So, 9 the first four outcome variables for both years dealt with whether or not there was a conviction 10 for a penetrative offense, whether or not there 11 12 was a conviction for any offense, whether the 13 case ended in acquittal, and whether the case 14 ended in dismissal. So, those were the first 15 four dependent variables.

Then, we took off the cases that ended in a conviction, and we looked at the sentencing results. So, the first outcome variable we examined was whether or not there was a period of confinement given, whether punitive separation occurred, and then the last dependent variable -so, dependent variable number seven -- was a

combined measure of the length of the sentence
 and the punitive separation. So, it was a
 sentencing severity scale.

Okay, so those were the seven
dependent variables that we looked at in our
multivariate models, and we did that for '16 and
'17. And you'll have access to those full
results in the appendix if you would like to see
those, and we talked about those a little bit
yesterday.

So, what we have here today is just the summary of patterns that we observed. Because certain patterns emerged when you look at the '16 data and the '17 data. And that's what I provided for you.

16 So, the four predictors -- so, the 17 four variables that stood out included the 18 Military Service Branch, the number of charges in 19 the case, the type of charge or conviction 20 offense, and then last, a handful of victim-21 related variables.

Those weren't as consistent, but

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

they're noteworthy. And that's what we're going 1 2 to have up here for you on the last slide. So, the first slide summarizes -- oh, I'm sorry. 3 Go 4 back, Stacy -- service branch. 5 So, the general pattern that emerged is reflected here, that any chances of a 6 7 conviction were lower in the Air Force than the 8 other service branches across both years, and 9 that was pretty clear when we looked at those multivariate models. There was something 10 11 standing apart there. 12 The other pattern that emerged when we 13 looked at the sentencing outcomes, is that in a 14 general sense -- not always, not compared to -not all service branch comparison possibilities, 15 16 but convicted Marine Corps members tended to be 17 sentenced a little bit more severely than 18 convicted members of the other branches of 19 service. 20 **BGEN SCHWENK:** No. 21 (Laughter.) 22 DR. WELLS: Number of charges

consistently mattered across our model. 1 So, the 2 chances of conviction were greater when there were more charges filed in a case, and the 3 4 chances of dismissal or acquittal were lower when there were greater numbers of charges. 5 And then, when there were greater 6 7 numbers of charges, the severity of the sentences also increased. 8 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: And just to clarify, 10 that's, again, any kind of charge -- adultery, 11 dereliction of duty -- not just the sexual 12 charges. Right? 13 DR. WELLS: Yes, ma'am. Yeah, we just 14 summed up the total number of charges in the 15 And you'll have access to the data that case. show the ranges. And it was a broad range of 16 17 charges across the cases. 18 The charged offense or conviction 19 offense, so the particular type of offense that 20 we're talking about here, mattered. In FY16, if 21 you were charged with a penetrative offense, you 22 were less likely to be convicted than if you were

charged with a contact offense. 1 2 Also, regarding penetrative offenses, you were more likely to be acquitted and more 3 4 likely to have the case fully dismissed. I mean, that was only for FY17. 5 Convictions for penetrative offenses 6 7 lead to more serious sanctions, however, when we 8 compare them to contact offenses or non-sexual 9 assault conviction offenses. Last, as I indicated, there were a 10 handful of victim-related variables that weren't 11 12 consistent across many models, but they emerged 13 and worth noting here. 14 Dismissal was greater for intimate partner cases than other relationship cases. 15 In 16 FY16 and FY17, there was a relationship between numbers of victims, and an increased chance of 17 18 conviction on a penetrative offense -- that was 19 only for FY17 -- and then, military-only victim cases were linked to reduced chance of a 20 21 confinement sentence, compared to civilian victim 22 cases, and a mixture of victims military and

| 1  | civilian. But again, that was only for FY17.      |
|----|---|
| 2  | So, that's the summary of patterns                |
| 3  | that we see, and the full sets of model results   |
| 4  | are available for you.                            |
| 5  | The last thing I'll say is, the only              |
| 6  | thing we presented here were the patterns where   |
| 7  | there was a relationship. It's also important to  |
| 8  | know when the patterns show there is no           |
| 9  | relationship at all, because those findings can   |
| 10 | be just as revealing. Thank you.                  |
| 11 | MR. MASON: Unless you have any other              |
| 12 | questions for us, that is or data presentation    |
| 13 | for you.  |
| 14 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I do have one                     |
| 15 | question. Can you give us some examples of what   |
| 16 | you've seen where there was no relationship       |
| 17 | between different variates? You said sometimes    |
| 18 | the fact that there is no relationship is just as |
| 19 | important. Can you give us a couple of examples?  |
| 20 | DR. WELLS: Yeah, exactly. Rank or                 |
| 21 | pay grade of the accused did not matter in any    |
| 22 | model. Gender of the victim was not important     |
|    |   |

across the models.

2 The intimate-partner variable was only important in that one. So, across the other 3 models for FY16 and '17, that was generally 4 5 unimportant. Do you want to discuss 6 BGEN SCHWENK: 7 the racial issue? You know, whether there's 8 any -- is there a problem with the race data that 9 you're getting? You know. MR. MASON: Well, with respect to the 10 11 actual courts martial, we do not have that data. 12 It is not a point that is captured in the record 13 of trial that we have access to. 14 The -- I would suggest, sir, that you 15 might want to ask with the Case Review, when they 16 do their presentation this afternoon, they can 17 tell you the issues that they have come across 18 with respect to how the services are coding the 19 races of the accused. 20 But it is not something that we can 21 track in our database, and it's not even a field that we attempt to fill. The one thing that we 22

are doing that I can kind of piggyback on, we 1 2 have been going back and adding the date of births to our data fields, so that we can, once 3 the case review finishes with their 2000 files, 4 5 we can try to mirror the investigation from the very beginning, to when it goes to trial, tie 6 7 those two cases, and actually have, soup-to-nuts, 8 the entire process. 9 So, we'll be able to see that when 10 this investigation started and it actually went 11 to conclusion, if there's any trends that 12 might -- or common variables between the two 13 sides, that lead us towards the court martial 14 component. And what you said also 15 BGEN SCHWENK: 16 true for victims? The difficulty in identifying the victims also depends on race? 17 18 MR. MASON: Yes, sir. So, with 19 respect to court martial, we do not have that 20 anywhere in our database at all. 21 BGEN SCHWENK: I just think that's something we may want to look into try -- that's 22

got to be somewhere. And -- because how else can 1 2 you evaluate whether the system appears -numerically, at least -- to be even-handed or 3 So, I think it's something we ought to 4 not. think about making somebody go look, like the 5 staff, see what they can find. 6 COLONEL WEIR: 7 If I could add, General, the court martial documents that --8 9 BGEN SCHWENK: No, you can't add, 10 whoever you are. 11 (Laughter.) 12 COLONEL WEIR: The court martial 13 documents that we upload into the database are 14 all the court martial documents you would 15 normally see. A charge sheet, Article 32, 16 Preliminary Hearing Officer's Report, Staff Judge 17 Advocate's recommendation to the convening 18 authority, result of trial, and any other, you 19 know, victim's wishes that we might be able to 20 pull down and upload. 21 So, in none of those documents is 22 there any place where race is a box that's filled

out in those documents. So, that's the reason 1 2 why the court martial database folks don't have access to it, because the documents that they 3 4 pull down as part of a court martial -- even the 5 record of trial, it's nowhere mentioned, so that we wouldn't have access to that information from 6 what they're pulling down. 7 But, I will hold the suspense until 8 9 this afternoon and we'll talk about it again. I would also add for 10 BGEN SCHWENK: 11 the Committee's information, that GAO was in the process of conducting a wide-scale analysis of 12 13 racial disparities within the military justice

14 system, or lack of racial disparities within the 15 military justice system, in that report.

16 I believe it's expected out -- that 17 February time frame? Then, I will say we should 18 wait to see what they have to say. And Dwight, 19 you'll send us a copy? 20 MR. SULLIVAN: Of course. 21 BGEN SCHWENK: Thank you. CHAIR BASHFORD: Are we able to break 22

for lunch early and then start up again early? 1 2 Are we waiting on delivery, or anything like that? 3 I could check. 4 PARTICIPANT: CHAIR BASHFORD: We don't need an hour 5 to eat sandwiches, so why don't we break now and 6 come back at quarter-to-one. 7 8 (Whereupon the above-entitled matter 9 went off the record at 11:44 a.m. and 10 12:45 p.m.) 11 Okay. Welcome back CHAIR BASHFORD: 12 all. We're going to start our afternoon panel 13 with the Case Review Working Group Presentation. 14 MS. TAGERT: Good afternoon. For 15 those in the aud -- have we started? 16 Well, good afternoon. For those in 17 the audience, the next presentation is going to 18 be showing data that the Case Review Working 19 Group has collected through reading sexual assault investigations closed in Fiscal Year 17, 20 21 and reporting information based on those case files. 22

| 1  | And the first section there's going               |
|----|---|
| 2  | to be two sets of results that are going to be    |
| 3  | presented today. The first are descriptive        |
| 4  | statistics, which are a result of the Staff using |
| 5  | the database that we have to put together the     |
| 6  | numbers.  |
| 7  | And the second set of data is going to            |
| 8  | be a more higher level analysis. And Dr. Wells    |
| 9  | is going to be going over with that with you.     |
| 10 | For purposes of the DAC-IPAD Members,             |
| 11 | this is just a taste of the kind of data that     |
| 12 | we're able to extract from the database.          |
| 13 | However, anything that you may want to look at    |
| 14 | based on the numbers that we've provided, can     |
| 15 | also be discussed. And Dr. Wells and I could      |
| 16 | potentially put additional presentations          |
| 17 | together.   |
| 18 | So the first set of data that                     |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: Can I interrupt for a               |
| 20 | minute, please?                                   |
| 21 | MS. TAGERT: Yes, sir.                             |
| 22 | BGEN SCHWENK: Let me just say that a              |
|    |   |
|    |   |

1 lot of the data that's here comes from our group 2 of 165 or whatever cases it was that the Staff and the Members all reviewed. 3 4 It doesn't come from the 2055 big pot 5 of cases that is our mission to complete. We've The Staff has done 1400 of those. 6 done 1400. So 7 there's 600 to go. 8 So, don't be thinking too much about conclusions from the data based on 165. 9 Because that may be a great random sample, but it's not 10 11 2055. 12 So, it will be next fall when we have 13 completed our work and turned it over to Dr. 14 Wells. And he's done his analysis that will really have an in-depth review of all the data 15 16 and what we can learn from it. So this is sort of like a preview, a 17 18 sneak preview of a small fraction. Thank you. 19 Yes. I should have MS. TAGERT: 20 explained that. The first set of data that we're 21 looking at are reporting parties. And unlike in the civilian system, 22

victims are able to give obviously reports of 1 2 sexual assault. But so are third parties. And they have been broken down into 3 victim authorized representatives, who can be 4 5 people that are associated with the Family Advocacy Program, SARCs, Special Victims' 6 7 Counsel, Victims' Legal Counsel, as well as the 8 third group, which is the Command. The Command does not have the -- the 9 Command, if they are told of a sexual assault or 10 learn of one, they by regulation have to report. 11 12 It's a mandatory report. 13 However, as you can tell, the majority 14 of cases that are reported to law enforcement, and we are not talking about restricted reports 15 16 here. We're only talking about unrestricted, are not the victims themselves. 17 18 So, that was something that we found 19 interesting thorough the case investigations. 20 DR. MARKOWITZ: Excuse me, Kate? 21 Medical providers, do they fall under victim authorized representatives? Or other personnel? 22

|    | 19  |
|----|---|
| 1  | MS. TAGERT: They do.                              |
| 2  | DR. MARKOWITZ: Victim                             |
| 3  | representatives? Okay. Thank you.                 |
| 4  | MS. TAGERT: If of course if the                   |
| 5  | victim has given that, yes. Exactly.              |
| 6  | We've also broken down the other third            |
| 7  | party reports to kind of give you an idea of what |
| 8  | those look like. And those were intimate          |
| 9  | partners, family, friends, neighbors, and then    |
| 10 | there was about ten cases where they didn't fit   |
| 11 | into any type of category.                        |
| 12 | The case review checklist also                    |
| 13 | recorded the date of the sexual assault versus    |
| 14 | the date of the report. And we ran these numbers  |
| 15 | to show you incrementally how many reports were   |
| 16 | reported between certain days.                    |
| 17 | And so you reported within 48 hours               |
| 18 | is 33 percent. And the numbers go up to show you  |
| 19 | incrementally how many cases are reported within  |
| 20 | a certain amount of time.                         |
| 21 | For the report that will be issued in             |
| 22 | March based on Dr. Spohn's recommendation, we're  |
|    |   |
|    |   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

also going to do a median of these numbers to
 present for you next time.

There has been testimony provided to case review and the DAC-IPAD about the length of investigations. And potentially some negative side effects that maybe on the victim and the subject.

8 So we did an average duration of all 9 the cases from the time that a case is opened. 10 Not based on the reporting, just when the case 11 was opened. To the time that the Command gets 12 the report. All the time that the Commander can 13 make a decision.

14Next year we will also be providing15the dates of how long it takes the Commander to16come to a decision. I just want to be clear on17this. This is just the length of investigation18up until the time that the Commander can take19action.

20 This is the victim demographic 21 characteristics. I don't believe that there's 22 any surprise here.

| 1  | Overwhelmingly, victims are female and            |
|----|---|
| 2  | enlisted. The second largest demographic is the   |
| 3  | civilian spouse of a Service Member. There are    |
| 4  | 40 there. However, only 20 of those cases         |
| 5  | involved the subject being married to that        |
| 6  | civilian spouse.                                  |
| 7  | The age of victims on average are                 |
| 8  | between 19 and 20. That's the largest percentage  |
| 9  | of ages that we saw in these particular case      |
| 10 | files.  |
| 11 | And for the next report we will link              |
| 12 | the age and the rank together. So you can see     |
| 13 | where those fall. We can kind of compare those    |
| 14 | together.   |
| 15 | We also did a victim/subject                      |
| 16 | relationship. And this was based on a hierarchy   |
| 17 | model that Dr. Wells did for us. Which was        |
| 18 | combining the closest relationship.               |
| 19 | There was disagreement between                    |
| 20 | reviewers sometimes on these cases as to what the |
| 21 | relationship was. Whether or not it was an        |
| 22 | acquaintance or a coworker.                       |
|    |   |

| I  | To To   |
|----|---|
| 1  | So we didn't want to discount anyone's            |
| 2  | answers how they reviewed the relationship or how |
| 3  | they felt the victim described the relationship.  |
| 4  | So these numbers represent the closest            |
| 5  | relationship that was reported.                   |
| 6  | And as you can see, the largest number            |
| 7  | here is friend. People the victim and the         |
| 8  | subject describe themselves as friends.           |
| 9  | Here we have a declination to                     |
| 10 | participate with the investigation. Overall out   |
| 11 | of 165 cases there were 56 cases that involved    |
| 12 | the victim's declination.                         |
| 13 | (Off mic comments.)                               |
| 14 | MS. TAGERT: These are the numbers                 |
| 15 | that we were these are the cases that we were     |
| 16 | able to tell that there was a victim declination. |
| 17 | However, not in every case did we see an official |
| 18 | declination memo either from an attorney or the   |
| 19 | victim.   |
| 20 | Potentially we would see a note from              |
| 21 | an investigator that said victim declines to      |
| 22 | participate. In other cases we wouldn't see       |
|    |   |
|    |   |

anything.

| 2  | So there's no sort of consistent way             |
|----|--|
| 3  | across the services that they're accounting for  |
| 4  | this. However the largest group of               |
| 5  | characteristics or demographics that declined to |
| 6  | participate were Service Members and civilians.  |
| 7  | However, keep in mind that those were            |
| 8  | the largest demographic of victims in general,   |
| 9  | so.  |
| 10 | BGEN SCHWENK: So, is that 56 out of              |
| 11 | the 65 cases?                                    |
| 12 | MS. TAGERT: Yes, sir.                            |
| 13 | BGEN SCHWENK: There was a declination            |
| 14 | in 56 out of 65.                                 |
| 15 | (Off mic comment.)                               |
| 16 | BGEN SCHWENK: One hundred and sixty-             |
| 17 | five.  |
| 18 | MS. TAGERT: Yes. Not necessarily a               |
| 19 | formal declination. Although some of them were.  |
| 20 | But some mention of a victim not wanting to      |
| 21 | cooperate with the investigation or the          |
| 22 | prosecution.                                     |

| 1  | HON. WALTON: Do you know if there are           |
|----|---|
| 2  | domestic violence victims' advocates available? |
| 3  | MS. TAGERT: Yes. There are. The                 |
| 4  | Services, I believe it falls under the Family   |
| 5  | Advocacy Program. So, yes.                      |
| 6  | SGT. MARKEY: And one more, for                  |
| 7  | definition, which types of victims are not      |
| 8  | eligible for SVC?                               |
| 9  | MS. TAGERT: So for purposes of our              |
| 10 | study, sir, the people that were eligible were  |
| 11 | Service Members, civilian spouses of Service    |
| 12 | Members. Some dependents basically depending on |
| 13 | the relationship.                               |
| 14 | However, the Service regulations that           |
| 15 | we looked at, there are always exceptions. So   |
| 16 | they could potentially give a Special Victims'  |
| 17 | Counsel to someone that is not within those     |
| 18 | dependent status or Service Member.             |
| 19 | So we can't tell you who is or isn't            |
| 20 | eligible when they have exceptions to people    |
| 21 | having Special Victims' Counsel. And I believe  |
| 22 | that DoD civilians are not eligible for Special |
|    |   |

1 Victims' Counsel as well.

2 Next slide. We also ran the numbers to see whether or not having a Special Victims' 3 4 Counsel potentially would have an impact on the victim declination. 5 Now as you heard from Dr. Wells 6 7 yesterday when he did his presentation on 8 statistics, this is a bivariate analysis. So 9 there may be other reasons as to why people are declining if they have or have not had a Special 10 Victims' Counsel. 11 12 And the eligible victims represented 13 by an SVC versus eligible victims not represented 14 by an SVC, that is not a statistically significant number. So there's not really a 15 16 difference between those two parties or two 17 groupings. 18 However, victims not eligible for an 19 SVC and the percentage that participated in the 20 investigation, is significantly to -- significant 21 between those parties. 22 So, --

So it will be very 1 CHAIR BASHFORD: 2 interesting when we get the full cohort of cases to see if the numbers and percentages still track 3 4 past the random sample. 5 MS. TAGERT: Yes, ma'am. And Dr. Wells, tell me if they don't track, then there's 6 a problem with the reviewers. 7 8 (Laughter.) 9 So let's hope they do. MS. TAGERT: Next slide. 10 11 All right. So, as I mentioned before, 12 the fact that a third party can make a report of sexual assault is unique to the military. 13 And so we also took a look at whether 14 or not that was -- what effect of having a third 15 16 party report would have on the participation 17 level. 18 And here as you can see, if the 19 Command is the party making the report, they have 20 the largest percentage of participating victims. 21 And the Case Review Working Group found that 22 interesting.

| 1  | Potentially there is a bias against               |
|----|---|
| 2  | Commanders. And maybe people believe that if the  |
| 3  | Com you know, the Commands there's maybe an       |
| 4  | effect on prosecution with the Command.           |
| 5  | But here we found that if they were               |
| 6  | the reporting party, there seemed to be more      |
| 7  | participation then if other people reported.      |
| 8  | Including the victim him or herself.              |
| 9  | Again, this is the demographic                    |
| 10 | characteristics. It's very similar to what SAPRO  |
| 11 | puts out. The majority of subjects are male and   |
| 12 | enlisted.   |
| 13 | And as you can see here, the ages of              |
| 14 | the subjects are it's a little older then         |
| 15 | victims. But not by much.                         |
| 16 | The Case Review Working Group also                |
| 17 | recorded every time a subject gave a statement to |
| 18 | law enforcement. And as you can see, in the       |
| 19 | majority of cases, up to 74 percent, the subject  |
| 20 | does indeed give a statement to either a military |
| 21 | investigator or a civilian law enforcement        |
| 22 | agency.   |
|    |   |

| 1  | And we also then broke it down for                |
|----|---|
| 2  | those that did choose to give a statement, we     |
| 3  | thought well maybe an attorney is telling them    |
| 4  | that it would be wise to give a statement.        |
| 5  | But here, as you can tell, there were             |
| 6  | very little there was very little legal           |
| 7  | representation at an initial interview for any of |
| 8  | the people, whether or not they gave a statement  |
| 9  | or did not.                                       |
| 10 | SGT. MARKEY: But you weren't able to              |
| 11 | determine whether those were exculpatory or       |
| 12 | inculpatory?                                      |
| 13 | MS. TAGERT: No, sir.                              |
| 14 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Well, ultimately we               |
| 15 | did. But I don't think for purposes of this.      |
| 16 | But didn't we check if it was an admission or a   |
| 17 | denial confession?                                |
| 18 | MS. TAGERT: Oh, yes. I'm sorry, sir.              |
| 19 | We were we did record whether or not there was    |
| 20 | a confession. So we will be able to see the       |
| 21 | results of that.                                  |
| 22 | And I think Dr. Wells in his                      |
|    |   |

presentation shows the percentage of cases that a
 Command takes action when there's been an
 admission or a confession.

DR. WELLS: Right. So in addition to the results that Kate discussed, we did a little bit more sophisticated analysis based on some of the things that the case file reviewers noted when they were recording the data.

9 And some of their observations. And 10 then some of the things that the Staff and the 11 Committee had indicated as well.

12 So that's what we're going to talk 13 about now. I'm going to talk about four sets of 14 a little bit more in-depth analysis that we 15 performed.

16 So the first thing we're going to talk 17 about is the consistency of case closure status 18 across four different decision points. So we're 19 going to talk about Command decision, probable 20 cause determination, DIBRS classification, and 21 MCIO classification.

22

And one of the things that we did was

determined what closure classifications were used 1 2 in two or more of those decision points. And then we could compare how often 3 4 those things intersect. In other words, how 5 consistently these particular closure classifications are used across those decision 6 7 points. 8 So in the chart you're going to see, 9 it doesn't track a particular case to see how consistent a closure classification is used 10 11 within that case. It's just aggregate counts 12 within those cells. And then that will give us a 13 picture of consistency. 14 So here are the results that you can 15 So across the top row are the four decision see. 16 points, Command, probable cause, DIBRS and MCIO. 17 And then in the first column you can 18 see the five different closure classifications. 19 So I'll draw your attention too just a few of the 20 highlights here. 21 If you look at the unfounded row, you see that DIBRS uses the unfounded classification 22

Nearly a third of the cases that had 1 most often. 2 a DIBRS classification were listed as unfounded. DIBRS also uses prosecution declined 3 most frequently. Over a third of the time that 4 5 they make a closure classification they list it as prosecution declined. 6 And that's quite a bit more than MCIOs 7 and Command. Command uses that category less 8 9 than 10 percent of the time. Victim declinations, lack of 10 participation. Commanders use this classification 11 12 most frequently. Over one fifth of the time. 13 And then it's used least frequently by 14 the MCIOs. You see a pretty big difference there 15 in those percentages. 16 And then last, Commanders almost 17 exclusively use the insufficient evidence 18 classification. So we can see some patterns of 19 inconsistency and how these different decision 20 points close out their cases. 21 And again, we did take a look at some of the individual cases. And I can report those 22

2

slide. 3 So the next issue we wanted to take a 4 5 look at was the nature of victim impairment. And we felt like this variable was pretty important, 6 7 because it can have an impact on case progression 8 for a couple of different reasons, including 9 potential issues of victim credibility. And then also issues related to the 10 11 quality of evidence in a particular 12 investigation. So, we wanted to know how often these cases entailed victim impairment and then 13 14 what the impairment looked like in these cases. 15 So, in the next table here you can see 16 that a victim was impaired in over half of the 17 cases. And this indicates that we've got some 18 investigative challenges with a pretty 19 significant portion of cases in this sample. 20 Then the next step was to look at the 21 nature of victim impairment. And what you see there with those rows under the nature of 22

particular numbers to you. And they can be listed in the appendix if you would like. Next

1 impairment is sort of a hierarchical 2 classification of victim impairment. With the top row being the highest 3 4 degree of impairment. The person was passed out 5 or unconscious. Versus blacked out at least some memory loss. And then asleep. 6 7 And then you can see how those are 8 broken down. And you can see that being passed 9 out and unconscious represented about 43 percent of all of the impairment cases. 10 11 So when we talk about impaired victims, we're talking about something that is 12 13 fairly substantial. 14 And just for purposes of MS. TAGERT: 15 when we're discussing what those definitions are, 16 this was as described by the victim in her 17 statement. It's not the reviewer's opinion as to 18 what her state of mind was. Or his. 19 DR. WELLS: And sometimes within the 20 data we will see things in a single case where it will list the victim as either being passed out 21 22 with partial memory.

| 1  | And what we did in those kinds of                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | cases was code the most severe form of            |
| 3  | impairment. So we would code that as being        |
| 4  | blacked out as opposed to just partial memory.    |
| 5  | We'll take a closer look at this                  |
| 6  | variable to see how it was related to victim      |
| 7  | reported drug and/or alcohol use. And this was    |
| 8  | primarily a check on the quality of the victim    |
| 9  | impairment variable.                              |
| 10 | But it was also to allow us to get a              |
| 11 | better idea of what victim impairment looked      |
| 12 | like. And I think the takeaway conclusion from    |
| 13 | this is, that victim impairment variable does a   |
| 14 | pretty good job of measuring this, at least when  |
| 15 | we compare it to drug and alcohol use.            |
| 16 | So I'll just walk you through a couple            |
| 17 | of the cells. So if you look at the lower left    |
| 18 | cell there, under victim impairment, where the    |
| 19 | number is 73. And what that means is, 80 percent  |
| 20 | of the cases, actually 80.2 percent of the victim |
| 21 | impairment cases involved a victim who said he or |
| 22 | she used alcohol, but did not use drugs.          |

| 1  | And if you go one cell up and you see             |
|----|---|
| 2  | that number 11, that's 12.1 percent of the victim |
| 3  | impairment cases. And in those cases the victim   |
| 4  | said that he or she had used drugs and/or         |
| 5  | alcohol.  |
| 6  | We can't talk about the extent of drug            |
| 7  | or alcohol use. We can't talk about the type of   |
| 8  | drugs that were used.                             |
| 9  | But we do know that if we add up those            |
| 10 | two cells, it comes out to a little bit more than |
| 11 | 92 percent of the victim impairment cases         |
| 12 | involved drug and/or alcohol use.                 |
| 13 | DR. MARKOWITZ: So, is there any                   |
| 14 | consideration given to surreptitious provision or |
| 15 | surreptitious use of drugs in any of these cases? |
| 16 | So the possibility of someone being               |
| 17 | given something against their will like in those  |
| 18 | particular cases or against their knowledge. Did  |
| 19 | that come up in any of these cases, where         |
| 20 | somebody is not sure whether or not they were     |
| 21 | given something in the course of an incident?     |
| 22 | DR. WELLS: Right. So some of those                |
|    |   |

1 indications are in the data, as we were reviewing 2 the data file. 3 DR. MARKOWITZ: Right. 4 DR. WELL: I can't speak to how 5 systematically that was recorded and written 6 But maybe Kate can talk about that a down. 7 little bit. 8 So, on the checklist Dr. MS. TAGERT: 9 Markowitz, it set. There was an option for 10 drugged. 11 So there were victims that reported 12 that they were impaired but they don't know how 13 they got impaired. And sometimes reviewers would 14 hit drugged. 15 Or if someone reported that they felt 16 like they were drugged, yes. That would -- those 17 numbers would account for those. 18 DR. MARKOWITZ: Okay. 19 MS. TAGERT: And just to be clear, 20 drugs is not just -- there was confusion 21 yesterday. So it's not street drugs necessarily. 22 It could be Ambien, or any kind of prescription

drug that is used with alcohol or anything like 1 2 that. And just so to make 3 DR. MARKOWITZ: 4 sure I understand. So we're not talking -- so a 5 patient's -- or I'm sorry, a victim's account of believing they were drugged would be enough to 6 7 put them in this category? 8 You weren't verifying with like tox 9 screens or what have you in the case reports like 10 this was. 11 MS. TAGERT: So we do have -- there's 12 another section in the minority of cases there is a tox exam. But if there was one on the victim, 13 14 and the subject, that is recorded. 15 DR. MARKOWITZ: Okay. 16 MS. TARGET: And that will be provided 17 in the big report next year. 18 DR. MARKOWITZ: Right. Thank you. 19 SGT. MARKEY: And I think if you 20 remember going back to the time line of when most or a majority, 51 percent or more were reported 21 22 after 48 hours, that became problematic

1 investigatively to try to corroborate, you know, 2 alcohol content or any type of drugs. so. DR. MARKOWITZ: Sure. I was more 3 4 interested in whether just a simple report of 5 belief of being drugged --Right. 6 SGT. MARKEY: 7 DR. MARKOWITZ: Put that individual 8 within that category. So that was really my --9 my big question was how we were categorizing those individuals. 10 11 So, thank you. 12 DR. WELLS: So overall the patterns 13 here support that victim impairment variable 14 seems to be capturing what's going on here fairly 15 well. 16 We looked at those three cases where 17 the victim said they were impaired, but they 18 didn't use drugs and they didn't use alcohol. 19 And there were indications in the data that they 20 were impaired in some way. And then -- and the victim was not 21 22 impaired, the 51 in the lower right cell is what

we would expect to see if they weren't impaired. 1 2 They also reported that they didn't use drugs. They didn't use alcohol. 3 And then 22, they reported that they 4 5 used alcohol but they were not impaired. Just as a -- just as 6 DR. MARKOWITZ: 7 a general consideration going forward. From my 8 own clinical perspective, there may be some 9 utility in a category of unclear what the nature of the impairment is. 10 I think that information is as 11 12 important as anything else. The fact that there 13 are times in which we do not know why a patient 14 comes to us impaired. So, it's something to consider as we 15 16 are having these conversations. 17 DR. WELLS: Next, so the third 18 analysis that we did, to take a closer look at 19 some of these data, were to figure out what 20 happened in some of these cases in which a 21 civilian agency was involved, a civilian agency was the lead, and then the question is, what 22

happened in the military criminal justice system 1 2 within these cases? So, I'm going to go through a couple 3 of tables here. A lot of numbers. A lot of 4 5 different categories. But they'll lead us to a conclusion about what happened here in just a 6 7 second. 8 So, what we see is that in -- how does 9 that add up? Fifty cases -- 49 cases. Sorry. Forty-nine cases involved in off installation 10 11 incident and a civilian agency was involved. 12 The top three rows add up to 50 because two agencies were involved in one of 13 14 That's why it doesn't add up to 49. those cases. So we had 49 cases off installation in 15 16 which a civilian agency was involved. We see 17 that in 34 of those, the civilian agency took the 18 lead. 19 The next row of data show that a 20 civilian prosecutor prosecuted the case one of 21 those times. So one out of the 49 cases with a 22 civilian agency involvement led to civilian

prosecution.

1

| 2  | And then the last row of data allows             |
|----|--|
| 3  | us to understand why was that the case. Why were |
| 4  | 48 cases not prosecuted?                         |
| 5  | And the top row there captures 45.8              |
| 6  | percent of those cases. That combines two        |
| 7  | categories. One of two things happened there     |
| 8  | within that row.                                 |
| 9  | Either the law enforcement agency                |
| 10 | never took it to the prosecutor's office. And    |
| 11 | that was indicated in the case file notes. Or,   |
| 12 | the case was taken to a civilian prosecutor      |
| 13 | prosecuting agency, and they declined to move    |
| 14 | forward with the case. So that was 22 of those   |
| 15 | cases.   |
| 16 | So the next step in our analysis, and            |
| 17 | Kate the discussion that Kate and I had was,     |
| 18 | so let's take those 22 cases and see if anything |
| 19 | happened in the military justice system after    |
| 20 | they were declined at the civilian side.         |
| 21 | So the next slide you're going to see            |
| 22 |  |
|    |  |

1 BGEN SCHWENK: Can I make one comment 2 on this slide before you review it? DR. WELLS: Yeah. 3 Yeah, yeah. 4 BGEN SCHWENK: So the first category 5 is what agency was involved. And then we say civilian investigative agency took the lead in 34 6 7 cases. 8 So then the next one is civilian 9 prosecutor prosecuted the case. And we have one 10 in yes. And 48 no. 11 DR. WELLS: Um-hum. 12 BGEN SCHWENK: I wonder if it would be 13 more helpful if we said one yes, and 33 no. 14 Because to me it goes like, you know, a tiered 15 system. 16 They took the lead in 34 cases. Um-hum. 17 DR. WELLS: 18 BGEN SCHWENK: That means they get the 19 first call on whether to prosecute. On the ones 20 we took the lead on, probably we were making the 21 decision on whether to prosecute. 22 So, when we say that in 48 times they

1 didn't prosecute, well 15 of them is probably 2 because we were the lead. We took it. It was 3 us. So I'm -- so that's something to think 4 5 I don't know what the answer is. about. But, 6 that's my thought looking at it. 7 DR. WELL: We can do that. 8 **BGEN SCHWENK:** Thank you. 9 DR. WELLS: Okav. So then what we did with the next slide and the next layer of this 10 analysis was to pick off those 22 cases. 11 12 Would you go back one Stayce, just 13 real quick before we get to that? 14 So we picked off those 22 cases where the prosecution was declined or the case was 15 16 never presented to the prosecutor. And these are 17 off-installation cases. So these are all off-18 installation. 19 Okay, so the next slide presents those 20 22 cases. And what we wanted to know is, what 21 happened, if anything, with the military criminal 22 justice processing.

| 1  | In 11 of those 22, so half of those              |
|----|--|
| 2  | cases, a Judge Advocate determined that probable |
| 3  | cause did not exist. Five, probable cause was    |
| 4  | determined to exist. And it wasn't determined to |
| 5  | exist in six of those cases. So no probable      |
| 6  | cause.   |
| 7  | Then we can see that the case was                |
| 8  | acted upon in five of those cases. And then in   |
| 9  | all five of those cases it resulted in a         |
| 10 | conviction for a sex assault. Or a conviction    |
| 11 | for multiple charges, conviction for a sex       |
| 12 | assault and for a non-sexual assault crime.      |
| 13 | And so five out of the 22 went forward           |
| 14 | and resulted in some conviction within the       |
| 15 | military system. Okay.                           |
| 16 | Last   |
| 17 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Just before you go to            |
| 18 | the last one.                                    |
| 19 | DR. WELLS: Yes.                                  |
| 20 | CHAIR BASHFORD: You know, during our             |
| 21 | first Panel this morning, I know several of the  |
| 22 | Panel Members were asked did they think that     |
|    |  |

I

handing this section off to a different form of 1 2 prosecution would be beneficial? And this preliminary data suggests 3 4 there might not be any difference. I think. 5 Because they're just not -- they're not going forward on very many of these cases. 6 7 At least the ones that we saw that happened off base. I guess that was more of a 8 9 comment then a question. 10 (Laughter.) 11 DR. WELLS: The last analysis then is 12 again, with these 165 case files that were reviewed and we recorded data for, we looked at a 13 14 series of bivariate analysis. 15 So we looked at a series of case 16 characteristics to see how they were related to whether or not a Commander took action on a 17 18 penetrated sexual assault complaint. So they 19 either took action or they did not. And then we wanted to look at those 20 21 categories according to a host of other case 22 characteristics. And what you have in front of

you there are some of the relationships that
 emerged from that analysis.

The full table of results will be made available to you if you want. It has a data appendix. And the full table is there for you to review in the future.

7 So what I've done here is just offered 8 some examples to illustrate some of the points 9 where we do find a relationship. So, I'm going 10 to walk you through just a couple of these to 11 illustrate the point. And several of these make 12 perfect sense.

When a Judge Advocate determines there 13 14 was probably cause, the case was more likely to result in some action on the penetrative sexual 15 16 assault complaint. When the victim participated 17 in the investigation, there was also a greater 18 chance that there was some action on the 19 penetrative assault sexual complaint --20 penetrative sexual assault complaint. If the victim received a forensic 21 22 sexual assault medical examination, it was more

likely to move forward with some action. 1 When 2 the victim and the subject were not intimate partners, when there was some other relationship, 3 4 all those relationships combined together, there was more likely to be some action. 5 When the subject confessed, there was 6 a much greater chance that some action was taken 7 8 in the case. And then you can see the other 9 characteristics that are there. And that was the last set of analysis 10 that we performed to take a closer look at some 11 12 of the case file data. 13 BGEN SCHWENK: The Case Review Working 14 Group will, like I said earlier, be in the 15 business of reviewing at least 60 cases in the 16 next couple of months so we can wrap it up in 17 time to turn it over to Dr. Wells. 18 Anybody that's not on the Case Review 19 Working Group and can't wait to have an opportunity to review a military criminal 20 21 investigative organization investigative file, say that three times fast, is welcome to contact 22

| 1  | Kate.  |
|----|--|
| 2  | And Kate will be happy to hook you up            |
| 3  | with a case, an investigative file, and a sheet  |
| 4  | with whatever number of variables we have. So    |
| 5  | that you'll get a real chance to put your hands  |
| 6  | on it and see what it's like and do it.          |
| 7  | And so let her know. But you're all              |
| 8  | welcome. Thank you.                              |
| 9  | MS. TAGERT: Those furloughed are                 |
| 10 | welcome.   |
| 11 | (Laughter.)                                      |
| 12 | MS. TAGERT: Pizza.                               |
| 13 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Are there any                    |
| 14 | questions from the Committee or anyone?          |
| 15 | DR. SPOHN: Perhaps we could return to            |
| 16 | the question that General Schwenk asked about    |
| 17 | race and ethnicity.                              |
| 18 | MS. TAGERT: Yes. At this time the                |
| 19 | case review is concerned about the data that we  |
| 20 | have on race and ethnicity. Because as we found, |
| 21 | and we've heard some testimony from Judge        |
| 22 | Advocates, race is not recorded on criminal      |
|    |  |

1

| 2  | Although we have seen race on the                 |
|----|---|
| 3  | MCIOs documents. They're basic it's broken        |
| 4  | down into white or black. And I think that the    |
| 5  | reviewers looked at other documents.              |
| 6  | So, we were more granular on what                 |
| 7  | someone was described as. So, if someone was      |
| 8  | we would say Hispanic as opposed to white. Which  |
| 9  | is what the MCIOs would classify someone as.      |
| 10 | So we need to figure out whether or               |
| 11 | not we need to look at the investigations to look |
| 12 | only at race. Or whether or not we're going to    |
| 13 | rely on other documents.                          |
| 14 | And some of the cases which I found               |
| 15 | most helpful, the investigator asked the subject  |
| 16 | or the victim to describe what they are. Or       |
| 17 | what, you know, what they identify as.            |
| 18 | And so, those cases I feel like we can            |
| 19 | rely on more. But, with the reviewers getting     |
| 20 | information on race and ethnicity from different  |
| 21 | documents, whether or not that be a DEERS         |
| 22 | application for insurance, or another criminal    |

| 1  | investigation in the civilian side of the house,  |
|----|---|
| 2  | I just don't trust the data that we have.         |
| 3  | And I don't know how to fix it yet.               |
| 4  | And I hope that I have an answer for you the next |
| 5  | time we meet.                                     |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: We can review those               |
| 7  | cases again.                                      |
| 8  | (Laughter.)                                       |
| 9  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I mean, there were                |
| 10 | cases that were reviewed where just based on      |
| 11 | names alone, somebody was it happened in a        |
| 12 | Pacific base, and the person was clearly          |
| 13 | Polynesian descent.                               |
| 14 | Or American Indian descent based on               |
| 15 | surname alone. But I don't know how much you can  |
| 16 | then say, oh, this person has a Hispanic surname, |
| 17 | let me check Hispanic box if it's not checked at  |
| 18 | the outset.                                       |
| 19 | So, we trust Ms. Tagert will come up              |
| 20 | with a solution.                                  |
| 21 | SGT. MARKEY: Well, and I think that               |
| 22 | kind of reflects on we've had this discussion     |
|    |   |

about some of the problematic issues we came 1 2 across with the documentation. And the varied ways that information was documented across the 3 4 services. 5 I commend the Staff for all the work 6 they did on the case review. And we commented that they still have their hair on their heads, 7 8 because this -- the way information was being 9 documented was not consistent. There were not standards. 10 In 11 something as simple as race, you would think we 12 would be able to come up with a standard way of 13 documenting that. 14 And that even became problematic. so, a lot of the data that we collected, or didn't --15 16 wasn't able to collect, it was because it just wasn't there. It was inconsistent. 17 18 And I think you will probably hear me 19 repeatedly say that inconsistency creates 20 problems with being accurate and transparent with 21 how you report. And what is actually going on within the military. 22

| Ī  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | And so I think that's one of the                  |
| 2  | issues that we can glean out of this case review. |
| 3  | Is how that needs to be addressed.                |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: And Ms. Carson, I                 |
| 5  | believe, we had there was an appendix to          |
| 6  | something that we had gotten about going forward. |
| 7  | What they're going to be collecting.              |
| 8  | Which had much more significant                   |
| 9  | breakdowns. Pacific Islander, Native American, -  |
| 10 | -   |
| 11 | MS. CARSON: We certainly can address              |
| 12 | that issue for their systems going forward.       |
| 13 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Going forward. Yeah.              |
| 14 | MS. CARSON: Have an Article 140A                  |
| 15 | requirement. We just have to figure out how to    |
| 16 | apply it and that's                               |
| 17 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any other questions?              |
| 18 | Okay. We're scheduled for a break, but I think    |
| 19 | since we just got back, we can forge ahead.       |
| 20 | COLONEL WEIR: I think I'll move to                |
| 21 | the big table so it's easier for you all to hear  |
| 22 | me. And have this discussion on the proposed      |
|    |   |

Findings and recommendations.

1

| -  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Okay. What I'd like to do is start                |
| 3  | with Chapter 1, which we went through yesterday   |
| 4  | at an administrative session.                     |
| 5  | And basically that session was to make            |
| 6  | changes in the way stuff was drafted. There was   |
| 7  | no discussion on the Findings or discussion on    |
| 8  | proposals or recommendations, because that        |
| 9  | requires a public hearing.                        |
| 10 | So what we did yesterday was go                   |
| 11 | through the Chapter. And make sure it was clear   |
| 12 | to the Committee Members what we were trying to   |
| 13 | say as a Staff when we draft that.                |
| 14 | So what we're going to do today is                |
| 15 | there were points where you all have to make      |
| 16 | decisions and deliberate on the language you want |
| 17 | to incorporate into the report. And then we will  |
| 18 | vote when appropriate and tackle it that way.     |
| 19 | So, the first issue that we saw was in            |
| 20 | Page 8, if you can flip to and Ms. Tokash         |
| 21 | yesterday mentioned that the sentence reads, over |
| 22 | the past decade media it's the first sentence     |

1 in that -- on that page.

| 2  | For the past decade, media reports               |
|----|--|
| 3  | have criticized the Military and Commanders for  |
| 4  | insufficient action against alleged offenders in |
| 5  | sexual assault cases. The Staff had decided that |
| 6  | we were going to take out Commanders and just    |
| 7  | leave it the Military for insufficient action    |
| 8  | against alleged offenders in sexual assault      |
| 9  | cases.   |
| 10 | She made a point, a valid point that             |
| 11 | we speak about Commanders. And that Commanders   |
| 12 | have been the focus and Commander's decisions.   |
| 13 | So what I need you as a Committee to             |
| 14 | do, is to determine whether that sentence should |
| 15 | remain the way it was originally drafted. Or     |
| 16 | take out the word Commanders.                    |
| 17 | And that's open for discussion.                  |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I just would take out            |
| 19 | the media reports have criticized. And start     |
| 20 | with the Military. And if we decided Commanders, |
| 21 | has been criticized.                             |
| 22 | I really don't think the fact that the           |
|    |  |

| I  | 2   |
|----|---|
| 1  | media has done it. They've been criticized by     |
| 2  | more than just the media.                         |
| 3  | COLONEL WEIR: Okay.                               |
| 4  | BGEN SCHWENK: I would note that on                |
| 5  | the Military and Commanders issue, Commanders are |
| 6  | part of the Military. So, it's not really         |
| 7  | Military and Commanders. It's Military including  |
| 8  | Commanders.                                       |
| 9  | And the criticism, although I think               |
| 10 | Meghan's point was that the sentences that follow |
| 11 | that are particularly focused on decisions by     |
| 12 | Commanders.                                       |
| 13 | I think the criticism in the first                |
| 14 | sentence is broader then just Commanders. It's    |
| 15 | Military-wide.                                    |
| 16 | So, I would either go the Military or             |
| 17 | go the Military including Commanders. But, I      |
| 18 | wouldn't do Military and Commanders.              |
| 19 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Including sounds                  |
| 20 | good.   |
| 21 | COLONEL WEIR: So, General Schwenk has             |
| 22 | made a suggestion. Over the past decade the       |
|    |   |
|    |   |

|    | 2   |
|----|---|
| 1  | Military has been criticized for taking         |
| 2  | insufficient action.                            |
| 3  | So, it would say, Military and                  |
| 4  | Military including Commanders in that sentence. |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: So if we pass that.             |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: Right.                            |
| 7  | SGT. MARKEY: And continue with the              |
| 8  | rest of that paragraph.                         |
| 9  | COLONEL WEIR: Yes.                              |
| 10 | SGT. MARKEY: Without okay.                      |
| 11 | COLONEL WEIR: Anyone opposed to that            |
| 12 | correction?                                     |
| 13 | (No response.)                                  |
| 14 | COLONEL WEIR: Seeing or hearing no              |
| 15 | opposition, we'll move onto the next one.       |
| 16 | If we turn to Page Ten. And this is             |
| 17 |   |
| 18 | MS. GENTILE LONG: Steve, this is Jen            |
| 19 | Long from the Train. Can you hear me?           |
| 20 | COLONEL WEIR: Yes.                              |
| 21 | MS. GENTILE LONG: Sorry. I joined               |
| 22 | I just wanted to go back too alleged. I thought |
|    |   |

there was a discussion to revisit wording. 1 2 It occurs in that first sentence. Which is why I wanted to get to it. 3 4 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. We're going to 5 remove the word alleged. I think we had it in there, I think, 70 times. 6 So we're going to remove alleged. 7 8 MS. GENTILE LONG: Thanks so much. 9 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. The next issue 10 that we discussed yesterday or we talked about 11 and needed some decision by the Committee today, 12 was Page 10 through 13. The common fact patterns in which 13 reasonable -- which it was reasonable that 14 charges were not preferred for the penetrative 15 16 sexual assault offense. 17 Did -- the issue became that maybe it 18 was focused too much on the victim. And was 19 there a way to either -- to shorten the 20 paragraphs and just do a list of items that were 21 found that were consistent throughout? Or did we need to have this section at 22

all in the report? And so that's where we're at 1 2 for this decision point. SGT. MARKEY: And I had raised that 3 4 point. It's almost two pages. And in each one 5 of those paragraphs, I'm not disputing that that was the information and facts that we, during the 6 7 case file review, saw and documented. But, each paragraph has the optics of 8 9 starting out with the victim x, y, and z. The victim x, y, and z. 10 11 I think it may be more appropriate to 12 maybe take those five or six paragraphs and 13 create a shorter synopsis of the data that we 14 actually pulled from that. Which we can attribute to some of the factors that we saw in 15 16 the review pertaining to victims of these crimes. 17 I just -- I just think the length to 18 which it went to, was greater then I think was 19 necessary. 20 DR. MARKOWITZ: I would absolutely Mr. 21 Markey on that. I agree 100 percent on that. 22 I took a look at how CHAIR BASHFORD:

| 1  | you would do it. Frankly, I couldn't come up      |
|----|---|
| 2  | with any way of just sort of compressing it other |
| 3  | than the nearly half of the cases were            |
| 4  | declinations.                                     |
| 5  | I think even saying some of the data              |
| 6  | we've already seen about the numbers or the       |
| 7  | percentages of people that reported being         |
| 8  | impaired, again looks as though you're pointing   |
| 9  | the finger. Unless you can tie it into an         |
| 10 | which often results in the ability to provide     |
| 11 | detail or provide information to the              |
| 12 | investigators.                                    |
| 13 | But, I it's hard to I would                       |
| 14 | either take it out. Or just really, really leave  |
| 15 | it to the declination and maybe maybe             |
| 16 | impaired.   |
| 17 | DR. MARKOWITZ: Yeah. I agree. So,                 |
| 18 | I I don't know why I'm holding this down. I       |
| 19 | would actually be in favor of removing it all     |
| 20 | together.   |
| 21 | If we are going to keep it in, I think            |
| 22 | a very the brief synopsis that you're talking     |
|    |   |
| -  |   |

about would be appropriate. 1 2 It does feel -- it does feel like this is a lot. 3 The information about 4 COLONEL WEIR: 5 declination and impairment is covered in other sections of the data. So, to me it wouldn't make 6 sense just to have a paragraph about declination 7 8 and impairment in this section when it's already 9 covered, if that's all that's going to be in it. It would make sense to remove it 10 completely. And then that information would be 11 12 covered later on in other parts of the -- of 13 this. 14 Because -- you know, as the Staff reviewed the cases, we tried to come up with a 15 16 written picture of how these cases, the facts and situations behind the cases that led to some of 17 18 the results that happened. 19 And to give you guys -- to give the 20 Committee a better site picture of what was going 21 on. But I completely understand if. 22 You know, that was our attempt to make

sure that once reading this, you would 1 2 understand. Okay, I understand how we got to a no action taken on this case. 3 Except this is on -- this 4 DR. SPOHN: 5 section refers specifically to the cases in which the Case Review Working Group deemed the action 6 7 in the case reasonable. 8 Which is not covered in any other --9 was not covered in the data that was looked at thus far. 10 11 And so it seems to me that these 12 conclusions need to be included. But perhaps all 13 of the explanatory material, which is really sort 14 of pointing a finger at the victim, can be 15 removed. 16 And we can just state, 45 percent involved cases where the victim declined to 17 18 participate. Whatever the percentage is where 19 the victim was impaired as a result of drinking excessive amounts of alcohol. 20 21 And, you know, remove some of the editorializing. 22

|    | 20  |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: If the Committee                  |
| 2  | found it reasonable that charges were not         |
| 3  | preferred in the nearly half of the cases         |
| 4  | where the victim where the victim declined to     |
| 5  | go forward. Something like that?                  |
| 6  | But I think Dr. Spohn's right. That               |
| 7  | we are re we'll be doing something slightly       |
| 8  | different. We're explaining why we felt it was    |
| 9  | reasonably to work with this.                     |
| 10 | BGEN SCHWENK: I mean, the way I look              |
| 11 | at it when I reviewed them is, every case was     |
| 12 | different. You know, and so whether the           |
| 13 | Commander's decision, the initial disposition     |
| 14 | decision was reasonable or not, depended on       |
| 15 | whatever was in that case file.                   |
| 16 | And I either felt it was reasonable or            |
| 17 | unreasonable. So, then to me if we're going to    |
| 18 | say well, these are the kinds of cases that were  |
| 19 | reasonable when it's 90 something percent that we |
| 20 | determined were reasonable. And this small        |
| 21 | percentage over there becomes all but impossible. |
| 22 | And it it's almost like we're                     |
|    |   |
|    |   |

trying to take what factors correlate to a 1 2 preferral decision or a no action decision, and put it into our analysis of reasonableness. 3 The reasonableness one, like the first 4 5 Panel told us this morning, every case is different. And that's how I looked at it. 6 Ι think it's all --it's really hard to say to the 7 8 Staff, try to figure out 45 percent of the cases 9 that were, you know, impaired. I don't know what that means, 10 11 unreasonableness. Because I'm sure in the 12 unreasonableness there were impaired cases also. 13 You know, so I would just take it out. 14 And just talk about the reasonableness decision itself. And what we did. How we did it. 15 Here's 16 what we came up with. 17 And people are entitled to take it for 18 whatever they want to take it for. And then I 19 would save the -- trying to figure out what 20 factors in a given case might predict a given 21 outcome, preferral or no action or whatever. 22 Save that for when we've done 2055

cases and we have a bigger database to look at. 1 2 Those are my thoughts. That's a really good 3 DR. SPOHN: 4 point. And something I hadn't thought about. IS 5 that, I mean, 93 percent of these cases, we said that they were reasonable. 6 7 So really you're talking about the 8 fact patterns in all of the cases. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: So, if you were to 10 remove -- if you were to remove number one, both 11 one and bullet two. And then jump to the 12 discussion on 14. 13 COLONEL WEIR: Um-hum. So what --14 what we'll -- I think what we would do is remove 15 one, two, three, four, --16 CHAIR BASHFORD: The start. 17 COLONEL WEIR: Around start. 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: The bold one on ten. 19 And move everything --20 COLONEL WEIR: Yeah. There were five. 21 So it would be number one on Page 11. Number two 22 on Page 11, because that once again talks about.

And then we go to Page 12, that talks about 1 2 number three. Another fact pattern in common, when 3 the victim's description of the sexual encounter 4 5 does not meet the elements of penetrative sexual -- so once again, that would be --6 BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. We're talking 7 8 about -- go back to Page Ten. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Cross everything out underneath the charts. 10 11 BGEN SCHWENK: And then we have right 12 under the chart, bold number one. 13 COLONEL WEIR: Um-hum. BGEN SCHWENK: Kill all of bold number 14 one and bold number two. And that leaves us --15 16 that takes us to Page 14. That's D, discussion 17 which we'll agree to re-label as something else. 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yeah. 19 BGEN SCHWENK: And then do a discussion of how we did reasonableness. 20 21 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yeah. 22 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. That's the --

1 That was, I think, what BGEN SCHWENK: 2 you were saying, right Martha? DR. SPOHN: 3 Agreed. 4 COLONEL WEIR: Is everyone in favor of 5 making that change? ALL: Yes. 6 7 COLONEL WEIR: Hearing no noes, okay. 8 So that's what we'll do. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Great. As far as I'm 10 concerned, you can still call it -- you can still 11 call it discussion. 12 BGEN SCHWENK: And if it doesn't turn 13 out right, it's Meghan's fault. 14 (Laughter.) 15 SGT. MARKEY: Well, and Colonel, I 16 under -- I completely understand the principal. 17 This -- these are the fact patterns in the case 18 we saw that the Command also had to -- had looked 19 at to make those decisions. 20 And I think part of the Committee is 21 looking at what information did they have to make 22 those decisions? And with our professional

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 212

backgrounds, we looked at that same information. 1 2 And so we were able to compare their decision with the same information. And what --3 4 so the question I would ask is, well, what 5 information was there that supported that decision to prefer or not prefer. 6 7 And so, I agree with taking it out. 8 But I do think it's important information to 9 support the Committee and the Subcommittee's working team about how they were able to come to 10 11 those percentage of agreements with what the 12 Commander decided to do. So, I don't know if that would be in 13 14 an appendix. Or how that might be described. COLONEL WEIR: I think that if we 15 16 looked at the -- and we discuss it a little bit 17 in the methodology and when we discuss the 21 18 page data information checklist, and the 255 data 19 points that, you know, we could say something 20 about it. 21 And that's what we base -- that's what 22 the Committee and the Staff based their

reasonable decision on, were those data points. 1 2 I'm not sure if that gets you to where you. CHAIR BASHFORD: And I'm not sure we 3 4 based on our decision though on the data points. 5 Because we collected a lot of data points. Aqe, 6 7 BGEN SCHWENK: That aren't really 8 relevant. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: That aren't really relevant to the overall. I think it's really we 10 11 looked at the overall investigative file only if 12 it was available to us. 13 And just used our judgement to come 14 down as a reasonable or unreasonable, no matter what the underlying decision -- Command decision 15 16 had been. 17 But, I think if you link it to the 18 data points, you're in a morass. 19 SGT. MARKEY: And I think that the --I think the idea that the Commander looked at 20 21 those same investigative files to make the same decision. 22

214

|    | 21  |
|----|---|
| 1  | So we were, in theory, we were looking            |
| 2  | at all the entire case file with the same         |
| 3  | information. And so our conclusions in the        |
| 4  | majority of the cases agreed with the Commander's |
| 5  | conclusion, based on some commonality factors.    |
| 6  | So the question is, whether we want to            |
| 7  | include those types of commonalities of how these |
| 8  | cases were presented and the information in the   |
| 9  | files.  |
| 10 | And so I just think if the question               |
| 11 | would come up, well, what did you base your       |
| 12 | decision to agree with the Commander on? And      |
| 13 | what were some of the things that were common     |
| 14 | between the Commander's decision and your         |
| 15 | decision?   |
| 16 | I think that would be the only the                |
| 17 | only issue that might come up questioning the     |
| 18 | Committee's conclusions as well.                  |
| 19 | But, I just think the way it's                    |
| 20 | documented now, is something that we all agree    |
| 21 | probably should not be at this section. So,       |
| 22 | maybe table and think about how we might else     |
|    |   |

if that -- if that seems to be an issue of 1 2 concern. I mean, it seems to me 3 BGEN SCHWENK: that's worth talking about in the CRWG when we 4 5 get together the next time. Because we're --6 that issue's going to come up bigger when we do 7 next year's report and we have all 2055 cases 8 that we're talking about. 9 So, why don't we take a shot at the CRWG of talking about it. And see how we might 10 11 describe it in the interim period. 12 So that when we come back in October 13 and get the briefing from Dr. Wells on all the 14 numbers, the CRWG can also say, and we've been 15 thinking about how to -- how to address this. 16 And here are our thoughts. Whatever they maybe 17 at that point. 18 Is that okay? 19 SGT. MARKEY: Yeah. That's fine with 20 me. 21 **BGEN SCHWENK:** Okay. 22 COLONEL WEIR: So as it stands right

now, we're deleting and we're picking up with
 discussion.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Correct. 3 4 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. In the discussion section, does the Committee want to 5 6 discuss civilian no prosecution rates? Or make 7 any comments in the report on the 80 percent of 8 cases in which no charges were preferred for the 9 penetrative sexual assault offense? 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm not -- I'm not sure we have enough civilian data to make that --11 12 to make that determination. 13 I know what I suspect. But that's not 14 -- shouldn't go into a report. COLONEL WEIR: And I would say that 15 16 making it, like Dr. -- or is that Doctor/General, 17 General Schwenk mentioned --18 BGEN SCHWENK: I'm not smart enough to 19 be both. 20 COLONEL WEIR: That might be a better 21 -- the better opportunity to make comments on 22 that 80 percent would be after we've done the

1 2000 cases. And we've got more data. 2 And so it might be premature to add anything into the report at this point based upon 3 4 the size. 5 DR. SPOHN: I also don't think that we know what decision in the civilian world to 6 7 compare it to. 8 CHAIR BASHFORD: Right. 9 COLONEL WEIR: Right. I mean, is it arrest? 10 DR. SPOHN: It's probably not presentation to the prosecutor 11 12 for a filing decision. 13 So, what would be the comparable point 14 of comparison? I don't think there --15 I think yesterday we COLONEL WEIR: 16 discussed it's tough to compare the Military 17 system to the civilian system. Because we 18 investigate every single allegation of sexual 19 assault. 20 And it goes up for a Command decision. 21 We don't necessarily know how all those civilian 22 jurisdictions work.

|    | 219  |
|----|--|
| 1  | And so trying someone could say oh,              |
| 2  | well they don't do 80 percent either. So you're  |
| 3  | just as bad as the civilians.                    |
| 4  | And so, that's not our intent.                   |
| 5  | Because I think we do a much better job since    |
| 6  | every single sexual assault case gets            |
| 7  | investigated and it goes to a lawyer for review. |
| 8  | And then to a Commander for final decision.      |
| 9  | And that it's not the same correlation           |
| 10 | in the civilian world. A detective can't stop an |
| 11 | investigation and it never makes its way to a    |
| 12 | District Attorney for a decision.                |
| 13 | And so   |
| 14 | BGEN SCHWENK: But we haven't looked              |
| 15 | at the issue. We haven't done any research. We   |
| 16 | just shouldn't address it.                       |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: Okay.                              |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: So we should delete              |
| 19 | that it we should delete the bolded, the         |
| 20 | yellowed paragraph. I believe the paragraph      |
| 21 | right above it as well.                          |
| 22 | COLONEL WEIR: Yes. On page 15, the               |
|    |  |
|    |  |

Staff suggested revising Finding onto state, on 1 2 the basis of its review of 165 Military investigative cases, the DAC-IPAD finds that 3 4 Commander's disposition of penetrative sexual 5 assault complaints are reasonable in a percentage 6 of cases. And if we can -- the proposed Finding 7 8 is on the screen. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Just remind me why it's still XX? 10 11 Well, it was 93. BGEN SCHWENK: But 12 there were five cases that might have flipped. 13 Or two cases that might have flipped. It was 90 -- it was the 14 COLONEL WEIR: 15 90 -- it was 93 percent of cases. And then we 16 discovered because of due diligence of this great 17 Staff I have, that one of those cases was mis-18 binned as a penetrative sexual assault case. And 19 it wasn't. 20 And the other case is we discovered, 21 is pending court martial. So, that --22 BGEN SCHWENK: It was down as a no

221

action.

1

| 2  | COLONEL WEIR: Right. And so they've              |
|----|--|
| 3  | taken action on that case. So the percentage is  |
| 4  | going to be somewhere in the neighborhood of 94. |
| 5  | So the percent's not really changing.            |
| 6  | But those cases aren't cases that should be      |
| 7  | looked at as part of the sample.                 |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Got it.                          |
| 9  | BGEN SCHWENK: I would say what the               |
| 10 | what the CRWG is consistently, we've tried       |
| 11 | consistently to say is, for the preamble         |
| 12 | beginning clause there, based solely on its      |
| 13 | review of Military Law Enforcement and           |
| 14 | investigative case files.                        |
| 15 | Because that's all we had is the Mil             |
| 16 | the criminal investigative case file. The        |
| 17 | Commander had that. But the Commander might have |
| 18 | had other stuff we don't have, because it's not  |
| 19 | in the file.                                     |
| 20 | So we've always said based solely on             |
| 21 | its review of. So, I would recommend we change   |
| 22 | that. So it tries to make that clear.            |
|    |  |

(202) 234-4433

|    | 2:  |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: The other thing, and              |
| 2  | it may be nitpicking, but the DAC-IPAD didn't do  |
| 3  | the review. The Case Review Working Group is an   |
| 4  | arm of the DAC-IPAD.                              |
| 5  | I don't know if you want if it's                  |
| 6  | too wordy to say the DAC-IPAD working through the |
| 7  | Case Review Working Group found.                  |
| 8  | BGEN SCHWENK: Congress did that on                |
| 9  | the collateral misconduct. The Secretary of       |
| 10 | Defense acting through the DAC-IPAD. We can do    |
| 11 | the same thing here.                              |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I just I want                     |
| 13 | I don't want it to get so wordy that it loses its |
| 14 | loses its meaning.                                |
| 15 | DR. SPOHN: Well, could we say based               |
| 16 | solely on the case review, Working Group's review |
| 17 | of Military Law Enforcement investigative files,  |
| 18 | the DAC-IPAD finds?                               |
| 19 | HON. GRIMM: It's the finding of the               |
| 20 | whole Committee.                                  |
| 21 | DR. SPOHN: Right. So you will                     |
| 22 | recalculate that figure?                          |
|    |   |
|    |   |

1 COLONEL WEIR: Right. 2 DR. SPOHN: And include the appropriate figure in the final report. 3 4 MS. CARSON: Can I ask a question of 5 clarification? Could you take out solely? Or is that still in? 6 7 BGEN SCHWENK: It's got to be in, I 8 I think it's got to be -- my vote is, think. 9 keep it in. 10 MS. CARSON: Do you want to add --11 BGEN SCHWENK: Because that's all we 12 had. MS. CARSON: That other documents 13 reviewed from the DAC-IPAD court-martial database 14 15 and individual documents requested from the MCIO? 16 BGEN SCHWENK: What was that? 17 MS. CARSON: Those were documents that 18 were not in the case file as provided to us. 19 MS. TAGERT: So are these for the 20 preferred cases where we --21 BGEN SCHWENK: Oh, where you found other stuff later on. 22 Yeah.

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 1  | MS. TAGERT: And that's an addition               |
| 2  | for accuracy purposes. We reached back to the    |
| 3  | Services to provide us with command disposition  |
| 4  | reports that were not necessarily in the         |
| 5  | investigative case file.                         |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: I mean, clearly                    |
| 7  | anything that came in time after the initial     |
| 8  | disposition decision didn't factor into a        |
| 9  | reasonableness determination of that decision.   |
| 10 | So, it would have to be the only                 |
| 11 | thing that we would have had extraneous would be |
| 12 | a document that said the Commander made this     |
| 13 | initial disposition decision, and here's why.    |
| 14 | Right?   |
| 15 | Is that the only document we're really           |
| 16 | talking about? That wasn't in the criminal       |
| 17 | investigative case file that would have been     |
| 18 | relevant.  |
| 19 | MS. TAGERT: As well as any preferred             |
| 20 | document that the Data Group uses. So, as the    |
| 21 | SJA assigns the charge sheet                     |
| 22 | BGEN SCHWENK: But see the SJA device             |
|    |  |
|    |  |

comes way down the path. If you start -- if any 1 2 of us use that as a basis for whether something was reasonable or not, then we're not -- then 3 4 we're talking about something that happened later 5 on. Um-hum. 6 MS. TAGERT: Why would we be looking 7 BGEN SCHWENK: 8 at something that happened months after the 9 preferral decision that we're supposed to be reviewing? 10 11 MS. TAGERT: We looked at -- people 12 took it into account when making a decision of whether or not to -- those -- there were 13 14 additional doc -- there were additional questions 15 that relate to cases that actually were 16 preferred. 17 BGEN SCHWENK: Right. That has to do 18 with the data stuff that, you know, the data 19 points that we had. But it doesn't have to do 20 with the reasonableness decision, because that 21 was based on at the time the Commander made the 22 decision for preferral or no action.

| 1  | 22  |
|----|---|
| 1  | Documents relevant to that are those              |
| 2  | that date that date or before. Afterwards, I      |
| 3  | don't know.                                       |
| 4  | I guess we'll need I don't know.                  |
| 5  | COLONEL WEIR: So the wording help                 |
| 6  | me out here. Based solely on its review of        |
| 7  | Military Law Enforcement investigative case       |
| 8  | files, the DAC-IPAD finds the Commander's         |
| 9  | dispositions of penetrative sexual assault        |
| 10 | allegations are reasonable in a percentage of the |
| 11 | cases, which will somewhere be 94 percent.        |
| 12 | Now, that language was now did we                 |
| 13 | want to incorporate or did you want to            |
| 14 | incorporate the Case Review Working Group or the  |
| 15 | DAC-IPAD and then somehow get Case Review?        |
| 16 | I mean, it's not going to be too                  |
| 17 | wordy. And it's not going to lose its do we       |
| 18 | want to signify that it was the Case Review       |
| 19 | Working Group that reviewed those cases?          |
| 20 | DR. SPOHN: And the Staff.                         |
| 21 | COLONEL WEIR: Yeah. And the Staff                 |
| 22 | and   |
|    |   |

1 CHAIR BASHFORD: Why don't we just say 2 based on the review? I think if we say based solely on this, it sounds weaselly. 3 4 HON. GRIMM: So, if we're saying it's 5 based upon that review, then it's pretty clear what it's based on. 6 COLONEL WEIR: 7 Right. 8 HON. GRIMM: So, that probably 9 communicates the message. COLONEL WEIR: Yeah. That's fine with 10 11 me. 12 HON. GRIMM: Because clearly he has 13 the means to lobby this. 14 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. So, based on the 15 review of Military Law Enforcement to investigate 16 the case files, the DAC-IPAD finds that 17 Commander's dispositions of penetrative sexual 18 assault allegations are reasonable in a 19 percentage of the cases. 20 CHAIR BASHFORD: Complaints. 21 DR. SPOHN: Complaints, um-hum. 22 BGEN SCHWENK: Allegations goes to

complaints. 1 2 COLONEL WEIR: Oh, yes. Yes, I'm 3 sorry. BGEN SCHWENK: And dispensed with. 4 5 You see you're too old, you're back to 6 allegations and then print. We've gone to a new 7 world on that. 8 COLONEL WEIR: Is there --9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further -- any further discussion? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: I would ask the 13 Committee to vote Finding One as amended. 14 BGEN SCHWENK: Second. 15 COLONEL WEIR: Yeah. And does anyone 16 need me to read it as I think I understand it 17 now? 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: We can vote. 19 COLONEL WEIR: Are we good? 20 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. It's been moved and seconded. All in favor? 21 22 (Chorus of ayes.)

1 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any opposed? 2 (No response.) CHAIR BASHFORD: 3 It passes. There was 4 one other thing that I just wanted to draw to 5 your attention to that we changed yesterday, 6 footnote 144 on 15. 7 Where it says, this report will not 8 address the race or ethnicity. We're going to 9 change that to cannot. Because we simply don't have the data. 10 Will not sound like it's voluntary. 11 12 BGEN SCHWENK: Right. Um-hum. The Staff had a -- this 13 COLONEL WEIR: 14 is on Page 15 as well. It was a Finding Number 15 Two. 16 And this is just a recommendation. 17 Staff suggests adding Finding Two, which would 18 state on the basis of its review of 165 Military 19 investigative cases, the Committee did not 20 identify any systematic concerns with the 21 Commanders exercising their discretion when 22 disposing of penetrative sexual assault cases.

| 1  | Now what we mean by that is at this               |
|----|---|
| 2  | juncture, looking at 165                          |
| 3  | BGEN SCHWENK: It's on the board up                |
| 4  | there if you want to look at it.                  |
| 5  | DR. SPOHN: That's not it.                         |
| 6  | COLONEL WEIR: No.                                 |
| 7  | BGEN SCHWENK: Oh, that's not it? Oh,              |
| 8  | okay. It's not on the board up there.             |
| 9  | DR. SPOHN: Could you read it again?               |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: On the basis of its                 |
| 11 | review or based on the review of 165 Military     |
| 12 | investigative cases, the Committee did not        |
| 13 | identify any systematic concerns with Commanders  |
| 14 | exercise of their discretion when disposing of    |
| 15 | penetrative sexual assault cases.                 |
| 16 | So the thought process behind that is,            |
| 17 | we've looked at 165 cases, and so far, based upon |
| 18 | that sample, the Committee has not has not        |
| 19 | looked, or have not seen any problems with        |
| 20 | Commanders making these decisions.                |
| 21 | DR. SPOHN: How is that different from             |
| 22 | the Finding One?                                  |
|    |   |

1 Yeah. It's like BGEN SCHWENK: 2 written for Marines, you know. (Laughter.) 3 It's obvious in Number 4 BGEN SCHWENK: 5 But just to be sure you didn't miss it, you One. picked it up in this one. 6 7 COLONEL WEIR: I mean, it's very 8 similar. 9 BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. I don't think it's needed. 10 11 DR. SPOHN: I don't think it's needed. 12 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. 13 DR. SPOHN: Yes. 14 COLONEL WEIR: Great. Finding Two. 15 BGEN SCHWENK: Page? Page 25. If 16 that's the right Finding Two. Yes. 17 COLONEL WEIR: 18 BGEN SCHWENK: Oh, okay. 19 Military Investigators COLONEL WEIR: 20 testify that they are required to follow a 21 checklist of investigative actions regardless of 22 the facts of a particular case. And that they

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

have little discretion to determine which 1 2 investigative actions provide value in a case. That was some of the testimony that 3 ties their investigation to a checklist. I think 4 that we have noted in the review of cases that 5 you will see where an Investigator has gone out 6 to a hotel where an alleged sexual assault 7 occurred, or a compliant of a sexual assault 8 9 occurred five years ago. 10 And they go out to the hotel that's been renovated, remodeled, the name has changed, 11 12 and they take pictures of the room. That's kind 13 of one of the, you know, that's a hypothetical of 14 some of the stuff that's -- that kind of goes to this finding. 15 16 And this Investigator discretion, I 17 think from the testimony that we've heard from 18 investigators that the Committee feels that they 19 ought to have, I think Chair Bashford, you 20 mentioned, you know, in one of your comments 21 that, you know, they're trained Investigators. Just turn them loose and let them 22

investigate a case. And not be beholden to a 1 2 checklist that makes little or no sense. And a lot of it's not even relevant or 3 admissible in a court martial. 4 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: Not every step of that checklist needs to be followed in every 6 7 particular case, I think is what we're getting at 8 here. 9 HON. GRIMM: Right. 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: And that they should 11 be able to determine which of these investigative 12 steps are appropriate under this particular case. 13 HON. GRIMM: Yes. A checklist may be 14 very helpful. But, in a certain -- it may suggest certain things that could be done that 15 16 make sense in one case but not another. 17 But to suggest that they don't need a 18 checklist and every Investigator should sort of 19 just let their own investigative GPS tell them 20 how to go, doesn't seem like a wise thing to do. 21 HON. WALTON: And the concern with a checklist also is you don't want to give the 22

1 impression that all you need to do is what's on 2 the checklist. Right. So it can be 3 HON. GRIMM: But it should not be either considered 4 useful. 5 all that should be done, or everything on it 6 should be done in every case. 7 I think that's the point that we were 8 getting. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Well, what if we added in Finding Two, they have little discretion 10 11 to determine which investigative actions in the 12 checklist. So it's clear we're not saying 13 jettison the checklist. 14 HON. GRIMM: Or actions not on the 15 checklist. Which is lots of stuff. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further 17 discussion of Finding Two? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: As amended then I 20 propose -- put it to a vote. Second? 21 BGEN SCHWENK: Second. 22 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?

| Ð |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| 9 |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

|    | 23  |
|----|---|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: You know, if it doesn't             |
| 2  | add any value to the investigation, don't spend   |
| 3  | the time. So I'd say Three's redundant with Two.  |
| 4  | DR. MARKOWITZ: Right. Because Three               |
| 5  | does just seem to restate without any             |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I think the addition,             |
| 7  | but I don't know if it needs it, is               |
| 8  | DR. MARKOWITZ: without any                        |
| 9  | qualification.                                    |
| 10 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Is the coworkers and              |
| 11 | the characters                                    |
| 12 | DR. MARKOWITZ: Right.                             |
| 13 | CHAIR BASHFORD: And the family and                |
| 14 | all of that. But, I think it's I agree, I         |
| 15 | think it's stated in Finding Two.                 |
| 16 | DR. MARKOWITZ: Yeah.                              |
| 17 | MS. GENTILE LONG: Chair Bashford,                 |
| 18 | this is Jennifer Long. Do you think though,       |
| 19 | because I remember the things directly related to |
| 20 | those pages of interviews basically getting at    |
| 21 | the character of the victim in many cases.        |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Uh-huh.                           |
|    |   |

| 1  | MS. GENTILE LONG: Or versus the                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | accused. And I'm wondering if the basis to      |
| 3  | amend, to bring that up. So that it is          |
| 4  | represented or reflected.                       |
| 5  | If you're going to remove Finding               |
| 6  | Three.  |
| 7  | COLONEL WEIR: I what Ms. Long is                |
| 8  | talking about, is if you remember in some of    |
| 9  | these investigations that the Investigat the    |
| 10 | suspect or subject of the investigation is 20   |
| 11 | years old.                                      |
| 12 | The Investigator goes back and finds            |
| 13 | his high school girlfriend that he took to the  |
| 14 | prom, and asked the question, is he a good guy? |
| 15 | Is he a bad guy? Did you did he ever sexually   |
| 16 | assault you?                                    |
| 17 | And you see that going through. Or              |
| 18 | the question is to a fellow Airmen or Soldiers, |
| 19 | how is so and so when she's drinking? How is he |
| 20 | when he's drinking?                             |
| 21 | More character issue questions that             |
| 22 | aren't relevant. You're not going to be able to |
|    |   |

get that in most cases, admitted into court
 during the trial.

And what it seems to do is it -- you 3 have a victim set of friends and then you have 4 5 the accused or the suspect set of friends that are now giving opposite opinions on what kind of 6 individual this person is. 7 8 HON. GRIMM: Well, wait a minute. 9 That's just not accurate. Because there are 10 instances when the general prohibition against 11 character evidence does not apply. 12 And so I think it -- it is -- we 13 should be concerned about trying to zero in, in a 14 very narrow sense on some aspect of an 15 investigation. 16 It's up to the po -- it's up to JAG to decide whether it's relevant and whether it's 17 18 going to be admitted or not. 19 While in general character evidence is

not allowed in, you have a significant number of exceptions. And they include, in a criminal case, defendant is allowed to introduce pertinent

character evidence of the defendant and in some 1 2 instances, with certain restrictions under the Rape Shield Rule, the victim. 3 4 So to suggest that it's improper for 5 them to try to find out through investigation 6 that maybe relevant for example, to consent, I think is a dangerous thing to suggest. 7 8 COLONEL WEIR: So I think what the 9 discussion is, do we need Finding Three? I would say we don't. 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: 11 I think we covered it in HON. GRIMM: the first one about the checklist is helpful, but 12 13 not wholly written. And there are some things 14 that are not on the checklist you might want to 15 do. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: So, is everybody in 17 agreement that we'll ditch Finding Number Three? 18 (Chorus of yeses.) 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yes. Okay. It's 20 gone. 21 COLONEL WEIR: All right. Finding 22 Four.

| 1  | DR. SPOHN: Would it be possible to              |
|----|---|
| 2  | remove the word appropriately?                  |
| 3  | DR. MARKOWITZ: Which word?                      |
| 4  | DR. SPOHN: Appropriately.                       |
| 5  | HON. GRIMM: Under Four, the first               |
| 6  | line.   |
| 7  | DR. MARKOWITZ: Appropriately.                   |
| 8  | COLONEL WEIR: Anyone object to the              |
| 9  | removal of the word appropriately?              |
| 10 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I understand what               |
| 11 | you're saying, because we found that the vast   |
| 12 | majority of the decisions were appropriate. But |
| 13 | it just it does sort of jump out there a        |
| 14 | little bit.                                     |
| 15 | DR. SPOHN: Like a value judgement,              |
| 16 | so.   |
| 17 | HON. GRIMM: Right. A                            |
| 18 | characterization.                               |
| 19 | DR. SPOHN: Um-hum.                              |
| 20 | COLONEL WEIR: No objection to                   |
| 21 | appropriately being removed.                    |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: With that removal               |
|    |   |

|    | 24   |
|----|--|
| 1  | then, I move to find Finding Four, as revised,   |
| 2  | adopted.   |
| 3  | HON. GRIMM: Second.                              |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?                    |
| 5  | (Chorus of ayes.)                                |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Anyone opposed?                  |
| 7  | (No response.)                                   |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Next.                            |
| 9  | COLONEL WEIR: The assessment that the            |
| 10 | Staff has, with your concurrence, the Committee  |
| 11 | will continue to monitor the important and       |
| 12 | problematic issues identified with Investigator  |
| 13 | discretion.                                      |
| 14 | I think that goes to the point that              |
| 15 | we're going to be looking at a lot more cases.   |
| 16 | And we'll be able to come back at the end of     |
| 17 | those cases with a better overall understanding  |
| 18 | with two thousand cases.                         |
| 19 | MS. GALLAGHER: And, if I may add, one            |
| 20 | of the things that we were talking about with    |
| 21 | regards to the assessment, was whether or not to |
| 22 | add in the lengthy investigation as something    |
|    |  |

that we could continue to monitor. 1 2 Because it's not -- the findings that it's not otherwise addressed as an assessment. 3 I think if we 4 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yeah. 5 added and the continued -- after discretion say, and the length of investigations. 6 HON. GRIMM: Do we need important and 7 8 problematic? I mean, we're just saying that 9 we're going to continue --10 MS. GALLAGHER: Yeah. 11 HON. GRIMM: We're going to continue 12 to monitor these issues. 13 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. Any disagreement 14 on with removing or any -- removing the word 15 problematic? 16 BGEN SCHWENK: And important. We 17 could just say, the Committee will continue to 18 monitor these issues. You know, if that's what 19 we're going to do. 20 DR. MARKOWITZ: Yep. BGEN SCHWENK: And it's for all 21 22 conditions.

| 1  | 24   |
|----|--|
| 1  | DR. MARKOWITZ: Yes.                              |
| 2  | BGEN SCHWENK: All the issues and the             |
| 3  | findings.  |
| 4  | COLONEL WEIR: So, I what I have                  |
| 5  | now is, the Committee will continue to monitor   |
| 6  | the issues identified                            |
| 7  | CHAIR BASHFORD: These issues.                    |
| 8  | COLONEL WEIR: These issues?                      |
| 9  | DR. MARKOWITZ: These issues, period.             |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: These issues. Okay.                |
| 11 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Period. Moving on.               |
| 12 | COLONEL WEIR: And with that, revised             |
| 13 | Finding Five                                     |
| 14 | BGEN SCHWENK: Page 30. Page 30.                  |
| 15 | COLONEL WEIR: It is revised, accurate            |
| 16 | and uniform documentation of a Commander's       |
| 17 | disposition decision of a Commander's            |
| 18 | disposition decision, the reasons for the        |
| 19 | decision, and any disciplinary action taken for  |
| 20 | alleged violations of the Uniform Code of        |
| 21 | Military Justice, is essential to ensure that    |
| 22 | Military criminal investigative agencies provide |
|    |  |

accurate and timely reports of crime data to 1 2 federal law enforcement agencies and databases. CHAIR BASHFORD: Any discussion? 3 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: If there's nothing to 6 discuss on this, then I would move that Finding 7 Five as revised, be adopted by the DAC-IPAD. 8 HON. GRIMM: Second. CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 9 10 (Chorus of ayes.) 11 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any opposed? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIR BASHFORD: Moving on. 14 COLONEL WEIR: Finding Six. Section 15 535 of the Fiscal Year 19 National Defense 16 Authorization Act requires the Secretary of 17 Defense to establish a Uniform Command Action 18 Form applicable across the Armed Forces for 19 reporting the final disposition of cases of sexual assault in which one, the alleged offender 20 21 is a member of the Armed Forces, and two, the 22 victim files an unrestricted report of the

alleged assault. 1 2 CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm not sure what the 3 purpose of that is. That's just --4 COLONEL WEIR: That's just a reading 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: That's just saying 6 7 what the law is. Are we teeing up for something 8 else? Or --9 That's a good question. HON. GRIMM: 10 COLONEL WEIR: No. That's just a restatement of the law and the requirement. 11 12 HON. GRIMM: But what if you intend --13 (Simultaneous speaking.) 14 HON. GRIMM: Definitely could find something if the law were reported. Now, it 15 16 could be found that the law requires that if it's 17 not being done, then that's fine. 18 BGEN SCHWENK: I think it's a setup 19 for Recommendation One. Because Recommendation One talks about Section 535 of the FY19 NDAA. 20 21 So the Finding sort of says what that 22 is. And you could just make it a footnote to

Recommendation One I suppose. 1 2 But I think that must be the connection of why it's there. 3 4 DR. SPOHN: Or is that a finding that 5 there is no --Right. 6 HON. GRIMM: DR. SPOHN: At the current time there 7 8 is no uniform --9 HON. GRIMM: Right. It's required, 10 but it's not yet in existence. 11 DR. MARKOWITZ: Right. I think that's our -- I think that's our finding. 12 HON. GRIMM: That is a relevant 13 14 finding. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: So is our Finding 16 despite this section, which requires this. It's 17 not being carried on in practice? 18 DR. SPOHN: That the form does not 19 exist. 20 HON. GRIMM: But we could just start 21 off with although. And then, you know, this is 22 not being done. Or this currently is not being

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 done. 2 DR. SPOHN: I mean, we're just beginning. We just started really. 3 4 BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. So, I mean, this 5 thing's been in the law for a couple of months. DR. MARKOWITZ: Right. We're only 6 7 just starting, FY19 with it. 8 BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. I mean --9 CHAIR BASHFORD: That seems a little unfair. 10 That's piling on. 11 BGEN SCHWENK: 12 DR. MARKOWITZ: Yes. So that might be 13 mean. 14 BGEN SCHWENK: So that's why -- that's 15 why I think it just goes to Recommendation One 16 explaining it. 17 DR. MARKOWITZ: Yeah. 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: So no Finding Six? 19 DR. MARKOWITZ: No. 20 BGEN SCHWENK: You can make it a 21 footnote to Recommendation One so people know 22 what we're talking about in Recommendation One.

| 1       HON. GRIMM: Right.         2       CHAIR BASHFORD: Finding Set         3       COLONEL WEIR: The Command         4       Action Reports that are found in invest         5       files are often unclear, incomplete, in         6       and inconsistent within and across the         7       BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w         8       feel about them?         9       (Laughter.)         10       BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add contained across         11       there? |             |
|--|-------------|
| 3 COLONEL WEIR: The Command<br>4 Action Reports that are found in invest<br>5 files are often unclear, incomplete, in<br>6 and inconsistent within and across the<br>7 BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w<br>8 feel about them?<br>9 (Laughter.)<br>10 BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add c  |             |
| <ul> <li>Action Reports that are found in invest<br/>files are often unclear, incomplete, in</li> <li>and inconsistent within and across the<br/>BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w</li> <li>feel about them?</li> <li>(Laughter.)</li> <li>BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add of</li> </ul>   | ven.        |
| <ul> <li>files are often unclear, incomplete, in</li> <li>and inconsistent within and across the</li> <li>BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w</li> <li>feel about them?</li> <li>(Laughter.)</li> <li>BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add of</li> </ul>  | Disposition |
| <pre>6 and inconsistent within and across the<br/>7 BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w<br/>8 feel about them?<br/>9 (Laughter.)<br/>10 BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add of</pre>   | igative     |
| 7       BGEN SCHWENK: But how do w         8       feel about them?         9       (Laughter.)         10       BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add of   | accurate,   |
| <pre>8 feel about them? 9 (Laughter.) 10 BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add of</pre>   | Services.   |
| 9 (Laughter.)<br>10 BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add c   | e really    |
| 10 BGEN SCHWENK: Can we add c  |             |
|  |             |
| 11 there?  | ne more in  |
|  |             |
| 12 CHIEF MCKINLEY: Can we add  | that we     |
| 13 gave you a recommendation of 140A?  |             |
| 14 DR. MARKOWITZ: Yeah.  |             |
| 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: Oh, we're   | going to    |
| 16 get to that. Any discussion on Finding  | Seven?      |
| 17 (No response.)  |             |
| 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: I would mo  | ve that it  |
| 19 be adopted by the Committee.  |             |
| 20 DR. MARKOWITZ: Second.  |             |
| 21 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in fav  | or?         |
| 22 (Chorus of ayes.)   |             |
|  |             |

1 CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? 2 (No response.) It's adopted. 3 CHAIR BASHFORD: Moving 4 on. 5 COLONEL WEIR: Finding Eight, Command 6 Disposition Action Reports that are found in 7 investigative files include terminology 8 inconsistent with MCIO federal database reporting requirements. 9 To meet these requirements Investigators must therefore interpret the terms 10 11 used leading to inconsistent results. 12 And I think what this Finding goes 13 too, is that the Disposition Form is either not 14 provided, or it's inconsistent with the 15 terminology. So, the MCIOs are led to have to 16 come up with their own interpretation of that and enter it into the databases. 17 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: Just remind me, is 19 MCIO a generic term for pros all Services? 20 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. It's Military 21 Criminal Investigation Organization. 22 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay.

| ĺ  | 1   |
|----|---|
| 1  | HON. GRIMM: So, when we say to meet               |
| 2  | these requirements, are we talking about the      |
| 3  | reporting requirements for the MCIO?              |
| 4  | COLONEL WEIR: Yes.                                |
| 5  | SGT. MARKEY: So, it's leading to                  |
| 6  | inconsistent reporting as opposed to inconsistent |
| 7  | results? Or both?                                 |
| 8  | Because really what we're talking                 |
| 9  | about is the data that's being input into MCIO as |
| 10 | a part of the requirement, is being is            |
| 11 | difficult because of the terminology that's being |
| 12 | provided to them.                                 |
| 13 | COLONEL WEIR: And I think if you                  |
| 14 | recall back to Dr. Wells' slide where it talked   |
| 15 | about the different case closed unfounded,        |
| 16 | SGT. MARKEY: Um-hum.                              |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: And you'll see what a               |
| 18 | Commander says. And then you go across what the   |
| 19 | MCIO, why are those two different?                |
| 20 | If it's victim declined, it should be             |
| 21 | victim declined, not unfounded. So that's kind    |
| 22 | of what that finding is looking at.               |
|    |   |

|    | 2:  |
|----|---|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. So I would say            |
| 2  | that instead of inconsistent, inaccurate. I   |
| 3  | mean, isn't that the problem?                 |
| 4  | Because they're interpreting and they         |
| 5  | do it inaccurately. And it's and I agree with |
| 6  | you, it's not the results. It's the database  |
| 7  | reporting requi reporting that's inaccurate   |
| 8  | with what the MCIO has.                       |
| 9  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Inconsistent and              |
| 10 | inaccurate.                                   |
| 11 | BGEN SCHWENK: Uh, it's just                   |
| 12 | inaccurate. And then we could say database    |
| 13 | reporting to refer back to it.                |
| 14 | SGT. MARKEY: Why don't we also use            |
| 15 | unclear, incomplete just like we did          |
| 16 | (Laughter.)                                   |
| 17 | BGEN SCHWENK: Lions and tigers and            |
| 18 | bears.  |
| 19 | HON. GRIMM: Would it be possible to           |
| 20 | add the word reporting before the word        |
| 21 | requirement in the next third to the last?    |
| 22 | These reporting requirements.                 |
|    |   |

| I  | 2  |
|----|--|
| 1  | Because if you're not really familiar            |
| 2  | with what MCIO terms, it's the reporting         |
| 3  | requirements that are in order to meet the       |
| 4  | reporting requirements they're having to         |
| 5  | interpolate these things. And then that's what's |
| 6  | being the problem.                               |
| 7  | COLONEL WEIR: So, the way I think you            |
| 8  | are probably going to have me to read this,      |
| 9  | Command Disposition Action Form reports that are |
| 10 | found in the investigative files include         |
| 11 | terminology inconsistent with Military Criminal  |
| 12 | Investigation Organization federal database      |
| 13 | reporting requirements. To meet these reporting  |
| 14 | requirements, Investigators must therefore       |
| 15 | interpret the terms used leading to inconsistent |
| 16 | and inaccurate results.                          |
| 17 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Um-hum.                          |
| 18 | BGEN SCHWENK: I'd say database                   |
| 19 | reporting instead of results.                    |
| 20 | COLONEL WEIR: Inaccurate database                |
| 21 | reporting. Got it.                               |
| 22 | BGEN SCHWENK: It's their database                |
|    |  |
|    |  |

reporting that gets screwed up. 1 2 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yeah. Any further discussion on Finding Eight as revised? 3 4 (No response.) CHAIR BASHFORD: Then I move that the 5 DAC-IPAD adopt. 6 7 DR. MARKOWITZ: Second. 8 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 9 (Chorus of ayes.) 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: All right. 13 COLONEL WEIR: Finding Nine, for 14 MCIOs, the primary purpose of the Command 15 Disposition Action Report is to enable them to 16 officially close their cases and make required 17 federal reports to DIBRS and NCIC. 18 BGEN SCHWENK: I don't know why that's 19 But I don't have any objection either. needed. 20 CHAIR BASHFORD: Well, I think it's 21 accurate. I don't think they can close their 22 investigation until they get the report back.

1 Right? 2 COLONEL WEIR: That's right. CHAIR BASHFORD: Hence all these still 3 waiting, still waiting, still waiting. 4 Any 5 discussion on Finding Nine? 6 (No response.) CHAIR BASHFORD: I move that it be 7 8 adopted by the DAC-IPAD. 9 COLONEL WEIR: Mr. Markey I think --10 CHAIR BASHFORD: Oh, sorry. 11 So the finding would be SGT. MARKEY: that MCIOs are not -- the finding is the primary 12 purpose at this point. The finding of the MCIOs 13 are unable to close their cases. 14 15 I'm trying to figure out if that's the 16 actual finding of the primary purpose. 17 The MCIOs need the BGEN SCHWENK: 18 Command Disposition Action Report to officially 19 close their cases and make required federal 20 reporting to DIBRS. Is that what you're --21 SGT. MARKEY: Yes. That would be it. 22 Appreciate it sir.

| I  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay.                               |
| 2  | SGT. MARKEY: Thank you sir.                       |
| 3  | BGEN SCHWENK: You might let that                  |
| 4  | COLONEL WEIR: Could you say that one              |
| 5  | more time.  |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: I don't know what I                 |
| 7  | just said.  |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: MCIOs need the                    |
| 9  | Command Disposition Action Reports to close their |
| 10 | cases and make required federal report, et        |
| 11 | cetera. That's what you said.                     |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: Yes. Thank you.                     |
| 13 | DR. MARKOWITZ: Yeah.                              |
| 14 | BGEN SCHWENK: If everybody likes it,              |
| 15 | I did say that. If not, you've misinterpreted     |
| 16 | it.   |
| 17 | CHIEF MCKINLEY: Would it be wrong to              |
| 18 | also add in there to do it in a timely manner?    |
| 19 | Because one of the problems is, Commanders are    |
| 20 | filling it out much later.                        |
| 21 | MS. TAGERT: You have that in there.               |
| 22 | I think it's Finding Twelve.                      |
|    |   |

|    | 2:  |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHIEF MCKINLEY: Okay. So that's                   |
| 2  | actually in there?                                |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Um-hum.                           |
| 4  | BGEN SCHWENK: Right. Yes.                         |
| 5  | COLONEL WEIR: So you were ahead of                |
| 6  | the game, Chief. So this Finding Nine reads, as   |
| 7  | I believe, MCIOs need the Command Disposition     |
| 8  | Action Reports to enable them to officially close |
| 9  | their cases and make required federal reports to  |
| 10 | DIBRS and NCIC.                                   |
| 11 | BGEN SCHWENK: I think Martha edited               |
| 12 | out this would be better.                         |
| 13 | CHAIR BASHFORD: As amended, does the              |
| 14 | DAC-IPAD adopt adopt it, I move to.               |
| 15 | BGEN SCHWENK: Second.                             |
| 16 | CHAIR BASHFORD: In favor?                         |
| 17 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed?                          |
| 19 | (No response.)                                    |
| 20 | CHAIR BASHFORD: It's adopted. Moving              |
| 21 | on.   |
| 22 | COLONEL WEIR: Finding Ten, Command                |
|    |   |

Disposition Action documentation found in the 1 2 investigative case files sometimes conflict with the actual action taken by the command. 3 Well, I think that's BGEN SCHWENK: 4 5 fine with me. But it doesn't hook more with Seven? 6 7 What we're talking -- and I think we 8 ought to group the findings together. So, if 9 we're talking about Command Disposition Action 10 Reports, in Seven, you know, then maybe the Ten 11 would go right after that to give an example. 12 COLONEL WEIR: So your recommendation 13 is to move Finding Ten under Finding Seven and 14 then it would be Finding Eight? BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. Or whatever it 15 16 would be, yes. So that Seven says, Command 17 Discipline Action Reports that are found in 18 investigative files are often unfair, incomplete, 19 inaccurate, and inconsistent. 20 And then the next one would say, 21 Command Discipline Action Reports found in investigative files sometimes conflict with the 22

|    | 2   |
|----|---|
| 1  | actual command action taken. Because it seems     |
| 2  | like they flow from one to the other.             |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Um-hum.                           |
| 4  | SGT. MARKEY: Be sure to put conflicts             |
| 5  | with an S.  |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: Documentation sometimes             |
| 7  | conflicts rather then conflict.                   |
| 8  | COLONEL WEIR: So adding to ensure the             |
| 9  | wording would be conflicts with the actual action |
| 10 | taken by the command?                             |
| 11 | CHAIR BASHFORD: So it would be                    |
| 12 | Finding Eight.                                    |
| 13 | COLONEL WEIR: And that would be                   |
| 14 | Finding Eight instead of ten.                     |
| 15 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Right.                            |
| 16 | COLONEL WEIR: And that would just                 |
| 17 | move everyone down one.                           |
| 18 | BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah.                               |
| 19 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further                       |
| 20 | discussion? If not, I move we adopt the Finding   |
| 21 | Eight to replace the old Finding Eight.           |
| 22 | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
|    |   |
|    |   |

1 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor, aye. 2 (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIR BASHFORD: 3 **Opposed**? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: That's the new 6 Finding Eight. And you then renumber Nine and 7 Ten. 8 COLONEL WEIR: Yes, ma'am. 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: And now onto Eleven. Judge Advocates testify 10 COLONEL WEIR: 11 that they do not routinely assist Commanders in 12 completing Command Disposition Action Reports. And this Finding is tied into Recommendation Two. 13 14 BGEN SCHWENK: Fine with me. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: Um-hum. Any discussion? 16 17 (No response) 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: If none, then I move 19 we adopt Finding Eleven. All in favor? 20 COLONEL WEIR: Ma'am, we need a 21 second, don't we? 22 HON. GRIMM: Second.

Okay, with second. 1 CHAIR BASHFORD: 2 (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIR BASHFORD: 3 **Opposed**? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: Finding Eleven is done. Finding Twelve. 6 7 COLONEL WEIR: Command Disposition 8 Action Reports are often not submitted to the 9 MCIOs within five days of command action as required by DoD policy. 10 11 CHIEF McKINLEY: Often are instead of 12 are often. CHAIR BASHFORD: It should be often 13 14 are not. 15 COLONEL WEIR: Command Disposition 16 Action Reports often are not submitted to the 17 MCIOs within five days of command action as 18 required by DoD policy. 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. Any further discussion? 20 21 (No response.) 22 I move we adopt CHAIR BASHFORD:

1 Finding Twelve.

| -  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?                     |
| 4  | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed?                          |
| 6  | (No response.)                                    |
| 7  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. Findings are                |
| 8  | done. Recommendations.                            |
| 9  | COLONEL WEIR: Recommendation One as               |
| 10 | revised. In developing a uniform Command Action   |
| 11 | Form in accordance with Section 535 of the Fiscal |
| 12 | Year 19 National Defense Authorization Act, the   |
| 13 | Secretary of Defense should establish a standard  |
| 14 | set of options for documenting command            |
| 15 | disposition decisions. And require inclusion of   |
| 16 | the rationale for those decisions, including      |
| 17 | declinations to take action.                      |
| 18 | The Secretary of Defense should ensure            |
| 19 | that the standard set of options for documenting  |
| 20 | command dispositions decisions are based on       |
| 21 | recognized legal investigatory terminology, and   |
| 22 | standards that are uniform across the Services    |
|    |   |

and accurately reflect command action source 1 2 documents. CHAIR BASHFORD: Discussion? 3 4 HON. GRIMM: Can we say command 5 disposition decisions and require the rationale for those decisions, instead of require inclusion 6 7 of the? 8 And require the rationale for those 9 decisions including declinations taken. CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: Then as amended, I 13 move we adopt Recommendation Number One. 14 HON. GRIMM: Second. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: **Opposed**? 18 (No response.) 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: As amended then, 20 that's adopted. 21 COLONEL WEIR: Okay. Moving to 22 Recommendation Two. The Secretary of Defense

should ensure that Judge Advocates or equivalent 1 2 civilian attorneys review and provide advice to Commanders in completing Command Disposition 3 Action Reports in order to make certain that the 4 5 documentation is accurate and complete. DR. SPOHN: Review what? 6 7 BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah. I'd delete 8 review and. The recommendation is they provide 9 advice in completing the form, the report. And they have to review whatever they 10 11 have to review to be able to do that. 12 DR. MARKOWITZ: To provide advice. I think that's inherent 13 BGEN SCHWENK: 14 when you provide the advice. 15 So, the Secretary of COLONEL WEIR: 16 Defense should ensure that Judge Advocates or 17 equivalent civilian attorneys provide advice to 18 Commanders in completing? 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yeah. Um-hum. 20 COLONEL WEIR: So, we're removing 21 review? Review and. 22 BGEN SCHWENK:

| ĺ  | 20<br>  |
|----|---|
| 1  | COLONEL WEIR: Review and. Provide                 |
| 2  | advice to Commanders in completing Command        |
| 3  | Disposition Action Reports in order to make       |
| 4  | certain that the documentation is accurate and    |
| 5  | complete.   |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Colonel just just                 |
| 7  | remind me how a civ an equivalent civilian        |
| 8  | attorney would be in the role?                    |
| 9  | COLONEL WEIR: It could be in some                 |
| 10 | instances we have civilians who are Deputy Staff  |
| 11 | Judge Advocates that could be doing the role of   |
| 12 | the   |
| 13 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Not somebody                      |
| 14 | wandering in from Main Street?                    |
| 15 | COLONEL WEIR: No, no, no. This would              |
| 16 | be someone in the JAG Office whose job it is to - |
| 17 | - or a DoD government lawyer.                     |
| 18 | And that just covers those situations             |
| 19 | where some organizations have civilian lawyers    |
| 20 | providing advice.                                 |
| 21 | HON. GRIMM: Or test and evaluation                |
| 22 | command.  |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| ĺ  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: You could put DoD in                |
| 2  | before civilian.                                  |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: So as amended,                    |
| 4  | SGT. MARKEY: I just I'm sorry,                    |
| 5  | Chair. I just have one question.                  |
| 6  | So, is the intent of this                         |
| 7  | recommendation to have the JAG show the Commander |
| 8  | how to fill the report out? Or are we trying to   |
| 9  | send the message that the there should be a       |
| 10 | discussion as to the findings of the Commander?   |
| 11 | COLONEL WEIR: Yes. The                            |
| 12 | recommendation goes to the fact that there should |
| 13 | be a lawyer involved who has looked at the case.  |
| 14 | And so when this form goes from the               |
| 15 | Commander to the MCIO, the accurate reason or     |
| 16 | accurate disposition of that case is given to the |
| 17 | MCIO.   |
| 18 | So, there should not be, if there was             |
| 19 | a Judge Advocate reviewing the case, and the      |
| 20 | Commander the reason that the Commander is not    |
| 21 | taking action is because the victim has declined  |
| 22 | to participate, then the lawyer and him would     |
|    |   |

discuss that, or her. And that would be the
 reason.

That would go over to the MCIO and 3 4 there should not be, if there's a JAG, a 5 misinterpretation of that. And so when it gets to the MCIO, on the Case Disposition form, it 6 7 would say, victim declined to participate. 8 So there would not be -- it's just 9 another check on the system so when it gets to the investigators, they know what the accurate 10 reason is why this no action was taken. 11 12 Because then they have to enter that 13 into their systems. 14 SGT. MARKEY: I was looking at some of 15 the wording. It's right there. Okay, so you put 16 the date on here. And then you put, you know, 17 after the technical part of filling the form out 18 as opposed to more of the investigative side, 19 decision making process, so. I think a little -- well, the intent 20 21 is both. To ensure that the decision made is a

22

(202) 234-4433

sound command decision, and the form is filled

www.nealrgross.com

| 1  | out as required.                                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | Does that sound                                  |
| 3  | COLONEL WEIR: Okay. Yeah. That's                 |
| 4  | fine like that.                                  |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Maybe if we said so              |
| 6  | that the documentation of that decision is       |
| 7  | accurate and complete?                           |
| 8  | And you may remember, we saw cases               |
| 9  | where it said command action precluded. And then |
| 10 | the reasons, there were only two ways command    |
| 11 | action is precluded, but there were four or five |
| 12 | or six different things that would be lumped     |
| 13 | under there.                                     |
| 14 | DR. SPOHN: Should the recommendation             |
| 15 | say the Secretary of Defense should require? Or  |
| 16 | is it ensure?                                    |
| 17 | I mean, do we want them to promulgate            |
| 18 | a rule?  |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: Yes. There is no rule.             |
| 20 | So, I mean, if we wrote ensure, he'd have to do  |
| 21 | it by the regulations somehow.                   |
| 22 | DR. SPOHN: Oh, okay.                             |
|    |  |

| 1  | HON. GRIMM: So again, we want it to               |
|----|---|
| 2  | be referred.                                      |
| 3  | BGEN SCHWENK: Right. Require is                   |
| 4  | probably, you know, better.                       |
| 5  | HON. GRIMM: Yeah.                                 |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: I mean, I think what                |
| 7  | Mr. Markey is saying is, he would prefer if it    |
| 8  | read, the Secretary of Defense should ensure      |
| 9  | thank you.  |
| 10 | The Secretary of Defense should ensure            |
| 11 | that Judge Advocates or equivalent civilian       |
| 12 | attorneys review and provide advice to Commanders |
| 13 | in making initial disposition decisions and in    |
| 14 | completing Command Disposition Action Reports in  |
| 15 | order to make certain that the documentation is   |
| 16 | accurate and complete. Right? Both?               |
| 17 | SGT. MARKEY: I'm trying to catch both             |
| 18 | of those. Yes.                                    |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay, so.                           |
| 20 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Except for a Command              |
| 21 | Disposition Form.                                 |
| 22 | BGEN SCHWENK: Oh, okay.                           |
|    |   |

| I  |  | 20 |
|----|--|----|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: No decision.                     |    |
| 2  | BGEN SCHWENK: No. I think he wants               |    |
| 3  | them to do the decision and then the form, the   |    |
| 4  | report so that the Judge Advocate knows what the |    |
| 5  | decision was and why, and was involved in it.    |    |
| 6  | And now he can check the report to               |    |
| 7  | make sure it accurately reflects what he knows   |    |
| 8  | the Commander reasoned this.                     |    |
| 9  | SGT. MARKEY: And is that appropriate             |    |
| 10 | at this in this Recommendation? But yeah. I      |    |
| 11 | think that's the best process.                   |    |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: I don't think that's               |    |
| 13 | what we had in mind initially, because we were   |    |
| 14 | just focused on doing the report.                |    |
| 15 | SGT. MARKEY: Get the report then.                |    |
| 16 | BGEN SCHWENK: The form, the report               |    |
| 17 | itself.  |    |
| 18 | SGT. MARKEY: Yeah.                               |    |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: He's brought out                   |    |
| 20 | broadening it. Which somebody should be doing    |    |
| 21 | anyhow.  |    |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I don't know if it               |    |
|    |  |    |
|    |  |    |

would go there though. Because everything 1 2 leading up to that --It's all about the 3 BGEN SCHWENK: 4 report. 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: Right. It's all 6 about the report. The documentation of the 7 decision, not the decision itself. 8 **BGEN SCHWENK:** Right. 9 COLONEL WEIR: So what I've got right now is that the Secretary of Defense should 10 11 require that Judge Advocates, and we've had --12 General Schwenk said, or DoD civilian attorneys 13 provide advice to Commanders in completing 14 Command Disposition Action Reports in order to 15 make certain that the documentation is accurate 16 and complete. 17 BGEN SCHWENK: Right. And then we 18 would save Mr. Markey's for another day. And 19 another issue. But I will say advice. 20 SGT. MARKEY: 21 I think that word indicates to me that they're 22 advising him on the decision, not just how to

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 270

fill the form out. 1 2 But maybe I'm reading too much into the word advice. They're advising him. 3 COLONEL WEIR: Well, I think that --4 5 I don't think you're -- I think that would -that's envisioned by the trial counsel in 6 advising the Commander. 7 8 Based upon the facts of this case, my 9 recommendation to you is that you --10 SGT. MARKEY: Complete the form. COLONEL WEIR: Clip the -- or check 11 12 the box insufficient evidence. 13 SGT. MARKEY: Okay. I see that. 14 COLONEL WEIR: And that's the advice on the legal portion of the case, not -- does 15 16 that make sense? 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: This follows up on 18 our Finding Number Eleven. That they're not 19 routinely assisting Commanders in completing the 20 forms. And we want them to. 21 MS. GALLAGHER: And you haven't yet made any finding or taken the testimony to 22

| 1  | determine that the lawyers are not providing the  |
|----|---|
| 2  | advice on the disposition itself.                 |
| 3  | So this was focused just on the                   |
| 4  | completion of the form.                           |
| 5  | SGT. MARKEY: Okay.                                |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further                       |
| 7  | discussion?                                       |
| 8  | (No response.)                                    |
| 9  | CHAIR BASHFORD: So, as amended, I                 |
| 10 | move we adopt Recommendation Number Two.          |
| 11 | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?                     |
| 13 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 14 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Oppose?                           |
| 15 | (No response.)                                    |
| 16 | CHAIR BASHFORD: That's adopted.                   |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: Finding Thirteen.                   |
| 18 | There's significant confusion among               |
| 19 | Investigators, Judge Advocates, and Commanders as |
| 20 | to what the terms probable cause, reasonable      |
| 21 | grounds to believe, and unfounded, false or       |
| 22 | baseless, mean, when and by whom probable cause   |

and unfounded determinations are made and how 1 2 they are documented throughout the investigative 3 process. MG ANDERSON: Can I -- could somebody 4 5 explain -- can you explain what how they are documented and used throughout the process? 6 7 Is that how they're used on any 8 reporting forms? How Investigators are using 9 them in their reports? I'm just a little unclear. 10 11 COLONEL WEIR: This goes to the idea 12 of what -- how a case when it's closed, how it is classified. 13 14 In the Army you're not the -- the JAGs 15 don't make an unfounding decision. And I believe 16 all the Services it's the same way. A JAG does 17 not say unfounded. 18 But that is a case closure 19 classification under --20 DR. MARKOWITZ: DIBRS. So, there is 21 COLONEL WEIR: DIBRS. 22 some confusion as to how those terms crosswalk

with each other. So the Judge Advocate makes a 1 2 probable cause determination, but that may end up being unfounded in another database. 3 4 MS. TAGERT: So ma'am, just to follow 5 up on that, the DAC-IPAD has received testimony that only Commanders make probable cause 6 7 determinations. Only Commanders make unfounded determinations. 8 9 But when we looked at the 10 documentation that Commanders provide, and I think this goes to what Mr. Markey was previously 11 12 talking about, there is no discussion on whether 13 or not probably cause is met. Or whether or not 14 a case is unfounded. So there seems to be confusion as to 15 16 what party makes those decisions. And of course 17 there is a probable cause determination by a 18 Judge Advocate as required by the reporting to 19 the FBI database. Which is why you have that 20 probable cause determination in the data. 21 But, you saw probable cause determinations in different places in the 22

1 investigation that were not necessarily across 2 those three players. 3 MG ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. 4 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further 5 discussion as to Finding Thirteen? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. I move that it 8 be adopted by the DAC-IPAD. 9 HON. GRIMM: Second. CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 10 11 (Chorus of ayes.) 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: **Opposed**? 13 (No response.) 14 DR. SPOHN: And we're making no 15 recommendation based on this Finding. 16 HON. GRIMM: Not yet. 17 DR. SPOHN: Okay. 18 COLONEL WEIR: Finding Fourteen 19 proposed the standards, timing and authorities for collecting and submitting fingerprints to the 20 21 federal database, making probable cause 22 determinations and submitting final disposition

information to the federal database are unclear 1 2 and not uniform across the Services. And when you say 3 CHAIR BASHFORD: 4 authorities, do you mean who's doing it? Or do you mean the authority to do it? 5 The authority to do it. 6 COLONEL WEIR: 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: Then I would make that singular not plural. 8 9 COLONEL WEIR: And what this Finding 10 goes to, is that across the Services it varies, 11 when is a suspect or subject's fingerprinted? 12 Most of the time it's taken when he's brought in 13 for the first interview and they do the 14 fingerprints. You might see within a week a JAG 15 16 makes the determination there's probable cause 17 and off the fingerprints go. You might see six 18 months later the JAG makes a determination that 19 the fingerprints should be sent off. 20 There's no consistency across the 21 Services as to when that determination is made. 22 So should, you know, is there a point in time

where the investigation has gone far enough that
 you can say at a point this is when you should
 send off the fingerprints.

And then what we found in the files is that as you look at them, sometimes there is a very clear, Captain so and so reviewed this. And has determined that probable cause exists to believe that this offense was committed. And the fingerprints were sent off.

10And in other files you never know if11it happened or when it happened. And this goes12too also in the report where it's talked about13the Air Force experience with the fingerprint14issue.15Because, you know, when was the right

16 time to do it. And it was, you know, left. You 17 know, there needs to be more guidance about that. 18 And right now the -- we've deleted the 19 S off authority?

20 BGEN SCHWENK: Um-hum. 21 COLONEL WEIR: And that's the only 22 change that's been made so far.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further 1 2 discussion? Dr. Spohn, you look pensive. DR. SPOHN: 3 No. 4 CHAIR BASHFORD: Then as amended, --5 as amended I move that we adopt Finding Fourteen. Second. 6 HON. GRIMM: CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 7 8 (Chorus of ayes.) 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: **Opposed**? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. So that's 12 done. COLONEL WEIR: Finding Fifteen. 13 14 Coordination with Judge Advocates on a probable 15 cause determination is often not documented in 16 the investigative file. 17 And I just discussed that. It would make it -- it would make it much easier if there 18 19 was uniform at where it must be in the file. CID is right up front on their -- in 20 21 their first two pages of the investigation when the probable cause determination was made. 22 In

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 278

some other files, you can't find it. 1 2 And so there should be that coordination and it should be documented is what 3 4 this finding is going to. CHAIR BASHFORD: And this again is 5 regarding the federal criminal history report? 6 7 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. 8 CHIEF MCKINLEY: I would also say 9 often is instead of just often. Because often not isn't often. 10 11 And Chair Bashford, I SGT MARKEY: 12 think you made a good point. At different locations in the investigative file there were 13 14 different probable cause determinations. 15 And so the probable cause 16 determination to collect fingerprints and 17 photographs and perhaps DNA, it was sometimes in 18 conflict later on when we would see a disposition 19 that there was no probable cause. 20 CHAIR BASHFORD: Um-hum. 21 SGT. MARKEY: And so the confusion became as well, you had probable cause. 22 Where

did that probable cause go? 1 2 So, do we need to articulate this is probable cause only for the collection of that 3 information from the subject? As opposed to 4 5 probable cause is determined whether a case is preferred or somebody is going to be arrested? 6 CHAIR BASHFORD: Colonel, this would 7 8 still be under the federal criminal history 9 reporting? The finding? 10 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. 11 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okav. 12 SGT. MARKEY: Okay. But --13 CHAIR BASHFORD: Won't the Finding 14 have that caption? 15 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. 17 COLONEL WEIR: It's interesting Mr. 18 Markey that because that was a point I was going 19 to make, is there's three different probable cause determinations in one case file. 20 21 And if there's probable cause to send the fingerprints off, why isn't there probable 22

cause for the act? You know, so probable cause 1 2 is probable cause. So right now the proposed Finding 3 Fifteen, coordinate -- coordination with Judge 4 5 Advocates on a probable cause determination is --6 often is not documented in the investigative 7 file. 8 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further 9 discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 I move we adopt CHAIR BASHFORD: 12 Finding Fifteen as amended. 13 HON. GRIMM: Second. 14 CHAIR BASHFORD: In favor? 15 (Chorus of ayes.) 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: That's adopted. 19 COLONEL WEIR: Sixteen. Final 20 dispositions being reported to the NCIC for sexual assault offenses are sometimes inaccurate 21 22 or misleading.

| 1  | DR. SPOHN: There was discussion                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | yesterday about making that often instead of      |
| 3  | sometimes.  |
| 4  | HON. GRIMM: So the data showed that               |
| 5  | it was not just occasional, it was very prominent |
| 6  | then, right?                                      |
| 7  | So often would be more accurate.                  |
| 8  | DR. SPOHN: Often would be accurate.               |
| 9  | HON. GRIMM: Yes.                                  |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: So it would be often                |
| 11 | are inaccurate or misleading.                     |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further                       |
| 13 | discussion on that?                               |
| 14 | (No response.)                                    |
| 15 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Then I move that we               |
| 16 | adopt Finding Sixteen.                            |
| 17 | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?                     |
| 19 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 20 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed?                          |
| 21 | (No response.)                                    |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Finding Sixteen is                |
| -  |   |

adopted.

1

| 2  | COLONEL WEIR: All right, Finding 17.              |
|----|---|
| 3  | DoD policy does not provide direction to the      |
| 4  | Services for cases in which fingerprints are      |
| 5  | submitted to the Federal Criminal History         |
| 6  | Database and the command disposition action on    |
| 7  | the sexual assault is an election not to pursue   |
| 8  | criminal charges.                                 |
| 9  | MS. GALLAGHER: And on that one there              |
| 10 | was staff discussion that's not as clear as it    |
| 11 | could be. And the staff was recommending we       |
| 12 | change that to DoD policy does not provide        |
| 13 | guidance to the Services for sexual assault cases |
| 14 | in which fingerprints are submitted to the        |
| 15 | Federal Criminal History Database, and the        |
| 16 | command takes no action on the sexual assault.    |
| 17 | BGEN SCHWENK: What kind of guidance               |
| 18 | are we talking about? Guidance about what?        |
| 19 | CHAIR BASHFORD: What to do with the               |
| 20 | fingerprints, I suppose.                          |
| 21 | MS. GALLAGHER: All, yes, all of the               |
| 22 | Services are not necessarily doing the same       |
|    |   |

| 1  | thing. I mean, it's not addressed in DoD policy  |
|----|--|
| 2  | as to how they are supposed to characterize a    |
| 3  | final disposition that involves no action on a   |
| 4  | criminal case.                                   |
| 5  | BGEN SCHWENK: So are those two                   |
| 6  | different issues?                                |
| 7  | HON. GRIMM: Yeah, that's what I'm                |
| 8  | wondering. It seems like just one issue, but it  |
| 9  | really sounds like it's two.                     |
| 10 | BGEN SCHWENK: These are two different            |
| 11 | issues, right, they're distinct. One is when to  |
| 12 | submit databases I mean fingerprints, or         |
| 13 | something about fingerprints. And another one is |
| 14 | what we do and how to put a command disposition  |
| 15 | action when there's no pursuit of criminal       |
| 16 | charges.   |
| 17 | CHAIR BASHFORD: It seems to me what              |
| 18 | you're trying to get at is that DoD policy does  |
| 19 | not provide guidance to the Services for cases   |
| 20 | where no criminal charges are pursued on the     |
| 21 | sexual assault, but fingerprints have already    |
| 22 | been submitted.                                  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

| I  | ∠Σ<br>Ι   |
|----|---|
| 1  | MS. GALLAGHER: That's correct. It's               |
| 2  | trying to articulate that clearly.                |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: So it's, I think it's             |
| 4  | just if you reverse it a little bit. So start     |
| 5  | out with the ultimate decision was not to go      |
| 6  | forward, but you've already done this, yes. The   |
| 7  | guidance is being provided, that makes sense. So  |
| 8  | it'd be for cases in which                        |
| 9  | HON. GRIMM: The command disposition               |
| 10 | is an election                                    |
| 11 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Not to pursue                     |
| 12 | charges, but                                      |
| 13 | HON. GRIMM: Right, yes.                           |
| 14 | CHAIR BASHFORD: fingerprints have                 |
| 15 | been.   |
| 16 | HON. GRIMM: Right.                                |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: Okay, let me see if                 |
| 18 | this is the way you would like it. For cases in   |
| 19 | which there is an election not to pursue criminal |
| 20 | charges, DoD policy does not provide guidance to  |
| 21 | the Services for cases in which fingerprints are  |
| 22 | submitted to the Federal Criminal History         |
|    |   |

Database --

1

| 2  | BGEN SCHWENK: Have been.                          |
|----|---|
| 3  | COLONEL WEIR: Have.                               |
| 4  | HON. GRIMM: Colonel, it would be all              |
| 5  | right to start off, DoD policy does not provide   |
| 6  | direction to the Services for cases where the     |
| 7  | command disposition action on sexual assault is   |
| 8  | an election not to pursue criminal charges, but   |
| 9  | fingerprints have been submitted to the Federal   |
| 10 | Criminal History Database.                        |
| 11 | So the introductory language is fine              |
| 12 | the way it starts.                                |
| 13 | COLONEL WEIR: Can you, sir, can you               |
| 14 | read that again?                                  |
| 15 | HON. GRIMM: Sure. Can we make sure                |
| 16 | that the staff over there takes this down.        |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: No, no, no, it's the                |
| 18 | Chair's suggestion is a good suggestion. DoD      |
| 19 | policy does not provide direction to the Services |
| 20 | for cases where the command disposition action on |
| 21 | the sexual assault is an election not to pursue   |
| 22 | criminal charges, but in which fingerprints have  |

been submitted to the Federal Criminal History 1 2 Database. Any further 3 CHAIR BASHFORD: discussion? Then as amended, I move that the 4 5 DAC-IPAD adopt Finding 17. HON. GRIMM: Second. 6 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 8 (Chorus of ayes.) 9 CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? And that's adopted. 10 11 COLONEL WEIR: Okay, next is a 12 proposed initial assessment. The Case Review Working Group will continue to monitor the 13 14 important and problematic issues associated with 15 collecting and submitting fingerprints and 16 submitting final disposition information to the 17 federal databases. 18 And earlier we removed problematic, 19 set --20 DR. SPOHN: Important and, yeah. 21 COLONEL WEIR: Important and 22 problematic. So if I'm assuming we want it to

|    | 28  |
|----|---|
| 1  | read the same. Well, the CRWG will continue to    |
| 2  | monitor the issues associated with collecting and |
| 3  | submitting fingerprints and submitting final      |
| 4  | disposition information to the federal databases. |
| 5  | DR. SPOHN: Right.                                 |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Do we want to say the             |
| 7  | CRWG or the Committee?                            |
| 8  | COLONEL WEIR: I think it's probably               |
| 9  | better to say the Committee.                      |
| 10 | CHAIR BASHFORD: That's what we said               |
| 11 | the last time.                                    |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: I agree.                            |
| 13 | COLONEL WEIR: So as amended, the DAC-             |
| 14 | IPAD will continue to monitor the issues          |
| 15 | associated with collecting and submitting         |
| 16 | fingerprints and submitting final disposition     |
| 17 | information to the federal databases.             |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I would just say                  |
| 19 | Committee, because that's what we said on the     |
| 20 | last initial assessment. So can we say DAC-IPAD   |
| 21 | twice or we say Committee twice.                  |
| 22 | HON. GRIMM: Whatever you said.                    |
|    |   |
|    |   |

|    | 28  |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: You said Committee.               |
| 2  | HON. GRIMM: Committee, the Committee.             |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I don't think that                |
| 4  | has to be adopted, right?                         |
| 5  | HON. GRIMM: That's just it.                       |
| 6  | COLONEL WEIR: Okay.                               |
| 7  | CHAIR BASHFORD: And then the last                 |
| 8  | proposed recommendations, then I think probably a |
| 9  | short break would be in order.                    |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: DoD policy should                   |
| 11 | provide guidance on how the Services should       |
| 12 | address cases in which no criminal or             |
| 13 | administrative action was taken on the sexual     |
| 14 | assault offense, and cases in which               |
| 15 | administrative, judicial, or non-judicial action  |
| 16 | was taken for an offense other than sexual        |
| 17 | assault when they submit final disposition        |
| 18 | information to federal databases.                 |
| 19 | DR. SPOHN: Is address cases sort of               |
| 20 | vague?  |
| 21 | MS. GALLAGHER: One of the                         |
| 22 | DR. SPOHN: What do you mean by                    |
|    |   |
|    |   |

1 address cases? 2 HON. GRIMM: Should, the Services should. 3 4 MS. GALLAGHER: One of the 5 recommendations was, well, to change DoD policy 6 to --7 PARTICIPANT: Secretary of Defense. 8 MS. GALLAGHER: Send the recommendation 9 to the Secretary of Defense. And then the two options there, should provide or should establish 10 a working group to assess and recommend uniform 11 12 guidance. Because there's issues involved with how to address it. 13 14 CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm not sure what 15 we're trying to get at here, so. 16 BGEN SCHWENK: What, address what? 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: We have cases where 18 no action's taken on the sexual, but there is 19 action taken on other offenses. 20 MS. GALLAGHER: There's two issues The one is where no criminal 21 involved there. 22 action was taken on the event, where there's no

| action. And then there's cases in which some      |
|---|
| action was taken, but not on that offense. So I   |
| guess you're right, they could be merged into the |
| same thing.                                       |
| BGEN SCHWENK: Well, isn't there                   |
| action in their guidance on submitting, we're     |
| talking about                                     |
| DR. SPOHN: Fingerprints.                          |
| BGEN SCHWENK: final disposition                   |
| information to federal databases. That kind of    |
| guidance?   |
| MS. GALLAGHER: Correct.                           |
| BGEN SCHWENK: And isn't there already             |
| guidance on submitting final disposition          |
| information to federal databases?                 |
| MS. GALLAGHER: There in no DoD                    |
| guidance on how to characterize command           |
| dispositions that involve taking no action on a   |
| criminal charge for which the fingerprints were   |
| submitted, such as the Article 120 sexual         |
| assault. The command election is to take no       |
| action.   |
|   |

| I  | 25  |
|----|---|
| 1  | There's no DoD policy guidance on how           |
| 2  | to characterize that in the final disposition   |
| 3  | report, and the Services are left to address it |
| 4  | separately.                                     |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm still not seeing            |
| 6  | what the issue is. Is it that they've sent      |
| 7  | fingerprints in and now they've been convicted, |
| 8  | or just on a much different type of offense?    |
| 9  | MS. GALLAGHER: That is one of the               |
| 10 | things. For example, fingerprints are sent in   |
| 11 | for a sexual assault, Article 120. Command      |
| 12 | disposition comes back, and the election is     |
| 13 | they're going to take no action, there's no     |
| 14 | criminal, judicial, non-judicial action.        |
| 15 | CHAIR BASHFORD: But they left their             |
| 16 | post.   |
| 17 | BGEN SCHWENK: No, this is for no                |
| 18 | action.   |
| 19 | MS. GALLAGHER: Or at all.                       |
| 20 | BGEN SCHWENK: The first example is no           |
| 21 | action.   |
| 22 | MS. GALLAGHER: No action at all.                |
|    |   |
|    |   |

|    | 29   |
|----|--|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay.                            |
| 2  | BGEN SCHWENK: I think Terri's saying             |
| 3  | there's two categories. One is fingerprints for  |
| 4  | a sexual assault, no action on anything. One is  |
| 5  | fingerprints for a sexual assault that action on |
| 6  | something other than a sexual assault.           |
| 7  | MS. GALLAGHER: Yes.                              |
| 8  | BGEN SCHWENK: So what are they doing             |
| 9  | in those cases?                                  |
| 10 | MS. GALLAGHER: Well, they are putting            |
| 11 | in   |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: Whatever they're,                  |
| 13 | they're doing what we said earlier.              |
| 14 | MS. GALLAGHER: Sometimes they'll put             |
| 15 | in administrative actions taken, and the         |
| 16 | administrative action was for adultery, not a    |
| 17 | sexual assault. Which results in the implication |
| 18 | that the administrative action was for a sexual  |
| 19 | assault, and that's what's in their criminal     |
| 20 | history.   |
| 21 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I think this needs to            |
| 22 | be broken down, then, because one of the         |
|    |  |
|    |  |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

recommendations should then deal with our Finding 1 2 17, right. Where we say there was an election not to pursue criminal charges, because 3 fingerprints had been taken. 4 5 And then a second one, which I don't 6 think we've made a finding for yet, is they did something else administrative, judicial, or non-7 8 judicial, but for some other category of offense, 9 right? Right, but it could 10 MS. GALLAGHER: also be consolidated into one in that there is no 11 12 action taken on the sexual assault offense. So if there is an action taken on some other 13 14 offense, that's something different. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: But you're saying if 16 somebody that is fingerprinted or stopped, it 17 will show up as a sexual assault offense? Ι 18 don't know that we've had testimony on that, 19 though, I don't. 20 MS. GALLAGHER: It's in the R-84s that 21 have been in the case -- we've seen it in the 22 final dispositions.

| I  | 29   |
|----|--|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: What about if we looked            |
| 2  | at Finding 14, the one that is really broad and  |
| 3  | says the standards time I don't remember how     |
| 4  | we edited it there.                              |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Authority, that's the            |
| 6  | only change.                                     |
| 7  | BGEN SCHWENK: Pardon me?                         |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: We only switched                 |
| 9  | authorities to authority.                        |
| 10 | BGEN SCHWENK: Okay, so the standards,            |
| 11 | the timing, the authority for collecting and     |
| 12 | submitting fingerprints, making probable cause   |
| 13 | determinations and submitting final disposition  |
| 14 | information to the federal database are unclear  |
| 15 | and not uniform.                                 |
| 16 | So why don't we just have a                      |
| 17 | recommendation that says Secretary of Defense    |
| 18 | should clarify the guidance on exactly that, all |
| 19 | that stuff. You just made a finding that it's    |
| 20 | not done well, but now tell them to do it. Now   |
| 21 | would that subsume both of those examples?       |
| 22 | Because it would relate to the last thing,       |
|    |  |

submitting final disposition information to the
 federal database.

| 3  | HON. GRIMM: We want uniform guidance.            |
|----|--|
| 4  | BGEN SCHWENK: Yes, uniform guidance.             |
| 5  | HON. GRIMM: Across the Services.                 |
| 6  | BGEN SCHWENK: Right. I mean, maybe               |
| 7  | that would be a nice broad thing, goes off of 14 |
| 8  | and covers all the rest of the findings and what |
| 9  | was done with them.                              |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: So let me see if I                 |
| 11 | Secretary of Defense should provide guidance     |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: Uniform.                           |
| 13 | COLONEL WEIR: uniform guidance on                |
| 14 | the standards, timing, and authority for         |
| 15 | collecting and submitting fingerprints to the    |
| 16 | federal database, making probable cause          |
| 17 | determinations, and submitting final disposition |
| 18 | information to the federal database.             |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: Period.                            |
| 20 | COLONEL WEIR: Period.                            |
| 21 | BGEN SCHWENK: Yes.                               |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Does that cover what             |

| I  | 1   |
|----|---|
| 1  | you wanted to get at in here, or not?           |
| 2  | MS. GALLAGHER: Yes. Bravo.                      |
| 3  | COLONEL WEIR: So let me see if I,               |
| 4  | we'll do it one more time. Secretary of Defense |
| 5  | should provide uniform guidance on how the      |
| 6  | Services provide uniform guidance on the        |
| 7  | standards, timing, and authority for collecting |
| 8  | and submitting fingerprints to the federal      |
| 9  | database, making probable cause determinations, |
| 10 | and submitting final disposition information to |
| 11 | the federal database.                           |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Did you say uniform             |
| 13 | guidance twice?                                 |
| 14 | HON. GRIMM: Yeah, I think he went               |
| 15 | back.   |
| 16 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Oh, okay.                       |
| 17 | COLONEL WEIR: Yeah, I got tongue-tied           |
| 18 | there.  |
| 19 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay.                           |
| 20 | PARTICIPANT: That was a reboot.                 |
| 21 | MS. GALLAGHER: But the issues there             |
| 22 | is there is some guidance that is uniform from  |
|    |   |

It just doesn't address all of the 1 the DoD. 2 topics, such as the cases in which no action is taken by the commander, or action is taken on 3 4 some other offense. So maybe it's pointing 5 attention and directing the areas that are missing specifically. 6 7 COLONEL WEIR: So would we, if after, 8 to the federal database to include cases in which 9 no criminal or administrative action was taken on the sexual assault offense and cases in which 10 11 administrative, judicial, or non-judicial action 12 was taken for an offense other than sexual 13 assault? Period? 14 MG ANDERSON: Could I make a suggestion that potentially we wait until we've 15 reviewed all the cases that involve an 16 17 administrative action or have been binned by the 18 Services? Because it will give us a little bit 19 more insight into this particular issue. 20 MS. GALLAGHER: The other issue there 21 is that they are currently revising the DoD 22 policy applicable to this and will be issuing it

certainly well before we finish all these cases. 1 2 So if there's a recommendation to be made, it should be made in time to be considered during 3 4 the evaluation of the policy. CHAIR BASHFORD: I quess what I'm 5 having trouble with is what's the difference in 6 7 the second thing between, so you say no criminal 8 or administrative actions was taken on the sexual 9 assault. And cases where administrative, judicial, or non-judicial action taken for 10 11 different offenses, is judicial the same as 12 criminal? 13 MS. GALLAGHER: Yeah, judicial is 14 defined in the policy as a summary, special and general court martial. Non-judicial is defined 15 16 as the article UCMJ Article 15 proceeding. And administrative is not defined. Although the DoD 17 18 policy does address administrative action in lieu 19 of a court martial, it does not address 20 administrative actions generally. 21 BGEN SCHWENK: And this is really

complicated. 22 I know, Terri showed me yesterday

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

some clear examples, like five or six clear 1 2 examples where the command came in and said here is our final action. And then you went and 3 4 looked at the form that was submitted by the 5 MCIO, and the final action on that one was not So that I understand. 6 the same. 7 You know, there's a -- but we've 8 already said that. This gets very nuanced and 9 gets more complicated the more we look into it. I don't know that we have the time to look into 10 11 it. 12 HON. GRIMM: So maybe it does suggest 13 that we see what the data that is going to be 14 produced in the completion of the case samples and then have some idea. Because however it 15 16 needs to be drafted, it needs to drafted to hit 17 all of those concerns. 18 And right now, it's kind of hard to 19 tease those concerns out the way it is now. So 20 maybe it would be helpful to hold off on this one 21 until we get a little bit more data. 22 CHAIR BASHFORD: What we're really

trying to say is that accurate final disposition information on all sorts of cases, where the initial charge was a sexual offense, should be submitted, right? Even where that's not the ultimate.

1

2

3

4

5

6 MS. GALLAGHER: Yes, we are not collecting a specific data point on the -- what 7 8 is identified in the final disposition report. 9 It's just one of the observations from reviewing the files. It's not unique to the administrative 10 11 or non-judicial action file. It's in really all 12 of no action files as well as the administrative 13 and non-judicial files.

14 And the point is to try and avoid the 15 situations where you have fingerprints submitted 16 for a sexual assault offense, and then the final disposition is submitted to the Criminal History 17 18 Database is misrepresentative of what occurred. 19 In the sense that they'll have a verbal 20 counseling and it'll be listed as counseling. 21 But it's a verbal counseling on being 22 stupid or, you know, violating adultery. Or

something other than what those fingerprints are in the database for. And instead of listing a separate offense like adultery or violation of a regulation and putting the disposition related to that criminal offense, they just have on the form, sexual assault.

CHAIR BASHFORD: So what if it would 7 8 be Secretary of Defense should provide uniform 9 guidances -- uniform guidance to the Services to address cases where fingerprints have been 10 submitted in connection with a sexual assault 11 12 offense, but the final disposition is for Just a little bit of something 13 something else. 14 with the something else when they submit that 15 information to the federal databases. 16 MS. GALLAGHER: Yes. 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: Would that -- so? 18 HON. GRIMM: Yes. 19 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. All right, wordsmith that a little 20 21 bit. So it'd be Secretary of Defense should 22 provide uniform guidance to the Services in cases

1

2

3

4

5

where fingerprints have been submitted in 1 2 connection with the accusation of a sexual offense, but the final disposition was for an 3 offense other than a sexual assault offense. 4 5 HON. GRIMM: That's right. And then you got get 6 CHAIR BASHFORD: 7 8 BGEN SCHWENK: Or was either no action 9 or action on an offense other than a sexual assault offense. 10 11 Right, Terri, no action and action on 12 an offense other than a sexual assault? 13 CHAIR BASHFORD: Final disposition is 14 either no action or action on something else? 15 HON. GRIMM: Other than -- yes. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: On something, 17 something other. 18 BGEN SCHWENK: On an offense other 19 than sexual assault. HON. GRIMM: Offense other than sexual 20 21 assault. 22 CHAIR BASHFORD: And then I think you

| د<br>ا  |
|---|
| have to still put in when they submit their       |
| disposition information to the federal databases. |
| BGEN SCHWENK: So I'm really looking               |
| forward to Colonel Weir, you reading this back to |
| us.   |
| COLONEL WEIR: You got it, sir. All                |
| right, Secretary of Defense should provide        |
| uniform guidance to the Services in cases where   |
| fingerprints have been submitted for an           |
| allegation of sexual assault when there was no    |
| action taken, or action on an offense other than  |
| sexual assault, when they submit final            |
| disposition information to federal databases.     |
| CHAIR BASHFORD: I suggest we take our             |
| ten minute break now, and we'll just look at the  |
| final version of that.                            |
| (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter             |
| went off the record at 3:07 p.m. and resumed at   |
| 3:23 p.m.)  |
| COLONEL WEIR: All right. All right,               |
| we've got a draft proposed recommendation that    |
| hopefully we've worded this to everyone's         |
|   |
|   |

| sat | is | fa | cti | on. |
|-----|----|----|-----|-----|
|     |    |    |     |     |

| 2  | The Secretary of Defense should                   |
|----|---|
| 3  | provide uniform guidance to the Services on       |
| 4  | submitting final disposition information to       |
| 5  | federal databases for those cases in which, after |
| 6  | fingerprints have been submitted, either the      |
| 7  | command took no action, or took action only for   |
| 8  | an offense other than sexual assault.             |
| 9  | BGEN SCHWENK: Read it again, sorry.               |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: Okay. The Secretary of              |
| 11 | Defense should provide uniform guidance to the    |
| 12 | Services on submitting final disposition          |
| 13 | information to federal databases for those cases  |
| 14 | in which, after fingerprints have been submitted, |
| 15 | either the command took no action, or took action |
| 16 | only for an offense other than sexual assault.    |
| 17 | BGEN SCHWENK: Thank you.                          |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: So any further                    |
| 19 | comment on the proposed recommendation as         |
| 20 | revised? Then I propose that the DAC-IPAD adopt   |
| 21 | that as it applies to the Federal Criminal        |
| 22 | History Reporting.                                |

|    | د<br>ا  |
|----|---|
| 1  | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
| 2  | CHAIR BASHFORD: In favor?                         |
| 3  | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any opposed? That's               |
| 5  | adopted.  |
| 6  | COLONEL WEIR: Okay, what I'd like to              |
| 7  | do now is jump into the rest of the report.       |
| 8  | We've got six more hours, so I'm glad Delta's     |
| 9  | delayed the flights.                              |
| 10 | CHAIR BASHFORD: We've just finished               |
| 11 | Chapter 1.  |
| 12 | BGEN SCHWENK: You may have six more               |
| 13 | hours.  |
| 14 | COLONEL WEIR: So Chapter 2, the                   |
| 15 | sexual assault court martial case adjudication    |
| 16 | trends and analysis, we've had, yesterday we went |
| 17 | through this chapter. And we've also talked       |
| 18 | about the data that's going to be in the chapter. |
| 19 | So the, if you go to page 47, we know             |
| 20 | we're going to add a graph there that talks about |
| 21 | the percentage of each service.                   |
| 22 | CHAIR BASHFORD: I only have 20 pages              |
|    |   |
|    |   |

in mine. 1 2 COLONEL WEIR: It should be in your folder with, in your read-ahead folder, you 3 4 should have the remaining. 5 You know, each chapter BGEN SCHWENK: starts with one. 6 Oh, okay, never mind. 7 COLONEL WEIR: 8 So what page did we end BGEN SCHWENK: 9 up on? So I'm on page 47 of --10 COLONEL WEIR: Chapter 1 ended on 44. 11 BGEN SCHWENK: 12 So maybe page 3 of this thing. You got one of 13 these? 14 COLONEL WEIR: Yes, yes. Okay, now --15 Is that your 47? **BGEN SCHWENK:** 16 COLONEL WEIR: Yes. Sorry about that. 17 **BGEN SCHWENK:** Page 3 is his 47. 18 COLONEL WEIR: So we discussed that, 19 I believe it was Chair Bashford thought it would 20 be informative to have a percentage of the 21 Services of those percentages make more sense. 22 So it's 42% for FY17. The Army's 42%, but how

| I  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | much of the total force does the Army make up?    |
| 2  | So we'll add that slide in there.                 |
| 3  | So that was page 3 of yours.                      |
| 4  | BGEN SCHWENK: Yeah, just subtract 44              |
| 5  | off of yours.                                     |
| 6  | COLONEL WEIR: And on page 7 6, we                 |
| 7  | we're going to change grade rank, to grade.       |
| 8  | On the next slides. On page, the graph that       |
| 9  | talks about male accused sex of victims, we're    |
| 10 | putting in actual numbers on the female accused   |
| 11 | for those graphs, so that gives you a better idea |
| 12 | of how many we're actually talking about.         |
| 13 | So instead of 60 it might be, you                 |
| 14 | know, 6. So then, I forget who on the Committee   |
| 15 | wanted that information, but that's going to be   |
| 16 | done.   |
| 17 | We were going to break out, on the                |
| 18 | graph that talks about case disposition by        |
| 19 | military service of the accused, break out        |
| 20 | penetrative and contact. We talked about that     |
| 21 | earlier today when Chuck was doing the briefing.  |
| 22 | We've had the briefing from Dr. Wells             |
|    |   |

on the multivariate. It's fiscal years 2016, 1 2 2017, we got a briefing on that today. And the data project way forward, which should be your 3 last page or thereabout your last page, and 4 basically that says that the Data Working Group 5 will continue the collection and analysis. 6 7 Future analysis will include 8 descriptive statistics concerning court martial 9 case characteristics, case disposition, and case In addition, the DWG anticipates 10 outcomes. 11 further examination into the data points 12 concerning adjudged versus approved sentences, 13 the relationship between the victim and the 14 accused, and the resulting outcomes of the case, and timeliness of the court martial process 15 16 through the Services. 17 Is there any other items that you as 18 Committee members would like the Data Working 19 Group to look into? 20 SGT MARKEY: Colonel, I know we had 21 had a little bit of discussion on victims 22 dragging out of the process, not wanting to move forward. And we talked about, are there any types of characteristics that would indicate any sort of pattern of when they drop out of the system. And then of course why they might be dropping out.

And I know that might be more in the 6 7 future to do onsite visits with, you know, and 8 gathering maybe survey information about that. 9 But I think since we see a lot of reports where victims did not want to participate, I think it 10 11 might be important to determine if there's 12 something to that that creates, that's creating 13 that atmosphere of nonparticipation.

COLONEL WEIR: We've discussed that 14 and I think that's probably a working group or a 15 16 site visit process that will probably result in 17 something in next year's report. There's --18 based upon what the court -- the Data Working Group can do with court martial statistics, and 19 20 we've had meetings with people who are interested 21 in figuring out that very question.

22

1

2

3

4

5

And it's or -- and it goes kind of

hand in hand with the acquittal rate question, 1 2 how, why is the acquittal rate so high, the conviction rate conversely so low. 3 And so that's one of the things that 4 5 we're also going to be looking at as the Case Review Working Group, as we get through with the 6 7 review of the cases. 8 And we're actually going to have a 9 meeting with some folks to try to figure out a way ahead to be able to do that, to figure out 10 can you, is it, you know, is it going to require 11 12 records of trial, is it going to require 13 transcripts, you know, records of trial, tapes 14 being transcribed into records of trial for the evaluation of that. So that's probably in the 15 16 way ahead for future work. 17 But that's the Data Working Group way 18 ahead. Is there anything that you wanted them to 19 do? 20 CHAIR BASHFORD: One thing I know Dean 21 Harrison was very interested in is retention

rates after a sexual case, both, on behalf of

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

both sides. What happens to the largely women
 victims, do they stay in and continue a career?
 What happens to the accused?

We got a glimpse of that, but you know, what happens to them if the charges do not go forward. And he saw that as a potential huge loss to the Services of really talented people. If there's a way we could look at that, I would like to do that.

10 COLONEL WEIR: What we'll do is talk 11 to Service reps and figure out if there's a way 12 to go through each of their human resources 13 offices to kind of determine if we had, and I'm 14 not sure how we'd be able to do this with Privacy 15 Act information stuff, but a name of a victim and 16 did he or she get out information.

17 So we'll get back to you with more 18 information on it, if that's even possible for 19 this committee to do based upon, you know, not 20 wanting to release names of individual soldiers. 21 Maybe we just do it by, you know, numbers, not by 22 name. And try to have them sorted out, but we'll

look into that. That's probably in our request for information.

1

2

| 3  | We can jump into Chapter 3, which                 |
|----|---|
| 4  | talks to changes in military sexual assault data  |
| 5  | collection and case management in the new Article |
| 6  | 140. Chair Bashford mentioned that this morning.  |
| 7  | She received a letter addressed to her on behalf  |
| 8  | of the DoD General Counsel that they got the      |
| 9  | recommendation from the DAC-IPAD, and you've been |
| 10 | provided copies of that letter.                   |
| 11 | So they're moving towards some of the             |
| 12 | things that you identified even today about the   |
| 13 | race of the individual involved, that's one of    |
| 14 | the things that was brought out in that, is to    |
| 15 | capture more of that information.                 |
| 16 | My recommendation for Chapter 3 is                |
| 17 | it's all good information. We can just attach     |
| 18 | that memorandum, or excuse me, letter, as an      |
| 19 | attachment in an appendix. And we can footnote    |
| 20 | that that recommendation was received and the     |
| 21 | response is attached in Appendix whatever. That   |
| 22 | would be my recommendation.                       |

Washington DC

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. (202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

| I  | ,  |
|----|--|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: There were two                   |
| 2  | appendices. There was the letter attached to his |
| 3  | letter attached to his letter and then there was |
| 4  | an appendix.                                     |
| 5  | COLONEL WEIR: Right.                             |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: And I don't think                |
| 7  | everybody got the appendix.                      |
| 8  | COLONEL WEIR: Yes, we will we                    |
| 9  | ended up getting that. But we will definitely    |
| 10 | send that out to you. We'll hang it on our       |
| 11 | website, so you guys can access that, and we'll  |
| 12 | send it out to your email clog up more of your   |
| 13 | email.   |
| 14 | But that would be my recommendation,             |
| 15 | is just to add that, the letter and its          |
| 16 | attachments as an appendix to the report. And    |
| 17 | we'll footnote that, see letter based upon the   |
| 18 | recommendations.                                 |
| 19 | BGEN SCHWENK: Sounds good to me.                 |
| 20 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Does that need a                 |
| 21 | vote?  |
| 22 | COLONEL WEIR: Yes please.                        |
|    |  |
|    |  |

| 1  | 3<br>I  |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further                       |
| 2  | discussion putting Chapter 3 and the letter? I    |
| 3  | move that move that we include Chapter 3 and the  |
| 4  | letter in the appendices.                         |
| 5  | HON. GRIMM: Second.                               |
| 6  | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?                     |
| 7  | (Chorus of ayes.)                                 |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed?                          |
| 9  | COLONEL WEIR: Chapter 4, the                      |
| 10 | Department of Defense expedited transfer policy   |
| 11 | and related issues. We've discussed the           |
| 12 | expedited transfer. I believe that we have, or    |
| 13 | the Committee has looked at that. And I want to   |
| 14 | say that they voted on the findings and           |
| 15 | recommendations in October.                       |
| 16 | BGEN SCHWENK: Yes, so they're all                 |
| 17 | voted on?   |
| 18 | COLONEL WEIR: So they're all voted                |
| 19 | on, and this is just putting the expedited        |
| 20 | transfer chapter in the annual report. And the    |
| 21 | only thing that we will do, as you notice in that |
| 22 | chapter, Finding, it's XX, we just didn't want to |
|    |   |

| I  | 3.<br>I   |
|----|---|
| 1  | put a number in there and have to change it. But  |
| 2  | the DAC-IPAD has voted on the findings and        |
| 3  | recommendations for the expedited transfer        |
| 4  | portion of this.                                  |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: My only comment is on             |
| 6  | page 5, and that in the footnote 134 about        |
| 7  | testimony in front of the PWG. Is that going to   |
| 8  | be sufficiently anonymized, given the small size  |
| 9  | of that?  |
| 10 | COLONEL WEIR: And that's in which                 |
| 11 | footnote, ma'am?                                  |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: It's footnote 134,                |
| 13 | and it's the second paragraph, second sentence of |
| 14 | the first full paragraph on page 5.               |
| 15 | MS. SAUNDERS: Ma'am, I think we had               |
| 16 | talked about that and we had agreed to take that  |
| 17 | part of it out.                                   |
| 18 | CHAIR BASHFORD: That was a different              |
| 19 | one we talked about.                              |
| 20 | MS. SAUNDERS: Right.                              |
| 21 | CHAIR BASHFORD: So is this one                    |
| 22 | sufficiently anonymized as well?                  |
|    |   |
|    |   |

(202) 234-4433

1 MS. SAUNDERS: I think we're going to 2 take out the testimony of --CHAIR BASHFORD: 3 Okay. 4 MS. SAUNDERS: --- a portion. 5 MS. CARSON: We'll put that on all of them for the ones that --6 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. 8 MS. CARSON: -- involved something 9 with the testimony we want to anonymize. CHAIR BASHFORD: 10 Okay. 11 COLONEL WEIR: So that moves us to 12 Chapter 5, the discussion of required study on 13 collateral misconduct. 14 CHAIR BASHFORD: Do we have to approve 15 Chapter 4? 16 COLONEL WEIR: Yes, I'm sorry. 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further 18 discussion on Chapter 4? Then I move we adopt it 19 as part of our annual report. 20 HON. GRIMM: Second. CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor? 21 22 (Chorus of ayes.)

| I  | 3   |
|----|---|
| 1  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? Chapter 4                |
| 2  | it is.  |
| 3  | COLONEL WEIR: Chapter 5 talks about               |
| 4  | discussion of a required study on collateral      |
| 5  | misconduct.                                       |
| 6  | This was briefed to the Committee at              |
| 7  | the October meeting where you all were informed   |
| 8  | concerning the National Defense Authorization     |
| 9  | Act, where there was a it includes a revision     |
| 10 | requiring the Secretary of Defense, acting        |
| 11 | through the Defense Advisory Committee on         |
| 12 | Investigation and Prosecution of Sexual Assault   |
| 13 | in the Armed Forces, to prepare and submit        |
| 14 | biannual reports to Congress detailing the number |
| 15 | of instances in which an individual who reports   |
| 16 | an incident of sexual assault is either           |
| 17 | investigated for or receives adverse action as a  |
| 18 | result of the misconduct engaged in. But that     |
| 19 | individual is collateral to the investigation of  |
| 20 | the sexual offense.                               |
| 21 | There's the initial observations that             |
| 22 | we discussed. And basically what this is, this    |
|    |   |
|    |   |

chapter is a review of the discussions that you 1 2 had in October concerning the collateral misconduct issue. 3 So this is what we as the staff 4 5 captured and placed in this chapter, just to alert those who will receive this annual report 6 7 that we're aware of that and we're waiting for 8 further guidance and 50 more people. 9 Any comments or questions? 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: I just want to point 11 out that the cases I think the Working Group has reviewed, whether there's collateral misconduct, 12 13 sometimes it's apparent in the file underage 14 drinking, whether there has been any action taken on any collateral misconduct is I would say more 15 16 often than not not apparent. 17 So to actually find that out, I think 18 you'd have to do the same thing with an RFI for 19 the person's records to see what had happened. And I think that would be a massive task. 20 21 HON. GRIMM: We have a great working 22 relationship with the Service representatives,

1 no, we used to.

| 2  | (Laughter.)                                       |
|----|---|
| 3  | HON. GRIMM: And we will get with them             |
| 4  | and theirs to try to help us figure out a way to  |
| 5  | solve this problem. I heard that perhaps if we    |
| 6  | had a Social Security number of someone in a      |
| 7  | report, they could run a query and maybe find out |
| 8  | if anything was done. But we'll delve further     |
| 9  | into that and see if there was any non-judicial   |
| 10 | action taken.                                     |
| 11 | But it's just not the 165 files that              |
| 12 | have been reviewed that were part of this sample  |
| 13 | that's part of this report. We've looked, I       |
| 14 | think that what's known as 1400.                  |
| 15 | And you will obviously glean from that            |
| 16 | investigation that there was some misconduct that |
| 17 | went on, but most of the investigation the        |
| 18 | agencies that are investigating an allegation of  |
| 19 | rape or sexual assault are not investigating      |
| 20 | underage drinking.                                |
| 21 | That's a refer back to the command,               |
| 22 | hey, by the way, we found that this out, you      |
|    |   |

|    | аларана актория актори<br>П |
|----|---|
| 1  | know, it's out of our, it's not within our  |
| 2  | purview to investigate this. So it's not clear,   |
| 3  | it's not at all clear from the files.   |
| 4  | Now, sometimes you will find that a   |
| 5  | subject gets punished for collateral misconduct   |
| 6  | even if he wasn't punished for the sexual assault   |
| 7  | in a no-action taken case. But that's not really  |
| 8  | what I believe the focus of this requirement is   |
| 9  | from Congress. So, more information to follow on  |
| 10 | that.   |
| 11 | And Chapter 6, additional issues for  |
| 12 | the DAC-IPAD's review identified by the JPP.  |
| 13 | This is just an update to let the folks know. If  |
| 14 | you'll recall, the DoD General Counsel sent a   |
| 15 | letter, a memorandum to the DAC-IPAD requesting   |
| 16 | that the DAC-IPAD look into Article 32, 33, and   |
| 17 | 34, which were JPP recommendations.   |
| 18 | And he requested that there be  |
| 19 | something in the annual report concerning that.   |
| 20 | So I'm sorry, 5 is attached to 6.   |
| 21 | Part of the problem with doing this or  |
| 22 | the request, some of law just came into effect on   |
|    |   |

| I  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | January. So it was we were unable to do           |
| 2  | anything with that because the law hadn't taken   |
| 3  | effect yet. And the Article 32 new provision had  |
| 4  | taken effect, but it's still too new.             |
| 5  | As you saw from the data                          |
| 6  | presentations, we know that how many Article 32s  |
| 7  | are waived, and we've got analysis of how many    |
| 8  | 32s were waived and how did it result in the      |
| 9  | finding of not guilty. We were able to do some    |
| 10 | of that stuff.                                    |
| 11 | But I think it's still too early until            |
| 12 | we get three or four or five years of data to try |
| 13 | to figure out whether those changes. You know,    |
| 14 | anecdotally when you read a preferred case that's |
| 15 | been referred to a court martial and you see the  |
| 16 | start time of the 32 was at 10:03, finish time    |
| 17 | 10:22, I'm not sure, you know, how valuable that  |
| 18 | exercise was.                                     |
| 19 | And when you read the actual report,              |
| 20 | the evidence submitted is a CID report, the CID - |
| 21 | or, I'll use CID since I'm a Army dude CID        |
| 22 | agent was there to testify, and really there's no |

1 testimony, they just didn't submit the report. 2 The victim doesn't testify and the defendant -the suspect. And no other witness. 3 And then the 32 officer -- preliminary 4 5 hearing officer makes his determination based upon that. 6 So that will be of interest when you 7 8 see the length of these things. And we're where 9 we're at because 132, and I won't mention the Navy, I mean, the Service, was the eight-hour, 10 11 you know, on the witness, two-day 32. Which 12 ended up causing the problem here. 13 But that's Chapter 6, it's just to 14 capture what we know and kind of lay it all out 15 for the final report. There's no findings or 16 recommendations to make of that, other than to 17 approve the chapter. 18 CHAIR BASHFORD: Any discussion on 19 Chapter 6? 20 BGEN SCHWENK: What's our way ahead on 21 Chapter 6? 22 CHAIR BASHFORD: Wait and see.

| د<br>ا  |
|---|
| BGEN SCHWENK: Wait and see? Okay.                 |
| COLONEL WEIR: There's, what we've,                |
| what the staff is, we're talking about, we're     |
| still in discussion. But it may be a good place,  |
| and it's no decision, obviously you're the Chair  |
| and you get to make the decisions. But the        |
| Policy Working Group might be a good place to     |
| place that and see where it goes.                 |
| But right now I think until we see a              |
| year's worth of information coming out of that    |
| data, you know, data collected for that, it       |
| probably might be too tough to even figure it     |
| out. I mean, part of the problems that we've      |
| had, we've got the information from 2012 court    |
| martials, '13, '14.                               |
| But the law changed in there. So you              |
| know, how accurate. You can't compare '16 to '14  |
| to '12 because everything's got changed around.   |
| So if we could maybe have a pause in new laws and |
| changes for you know, five years.                 |
| PARTICIPANT: Face over there when you             |
| say that.   |
|   |
|   |

| 1  | COLONEL WEIR: Some of that. So we                 |
|----|---|
| 2  | might able to really come up with some valuable   |
| 3  | data.   |
| 4  | CHAIR BASHFORD: I think that should               |
| 5  | be one of our recommendations.                    |
| 6  | (Laughter.)                                       |
| 7  | COLONEL WEIR: But we, our next, what              |
| 8  | we'll do is we'll make all the revisions and the  |
| 9  | changes that we, you know, take law enforcement   |
| 10 | out and keep some of those, the words that we     |
| 11 | found. And then we'll send you out a clean copy   |
| 12 | of that.  |
| 13 | And if there's something that we                  |
| 14 | missed where we didn't take out law enforcement   |
| 15 | or something, if you'd just please get that back  |
| 16 | to us, we'll make those changes.                  |
| 17 | And that will be, we've already                   |
| 18 | discussed and voted on the new, the draft stuff.  |
| 19 | So there's no been no new findings or             |
| 20 | recommendations that have been brought up that we |
| 21 | have to think about voting on in the future. So   |
| 22 | I'm not sure I'll talk to you, Chair Bashford,    |
|    |   |

I

(202) 234-4433

if we need the telephonic February meeting. 1 2 And it might be just a good idea to get everyone in, everyone happy and give us the 3 4 yeah, yeah, yeah. And it'll be a short, 15, 20 5 minute meeting just to make sure. I want to make sure before we go final and it gets submitted to 6 7 the Secretary of Defense that everyone is happy. 8 CHAIR BASHFORD: Since General Schwenk 9 weighed in, I don't think we've actually formally adopted Chapter 6. You asked what was the way 10 ahead. 11 12 BGEN SCHWENK: Sorry. I think that an 13 idle mind is the Devil's workshop. And seated 14 next to you on your right is the Devil's workshop. So for those of you who can't see, 15 16 that would be Chief McKinley. So I think it 17 makes a lot of sense to get them started on 18 thinking about 32, 34, whatever. Without any staff support, just let it on. 19 20 Let the staff provide the documents 21 and let them sit down when we come for the next 22 meeting in three months and at least get started

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

| ĺ  | алана ал |
|----|--|
| 1  | thinking about it. Because otherwise, you know,  |
| 2  | time will go by and three months after that and  |
| 3  | three months after that. And also, idle, we'll   |
| 4  | be idle.   |
| 5  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Other than the ad  |
| 6  | hominem comments.  |
| 7  | (Laughter.)  |
| 8  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Any further  |
| 9  | discussion on Chapter 6? Then I move we adopt it   |
| 10 | as part of our annual report.  |
| 11 | HON. GRIMM: Second.  |
| 12 | CHAIR BASHFORD: All in favor?  |
| 13 | (Chorus of ayes.)  |
| 14 | CHAIR BASHFORD: Opposed? We're done.   |
| 15 | COLONEL WEIR: Well, I think we have  |
| 16 | some cases. Now, the Case Review Working Group   |
| 17 | has reviewed these cases, but if any other folks   |
| 18 | that want to pop over and take a look at these   |
| 19 | investigations, you're more than welcome to.   |
| 20 | I know, Chief, you're moving closer, so you  |
| 21 | could drive here and we'd pay for your mileage   |
| 22 | and a room, and you can bring a cot and sleep in   |
|    |  |

our office and just do nothing but review cases. 1 2 CHIEF MCKINLEY: I would like to say also that we probably need to real soon start 3 4 thinking about site visits if we're going to do 5 them at all. Because only having two years left. BGEN SCHWENK: Yes, that's what the 6 7 General was saying earlier. 8 CHIEF MCKINLEY: And we've got to get 9 on the move if we're going to do it. And really have a course of direction on, you know, what are 10 we going to try to accomplish on the site visits. 11 12 CHAIR BASHFORD: So that we're putting 13 that on the staff to start thinking about, I know 14 you already have been. Before I call Mr. Sullivan into, leaping into service again, I 15 16 really want to take a moment to thank the staff 17 on the work they've done in putting this 18 together. 19 Even though we have nitpicked you a 20 little bit, I mean it's a truly an awesome amount 21 of work, and you've done, just really. 22 (Applause.)

|    |                                       | 52 |
|----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 1  | BGEN SCHWENK: And that's overcoming   |    |
| 2  | the Staff Director. I mean that's     |    |
| 3  | CHAIR BASHFORD: Mr. Sullivan.         |    |
| 4  | MR. SULLIVAN: This meeting of the     |    |
| 5  | DAC-IPAD is officially closed.        |    |
| 6  | (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter |    |
| 7  | went off the record at 3:52 p.m.)     |    |
| 8  |                                       |    |
| 9  |                                       |    |
| 10 |                                       |    |
| 11 |                                       |    |
| 12 |                                       |    |
| 13 |                                       |    |
| 14 |                                       |    |
| 15 |                                       |    |
| 16 |                                       |    |
| 17 |                                       |    |
| 18 |                                       |    |
| 19 |                                       |    |
| 20 |                                       |    |
| 21 |                                       |    |
| 22 |                                       |    |
|    |                                       |    |
|    |                                       |    |

Α **A-10** 21:13 22:15 A.J 5:9 **a.m** 1:13 4:2 124:17,18 161:9 **ability** 18:5,11 82:17 88:1 92:9 94:15 205:10 able 5:7 22:20 33:15 55:5 57:10 63:20 71:11 90:10 123:15 129:12 135:15,19 136:2 140:11,12 142:19 147:12 158:9 159:19 160:22 162:12 164:1 168:16 174:10 174:20 197:12,16 213:2,10 233:11 237:22 241:16 263:11 311:10 312:14 322:9 325:2 above-entitled 124:16 161:8 304:17 329:6 absolute 41:12 61:17 118:21,22 119:11 absolutely 54:3,22 59:8 68:4 73:18,20 85:2,8 94:17 99:17 101:8 117:8,15 126:20 134:7 141:5 204:20 absurd 20:19 abused 34:13 104:5,6 abuses 104:3 **ACCA** 96:16 accepting 19:8 access 90:10 152:7 154:15 157:13 160:3 160:6 314:11 accomplish 328:11 accomplishment 24:9 43:7 accomplishments 41:13 account 182:17 183:5 225:12 accountable 22:11 50:22 accounting 169:3 accuracy 137:20 224:2 accurate 43:3 145:14 146:14 197:20 238:9 243:15 244:1 253:21 263:5 264:4 265:15 265:16 266:10 267:7 268:16 270:15 282:7 282:8 301:1 324:17 accurately 262:1 269:7 accusation 8:13 10:20

13:10 17:2 24:12 49:7 54:17 61:15 79:22 102:15 303:2 accusations 77:13 accused 3:8 6:1 7:17 13:2 21:17 54:21 56:5 57:7,17 69:19 70:15 79:1,8,20 80:12 89:18 89:20 90:9,18 97:6 104:11 116:15 129:9 130:6,8,10,16,17,20 131:7,20 132:16,17 135:14 136:18 142:2 156:21 157:19 237:2 238:5 308:9,10,19 309:14 312:3 accuser 12:19 16:15 42:7 52:6 67:1 78:2 accuser's 68:17 accusers 22:11 77:7,12 achieve 39:20 achieved 40:7 41:15 43:8 achievement 44:21 acquaintance 167:22 acquittal 78:18 147:18 149:15,17,19,20 151:13 154:4 311:1,2 acquitted 76:11 155:3 act 15:12 73:4 111:2 123:15 244:16 261:12 281:1 312:15 318:9 acted 190:8 acting 16:5 222:10 318:10 action's 290:18 actions 231:21 232:2 234:11,14 235:8 293:15 299:8,20 active 23:1,3 27:18 32:8 64:6 activity 136:16 actor 64:18 acts 15:15 actual 126:4 132:4 139:5 157:11 254:16 257:3 258:1,9 308:10 322:19 ad 327:5 ADC 62:20 add 53:20 67:11 97:5 127:17 135:15 147:18 159:7,9 160:10 181:9 186:9,12,14 218:2 223:10 236:2 241:19 241:22 248:10,12 251:20 255:18 306:20 308:2 314:15

added 127:21 129:10 136:13 234:10 242:5 addict 64:16 adding 140:10 148:6 158:2 229:17 258:8 addition 9:5,13,20 129:4 148:10 175:4 224:1 236:6 309:10 additional 19:1 96:19 96:21 142:14 162:16 225:14,14 321:11 address 38:4 87:22 97:2 198:11 216:15 219:16 229:8 289:12 289:19 290:1,13,16 292:3 298:1 299:18 299:19 302:10 addressed 17:20 198:3 242:3 284:1 313:7 Adjourned 3:20 adjudged 309:12 adjudication 79:20 306:15 administrative 199:4 289:13,15 293:15,16 293:18 294:7 298:9 298:11,17 299:8,9,17 299:18,20 301:10,12 admire 97:17 admired 71:15 admissible 233:4 admission 174:16 175:3 admits 67:1 admitted 66:21 80:14 238:1,18 adopt 253:6 256:14,14 258:20 259:19 260:22 262:13 272:10 278:5 281:11 282:16 287:5 305:20 317:18 327:9 adopted 241:2 244:7 248:19 249:3 254:8 256:20 262:20 272:16 275:8 281:18 283:1 287:10 289:4 306:5 326:10 adultery 154:10 293:16 301:22 302:3 advance 17:15 39:22 advanced 40:11 adverse 318:17 advice 9:3 59:11 76:9 83:4 263:2,9,12,14,17 264:2,20 268:12 270:13,20 271:3,14 272:2 advise 5:14 109:20

110:17 advised 59:11 71:7 advising 9:10 16:1,10 16:11 35:19 82:19 107:15 109:4 270:22 271:3,7 advisory 1:3 4:5,21 58:16 318:11 advocacy 60:16 164:6 170:5 advocate 8:9,16,17 10:10 14:1 16:1,2 58:12,17 65:8 82:8,18 82:19 94:22 107:15 107:22 190:2 192:13 265:19 269:4 274:1 274:18 Advocate's 159:17 advocates 17:16 59:4 61:19 71:8,9 82:3,15 83:9 91:3,17 92:4 93:12,14 103:8 109:3 109:11,20 110:16 170:2 194:22 259:10 263:1,16 264:11 268:11 270:11 272:19 278:14 281:5 affairs 33:2 affect 13:5 60:9 74:4 **affirm** 42:6 affirmed 46:12 afford 71:12 Afghanistan 10:1 86:10 afraid 70:2 afternoon 157:16 160:9 161:12,14,16 age 167:7,12 214:5 agencies 35:9 120:20 186:13 243:22 244:2 320:18 agency 173:22 185:21 185:21 186:11,16,17 186:22 187:9,13 188:5.6 agenda 84:3 agent 322:22 ages 167:9 173:13 aggregate 176:11 aggressive 42:14 ago 18:20 34:1 44:11 51:17 109:7,7 119:15 232:9 agree 54:3 69:11 98:1 103:20 112:8 115:2 120:5 133:19 143:8 148:13 204:21 205:17 211:17 213:7 215:12 215:20 236:14 251:5

288:12 agreed 27:13 212:3 215:4 316:16 agreement 17:13 139:8 239:17 agreements 213:11 ahead 10:7 11:22 40:12 76:1,9 122:22 198:19 256:5 311:10,16,18 323:20 326:11 Air 17:13,14 21:12 22:14,15,18 24:14,22 25:11 26:4,6 28:7,19 30:1,13,20 32:11,11 32:14 33:2,7,8,10,10 33:13,16,21 34:3,9,14 35:8,17 37:6 52:5,8 59:19,19,21 62:8,9,11 112:1 153:7 277:13 aired 11:6 airline 21:14 28:10,22 29:5,9,20,22 30:19 31:10 37:10 airman 61:13 **Airmen** 237:18 alcohol 180:7.15.22 181:5,7,12 183:1 184:2,18 185:3,5 207:20 alert 319:6 Alice 2:5 allegation 7:18 10:17 22:1 25:5,10,12 36:1 38:8,14 41:20 42:2,4 42:11 44:14 46:14 47:18 49:4 67:2.4 76:16 88:6 100:1 109:9 218:18 304:10 320:18 allegations 5:16 13:2 38:18 57:6 61:1 63:4 226:10 227:18,22 228:6 alleged 68:11 200:4,8 202:22 203:5,7 232:7 243:20 244:20 245:1 alleges 56:3 103:17,18 allow 180:10 allowed 55:10 238:20 238:22 allows 18:14 26:18 187:2 alluded 116:17 alongside 59:16 **Amanda** 2:6 amazing 41:15 117:9 Ambien 182:22 amend 237:3

amended 5:13 228:13 234:19 256:13 262:12 262:19 265:3 272:9 278:4,5 281:12 287:4 288:13 American 121:15 196:14 198:9 amount 47:20 116:7 165:20 328:20 amounts 207:20 analogy 85:16 analysis 125:13,16,21 126:2 128:1 136:11 150:20 160:12 162:8 163:14 171:8 175:6 175:14 185:18 187:16 189:11 191:11,14 192:2 193:10 209:3 306:16 309:6,7 322:7 Analyst 2:10 analytic 151:2 analyzed 49:19 151:4 and/or 180:7 181:4,12 Anderson 1:15 84:16 84:21 87:11 98:5 273:4 275:3 298:14 **Anderson's** 90:13 anecdotally 322:14 angle 101:19 105:13 angles 106:10 announcement 41:6,10 42:18 52:4 annual 6:13 315:20 317:19 319:6 321:19 327:10 anonymize 317:9 anonymized 316:8,22 answer 12:17 30:22 33:4 37:18 60:12 68:19 71:9 75:15 81:19 82:14 98:6 111:16 118:3 143:10 189:5 196:4 answered 31:3 90:3 117:15 answering 48:21 85:4 answers 67:18 80:16 90:4 110:11 122:13 168:2 anticipates 309:10 anybody 114:19 193:18 anymore 20:14 30:6 anyone's 168:1 anytime 56:12 anyway 50:9 86:20 88:20 apart 153:11 apartment 34:8 98:22

apolitical 83:14 apology 28:8 apparent 319:13,16 **appeal** 127:19 Appeals 95:2,8 127:18 appears 159:2 appeasing 26:6 appellate 79:4 95:3,5 appendices 147:13 314:2 315:4 appendix 126:8 147:13 152:8 178:2 192:5 198:5 213:14 313:19 313:21 314:4,7,16 applaud 87:1 **Applause** 328:22 applicable 101:9 244:18 298:22 application 195:22 applications 18:1,2 applied 17:19 applies 147:13 305:21 apply 103:9 107:8 121:4 198:16 238:11 appointment 41:10 42:17 appreciate 21:5.8 37:17 38:1 80:5 83:16 254:22 **approach** 113:1,2 appropriate 199:18 204:11 206:1 223:3 233:12 240:12 269:9 appropriately 240:2,4,7 240:9.21 approval 15:7 83:18 135:9 approve 70:4 317:14 323:17 approved 309:12 approximately 9:2 127:22 area 149:1,2 areas 298:5 argue 36:12 **Arizona** 36:8 Arlington 1:12 arm 222:4 armed 1:4 4:7 5:1.17 84:7 85:11,12 244:18 244:21 318:13 **Army** 1:12,15 7:16,19 8:5,18 9:4,15,18 11:11,20 13:4 15:7,12 15:15 17:1,21 19:20 19:22 40:6 41:2 45:17 45:19 46:6,18 47:13 48:6,11 55:4,7 60:18

65:1 66:1.21 71:19 86:8,18 93:9,13 95:1 95:8 99:17 101:17 113:8,12,18 114:1,6 114:10,11,17 122:10 273:14 308:1 322:21 Army's 86:11,15 307:22 arraignment 28:17 arrest 218:10 arrested 19:3 280:6 arrived 40:5 arriving 24:4 45:13 article 28:20 29:12 32:12,15 33:9,11,15 62:10,12 129:5 138:5 138:9 139:6,12 145:1 159:15 198:14 291:20 292:11 299:16,16 313:5 321:16 322:3,6 articulate 19:20 280:2 285:2 asked 18:3 23:14 27:9 28:22 30:9 32:11,19 33:4 35:8 39:16 63:2 63:6 66:4.19 87:13 89:13 98:6 107:17.20 107:21 108:1 121:18 143:9 147:8 190:22 194:16 195:15 237:14 326:10 asking 29:8 70:5 asleep 179:6 aspect 64:22 116:11 238:14 aspects 55:13 105:2.5 assailants 133:10 **assaulted** 42:2 56:4,6 56:15 57:9 58:2 103:18 assaulting 132:1,1 assaults 144:5 assemble 124:5 assembled 45:6 46:6 assess 16:20 67:16 290:11 assessment 241:9,21 242:3 287:12 288:20 assessments 103:7 assigned 31:5 65:10 90:18 assignment 11:19 22:16 23:17 65:16 assignments 22:19 assigns 224:21 assist 259:11 Assistance 8:19 assistant 25:7 assisting 271:19

associated 164:5 287:14 288:2,15 assumed 25:11 assuming 119:21 287:22 assure 39:1 assured 19:13 astray 62:8 112:21 **ASU** 36:6 atmosphere 310:13 attach 313:17 attached 313:21 314:2 314:3 321:20 attachment 313:19 attachments 314:16 attempt 157:22 206:22 attend 5:7 10:6 attendance 4:20 attention 48:20 52:19 109:8,18 176:19 229:5 298:5 attorney 15:20 16:14 58:11 66:10 67:20 74:20 83:1 91:4,6 96:1,6,9,12,15,15 118:8 168:18 174:3 219:12 264:8 Attorney-Advisor 2:5,6 2:7,8,9,9,10 Attorney-Advisory 2:7 attorneys 9:3 10:2 74:19,20 91:20 92:6 263:2,17 268:12 270:12 attribute 204:15 atypical 70:14 aud 161:15 audible 124:2 audience 7:2 161:17 August 27:19 30:4 73:11 authorities 60:7 115:3 115:9 122:9 275:19 276:4 295:9 authority 15:8 24:2 32:7 35:20 58:16,18 58:22 59:6 69:9 82:15 82:21 83:6 110:5,13 110:14,16,18,19 111:17 112:9,10,14 113:14,15 119:6 159:18 276:5,6 277:19 295:5,9,11 296:14 297:7 Authorization 244:16 261:12 318:8 authorized 164:4,22 automatic 102:15

automatically 67:22 92:19 available 6:21 47:10 52:17 65:9 156:4 170:2 192:4 214:12 average 166:8 167:7 Aviation 8:4 avoid 301:14 awarded 47:20 aware 78:14 319:7 awareness 125:15 awesome 29:2 31:11 328:20 awful 61:16 awhile 29:3 aye 259:1 ayes 228:22 235:1 241:5 244:10 248:22 253:9 256:17 259:2 260:2 261:4 262:16 272:13 275:11 278:8 281:15 282:19 287:8 306:3 315:7 317:22 327:13 В

## **B** 1:21

back 18:21 20:21 28:10 34:12,18,19 35:6 55:5 58:5 60:21 64:12.14 67:1.17 68:7.11.19 70:9 72:3 75:17 80:21 81:20 85:1 87:18 91:10 93:15 94:4 99:3 101:4 103:11 112:3 112:18 113:19,20 120:2 122:16 126:2 139:20 140:4,11 153:4 158:2 161:7,11 183:20 189:12 198:19 202:22 211:8 216:12 224:2 228:5 237:12 241:16 250:14 251:13 253:22 292:12 297:15 304:4 312:17 320:21 325:15 background 8:3 41:9 80:3,5 92:18 backgrounds 213:1 Backing 143:9 bad 64:18 219:3 237:15 Bales 9:22 10:4 ball 38:19 band-aid 50:8 **bar** 36:8 89:5 barbaric 31:15 base 17:14 27:3 35:17 63:16 191:8 196:12

213:21 215:11 based 54:4 57:7 69:4 82:20 92:20 99:16 108:16 110:17 118:11 125:7 144:16 146:14 161:21 162:14 163:9 165:22 166:10 167:16 175:6 196:10,14 213:22 214:4 215:5 218:3 221:12,20 222:15 225:21 226:6 227:2,2,5,6,14 230:11 230:17 261:20 271:8 275:15 310:18 312:19 314:17 323:5 **baseless** 25:9,12 26:2 35:22 272:22 **bases** 9:8 basic 54:16 195:3 **basically** 50:3,11 67:12 68:10 70:7,22 88:3 96:16 97:11 170:12 199:5 236:20 309:5 318:22 basis 19:19 220:2 225:2 229:18 230:10 237:2 battalion 15:21 71:6 battle 22:3 23:8 24:13 battlefield 120:7 battlefields 86:10 battles 29:16 bears 251:18 becoming 92:2 beginning 20:22 48:4 104:5 105:19 138:14 158:6 221:12 247:3 behalf 16:5 311:22 313.7 beholden 92:2 233:1 beings 109:12 122:8 **belief** 82:7 184:5 believe 9:14 14:15 20:2 20:4 24:13 28:15 31:2 39:10 44:2,22 48:9 50:16 54:8 57:3 58:3 59:4,5 60:18 67:4,18 82:10,19 85:5,6 88:21 90:17 91:15,16,17,18 92:4 93:7 95:7 96:18 96:18,20 97:14 108:16 110:21 111:7 111:16 113:1 146:5 150:17 160:16 166:21 170:4,21 173:2 198:5 219:20 256:7 272:21 273:15 277:8 307:19 315:12 321:8 **believed** 47:9 68:14

believing 183:6 belong 20:7 beneficial 191:2 benefits 78:10 102:14 102:16 best 45:15 61:18 62:15 62:20 93:16 148:14 148:14 269:11 better 4:7 20:13 30:20 76:4 91:4,4,5 95:19 98:8 116:13,14,16 140:13 150:9 180:11 206:20 217:20,21 219:5 241:17 256:12 268:4 288:9 308:11 beyond 39:10 40:1,2 123:8 biannual 318:14 bias 105:21 173:1 biased 112:20 big 72:4 104:1 126:17 134:21 163:4 177:14 183:17 184:9 198:21 bigger 20:8 105:1 122:10 210:1 216:6 **bill** 11:7 81:22 billet 23:17 binned 220:18 298:17 biographies 39:14 biography 40:3 bios 41:3 80:4 birthday 38:5 birthdays 40:17 births 158:3 **bit** 54:9 65:4 87:12 101:3 108:8 142:10 147:11 149:8 152:9 153:17 175:6,14 177:7 181:10 182:7 213:16 240:14 285:4 298:18 300:21 302:13 302:21 309:21 328:20 bivariate 171:8 191:14 black 195:4 blacked 179:5 180:4 blah 98:15,15,15 blanket 85:20 121:4 blatantly 80:2 blessings 36:4 blew 35:8 **blog** 17:9 board 14:9,10 15:3 45:19,22 46:2 49:9 68:20 79:5,7 230:3,8 board's 55:6 boards 48:7 114:7 **boat** 80:13 bodies 85:14

**body** 45:11 **boiled** 50:3 boils 102:12 119:19 120.2**bold** 210:18 211:12,14 211:15 **bolded** 219:19 border 18:15 boss 24:10,16 27:1 63:20.21 bother 144:21 **bottom** 71:1 bounced 139:20 box 159:22 196:17 271:12 branch 65:11 152:18 153:4,15 branches 114:9 153:8 153:18 brand 68:15 Bravo 297:2 breadth 39:3 47:4 break 6:5 124:10,15 137:12 142:7 144:9 160:22 161:6 198:18 289:9 304:15 308:17 308:19 breakdowns 198:9 breaking 64:4 breathe 44:17 brief 8:3 205:22 briefed 9:17 318:6 briefing 216:13 308:21 308:22 309:2 Brig 1:19 brigade 113:8,9,11 117:3,11,12,14 brigadier 24:5 27:5 37:9 41:2 67:9 bring 52:19 61:3 67:20 100:11 237:3 327:22 Brisbois 5:8 broad 154:16 295:2 296:7 broadening 269:20 broader 201:14 broke 98:16 174:1 broken 164:3 165:6 179:8 195:3 293:22 brought 32:8 78:12,21 139:15 269:19 276:12 313:14 325:20 budget 121:17 bulk 70:14 **bullet** 125:18,19 210:11 **bunch** 125:18 burn 97:11,21 business 21:15 193:15

butt 24:20 25:7 bypass 18:14 byproduct 22:2

С

## \_\_\_\_\_

**C** 1:19 cadet 8:5 42:3 calculated 37:9 calendar 37:5 California 23:7 call 10:21 28:1 29:1,11 31:6 32:1 46:17 62:2 78:9 99:3.14 188:19 212:10,11 328:14 called 8:6 11:15 13:16 17:17 27:19 31:19 66:19 67:22 calling 77:2 calls 76:20 **Cannon** 5:8 capability 60:10 63:12 capital 113:20 Capitol 49:19 captain 62:16 72:4 277:6 caption 280:14 capture 313:15 323:14 captured 157:12 319:5 captures 187:5 capturing 184:14 care 39:4 108:21 112:7 143:12,14 cared 24:5 career 22:10 23:1,5,22 24:6 25:3,5 31:3 36:2 36:16 38:8,14 40:6 43:7 50:12 76:6,8,13 91:2 111:20 113:22 312:2 careers 27:13 cares 104:1 carried 246:17 carry 92:18 cars 61:8 Carson 2:3 198:4,11,14 223:4,10,13,17 317:5 317:8 Cassia 1:19 catch 268:17 categorical 85:20 categories 129:1 142:13 186:5 187:7 191:21 293:3 categorizing 184:9 category 137:11,12 146:17 165:11 177:8 183:7 184:8 185:9 188:4 294:8

cause 44:2 58:20 66:11 175:20 176:16 190:3 190:3,6 192:14 272:20,22 274:2,6,13 274:17,20,21 275:21 276:16 277:7 278:15 278:22 279:14,15,19 279:22 280:1,3,5,20 280:21 281:1,1,2,5 295:12 296:16 297:9 causes 104:10 109:18 109:20,21 causing 115:5 323:12 cautious 44:17 Cavalry 10:10 14:2 caveat 118:2 CD 25:18 cease 16:2 cell 180:18 181:1 184:22 cells 176:12 180:17 181:10 **CENTCOM** 22:17 ceremony 28:9 certain 84:6 88:17 106:3 109:13.22 111:2 152:13 165:16 165:20 233:14,15 239:2 263:4 264:4 268:15 270:15 certainly 12:16 38:17 39:6 54:2 55:2 75:3 101:3 119:4 122:19 133:9,16 198:11 299:1 cetera 25:17,17 255:11 **chain** 11:8 15:22 28:4 31:16 32:6 93:6 100:17 106:7,13,15 Chair's 286:18 Chairman 21:4 Chairwoman 73:7 challenge 123:20 challenges 20:11 178:18 chance 37:9 50:21 155:17,20 192:18 193:7 194:5 chances 153:6 154:2,4 change 8:22 12:3 23:17 49:13 102:16 109:19 109:20,21 111:5 127:12 130:3,6,14 137:21 147:10 148:5 212:5 221:21 229:9 277:22 283:12 290:5 295:6 308:7 316:1 changed 20:3 92:9

137:3 138:9.19 146:11 147:17 229:5 232:11 324:16,18 changes 135:12 147:5 150:16 199:6 313:4 322:13 324:20 325:9 325:16 changing 221:5 channels 118:14 chapter 125:17,19 199:3,11 306:11,14 306:17,18 307:5,11 313:3,16 315:2,3,9,20 315:22 317:12,15,18 318:1,3 319:1,5 321:11 323:13,17,19 323:21 326:10 327:9 character 235:11 236:21 237:21 238:11 238:19 239:1 characteristics 166:21 169:5 173:10 191:16 191:22 193:9 309:9 310:2 characterization 240:18 characterize 284:2 291:17 292:2 characters 236:11 charge 26:16,18 30:12 51:11 53:2 79:19 128:17 137:8,22 152:19 154:10 159:15 224:21 291:19 301:3 charged 16:8 42:19 96:7,19,20 137:5,16 137:16 143:16 145:22 154:18,21 155:1 charges 32:16 33:6 59:12 78:21 82:4,5,7 96:2 100:11 106:9 120:16 121:6,8 128:7 128:20 152:18 153:22 154:3,5,7,12,14,17 190:11 203:15 208:2 217:8 283:8 284:16 284:20 285:12,20 286:8,22 294:3 312:5 chart 132:6 135:15 136:14 137:3 138:18 142:3,10 176:8 211:12 charts 125:8 126:7 127:13 132:3 134:13 135:9,19 150:14 211:10 chasing 63:18 **Chayt** 2:4

cheating 41:22 check 29:16 126:10 161:4 174:16 180:8 196:17 266:9 269:6 271:11 checked 196:17 checklist 165:12 182:8 213:18 231:21 232:4 233:2,6,13,18,22 234:2,12,13,15 239:12,14 chest-beating 53:12 chief 2:4 8:19 9:1,6,20 33:12 59:13 62:5,6 64:12,20 65:11 101:17 114:10,14 248:12 255:17 256:1 256:6 260:11 279:8 326:16 327:20 328:2 328:8 child 9:12 60:16 choice 102:16 **choose** 43:19 174:2 chopped 92:1 Chorus 228:22 235:1 239:18 241:5 244:10 248:22 253:9 256:17 259:2 260:2 261:4 262:16 272:13 275:11 278:8 281:15 282:19 287:8 306:3 315:7 317:22 327:13 chose 42:5,11 chosen 45:9 **Chuck** 2:7 140:16 149:22 150:8,22 308:21 **CID** 57:3,4 66:20,21 68:19 90:1 91:2.8 93:8,18 99:17,20 278:20 322:20,20,21 322:21 circumstance 56:14 circumstances 16:21 68:8 citing 126:2 **City** 1:12 civ 264:7 civil 73:9 74:20 118:9 civilian 9:2 13:4 22:22 28:10,13,22 30:19 31:10 40:11 92:16 96:6,8,15 100:12 116:20 118:8 149:1 155:21 156:1 163:22 167:3,6 170:11 173:21 185:21.21 186:11,16,17,20,22

186:22 187:12.20 188:6,8 196:1 217:6 217:11 218:6,17,21 219:10 263:2,17 264:7,19 265:2 268:11 270:12 civilians 169:6 170:22 219:3 264:10 claim 68:12 claimant 67:21 claimed 10:13 42:8 claiming 56:15 claims 103:21 clarification 223:5 clarifications 125:7 clarify 15:5 62:7 88:5 154:9 295:18 class 73:4 75:2 classic 30:22 classification 175:20 175:21 176:10,22 177:2,5,11,18 179:2 273:19 classifications 176:1,6 176:18 classified 273:13 classify 195:9 clause 221:12 clean 325:11 cleaned 142:11 clear 12:18 43:6 76:17 80:11 108:12 114:4 153:9 166:16 182:19 199:11 221:22 227:5 234:12 277:6 283:10 300:1,1 321:2,3 clearance 13:15,17,20 28:11 clearances 34:18 35:5 clearer 125:9 clearly 88:22 196:12 224:6 227:12 285:2 clears 146:16 client 36:19 89:17 90:11 96:5 clients 19:6 clinical 185:8 Clip 271:11 clog 314:12 close 11:1 18:7 31:10 41:19 63:22 177:20 253:16,21 254:14,19 255:9 256:8 closed 6:9 61:6 161:20 250:15 273:12 329:5 closely 43:12 closer 180:5 185:18 193:11 327:20

closest 167:18 168:4 closure 175:17 176:1,5 176:10,18 177:5 273:18 clout 113:19 **CMSAF** 1:18 Coast 129:13 code 115:20 116:9,18 180:2,3 243:20 coding 157:18 cohort 172:2 coin 80:7 Col 2:3 collateral 26:16,18 51:11 222:9 317:13 318:4,19 319:2,12,15 321:5 colleague 10:13 17:12 17:17 colleagues 20:17 97:17 **collect** 197:16 279:16 collected 106:5,6 161:19 197:15 214:5 324:11 collecting 78:10 198:7 275:20 287:15 288:2 288:15 295:11 296:15 297:7 301:7 collection 280:3 309:6 313:5 college 10:6 14:3 43:13 43:14 colonel's 36:2 colonels 41:1 column 129:10,19 176:17 **Com** 173:3 combat 86:12,14 combination 125:20 combined 152:1 193:4 combines 187:6 combining 134:8 167:18 come 17:18 57:6.9 75:2 82:21 85:13 114:8 124:5 129:2 140:11 157:17 161:7 163:4 166:16 181:19 196:19 197:12 205:1 206:15 213:10 214:13 215:11 215:17 216:6,12 241:16 249:16 325:2 326:21 comes 14:9 58:1 68:11 98:17 101:4 106:6 113:19 123:18 163:1 181:10 185:14 225:1 292:12

comfortable 87:9 133:3 135:2 coming 18:21 124:4,15 140:4 324:10 command 11:9 15:22 31:16 32:6 86:4 87:5 93:6,19 96:11 100:17 106:2,7,13,15 113:9 114:20 117:11,12,16 118:5,13 120:4 164:8 164:9,10 166:11 172:19 173:4 175:2 175:19 176:16 177:8 177:8 212:18 214:15 218:20 224:3 244:17 248:3 249:5 252:9 253:14 254:18 255:9 256:7,22 257:3,9,16 257:21 258:1,10 259:12 260:7,9,15,17 261:10,14,20 262:1,4 263:3 264:2,22 266:22 267:9,10 268:14,20 270:14 283:6.16 284:14 285:9 286:7.20 291:17,21 292:11 300:2 305:7,15 320:21 commanded 119:5 commander 15:22 31:8 69:18 71:5,6 80:12 81:1,1 83:10 98:4 99:17 107:3 108:14 108:19,20,21 110:6 113:8 117:3,14,16 120:6 166:12,15,18 191:17 213:12 214:20 215:12 219:8 221:17 221:17 224:12 225:21 250:18 265:7,10,15 265:20,20 269:8 271:7 298:3 Commander's 200:12 208:13 215:4,14 220:4 226:8 227:17 243:16,17 commanders 71:7 82:16 83:8 88:14,16 109:2,3,11,21 110:2 110:13,14,18 113:11 173:2 177:11,16 200:3,6,11,11,16,20 201:5,5,7,8,12,14,17 201:18 202:4 229:21 230:13,20 255:19 259:11 263:3,18 264:2 268:12 270:13

271:19 272:19 274:6 274:7,10 **Commands** 173:3 commend 197:5 comment 4:12 6:16,17 6:20 7:1,3 63:6 169:15 188:1 191:9 305:19 316:5 commented 54:4 197:6 comments 4:9,14 7:6,8 7:18,22 13:9 21:10,18 77:19 79:14,15,17 103:12 104:13 144:16 168:13 217:7,21 232:20 319:9 327:6 commissioned 8:4 **commit** 42:6 commitment 39:19 committed 44:2 103:17 277:8 committee 1:3,11 3:17 4:5,15,22 5:3 6:5,11 7:4,9 17:22 38:4,7 39:17 48:10 53:3 85:11,12 104:15 120:9 139:15 140:4 175:11 194:14 199:12 200:13 203:11 206:20 208:1 212:20 213:9 213:22 217:5 222:20 228:13 229:19 230:12 230:18 232:18 235:6 241:10 242:17 243:5 248:19 288:7,9,19,21 289:1,2,2 308:14 309:18 312:19 315:13 318:6,11 Committee's 6:4 160:11 215:18 common 22:6,9 158:12 203:13 211:3 215:13 commonalities 215:7 commonality 215:5 communicates 227:9 communications 17:15 **community** 116:21 company 71:5 comparable 218:13 compare 136:4 143:6 155:8 167:13 176:3 180:15 213:2 218:7 218:16 324:17 **compared** 129:18 153:14 155:21 comparison 153:15 218:14 **compelled** 88:15 competency 28:4

competent 65:15 competitive 14:15 **complaint** 191:18 192:16,19,20 complaints 220:5 227:20,21 228:1 complete 13:21 45:11 45:12 86:17,20 95:10 163:5 263:5 264:5 267:7 268:16 270:16 271:10 completed 163:13 completely 25:9 68:10 104:8 112:20 206:11 206:21 212:16 completing 259:12 263:3,9,18 264:2 268:14 270:13 271:19 completion 272:4 300:14 compliant 232:8 complicated 299:22 300:9 component 158:14 comprehend 118:19 compressing 205:2 computer 19:2 concept 101:5 concern 43:18 83:5 88:7 95:22 107:1 116:1 130:17 132:11 146:15 216:2 233:21 concerned 106:22 194:19 212:10 238:13 **concerning** 309:8,12 318:8 319:2 321:19 concerns 18:5 97:3 147:6 229:20 230:13 300:17,19 conclude 36:22 conclusion 48:18 56:12 100:21 122:2 158:11 180:12 186:6 215:5 conclusions 163:9 207:12 215:3,18 concurrence 241:10 condition 42:9 conditioned 92:19 conditions 242:22 conduct 6:12 97:14,16 97:18 conducted 65:22 97:15 97:20 conducting 16:3 160:12 235:6 conference 10:15 28:22 29:11 confessed 193:6

confession 174:17,20 175:3 confidence 120:18 confident 24:22 147:22 confidential 92:11 confinement 151:20 155:21 **confirm** 99:22 confirmation 28:1 conflict 84:8 257:2,22 258:7 279:18 conflicts 86:9 258:4,7,9 confrontation 111:10 confusion 12:18 182:20 272:18 273:22 274:15 279:21 Congress 9:15,17 26:6 42:13 55:21 57:18 70:2 82:22 88:17 120:19 222:8 318:14 321:9 **Congress's** 82:17 congressional 34:22 35:5 56:8 83:5 109:8 114:2 connection 246:3 302:11 303:2 consensual 12:21 **consent** 239:6 consequences 61:20 consider 16:14 185:15 consideration 4:16 7:9 14:14 181:14 185:7 considered 27:11 140:17 234:4 299:3 consistency 175:17 176:13 276:20 consistent 39:20 61:2 130:8 137:2,15 141:14 152:22 155:12 169:2 176:10 197:9 203:21 consistently 154:1 176:5 221:10.11 consolidated 294:11 constant 54:8 139:2 constantly 127:17 constitutional 101:4 112:13 consulting 19:7 21:15 contact 43:3 96:9 137:5 137:11,18 139:10 142:9 143:21 144:8 145:12 146:6,12 155:1,8 193:22 308:20 contacted 15:19 contacting 74:10 95:15

contacts 102:9 **content** 184:2 CONTENTS 3:2 context 78:22 continental 117:19 continue 29:21 54:11 96:17 97:17 202:7 241:11 242:1,9,11,17 243:5 287:13 288:1 288:14 309:6 312:2 continued 96:3,9 106:17 108:22 242:5 continuing 48:4 63:7 contractor 19:18 contradicted 68:16 **contrary** 16:16,16 control 77:18 127:15 147:20 controls 85:9 90:7 conveniently 24:2 convening 24:2 32:7 35:19 58:16,17,22 59:6 60:7 69:9 82:21 83:6 111:16 112:10 113:14,15 115:3,9 122:9 159:17 conversation 66:22 99:16 119:8 conversations 185:16 conversely 311:3 convict 26:8,15 **convicted** 153:16,18 154:22 292:7 **conviction** 26:17,19 82:4 139:12,17 140:17,18,19 141:2 143:10,15,19,20 147:17 148:9,12 151:10,12,17 152:19 153:7 154:2,18 155:9 155:18 190:10,10,11 190:14 311:3 convictions 82:6 121:6 121:8 155:6 Conzachi 6:18 cooperate 169:21 coordinate 281:4 coordination 278:14 279:3 281:4 copies 6:21 313:10 **copy** 125:5 160:19 325:11 Corps 19:21 36:14 62:4 97:12 108:13,17 121:17 153:16 correct 116:5 124:22 217:3 285:1 291:12 correction 202:12

correctly 50:9 82:1 correlate 209:1 correlation 219:9 corroborate 184:1 cost 42:14 85:15,22 87:6 121:18 costing 98:21 costs 58:13 cot 327:22 counsel 8:15,16,19 16:6,10 60:1,2 62:13 66:16 67:16 71:8,12 71:14 80:8 89:7,12 90:9,17 91:5 94:6,19 95:1,16,19 97:1 164:7 164:7 170:17,21 171:1,4,11 271:6 313:8 321:14 counseled 24:20 counseling 12:10 34:12 301:20,20,21 counsels 62:14 count 128:3 counting 44:10 country 36:9 43:1 59:17 87:18 117:18 119:1 counts 176:11 County 47:16 **couple** 44:13 50:4 65:19 66:6 68:21 83:15 84:10 104:19 119:15 135:11 147:7 156:19 178:8 180:16 186:3 192:10 193:16 247:5 course 17:15,16 101:9 122:5 160:20 165:4 181:21 235:6 274:16 310:4 328:10 court 73:9 78:15 79:7 95:1,5,8 124:21 127:18 128:14 135:13 141:6,13,16,18 142:7 145:18,21 146:3,9,21 146:22 158:13,19 159:8,12,14 160:2,4 220:21 233:4 238:1 299:15,19 306:15 309:8,15 310:18,19 322:15 324:14 court's 79:15 court- 72:22 76:11 124:9 court-marital 121:19 court-martial 3:12 6:3 27:16 30:5,16 31:11 31:18 72:10,22 73:1 76:10,18 96:7 111:12

111:13 223:14 courtrooms 101:12 courts 78:7 128:4 142:8 157.11cover 115:8 296:22 **covered** 206:5,9,12 207:8,9 239:11 covers 264:18 296:8 **coworker** 167:22 coworkers 235:10 236:10 **Coyne's** 50:4 create 39:9 143:4 204:13 created 5:11 56:10,17 61:10,12 142:4 creates 86:4 197:19 310:12 creating 310:12 credibility 16:15,20 57:14,17 67:17 79:12 79:16,17 80:11 92:13 103:7 178:9 credible 67:14,21 68:3 105:21 crime 83:22.22 84:1 97:6 119:22 120:21 190:12 244:1 crimes 204:16 criminal 65:22 78:22 89:8 93:17 95:2.8 96:2 186:1 189:21 193:20 194:22 195:22 221:16 224:16 238:21 243:22 249:21 252:11 279:6 280:8 283:5,8 283:15 284:4,15,20 285:19,22 286:8,10 286:22 287:1 289:12 290:21 291:19 292:14 293:19 294:3 298:9 299:7,12 301:17 302:5 305:21 Criminologist 2:11 crisis 120:18 critical 23:16 25:20 criticism 201:9,13 criticized 200:3,19,21 201:1 202:1 Cross 211:9 crosswalk 273:22 crushing 39:3 crutch 119:2 **CRWG** 216:4,10,14 221:10 288:1,7 Crystal 1:12 cultural 104:22 curious 139:19

current 41:11 48:16 106:11 246:7 currently 128:11 246:22 298:21 custody 22:3 23:8 24:13 29:15 customs 18:14 cut 80:11 D **D** 211:16 D.C 36:10 50:2 102:9 DAC 127:8 150:10 DAC-288:13 DAC-IPAD 1:4 4:8 5:2 5:11,20 6:15 7:5 162:10 166:4 220:3 222:2,4,6,10,18 223:14 226:8,15 227:16 244:7 253:6 254:8 256:14 274:5 275:8 287:5 288:20 305:20 313:9 316:2 321:15,16 329:5 DAC-IPAD's 321:12 dad 34:13 daily 39:18 **Dale** 2:4 damage 32:3 87:15 damages 47:20 danger 40:18 dangerous 23:20 24:3 239:7 data 3:11,12,15 6:3,4,7 120:13,19 124:8,10 124:12,22 125:17 126:11 135:13 136:5 139:22 140:3,9,15 142:20 147:14 148:19 148:22 149:6 150:1 151:5 152:14,14 154:15 156:12 157:8 157:11 158:3 161:18 162:7,11,18 163:1,9 163:15,20 175:8 179:20 182:1,2 184:19 185:19 186:19 187:2 191:3,13 192:4 193:12 194:19 196:2 197:15 204:13 205:5 206:6 207:9 213:18 213:18 214:1,4,5,18 217:11 218:1 224:20 225:18,18 229:10 244:1 250:9 274:20 282:4 300:13,21 301:7 306:18 309:3,5 309:11,18 310:18

311:17 313:4 322:5 322:12 324:11,11 325:3 database 35:7 42:21 87:17 88:1 127:9,16 128:12 129:13,17 130:2,21 132:17 136:9 137:7 138:22 140:1 141:12 145:19 146:18 157:21 158:20 159:13 160:2 162:5 162:12 210:1 223:14 249:8 251:6,12 252:12,18,20,22 274:3,19 275:21 276:1 283:6,15 286:1 286:10 287:2 295:14 296:2,16,18 297:9,11 298:8 301:18 302:2 databases 244:2 249:17 284:12 287:17 288:4,17 289:18 291:10,15 302:15 304:2,13 305:5,13 date 147:20 158:2 165:13.14 226:2.2 266:16 dates 166:15 David 2:19 day 11:3 17:3 21:19 22:6 27:18 36:21 63:2 64:6,11 83:22 84:1 95:6,6 98:4 117:10 270:18 days 15:10 17:16 27:18 32:3 38:5 74:8 165:16 260:9,17 dead 33:17 37:13 51:6 111:17 115:5 deal 122:13 294:1 dealing 38:18 43:19 74:3 116:7 dealt 151:10 Dean 311:20 decade 199:22 200:2 201:22 decide 100:10 112:14 148:17 150:1 238:17 decided 46:6 48:6 200:5,20 213:12 deciding 106:15 decimated 64:14 decision 23:21 31:7 45:14 46:1,19 67:12 68:2 106:3,8,13 107:4 109:15 110:3 111:6 112:2,10,11,15 113:12 114:3 119:17

123:19 141:20 166:13 166:16 175:18,19 176:2,6,15 177:19 188:21 203:11 204:2 208:13,14 209:2,2,14 213:3,6 214:1,4,15,15 214:22 215:12,14,15 218:6,12,20 219:8,12 224:8,9,13 225:9,12 225:20,22 243:17,18 243:19 266:19,21,22 267:6 269:1,3,5 270:7 270:7,22 273:15 285:5 324:5 decision-making 109:19 decisions 110:8,20 140:14 199:16 200:12 201:11 212:19,22 230:20 240:12 261:15 261:16,20 262:5,6,9 268:13 274:16 324:6 declaration 100:19 declination 168:9,12,16 168:18 169:13.19 171:5 205:15 206:5.7 declinations 177:10 205:4 261:17 262:9 declined 169:5 177:3,6 187:13,20 189:15 207:17 208:4 250:20 250:21 265:21 266:7 declines 168:21 declining 171:10 decorated 37:3 dedicated 34:16 deemed 207:6 **DEERS** 195:21 defamation 47:13 defame 47:19 default 15:14 56:13 defend 56:18 61:16 90:18 95:4,5 96:5 defendant 238:22 239:1 323:2 defender 36:10 65:16 **defense** 1:1,3,3 4:5,6 4:21 5:1,12,14,15 8:15,15 15:20 16:3,5 48:12 55:8 59:22 60:1 62:13,14 64:22 65:12 66:10 67:19 71:14 80:8 83:1 85:7,9,10 88:14 89:5,7,12 90:8 90:9,17 91:4,6,10 92:6,16,22 93:5,12 95:1,3,15 96:1,10 99:15 104:17 222:10

244:15.17 261:12.13 261:18 262:22 263:16 267:15 268:8,10 270:10 290:7,9 295:17 296:11 297:4 302:8,21 304:7 305:2 305:11 315:10 318:8 318:10,11 326:7 defenses 6:10 deferred 10:6 defined 299:14,15,17 definitely 83:17 142:18 145:7 245:14 314:9 definition 88:22 170:7 definitions 179:15 degree 179:4 delayed 42:18 306:9 delegation 35:5 delete 219:18,19 263:7 deleted 277:18 deleting 217:1 deliberate 199:16 deliberations 3:17 6:12 delivery 161:2 **Delta's** 306:8 delve 320:8 demark 142:12 demographic 166:20 167:2 169:8 173:9 demographics 169:5 denial 174:17 denied 18:2 30:11 46:22 73:1 **Department** 1:1 48:11 55:8 85:7 315:10 depended 208:14 dependent 151:15,21 151:22 152:5 170:18 dependents 34:5 170:12 depending 170:12 depends 121:19 133:2 158:17 deployed 117:20 depressed 20:9 depth 39:3 deputy 2:3 8:16 15:6 25:7 264:10 dereliction 141:4 143:11,12 154:11 derived 26:16,17 descent 196:13,14 describe 168:8 195:16 216:11 described 168:3 179:16 195:7 213:14 description 211:4 descriptive 125:11

162:3 309:8 deserve 30:15 Designated 2:2 4:4 designed 104:16 desire 20:12 82:20 desired 58:15 desk 11:17 despite 246:16 destroy 64:16 destroyed 34:15 destroying 35:18 36:1 36:21 destruction 22:10 detail 13:8 16:13 142:15,17,18 147:8 147:12 205:11 detailed 150:9 151:2 detailing 318:14 details 34:3 53:10 78:5 111:22 123:9 detective 219:10 determination 14:6 15:1,3,4 16:12 49:8 82:10,11 97:10 175:20 217:12 224:9 274:2,17,20 276:16 276:18.21 278:15.22 279:16 281:5 323:5 determinations 82:2 103:10 273:1 274:7,8 274:22 275:22 279:14 280:20 295:13 296:17 297:9 determine 16:20 86:19 108:9 174:11 200:14 232:1 233:11 234:11 272:1 310:11 312:13 determined 42:13 43:5 47:17 79:8 176:1 190:2,4,4 208:20 277:7 280:5 determines 16:18 45:6 192:13 devastated 46:10 devastating 48:12 developing 261:10 device 224:22 Devil's 326:13,14 dialogue 8:2 DIBRS 175:20 176:16 176:22 177:2,3 253:17 254:20 256:10 273:20,21 die 30:21 differ 70:17,19 difference 117:9 132:2 171:16 177:14 191:4 299:6

different 71:3 74:9 78:7 78:12,15 84:2,14 86:10 89:10,11 100:2 101:14 104:19 110:11 117:6 127:13 132:20 136:5,21 142:13 147:21 156:17 175:18 176:18 177:19 178:8 186:5 191:1 195:20 208:8,12 209:6 230:21 250:15,19 267:12 274:22 279:12 279:14 280:19 284:6 284:10 292:8 294:14 299:11 316:18 differently 65:4,6 86:2 difficult 118:17 250:11 difficulty 158:16 diligence 220:16 direct 7:4 11:11 83:4 110:6,19 directing 298:5 direction 87:21 131:15 135:1 142:11 149:10 283:3 286:6,19 328:10 directly 236:19 director 2:3,3 6:19 7:5 25:7 66:20 329:2 disagreement 167:19 242:13 disappear 11:18 disciplinary 81:13 243:19 **discipline** 110:15.20 116:3 117:17 257:17 257:21 disclose 52:22 disclosure 53:5 discount 168:1 discounted 68:1 discovered 220:16,20 discretion 4:10 7:7 229:21 230:14 232:1 232:16 234:10 241:13 242:5 discriminated 61:21 discuss 127:5 157:6 213:16.17 217:6 244:6 266:1 discussed 115:15,16 118:7,7 162:15 175:5 203:10 218:16 278:17 307:18 310:14 315:11 318:22 325:18 discussing 125:10 138:8 179:15 discussion 24:16 32:4

79:12 142:5 149:14 187:17 196:22 198:22 199:7,7 200:17 203:1 210:12 211:16.20 212:11 217:2,5 228:10 234:17 239:9 244:3 248:16 253:3 254:5 258:20 259:16 260:20 262:3 265:10 272:7 274:12 275:5 278:2 281:9 282:1,13 283:10 287:4 309:21 315:2 317:12,18 318:4 323:18 324:4 327:9 discussions 39:13 139:15 319:1 disgraced 47:3 disguise 36:5 dismiss 25:1 98:18 dismissal 34:18 151:14 154:4 155:14 dismissed 33:20 98:7 98:20 99:7 155:4 **disparities** 160:13,14 dispensed 228:4 disposing 229:22 230:14 disposition 34:7 109:22 141:6 142:1 144:4 145:11 208:13 220:4 224:3,8,13 243:17,18 244:19 248:3 249:6 249:13 252:9 253:15 254:18 255:9 256:7 257:1,9 259:12 260:7 260:15 261:15 262:5 263:3 264:3 265:16 266:6 268:13,14,21 270:14 272:2 275:22 279:18 283:6 284:3 284:14 285:9 286:7 286:20 287:16 288:4 288:16 289:17 291:9 291:14 292:2,12 295:13 296:1,17 297:10 301:1,8,17 302:4,12 303:3,13 304:2,13 305:4,12 308:18 309:9 dispositions 226:9 227:17 261:20 281:20 291:18 294:22 disprove 57:11 disputing 204:5 disregard 26:15 distinct 284:11 distinction 135:22

**District** 219:12 ditch 239:17 **Division** 10:10 14:2 66:1 95:3 divorce 63:4 divorces 29:15 **DNA** 35:7 42:20,20 68:11 87:16 88:1,12 279:17 doc 225:14 docket 52:9,14 Doctor/General 217:16 doctrine 50:17,18 document 224:12,15,20 documentation 197:2 243:16 257:1 258:6 263:5 264:4 267:6 268:15 270:6,15 274:10 documented 106:5 127:8 197:3,9 204:7 215:20 273:2,6 278:15 279:3 281:6 documenting 197:13 261:14.19 documents 69:4 159:8 159:13,14,21 160:1,3 195:1,3,5,13,21 223:13,15,17 226:1 262:2 326:20 **DoD** 170:22 260:10,18 264:17 265:1 270:12 283:3,12 284:1,18 285:20 286:5,18 289:10 290:5 291:16 292:1 298:1,21 299:17 313:8 321:14 doing 19:6 41:20 42:12 80:6 83:16 101:21 120:9 121:5,7,9 124:8 147:19 158:1 208:7 264:11 269:14,20 276:4 283:22 293:8 293:13 308:21 321:21 domestic 9:11 170:2 door 61:6 65:12 Doubletree 1:12 doubt 23:5 Doug 2:18 21:11 32:16 42:20 54:4 74:16 113:21 downward 127:10 draft 3:18 6:13 135:7 145:2 199:13 304:21 325:18 drafted 199:6 200:15 300:16,16 dragging 309:22

dramatic 38:20 drastically 136:21 draw 85:15 122:2 176:19 229:4 drawer 11:17 drilling 23:11 drinking 207:19 237:19 237:20 319:14 320:20 drive 1:12 84:3 327:21 driving 50:13 drop 138:21 310:3 dropped 31:17 32:17 33:6 37:7 136:6 139:18 dropping 310:5 drops 76:21 **drug** 180:7,15 181:6,12 183:1 drugged 182:10,14,16 183:6 184:5 drugs 180:22 181:4,8 181:15 182:20,21 184:2,18 185:2 dude 322:21 due 23:17 32:17 33:6 70:13 220:16 Duke 50:22 duration 166:8 dust 108:10 duty 23:1,3 27:19 32:8 64:6 141:4 143:11,12 154:11 **DWG** 309:10 Dwight 2:2 4:4 160:18 Ε E-3 70:17 72:6,9 E-3/4/5/6 72:1 E-4 71:18 72:7,9 earlier 41:11 42:3,10 75:18 76:15 112:4 122:17 137:6 193:14 287:18 293:13 308:21 328:7 early 10:9 90:8 97:10 161:1,1 322:11 earned 47:2 easier 198:21 278:18 easily 134:13 easy 111:11 eat 161:6 echo 73:9 99:9 113:6 edited 256:11 295:4 editor 2:5 33:12 editorializing 207:22 education 8:7 36:12 39:18 40:12 effect 13:2 107:3

110:14,20 113:10 114:20,21 172:15 173:4 321:22 322:3,4 effected 20:15 effective 18:8 39:11 effectively 39:9 effects 3:7 33:19 63:11 63:12 118:16,21 166:6 effort 99:21,22 efforts 10:3 87:1 eight 22:16 49:18 51:20 249:5 253:3 257:14 258:12,14,21,21 259:6 eight-hour 323:10 either 9:17 11:18,18 16:15 41:10 67:16,19 75:6 107:18 111:18 118:4 123:16 137:10 168:18 173:20 179:21 187:9 191:19 201:16 203:19 205:14 208:16 219:2 234:4 249:13 253:19 303:8.14 305:6.15 318:16 elation 41:4,16,18 44:20 elected 47:8 election 283:7 285:10 285:19 286:8.21 291:21 292:12 294:2 electronic 37:11 elements 211:5 elevated 45:1 Eleven 259:9,19 260:5 271:18 eligible 170:8,10,20,22 171:12,13,18 eliminate 42:15 113:5 eliminates 88:18 eliminating 50:17 email 10:22 11:3 33:12 73:11 314:12.13 emails 27:15 31:14 73:12 emerged 152:13 153:5 153:12 155:12 192:2 emotional 39:6 emphasized 31:22 emphasizing 36:22 employees 29:15 empty 13:12 enable 253:15 256:8 enchilada 78:11 encounter 211:4 ended 24:9 25:4 62:19 64:11 74:18,20

151:13,14,16 307:11 314:9 323:12 enemy 118:1 119:1 enforcement 89:3 164:14 173:18,21 187:9 221:13 222:17 226:7 227:15 244:2 325:9,14 engage 8:1 engaged 318:18 enlisted 73:16 75:1 167:2 173:12 ensuing 42:22 ensure 12:18 82:20 83:9 103:16 243:21 258:8 261:18 263:1 263:16 266:21 267:16 267:20 268:8,10 ensured 108:17 ensuring 71:10 entailed 178:13 enter 249:17 266:12 entire 24:6 36:16 41:5 43:6 47:4 64:13 132:17 158:8 215:2 entirety 25:1 entitled 209:17 Entry 18:12,13 environment 86:4 envisioned 271:6 epidemic 42:12 54:8 55:12 76:3 102:20 equate 79:22 equivalent 263:1,17 264:7 268:11 eradication 86:17,21 especially 74:22 90:8 100:3 109:2 essential 243:21 essentially 11:16 12:8 13:17 19:9 55:9 56:11 89:2 138:10 establish 44:1 66:11 244:17 261:13 290:10 estimated 151:5 et 25:16,17 255:10 eternity 33:22 ethnicity 194:17,20 195:20 229:8 evaluate 159:2 evaluation 264:21 299:4 311:15 even-handed 159:3 event 53:1 54:17 99:21 290:22 events 38:21 40:17 eventually 26:4 106:6 everybody 86:9 125:4

239:16 255:14 314:7 everyday 39:5 everyone's 304:22 everything's 324:18 evidence 32:17,19,20 32:21 33:6 44:1 56:19 58:8,18,19,20,21 63:8 67:20 68:3,11,13,15 68:21 76:7 79:15 88:21,22 89:16 90:5 95:14 96:10 100:7,11 106:6 108:4,21 111:8 111:21 113:16 177:17 178:11 238:11,19 239:1 271:12 322:20 ex- 26:1 ex-FBI 29:16 ex-husband 22:3 24:17 25:15 ex-husband's 23:9 exact 45:13 69:19 72:19 105:12 exactly 51:16 73:17 86:3 113:21 114:22 123:4 134:2 145:20 156:20 165:5 235:15 295:18 exam 183:13 examination 192:22 309:11 examine 41:22 examined 151:19 example 51:13 56:20 93:8 107:7 239:6 257:11 292:10,20 examples 17:6 69:16 156:15,19 192:8 295:21 300:1,2 Excel 148:3 excellence 39:20 excellent 85:3 exceptions 170:15,20 238:21 excessive 207:20 excitement 41:18 exciting 24:6 exclusively 177:17 exculpatory 174:11 **excuse** 9:7 164:20 313:18 executive 17:19 exercise 47:9 230:14 322:18 exercising 229:21 exhausting 43:21 exist 190:3,4,5 246:19 existence 246:10 exists 68:3 72:1 117:18

277:7 exit 17:21 expanding 235:14 expect 185:1 expectations 40:2 expected 160:16 expedited 315:10,12,19 316:3 experience 5:22 7:16 11:10 21:21 38:16 52:3 54:5 62:3,4 69:12 70:21 71:17 72:8 82:18 87:17 89:11,18 107:8 108:16 117:13 119:5 277:13 experienced 39:2 41:5 48:3 49:14,15 73:22 experiences 13:9 61:2 70:16 91:15 118:11 expertise 46:11 experts 39:21 explain 77:11 273:5,5 explained 23:13 29:11 163:20 explaining 208:8 247:16 explains 129:2,22 explanatory 207:13 explore 115:12 **exposure** 40:17,19 expressed 97:3 expressing 81:5 **extensive** 235:10 extent 181:6 external 81:16 externally 81:8 extract 162:12 extraneous 224:11 extreme 40:18 eye 142:10 F F-15 21:13 22:15 fabricated 28:17 face 14:8 44:12 51:8,10 118:1 121:10 324:21 **Facebook** 102:18 facing 119:1 fact 25:13,22 31:19 39:15 42:7 71:18 75:16 78:8 95:11 108:15 156:18 172:12 185:12 200:22 203:13 210:8 211:3 212:17 265:12 factor 224:8 factors 204:15 209:1,20

215:5 facts 82:9 121:20 122:2 204:6 206:16 231:22 271:8 faded 44:21 failure 66:10 fair 65:19 81:15 105:19 106:12 Fairfax 47:15 fairly 13:5 60:20 81:7 130:7 131:1 137:1,1 137:15 141:14 179:13 184:14 fairness 20:4 105:7 Falk 2:5 fall 28:12 38:12 83:10 163:12 164:21 167:13 falls 51:2 129:1 170:4 false 22:1,11 46:14 67:2 77:7,9,12,13 78:2 79:22 121:9 272:21 falsely 21:17 familiar 8:21 252:1 families 40:16 75:3,6 family 11:1 20:16 22:10 23:13 25:11 30:7 38:15 41:5 43:1.15 44:5 46:9 164:5 165:9 170:4 236:13 famous 111:22 fantastic 35:2 73:5 far 6:22 48:16 63:11 75:11 92:1 207:10 212:9 230:17 277:1 277:22 fared 95:19 fast 193:22 fatigue 44:6 fault 212:13 favor 104:7 205:19 212:4 228:21 234:22 241:4 244:9 248:21 253:8 256:16 259:1 259:19 261:3 262:15 272:12 275:10 278:7 281:14 282:18 287:7 306:2 315:6 317:21 327:12 favorable 89:17,20 103:22 **FBI** 35:7 78:8,9 274:19 fear 25:15 58:14 61:4 February 10:12 160:17 326:1 federal 2:2 4:4 77:8 81:10 244:2 249:8 252:12 253:17 254:19 255:10 256:9 275:21

276:1 279:6 280:8 283:5,15 285:22 286:9 287:1,17 288:4 288:17 289:18 291:10 291:15 295:14 296:2 296:16,18 297:8,11 298:8 302:15 304:2 304:13 305:5,13,21 feedback 18:4 feel 19:20 44:8 57:10 60:2 83:17 88:14 106:20 147:22 195:18 206:2,2 235:19 248:8 feeling 33:19 135:2 235:19 feels 232:18 fellow 237:18 felt 23:8 26:11 41:16 44:20 75:15 91:3 112:19,21 168:3 178:6 182:15 208:8 208:16 female 61:7,13,13,14 61:14 130:10 131:4,6 131:11 132:2 133:10 133:10 167:1 308:10 female- 132:15.16 female-accused 132:10 132:22 133:16 134:17 135:4 females 132:1 fend 75:5 Feres 50:17,18 fewer 121:8 field 41:1 45:5 78:9 157:21 fields 39:22 158:3 Fifteen 278:13 281:4,12 **fifth** 177:12 **Fifty** 186:9 fighter 21:12 23:16 figure 28:7 67:9 72:2 74:2 120:22 185:19 195:10 198:15 209:8 209:19 222:22 223:3 254:15 311:9,10 312:11 320:4 322:13 324:12 figured 27:22 figures 69:20 figuring 310:21 file 3:15 12:7 14:8 15:2 45:19 88:12 96:15 107:11,13,19,19,21 108:4 124:12 150:6 175:7 182:2 187:11 193:12,21 194:3 204:7 208:15 214:11

215:2 221:16,19 223:18 224:5,17 278:16,19 279:13 280:20 281:7 301:11 319:13 filed 14:8 47:10 95:1 107:13 154:3 files 6:8 127:16,17 158:4 161:22 167:10 191:12 214:21 215:9 221:14 222:17 226:8 227:16 235:7 244:22 248:5 249:7 252:10 257:2,18,22 277:4,10 279:1 301:10,12,13 320:11 321:3 filing 14:12 49:7 218:12 fill 23:16 157:22 265:8 271:1 filled 73:11 159:22 266:22 filling 255:20 266:17 final 6:11 14:6,22 25:21 145:2 219:8 223:3 244:19 275:22 281:19 284:3 287:16 288:3 288:16 289:17 291:9 291:14 292:2 294:22 295:13 296:1,17 297:10 300:3,5 301:1 301:8,16 302:12 303:3,13 304:12,16 305:4,12 323:15 326:6 finalist 29:6 finally 33:11 44:19 find 11:12 17:22 27:4 60:8 70:8 74:22 88:15 91:19 92:10 109:14 118:21 120:6 159:6 192:9 239:5 241:1 245:14 279:1 319:17 320:7 321:4 finding 51:5 55:10 66:2 76:7 79:18 87:18 111:8 220:1,7 222:19 228:13 229:14,17 230:22 231:14,16 232:15 234:10,17 235:5,14,17 236:15 237:5 239:9,17,21 241:1 243:13 244:6 244:14 245:21 246:4 246:12,14,15 247:18 248:2,16 249:5,12 250:22 253:3,13 254:5,11,12,13,16 255:22 256:6,22

257:13.13.14 258:12 258:14,20,21 259:6 259:13,19 260:5,6 261:1 271:18,22 272:17 275:5,15,18 276:9 278:5,13 279:4 280:9,13 281:3,12 282:16,22 283:2 287:5 294:1,6 295:2 295:19 315:22 322:9 findings 6:13 46:7 79:12 156:9 199:1,7 242:2 243:3 257:8 261:7 265:10 296:8 315:14 316:2 323:15 325:19 finds 220:3 222:18 226:8 227:16 237:12 fine 98:10 216:19 227:10 245:17 257:5 259:14 267:4 286:11 finger 205:9 207:14 fingerprint 277:13 fingerprinted 276:11 294:16 fingerprints 88:11 275:20 276:14,17,19 277:3,9 279:16 280:22 283:4,14,20 284:12,13,21 285:14 285:21 286:9.22 287:15 288:3,16 291:8,19 292:7,10 293:3,5 294:4 295:12 296:15 297:8 301:15 302:1,10 303:1 304:9 305:6,14 finish 84:18,21 299:1 322:16 finished 306:10 finishes 158:4 fired 11:14 62:16 first 15:6 22:16 24:18 32:9 42:5 43:10 45:14 47:12 51:9 59:14 60:13 62:7,14 65:16 81:21,21 88:6 104:14 108:1,2 115:12 118:18 127:6 131:19 151:9,14,18 153:3 162:1,3,18 163:20 175:16 176:17 188:4 188:19 190:21 199:19 199:22 201:13 203:2 209:4 239:12 240:5 276:13 278:21 292:20 316:14 firsthand 26:11

fiscal 5:13 6:9 120:11 128:11 136:7 161:20 244:15 261:11 309:1 fishing 52:9 fit 18:5 50:14 165:10 fits 88:22 118:2 five 5:7 7:20 17:5,7 20:18 45:4,19 57:10 97:19 113:13 132:16 141:17 146:21 147:2 169:17 176:18 190:3 190:8,9,13 204:12 210:20 220:12 232:9 243:13 244:7 260:9 260:17 267:11 300:1 322:12 324:20 fix 49:13 196:3 flag 13:16,16 126:17 flags 32:2 flatly 46:20 flew 21:13 22:15 flight 29:5 flights 306:9 flip 199:20 flipped 220:12,13 flood 85:22 floor 11:9 81:22 **Florida** 43:10 flow 258:2 flow-down 114:19 fly 21:14 29:21 31:9 flying 29:18 77:17 focus 7:18 13:9 54:16 200:12 321:8 focused 55:2 201:11 203:18 269:14 272:3 **FOIA** 33:1,12 folder 307:3,3 folks 74:9 75:1 160:2 311:9 321:13 327:17 follow 56:11 78:16 91:2 100:5 103:9 201:10 231:20 274:4 321:9 follow-on 87:12 follow-up 51:22 76:5 89:14 95:20 followed 6:2 43:11 233:6 following 13:22 28:20 78:4 88:20 follows 271:17 footnote 126:2 127:1 229:6 245:22 247:21 313:19 314:17 316:6 316:11,12 force 17:13,14 21:12 22:14,15,18 24:14,22 25:11 26:4,6 28:7,19

| 30:1,13,20 32:11,12   | fo                                    |
|---|---------------------------------------|
|   |                                       |
| 32:14 33:2,7,8,10,11  |                                       |
| 33:13,16,21 34:4,10   |                                       |
| 34:14 35:8,17 37:7  |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| 52:5,8 59:19,19,21  |                                       |
| 62:8,9,11 112:1   |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| 129:11,15,18 153:7  |                                       |
| 277:13 308:1  |                                       |
|   | _                                     |
| forces 1:4 4:7 5:1,17   | fo                                    |
| 17:10 244:18,21   | fo                                    |
|   | 10                                    |
| 318:13  |                                       |
| forcible 129:4  | Fo                                    |
|   |                                       |
| foreign 40:18 117:20  | fra                                   |
| foremost 42:5   | fra                                   |
|   |                                       |
| forensic 192:21   | fra                                   |
| forever 33:18   |                                       |
|   | £                                     |
| forge 198:19  | fre                                   |
| forget 24:2 29:13   | FF                                    |
| 105:12 308:14   |                                       |
|   | fri                                   |
| form 180:2 191:1  | fri                                   |
| 244:18 246:18 249:13  |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| 252:9 261:11 263:9  |                                       |
| 265:14 266:6,17,22  |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| 268:21 269:3,16   | fre                                   |
| 271:1,10 272:4 300:4  |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| 302:6   |                                       |
| formal 169:19   | fr                                    |
|   |                                       |
| formally 40:21 42:19  | fru                                   |
| 326:9   | fu                                    |
| format 138:10   |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| formation 114:22  |                                       |
|   |                                       |
|   |                                       |
| former 5:21 20:16   |                                       |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8   | fu                                    |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8   | fu                                    |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12   |                                       |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4  | fu                                    |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12   |                                       |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21   | fu<br>fu                              |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14   | fu<br>fu<br>fu                        |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21   | fu<br>fu                              |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi                  |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi                  |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fเ<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7   | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4  | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi      |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1                    | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu            |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1                    | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi      |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1<br>257:17,21 277:4 | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi      |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1                    | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi      |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1<br>257:17,21 277:4 | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>Fi      |
| former 5:21 20:16<br>forms 271:20 273:8<br>Fort 65:12<br>forth 60:3,7 93:15 94:4<br>fortunate 70:21<br>Forty-nine 47:14<br>186:10<br>forum 78:6,12<br>forward 36:6 48:21 58:8<br>58:19 59:12 66:13<br>76:7 82:13 99:18<br>100:11 104:18 114:12<br>148:20 185:7 187:14<br>190:13 191:6 193:1<br>198:6,12,13 208:5<br>285:6 304:4 309:3<br>310:1 312:6<br>found 27:14 33:1 52:8<br>78:22 79:21 88:3<br>146:5,5 164:18<br>172:21 173:5 194:20<br>195:14 203:21 208:2<br>222:7 223:21 235:7<br>240:11 245:16 248:4<br>249:6 252:10 257:1<br>257:17,21 277:4 | fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>fu<br>F |

Ш

our 27:12 31:14 37:2 47:16,16 48:5 49:8 90:2 134:13 140:13 140:15 150:14,15 151:3,9,15 152:16,17 175:13,18 176:15 210:15 239:22 240:5 241:1 267:11 322:12 our- 63:16 our-star 27:1,9,14 31:13 114:11 ourteen 275:18 278:5 action 163:18 ame 160:17 ankly 20:6,19 66:15 92:6 93:15 205:1 equently 177:4,12,13 **RIDAY** 1:8 iend 11:3 168:7 iends 11:1 40:16 41:19 43:1,13 44:11 46:9 64:13 165:9 168:8 238:4.5 ont 15:3 70:1 95:7 98:3 191:22 278:20 316:7 ustrated 62:16 63:10 ustrating 63:6 **III** 46:13 90:4 140:4 149:9 150:10 152:7 156:3 172:2 192:3,5 316:14 **Ill-time** 23:14 24:18 30:9 **Illy** 155:4 inction 116:2 **Inctions** 39:5 unded 8:6 Irloughed 194:9 irther 13:8 124:1 140:6 228:9,10 234:16 253:2 258:19 260:19 262:10 272:6 275:4 278:1 281:8 282:12 287:3 305:18 309:11 315:1 317:17 319:8 320:8 327:8 iture 37:12 42:15 143:17 144:9 192:6 309:7 310:7 311:16 325:21 **Y** 125:11,14 128:6 **Y15** 125:17 127:9 129:8 135:18,19 136:15 139:16 **Y16** 125:18 127:22 138:16,17 139:18 151:5 154:20 155:16

157:4 **FY17** 129:14 130:3,13 132:15 138:15,17 145:20 151:5 155:5 155:16,19 156:1 307:22 FY18 136:4 140:9,12 FY19 245:20 247:7 FY2017 129:11 142:2 G Gallagher 2:5 241:19 242:10 271:21 283:9 283:21 285:1 289:21 290:4,8,20 291:12,16 292:9,19,22 293:7,10 293:14 294:10,20 297:2,21 298:20 299:13 301:6 302:16 gallery 4:12 game 102:12 256:6 **GAO** 160:11 Garvin 5:9 gate 86:1 gather 96:10 gathering 310:8 GCNs 144:7 **Gen** 1:19 **Gender** 156:22 general 1:15 14:5 15:16 24:5 27:5 31:8,11 32:6 37:10 41:2 50:12 50:14 55:7,8 65:2 67:9 87:12 90:13 98:5 99:8.20 107:16 108:7 108:18 141:16 153:5 153:14 159:8 169:8 185:7 194:16 201:21 217:17 238:10,19 270:12 299:15 313:8 321:14 326:8 328:7 generally 20:17 144:7 157:4 299:20 generals 36:2 45:19 generic 249:19 Gentile 1:17 202:18,21 203:8 236:17 237:1 gentlemen 37:21 38:2 88:11 113:7 123:4 gentlemen's 54:10 Germany 57:5 getting 30:6 52:21 62:19 65:14 110:21 110:22 136:3 144:11 144:12 157:9 195:19 233:7 234:8 236:20 314:9 gift 31:21

Gillibrand 11:7 81:21 Gillibrand's 83:15 girlfriend 10:21 20:16 237:13 give 17:6 82:14 83:8 92:19 95:14 107:6 109:22 115:7 133:22 145:5 156:15,19 164:1 165:7 170:16 173:20 174:2,4 176:12 206:19,19 233:22 257:11 298:18 326:3 given 11:15 13:10 16:21 19:3 25:19 59:5 65:7 73:2 94:22 151:20 165:5 181:14 181:17,21 209:20,20 265:16 316:8 gives 141:7 308:11 giving 238:6 **qlad** 138:4 143:5 145:2 306:8 glean 198:2 320:15 glimpse 312:4 Global 18:12.13 goal 26:14 86:20 god-awful 148:11 Google 11:13 17:22 88:9 **Googled** 17:11 19:12 19:16 gotten 44:15 198:6 government 90:7 91:11 92:13,17 95:4,8 264:17 GPS 233:19 grade 15:3,8,8 49:8 130:16 156:21 308:7 308:7 graduated 8:8 graduation 40:8 granular 195:6 granularity 134:1 graph 306:20 308:8,18 graphs 308:11 grateful 7:15 gray 67:10 99:11 greater 154:2,5,6 155:14 192:17 193:7 204:18 Grimm 1:16 50:8 51:22 52:3,16 78:16,19 79:6 79:11 80:18 90:12,16 91:1,12 93:3,18,22 94:18 95:20 96:22 115:11 116:6 117:6 119:13 134:4,10

148:13 222:19 227:4 227:8,12 233:9,13 234:3,14 238:8 239:11 240:5,17 241:3 242:7,11 244:8 245:9,12,14 246:6,9 246:13,20 248:1 250:1 251:19 258:22 259:22 261:2 262:4 262:14 264:21 268:1 268:5 272:11 275:9 275:16 278:6 281:13 282:4,9,17 284:7 285:9,13,16 286:4,15 287:6 288:22 289:2,5 290:2 296:3,5 297:14 300:12 302:18 303:5 303:15,20 306:1 315:5 317:20 319:21 320:3 327:11 Grimm's 56:21 **groped** 10:14 ground 66:18 117:20 grounded 29:18 grounds 272:21 group 3:11,14 6:4,7 11:1 60:16 123:13 139:22 140:3 149:5 149:12 150:1.11 161:13,19 163:1 164:8 169:4 172:21 173:16 193:14,19 207:6 222:3,7 224:20 226:14,19 257:8 287:13 290:11 309:5 309:19 310:15,19 311:6,17 319:11 324:7 327:16 Group's 222:16 groupings 171:17 groups 6:8 **GS-9** 28:2 Guard 129:13 Guardian 11:4 guess 31:3 52:9 87:20 144:3 150:8 191:8 226:4 291:3 299:5 quidance 33:3 140:5 141:20 277:17 283:13 283:17,18 284:19 285:7,20 289:11 290:12 291:6,11,14 291:17 292:1 295:18 296:3,4,11,13 297:5,6 297:13,22 302:9,22 304:8 305:3,11 319:8 quidances 302:9 guilt 60:8 101:10

guilty 26:10,10 30:18 66:18 76:2 79:1,8 99:12 101:6 103:14 146:5.6 322:9 Gupta 2:6 gut 144:4,6 н hack 92:17,17,20 Hagy 2:6 hair 197:7 half 23:1,2 26:13 35:22 102:11 178:16 190:1 205:3 208:3 Ham 2:10 hand 311:1,1 handedly 36:1 handful 9:14,16 152:20 155:11 handing 191:1 handle 97:7 102:20,21 handled 48:11 65:4.5 116:20 141:9,11 handling 9:18 hands 66:16 82:16 83:8 194:5 hang 314:10 happen 48:1,19 69:21 73:3 84:7 99:22 100:8 100:9 112:15 happened 21:22 29:12 51:3 55:21 58:3 62:4 64:8 98:18 100:14,16 103:4,5,15 114:13,14 115:1 140:12 185:20

186:1,6 187:7,19

277:11,11 319:19

happens 27:16 69:15

206:18 225:4,8

147:3

312:5

326:3,7

189:21 191:8 196:11

happening 48:15 115:6

138:13 139:16 140:7

143:22 145:20 146:10

111:12 139:4 312:1,3

happy 13:7 37:17 194:2

122:19 124:5 205:13

hard 22:14 111:7,9

209:7 300:18

Harrison 311:21

hat 117:3 118:4

Hats 63:14

Hawaii 22:18

hate 85:15 120:5

head 91:22 92:1

harder 100:14

heads 28:19 52:10 197:7 health 39:6 hear 43:17 45:4 76:21 116:10 197:18 198:21 202:19 heard 4:9 7:6 22:7 29:20 31:2 35:11 37:13 38:17 42:10 44:13 48:15 53:14 75:19 106:16 171:6 194:21 232:17 320:5 hearing 24:19 90:20 104:19,21 138:9 139:6 159:16 199:9 202:14 212:7 323:5 hearings 138:6,11 heart 76:21 heavily 18:7 held 47:6 138:12 held-versus-waived 138:19 help 13:1 23:14,20 27:4 27:9,10 34:20 35:9 39:8 41:7 50:18.19 74:14 90:11 226:5 320:4 helpful 142:21 188:13 195:15 233:14 239:12 300:20 helping 36:16 72:20 84:11 Heroes 6:19 22:7 26:9 36:18 49:18 69:13 70:11 83:14 119:15 hesitation 23:15 hey 72:10 74:14 76:1 95:16 320:22 hierarchical 179:1 hierarchy 167:16 high 28:8 50:10 53:10 63:13 64:7 98:15 109:2,5,7,9 119:3 149:18,20,21 237:13 311:2 higher 36:13 85:8 91:21 115:6,8,9 162:8 highest 114:11 179:3 highlights 176:20 highly 37:2 Hill 51:20 **Hilton 1:12** hire 28:15 hired 28:13 hiring 74:21 Hispanic 195:8 196:16 196:17

Headquarters 22:17,18

historical 85:18 111:21 history 35:17 41:9 279:6 280:8 283:5,15 285:22 286:10 287:1 293:20 301:17 305:22 hit 66:4 73:10 182:14 300:16 hitting 74:8 hold 24:15 28:3,21 33:21 50:21 63:22 76:10 81:3 160:8 300:20 holding 22:11 205:18 home 24:3 66:5 homework 19:13 homicide 10:1 hominem 327:6 Hon 1:16,21 51:22 52:3 52:16 78:16,19 79:6 79:11 80:18 81:4 90:12,16 91:1,12 93:3 93:18,22 94:5,11,14 94:18 95:20 96:22 115:11 116:6 117:6 119:13 133:4 134:4 134:10 170:1 222:19 227:4,8,12 233:9,13 233:21 234:3,14 238:8 239:11 240:5 240:17 241:3 242:7 242:11 244:8 245:9 245:12,14 246:6,9,13 246:20 248:1 250:1 251:19 258:22 259:22 261:2 262:4.14 264:21 268:1,5 272:11 275:9,16 278:6 281:13 282:4,9 282:17 284:7 285:9 285:13,16 286:4,15 287:6 288:22 289:2,5 290:2 296:3,5 297:14 300:12 302:18 303:5 303:15.20 306:1 315:5 317:20 319:21 320:3 327:11 honest 30:3 honestly 26:7 64:9 98:11 119:18 honor 21:9 honorably 12:14 honored 21:16 hook 194:2 257:5 hooked 50:1 hope 8:1 20:22 48:13 48:17 149:9 172:9 196:4 hopefully 62:8 80:16

304:22 horrific 34:4 horror 39:2 host 191:21 hostile 22:2 hotel 10:15 57:9 232:7 232:10 hour 102:10 161:5 hours 13:7 40:15 165:17 183:22 306:8 306:13 house 85:12 97:11,21 196:1 huge 312:6 human 109:12 122:8 312:12 humiliated 47:3 hundred 169:16 husband's 23:9 26:2 hypothetical 232:13 iceberg 37:16 idea 143:22 150:10 165:7 180:11 214:20 273:11 300:15 308:11 326:2 identified 241:12 243:6 301:8 313:12 321:12 identify 87:2 195:17 229:20 230:13 identifying 158:16 idle 326:13 327:3,4 ignore 46:7 48:6 ignored 34:15 **illegal** 95:13 illustrate 120:20 192:8 192:11 illustration 141:7 image 48:13 imagine 34:14 41:17 42:9 46:21 72:6 immeasurable 37:2 immediate 63:21 immediately 28:21 73:11 134:20 immune 71:4 impact 38:7,13 39:1,4 48:12 100:13 171:4 178:7 impacted 7:19 impacts 37:1,8 impaired 178:16 179:11 182:12,13 184:17,20 184:22 185:1,5,14 205:8,16 207:19 209:9,12 **impairment** 178:5,13

178:14,21 179:1,2,4 179:10 180:3,9,11,13 180:18,21 181:3,11 184:13 185:10 206:5 206:8 impartial 81:15 implemented 118:11 implication 293:17 implications 77:10 important 23:4 25:4 61:11 83:13 84:15 104:17 111:1 122:7 122:12 133:14 156:7 156:19,22 157:3 178:6 185:12 213:8 241:11 242:7,16 287:14,20,21 310:11 importantly 11:5 21:16 36:15 imposed 94:7 impossible 35:13 68:8 99:6 208:21 impression 112:18 117:4 234:1 improper 239:4 in-depth 163:15 175:14 inaccurate 248:5 251:2 251:7,10,12 252:16 252:20 257:19 281:21 282:11 inaccurately 251:5 inadequate 75:15 inappropriate 4:11 inbox 73:11 incapable 101:21 incident 85:18 181:21 186:11 235:9 318:16 incidents 132:5 include 16:5 32:7 79:12 97:12 215:7 223:2 235:7 238:21 249:7 252:10 298:8 309:7 315:3 included 8:14 40:15 73:14 128:1,2,8 135:16 140:17 145:8 152:17 207:12 includes 6:16 318:9 including 8:14 173:8 178:8 201:7,17,19 202:4 235:8 261:16 262:9 inclusion 261:15 262:6 incomplete 248:5 251:15 257:18 inconsistency 177:19 197:19 inconsistent 197:17

248:6 249:8.11.14 250:6,6 251:2,9 252:11,15 257:19 incorporate 199:17 226:13,14 incorrect 84:1 increased 148:10 154:8 155:17 incrementally 165:15 165:19 inculpatory 174:12 Indian 196:14 indicate 310:2 indicated 94:14 155:10 175:11 187:11 indicates 178:17 270:21 indications 182:1 184:19 indirect 110:19 individual 54:12 55:13 91:19 93:14 135:5 177:22 184:7 223:15 238:7 312:20 313:13 318:15.19 individually 122:18 individuals 86:5 93:7 94:4 184:10 inevitably 19:12 influence 83:18 87:5 114:20 115:4 119:20 120:4 123:17 inform 38:7 149:7 information 15:20 16:22 25:21 43:3 52:17,17,22 53:6 73:12 87:16 89:19 90:10 92:10,11,19 106:4 133:7 136:9 142:14 146:19 149:6 160:6,11 161:21 185:11 195:20 197:3 197:8 204:6 205:11 206:4,11 212:21 213:1,3,5,8,18 215:3 215:8 276:1 280:4 287:16 288:4,17 289:18 291:10,15 295:14 296:1,18 297:10 301:2 302:15 304:2,13 305:4,13 308:15 310:8 312:15 312:16,18 313:2,15 313:17 321:9 324:10 324:14 informational 55:1 informative 307:20 informed 10:13 38:22

318:7 informing 39:11 inherent 263:13 initial 6:13 46:1 104:20 128:18 174:7 208:13 224:7,13 268:13 287:12 288:20 301:3 318:21 initially 45:9 65:10 269:13 injustice 36:20 innocence 100:19 innocent 66:18 99:11 101:6 111:19 input 114:6,7 250:9 inquire 45:3 Inquiry 79:5 insight 298:19 Inspector 55:7,8 installation 186:10,15 189:18 instances 13:3 131:20 146:4 238:10 239:2 264:10 318:15 institution 18:7 instruction 9:3 insufficient 44:1 76:7 100:7,10 113:16 177:17 200:4,7 202:2 271:12 insulated 81:11 insurance 195:22 intend 7:17 245:12 intent 12:22 47:18 219:4 265:6 266:20 intents 12:10 interact 20:15 89:8 interaction 18:8 interactions 12:21 interest 323:7 interested 26:5,6 90:4 124:7 184:4 310:20 311:21 interesting 80:20 138:17 164:19 172:2 172:22 280:17 Interestingly 35:13 45:8 interfering 16:8 interim 216:11 internal 81:16 international 18:17 internet 11:12 interpolate 252:5 interpret 86:1 120:22 249:10 252:15 interpretation 249:16 interpreted 86:8 87:7

| 96:11         229:19 230:12 231:21         241:12 242:12,18         61:18 65:8 71:8.9           interruption 18:18         232:2 233:11,19         232:2 233:11,19         248:2,6,7.8,9,10         83:8,12 84:22         83:8,12 84:22 21:3,17           interview 60:22 89:14         273:2 278:16 279:13         276:2 286:18         277:2 13:51:13 121:11         94:38:17 84:22 93:20,22 103:8           94:16 174:7 276:13         investigatively 184:1         issuing 288:21         itt 14:16:22 108:20         16:16 16:22 103:8           interview 32:3:10         236:20         10:17 10:522 168:21         309:17         174:12 14:12 12:21         159:16 100:21 92:13           introview 328:21         10:17 10:522 168:21         309:17         11:18         263:16 264:12 269:10 22:13           introductory 286:11         109:17 105:12 19:32:10         11:18         264:16 265:7 266:4         12:12 12:12 12:12           investigate 23:9         23:13 20:51:2 23:19         23:13 30:51:2 23:14 28:11         23:13 30:51:2 23:14         23:14 28:14 28:12           investigate 23:9         23:13 20:51:2 23:19         23:14 266:10 27:21         23:16 266:17:61:10         269:14 28:12           investigate 23:9         23:13 20:51:2 23:11         23:13 23:17:37:14         23:14 28:12         23:16 266:17:18:10           interotwice 39:17         23:14 28:16:13:13  |                        |                        |                           | 344                  |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| interpreting 6:6: 251:4<br>interruption 18:18<br>94:16 176:4         232:12 233:11:9<br>234:11 258: 243:22<br>248:6.11 287:14<br>234:12 258: 243:22<br>248:6.11 287:14<br>288:3.12 266:18<br>273:2 278:16 279:13<br>211:6         77:14 79:10 80:10<br>38:10 22:38:15, 18:19<br>288:3.14 290:12.20<br>288:3.14 290:12.20<br>288:3.14 290:12.20<br>287:21 351:13 22:11<br>10:estigator 2:4 89:14<br>10:s2 168:21<br>10:s2 168:190:2<br>295:20 221<br>11:14 257:30 12:0 326:4<br>10:s2 168:190:2<br>295:20 221<br>11:14 145:73 01:20 326:4<br>10:s2 168:190:2<br>295:10 22:10<br>10:s2 11:10:17 10:52 168:21<br>11:15:14 165:19 130:2<br>21:16:16:17 11:2:10:2<br>11:16:16:16:17 11:2:10:2<br>11:16:16:17 11:2:10:2<br>11:16:16:17 11:2:10:2<br>11:16:16:17 11:10:2<br>11:16:16:17 11:10:12<br>11:16:16:17 11:10:12<br>11:16:16:17 11:10:12<br>11:11:10:10:11:10:10:10:1<br>11:11:10:2<br>11:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:1  | 00:44                  | 000.40 000.40 004.04   | 044-40 040-40 40          | C1.10 CE:0 71.0 0    |
| interrupt         162:19         234:11 235:8 243:22         284:6 11 287:14         81:10 82:34,5 18,19           interviewe 02:4 3:14         248:2 42 92:12,20         288:2,1 4 20:12,20         83:8,12 24:22 91:3,17           interviewe 02:4 3:14         273:2 278:16 279:13         138:10 28:22 103:8         107:15,21 109:3,11           interviewe 29:4 43:10         investigatively 184:1         investigatively 184:1         107:15,21 109:3,11         109:20 110:16 116:22 21           interviewe 23:2 136:1         101:17 105:22 168:21         309:17         309:17         199:14 148:12,12           interviewe 33:22 136:1         101:17 105:22 168:21         309:17         309:17         199:14 148:12,12           introduce 238:22 136:1         101:17 105:22 168:21         309:17         309:17         199:14 128:24           introduce 38:22 136:1         105:17 112:19:22         241:12         273:16 276:15,18         108:17 122:12           investigate 98:9 12:3         105:17 7112:19:22         273:8         103:20 111:15 119:16         103:20 111:15 119:16           investigate 98:9 12:3         109:17 122:16 12:3         109:24:10 38:40:21         109:24:10 38:40:21           investigation 13:4 6:22         111:19:19         273:8         103:20 111:15 119:14         103:20 111:15 119:14           investigation 13:4 6:22         1  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| interruption 18:18         248:4249:725:10         288:3.14 290:12.00         288:3.14 290:12.00         83:8.124:2213:13.21:11           interview 60:22.89:14         257:2.18.22 278:16 279:13         297:21.315:11.32:111         92:4.93:21.21.41.41           interview 29:4.3:10         investigatively 184:1         investigatively 184:1         investigatively 184:1         investigatively 184:1         investigatively 184:1           interview 29:4.3:10         93:15.21.91.81.01.11         309:17         139:14.120:32.11           135:41.65.81.93:2         232:16.23.18.23.71.2         J         118           introductory 286:11         173:21.195:15.23.26         J         118         266:14.92.11.31           introductory 286:11         133:12.21.195:15.23.26         J         118         273:16.276.15.13           introductory 286:11         133:12.21.195:15.23.26         J         118         273:16.276.15.13           introductory 286:11         105:16.17.112:19.22         241:12         35:19.36:16.26         272:14.12           investigated 25:9 80:14         105:16.17.112:19.22         273:16.276.15.13         243:14.32:14.26         249:17           investigation 1:3.46.22         237:18         237:19         23:18.26         24:12.12         24:14.24           introductory 28:11         135:16.11.18:12   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| interview 60:22 89:14         227:22 18:22 266:18         297:23 13:5113 21:11         92:4 93:12 14:14           interview 60:22 89:14         273:22 78:16 279:13         sisuing 289:22         103:20 110:61           39:15 10:5:         investigator 24 89:14         ittems 149:14 203:20         109:23 110:16 116:22           interview 32:43 23:10         89:15,21 91:8,10,11         309:17         309:17         199:14 148:12,12           interview 32:43 23:10         101:17 105:22 168:21         J         309:17         199:14 148:12,12           introduction 3:5         99:10 12:19:15 232:6         J         J         309:17         276:14 28:14           introduction 3:5         99:17 110:51         109:17 105:11         276:14 28:14         276:14 28:14         240:15           introduction 3:5         99:17 110:51         236:12 23:19         238:12 24:10         240:15         240:15           investigat 237:9         232:18,21 249:10         243:14 26:14 26:14         240:15         240:15           investigat 237:9         232:18,21 24:91         233:18 26:12 23:19         249:15 29:24 294:7         248:12 28:11           investigat 237:9         232:18,21 24:91         233:18 26:13 28:01         233:14 29:14         233:14 29:14         239:15 29:24 294:7           investigat 237:15 233:15   |                        |                        | -                         |                      |
| interview 60:22 89:14<br>94:16 1747 276:13<br>281:6         273:2 278:16 279:13<br>281:6         issuing 298:22<br>112 285:03 20:21<br>112 285:03 20:21<br>112 285:03 20:21<br>112 145:73 201:20 326:4<br>112 12 259:10 221:3<br>112 259:10 263:11<br>12 259:10 263:11<br>12 259:10 263:11<br>12 259:10 263:11<br>12 259:10 263:11<br>12 259:10 264:11 265:11<br>12 259:10 264:12 264:10<br>12 259:10 264:12 264:10<br>12 259:10 264:12 264:10<br>12 259:10 264:12 264:10<br>12 259:10 264:12 22:12<br>12 259:10 264:12 22:12<br>13 20:11 15:10 169:<br>13 20:11 15:20 166:21<br>13 20:11 12:12 12:12 12:13 12:13<br>12 12:12 12:13 12:13 12:14<br>12 12:12 12:13 12:14<br>12 12:12 12:13 12:14<br>12 12:12 12:13 12:14<br>12 12:12 12:14<br>12 12:12 12:  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 94:16 174:7 276:13<br>interviewed 28:4 43:10<br>interviewed 28:4 43:10<br>interviewed 28:4 43:10<br>interviewed 28:4 43:10<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20<br>interviews 235:10<br>232:16 233:18 237:12<br>interviews 235:10<br>232:16 233:18 237:12<br>interviews 235:10<br>232:16 233:18 237:12<br>interviews 235:10<br>232:16 233:18 237:12<br>introduce 238:22<br>introduce 238:22<br>introduce 238:23<br>introduce 238:23<br>introduce 238:23<br>introduce 238:23<br>introduce 238:12<br>introduce 238:12  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| Interviewed 29:4 43:10         investigator 21:4 89:14         iff 14:57:301:20 326:4         10:14:14:57:301:20 326:4           interviews 235:10         39:15:21 91:8.10,11         309:17         159:16 190:21 92:13           interviews 235:10         101:17 105:22 16:32:1         J.13         263:16 237:12         19:12 125:10 263:1           intimate 155:22 168:11         173:21 195:15 323:16 237:12         J.13         240:15         277:18 248:14           introductory 266:11         99:21 91:13 39:2         10:17 10:17 12:17 238:16         247:14 265:19           introductory 266:11         99:17 10:51:1         266:16 265:7 266:4         240:15           investigator 94:15         10:51:61 71 12:19:22         27:38         260:16 265:7 266:4         299:11,13           21:18 227.15 233:1         27:38         173:61 180:21         299:11,13         240:15           investigator 13:4 (62:1         10:01:16 2:16 11 80:21         10:34:17 12:17 28:14         299:11           11:19:19:7 318:17         10:01:02 0:12 20:12         290:11 12:01 12:01         299:11 13:12 9:10           22:14 266:10 272:19         133:13         29:11 12:21 73:81 29:10         29:11 12:21 73:81 29:10           11:19:19:14         11:19:14         14:14:12 10:14:14:12         29:11 12:21 12:13:13:13         29:111:13:11:12:14:14:12  |                        | 273:2 278:16 279:13    |                           |                      |
| 8/19 106:5<br>interviewing 29:8<br>interviewing 29:8<br>interviewing 29:8<br>interviews 235:10         investigator 24 99:14<br>92:10,12,13 99:16<br>101:17 105:22 168:21<br>101:17 105:22 168:21<br>107:321 195:15 23:26<br>23:16 233:18 237:12         items 149:14 203:20<br>Jung 20:17<br>Jung 20:16 20:13<br>Jung 20:17<br>Jung 20:16 20:17<br>Jung 20:17<br>Ju | 94:16 174:7 276:13     |                        | it'd 285:8 302:21         |                      |
| Interviewing 29:8<br>interviews 235:10<br>236:20         89:15,21 918,10,11<br>92:10,12 199:16<br>101:17 105:22 168:21         309:17         155:14 190:2 192:13<br>134:21 299:10 263:14           Introduces 238:22         173:21 195:15 232:6<br>101:17 105:22 168:21         JJ 118         139:21 27:19 27:14 281:12<br>23:16 23:18 237:12         JJ 118         139:21 27:19 27:14 281:4           Introduces 238:22         investigators 88:14         99:90:21 91:13 93:2         JAG 17:13,17 19:21         27:14 281:4         100:16,17 112:19,22           Introductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         27:16 276:15,18         100:17 12:12 23:13           Introductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         100:16,17 112:19,22         27:35 22:14 29:10         28:15 29:12 29:12           Investigator 91:23:6         225:14 266:10 272:19         James 117,19 2:18         21:34,11 32:16 49:17         29:16 32:14 29:10           Investigator 13:4 6,22         107:15 18:21 15:18:10         165:11 18:02         33:13 33:13         30:13:33         30:13:33           320:18,19         165:11 39:10         17:12 17:26:14         33:13:33:13         31:14:12 42:14           111:19         122:14:44:10         133:13:33:13         31:14:12 42:14           111:19         123:14 32:14         100:11 12:12         100:11 12:12         100:11 12:12         100  | interviewed 29:4 43:10 |                        | it'll 145:7 301:20 326:4  | 109:20 110:16 116:22 |
| Interviews 235:10         92:10 12 13 93:16         J  | 89:19 106:5            | investigator 2:4 89:14 | items 149:14 203:20       | 119:14 148:12,12     |
| 26:20         101:17 105:22 168:21         J         J         26:16 264:11 265:19           intimate 135:22 136:1         173:21 195:15 232:6         J, G         173:21 195:15 232:6         J, G         268:11 269:12 270:11           introduce 238:22         investigators 88:14         J, G         171:13 17 19:21         278:14 281:4         judgment 24:13           introductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         264:16 265:7 266:4         judging 41:3           investigators 91:23:6         252:14 266:10 272:19         JAGs 60:6 273:14         jail 11:19         294:8 298:11 299:10           218:18 227:15 233:1         investigatory 261:21         investigatory 261:21         invite 38:1         66:16 52,20.27 79.3         July 24:10 36:8 40:21           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         187:2 185:21 186:10         772:6 65:20.27 79.3         July 24:10 36:8 40:21           320:18,19         187:12 125:21 186:10         187:12 125:21 186:10         33:12 98:1 10:24         Junuary 1:8 8:12 30:11:15         July 2:10 36:8 40:21           320:18,19         187:12 125:21 186:10         187:12 125:21 186:10         33:12 98:11 29:10         July 2:10 36:8 40:21           320:18,19         187:12 125:21 186:10         July 2:10 36:8 40:21         July 2:10 36:8 40:21           110:01:10 10:01   | interviewing 29:8      | 89:15,21 91:8,10,11    | 309:17                    | 159:16 190:2 192:13  |
| intimate 135:22 136:1         173:21 195:15 232:6         J 1:18         268:11 269:4 270:11           1stimate 135:22 136:1         173:21 195:15 232:6         J 1:18         268:11 269:4 270:11           1stimate 238:22         investigators 88:14         35:19 36:14 62:4         278:14 281:4           1ntroductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         273:16 276:15,18         240:15           1nvestigate 39:17         123:13 205:12 231:19         JAG 66:06 273:14         248:15 229:14 294:71           1nvestigate 25:9 80:1         232:18,21 249:10         Jamest 17,19 2:11         289:15 229:14 294:71           1nvestigate 25:9 80:1         232:18,21 249:10         Jamest 17,19 2:14         289:15 229:14 294:71           1nvestigate 25:9 80:1         239:11 299:10         Jamest 17,19 2:14         299:11,13           1nvestigate 113:46,22         involve 291:18 298:16         77:2,6,15,20,22 79:3         jumped 108:8           24:11 25:20 26:22         207:17 26:13 269:5         Jamary 1:8 81:232:1         Jause 2:4         Jause 2:4           24:12 24 4:14         137:8         137:8         29:11 82:22         Jause 2:4         Jause 2:4:22:14           117:22 16:8:14 180:21         137:71         127:73:73:71:4         Jause 2:4:33:17:171         Jause 2:4:32:21         Jause 2:4:4:4:4           117:11 21:18:18   | interviews 235:10      | 92:10,12,13 99:16      |                           | 194:21 259:10 263:1  |
| 155:14 165:8 193:2         232:16 233:18 237:12         JAG 17:13,17 19:21         272:19 2741,18           introduction 3:5         investigators 88:14         investigators 88:14         35:19 36:14 62:4         278:14 281:4           introductory 286:11         investigators 88:14         108:17 121:17 238:16         240:15           investigat 98:9 123:6         252:14 266:10 272:19         273:16 276:15,18         Judging 41:3           judcial 21:22 22:12         232:18,21 249:10         james 1:77,19 2:18         299:11,13           218:12 27:15 233:1         273:8         252:14 266:10 272:19         james 1:77,19 2:18         299:11,13           219:17 219:7 318:17         investigator 1:3 4:6,22         invostigatory 261:21         52:27,7 3:8 62:6         july 24:10 36:8 40:21           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         83:12 98:16 127:27 7:3         30:67 313:3         jumped 108:8           jawestigation 1:3 4:6,22         207:17 265:13 262:11         31:32 03:17 37:14         jay 21:17:11         jurisdictions 218:22           27:7 35:22 42:22         290:12,21 31:31         31:12 185:11         103:20 111:15 19:14         jurisdictions 218:22           27:7 35:22 42:22         290:12,21 31:31         31:17 24:14 21:9         jurisdictions 218:22         jurisdictions 218:22           27:7 35:22 42:22         290:12,21 3   | 236:20                 | 101:17 105:22 168:21   | J                         | 263:16 264:11 265:19 |
| intrate-partner 157:2         241:12         35:19 36:14 62:4         278:14 281:4           introduce 238:22         investigators 88:14         65:14 97:12 108:13         judgement 214:13           introduction 3:5         90:21 91:13 93:2         28:16 265:7 266:4         judging 41:3           investigate 237:9         232:18,21 249:10         24:16 265:7 266:4         judging 41:3           investigate 98:9 12:6         232:14,21 426:10 272:19         JAGS 60:6 273:14         299:15 292:14 294:7           jait 11:19         232:14 266:10 272:19         James 1:77,19 2:18         299:15 292:14 294:7           jait 11:19         248:18 227:15 233:1         273:8         21:34,11 32:16 49:17         Julie 2:3           javestigated 25:9 80:14         invostegatory 261:21         finvostegator 73:16 39:17         10:30:20 11:15         Julie 2:3           javestigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         77:26,15 20,22 79:3         306:7 31:3         jurged 108:8           javestigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         77:26,15 20,22 79:3         jursidiction 118:13         jursidiction 118:13           javestigated 25:9 80:14         involvement 186:22         33:13 3:17 37:14         upred 108:8         jurged 108:8           javestigated 25:9 80:14         involvement 186:22         33:13 3:17 37:14         upred 10   | intimate 135:22 136:1  | 173:21 195:15 232:6    | <b>J</b> 1:18             | 268:11 269:4 270:11  |
| intrate-partner 157:2         241:12         35:19 36:14 62:4         278:14 281:4           introduce 238:22         investigators 88:14         65:14 97:12 108:13         judgement 214:13           introduction 3:5         90:21 91:13 93:2         28:16 265:7 266:4         judging 41:3           investigate 237:9         232:18,21 249:10         24:16 265:7 266:4         judging 41:3           investigate 98:9 12:6         232:14,21 426:10 272:19         JAGS 60:6 273:14         299:15 292:14 294:7           jait 11:19         232:14 266:10 272:19         James 1:77,19 2:18         299:15 292:14 294:7           jait 11:19         248:18 227:15 233:1         273:8         21:34,11 32:16 49:17         Julie 2:3           javestigated 25:9 80:14         invostegatory 261:21         finvostegator 73:16 39:17         10:30:20 11:15         Julie 2:3           javestigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         77:26,15 20,22 79:3         306:7 31:3         jurged 108:8           javestigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         77:26,15 20,22 79:3         jursidiction 118:13         jursidiction 118:13           javestigated 25:9 80:14         involvement 186:22         33:13 3:17 37:14         upred 108:8         jurged 108:8           javestigated 25:9 80:14         involvement 186:22         33:13 3:17 37:14         upred 10   | 155:14 165:8 193:2     | 232:16 233:18 237:12   | <b>JAG</b> 17:13,17 19:21 | 272:19 274:1,18      |
| introduce 238:22         investigators 88:14         65:14 97:12 108:13         judgement 214:13           Introductory 286:11         99:19:19:13 93:2         20:15         Judicial 21:22 22:12           investigate 98:917         105:16,17 112:19:22         273:16 276:15,18         Judicial 21:22 22:12           investigate 98:9177         232:18,21 249:10         James 1:17,19 2:18         299:11,13         299:11,13           321:2         investigatory 261:21         jox 60:6 273:14         299:15 292:14 249:47         299:11,13           321:2         investigatory 261:21         investigatory 261:21         jox 60:6 273:14         299:11,13         299:11,13           320:18,19         175:26,15 20:22 79:33         as:1         110:19 219:7 318:17         jumped 10:11 240:13           investigation 13:4 6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         83:12 98:1 10:22         30:67: 313:3         30:7 31:3:3           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:10         186:11,3:16 188:5         January 18 8:12 322:1         Jurisdiction 118:13           317:6         100:20 21:12         33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 33:17 112:17 17:14         Jurisdiction 118:13           321:12 244:41         317:6         100:13:13:13 33:17 37:14         Jurisdiction 118:13         Jurisdiction 118:13           321:12 252:12   |                        | 241:12                 |                           |                      |
| Introduction 3:5         89:9 50:21 91:13 93:2         108:17 121:17 238:16         240:15           introductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         23:16 276:15,18         Judging 41:3           investigate 93:917         123:13 205:12 231:19         JAGs 60:6 273:14         28:16 229:14 294:7           investigate 98:9 123:6         273:8         273:8         273:8         299:11,13           218:18 227:15 233:1         273:8         273:8         273:8         21:3,411 32:16 49:17         Julie 2:3           investigate 92:9 80:14         invite 38:1         invoite 291:18 298:16         99:11,71:21 76:8,14         21:3,411 32:16 49:17         Julie 2:3           jump 210:11 240:13         involve 291:18 298:16         99:10 10:20         30:67 313:3         jump 210:11 240:13           jurisdiction 13:4 :6,22         167:5 168:11 180:21         83:12 98:1 102:4         10:320 111:15 119:14         30:20 111:15 119:14           jurisdiction 118:13         139:17         139:12 18:22 18:10         30:13 33:17 37:14         jurisdiction 18:13           jurisdiction 118:16         139:11         139:11         32:12 33:17 37:14         jurisdiction 18:13           jurisdiction 13:4 :6,22         17:37:24 29:52 42:22         207:17 268:13 269:51         January 18:8:12 322:15         jurisdiction 18:13           jurisdict   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| introductory 286:11         93:17 95:17 105:11         264:16 285:7 266:4         Judging 41:3           investigat 39:17         123:13 205:12 231:19         JAGE 60:6 277:14         273:16 276:15,18         Judging 41:3           Investigat 23:79         232:18,21 249:10         James 1:17,19 2:18         29:15 29:21:4 294:7         29:48 289:11 299:10           Investigate 98:9 123:6         252:14 266:10 272:19         James 1:17,19 2:18         29:11,13         29:11,13           321:2         investigate 25:9 80:14         invets 18:11         10:vet 99:118 298:16         97:10 80:2,10,20         30:67 313:3           investigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         167:5 168:11 180:21         83:12 98:1 102:4         jump 210:11 240:13           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         180:11,13 16 188:5         133:12 98:1 102:4         jump 210:11 240:13           321:12 246:15 49:4         181:12 185:21 186:10         103:20 111:15 19:14         juncture 230:2         jurisdiction 118:13           32:13 33:17 37:14         199:10 101:10 104:16         19AD 127:8 150:10         238:14         115:20 16:2,911(1,19           32:16 239:5 241:22         105:14 106:21 107:1         28:14         115:20 16:2,911(1,19         160:13 15 186:1           199:10 101:10 104:16         IPAD 127:8 150:10         28:14         117:12 9:18 29:   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| intrusion 94.15         105:16,17 112:19,22         273:16 276:15,18         judicial 21:22 22:12           investigate 33:9         123:13 205:12 23:19         JAGs 60:6 273:14         289:15 292:14 294:7           investigate 98:9 123:6         252:14 266:10 272:19         James 1:17,19 2:18         299:11 (13)           321:2         273:8         213:41 111:19         294:8 298:11 299:10         299:11 (13)           321:2         299:7 31:81         1invite 38:1         invite 38:1         60:11 71:27 76.8,14         48:4           116:19 219:7 31:81         169:11 71:21 76.8,14         48:4         109:20 71:12 40:13         306:7 313:3           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         167:5 168:11 180:21         30:20 111:15 119:14         jumped 108:8           114:12 15:22 42:22         207:17 265:13 269:5         January 1:8 8:12 322:1         jurisdictions 218:22         jurisdictions 218:22 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Judaina 41:3</td>   |                        |                        |                           | Judaina 41:3         |
| invested 39:17         123:13 205:12 231:19         JAGs 60:6 273:14         289:15 292:14 249:7           Investigate 98:9 123:6         252:14 266:10 272:19         jail 111:19         294:8 298:11 299:10           218:18 227:15 233:1         273:8         273:8         213:4,11 32:16 49:17         294:8 298:11 299:10           321:2         investigatod 25:9 80:14         investigatod 25:9 80:14         investigatod 25:9 80:14         101:12         213:4,11 32:16 49:17         Julie 2:3           investigation 1:3 4:6,22         involve 291:18 298:16         77:2,6,15,20,22 79:3         306:7 313:3         306:7 313:3           24:11 25:20 26:22         207:17 265:13 269:5         January 1:8 8:12 322:1         January 1:8 8:12 322:1         Jurisdictions 218:22           27:7 35:22 42:22         200:12,21 31:3:13         317:8         32:13 33:17 37:14         66:12 69:12,16 112:8         Jurisdictions 218:22           45:11,22,0 46:15 49:4         involvement 186:22         involves 42:22 284:3         Jay's 21:18 51:13         Jurisdictions 218:22           23:15 29:10 96:3,4         139:11         IPAD 127:8 150:10         Jay's 21:18 51:13         Job 8:18 11:14 21:7         160:13 20:11,19           106:8:21 171:196:12 29:12         Islander 198:9         Islander 198:9         Jay's 21:18 51:13         160:13 168:1           179:224:25:23:22   | -                      |                        |                           |                      |
| Investigat 237:9232:18,21 249:10jail 111:19294:8 298:11 299:10investigate 98:9 123:6252:14 266:10 272:19james 1:17,19 2:18294:8 298:11 299:10218:18 227:15 233:1273:8investigatory 261:21investigatory 261:21james 1:17,19 2:18294:13.4.11 32:16 49:17investigated 25:9 80:14invite 38:1investigatory 261:21invite 38:169:11 71:21 76:8.14July 24:10 36:8 40:21investigating 121:22involved 73:16 139:10772:6.15,20.22 79:3306:7 313:3306:7 313:3jact 112 185:11 12 185:12 186:10166:11 180:2183:12 98:1 102:4jump 210:11 240:135:15 13:21 15:18 16:9186:11,13,16 188:5207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:1January 1:8 8:12 322:1jarisdiction 18:14317:8involvem 4:166:22January 1:8 8:12 32:1jurisdiction 18:1345:12,20 46:15 49:4involvem 4:166:22jurisdiction 12:8.13jurisdiction 218:2245:12,20 46:15 49:4involvem 4:166:22jurisdiction 12:8.13jurisdiction 20:2.166:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involvem 4:169:9jail 11:12jurisdiction 23:13105:8,20 106:4 158:5288:14Jamifer 1:17 5:9jurisdiction 23:13169:12 171:20 178:12Islander 198:9job 3:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:1job 3:33 110:13 113:8job 3:33 110:13 113:8join 202:11join 202:11join 202:11job 3:33 110:13 113:8join 202:12 25:21 253:22105:14 106:21 107:1264:16job 37:3 110:13 113:8114:  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| investigate 98:9 123:6<br>218:18 227:15 233:1<br>321:2252:14 266:10 272:19<br>273:8James 1:17,19 2:18<br>213:4,11 32:16 49:17<br>321:2299:11,13<br>Julie 2:3investigated 25:9 80:14<br>116:19 219:7 318:17<br>investigating 121:22<br>320:18,19invite 38:1<br>involve 973:16 139:10<br>167:5 168:11 180:21<br>167:5 168:11 180:21<br>167:5 168:11 180:21<br>167:5 168:11 180:21<br>24:11 25:20 26:22<br>27:7 35:22 42:22<br>290:12,21 313:13<br>317:8jump 210:11 240:13<br>306:7 313:3<br>jump 210:11 240:13<br>306:7 313:3<br>jump 210:11 240:13<br>jump 210:11 240:13<br>jum 217:11/12<br>jum 217:11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 218:16       227:15       233:1       273:8       21:3,4,11       32:16 (49:17)         321:2       investigated 25:9       80:14       invite 38:1       52:2,7       53:8       26:6         investigating 121:22       involve 291:18       298:16       77:2,6,15,20,22       79:3       30:67       313:3         320:18,19       167:5       168:11       180:21       103:20       111:15       119:12       30:67       313:3         24:11       25:20       22       20:17       25:13       23:12       100:20       108:13       30:67       313:3         34:11,22       48:14       103:20       111:15       119:14       103:20       111:15       119:14       108:22       100:14       108:12       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       30:67       313:3       313:14       313:14       313:14       313:14       313:14       313:14       313:13       313:13       313:13       313:13       313:17       37:14       319:14       319:11       32:13  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 321:2         investigatory 261:21         52:2,7 53:8 62:6         July 24:10 36:8 40:21           investigated 25:9 80:14         invite 38:1         69:11 71:21 76:8 14         48:4           investigating 121:22         involve 291:18 298:16         77:2,6,15,20,22 79:3         306:7 313:3           320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         83:12 98:1 102:4         jumped 108:8           investigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         103:20 111:15 119:14         jurisdiction 18:13           24:11 25:20 26:22         207:17 265:13 269:5         January 1:8 8:12 322:1         jurisdiction 18:13           24:11 25:20 46:15 49:4         involvement 186:22         66:12 69:12,16 112:8         jurisdiction 18:13           45:12,20 46:15 49:4         involvement 186:22         involving 5:17 6:9 9:10         Jen 14:38 202:18         justice 20:4 36:20 37:4           66:6,15 67:3 75:19,22         involving 5:17 6:9 9:10         Jen 14:38 202:18         160:13,15 186:1           105:8,20 106:4 158:5         Isander 198:9         job 8:18 11:14 21:7         182:6 187:17,17           192:17 196:1 219:11         issue 18:19 19:14 37:1         26:4:16         51:4 66:19 5:11 237:21         139:14 157:7 178:4           238:15 239:5 241:22         87:22 96:21 102:7         121:7,9 180:14 219:5         Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5         182:6 187:17,17   |                        |                        |                           | '                    |
| investigated 25:9 80:14invite 38:169:11 71:21 76:8,1448:4116:19 219:7 318:17involve 291:18 298:1677:2,6,15,20,22 79:3jump 210:11 240:13investigation 1:3 4:6,22187:12 185:21 186:1083:12 98:1 102:4jumped 108:8investigation 1:3 4:6,22181:12 185:21 186:10103:20 11:15 119:14jurture 230:227:7 35:22 42:22207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:1jurtsdiction 18:1324:11 25:20 26:22207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:1jurtsdiction 18:1324:11 22.44:4,18317:8involvement 186:22involves 42:22 284:3jury 47:21 77:14 78:2245:16 95:10 96:3,4199:11Jenifer 1:18jury 47:21 77:14 78:2299:10 101:10 104:16IPAD 127:8 150:10Jenifer 1:18187:19 189:22 243:21105:8,20 106:4 158:1018lander 198:9236:18job 8:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:12 19:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9194:1,223:4 236:2 237:1064:15 66:3 78:9 83:2045:7 65:19 112:2Kathen 5:8238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5286:14275:1 277:12 77:12 77:12139:14 157:7 178:4job 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:724:6 327:1920:9:17 215:17joined 202:21joined 202:2151:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joined 202:21keep 68:1716:5 19:5:11 237:927:19 19:12 237:21joined 202:21keep 68:1716:12 19:5:11 237:927:19 19:15 237:21joined 202:21keep 68:17 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 116:19 219:7 318:17       involve 291:18 298:16       77:2,6,15,20,22 79:3       jump 210:11 240:13         320:18,19       167:5 168:11 180:21       79:10 80:2,10,20       306:7 313:3       jump 210:11 240:13         5:15 13:21 15:18 16:9       186:11,13,16 188:5       312 98:1 102:4       103:20 11:15 119:14       jursdiction 218:22         27: 35:22 42:22       207:17 265:13 269:5       January 1:8 8:12 322:1       jursdiction 218:22       jursdiction 218:22         45:12,20 46:15 49:4       involveent 186:22       66:12 69:12,16 112:8       jursticcion 218:22       jursdiction 218:22         57:4 58:4,6 65:13,22       involves 42:22 284:3       jursdiction 218:22       jursdiction 218:22       jursdiction 218:22         80:15 95:10 96:3,4       139:11       Isander 198:9       Jenifer 1:18       Jenifer 1:17       justice 20:4 36:20 37:4         169:21 171:20 178:12       Iraq 86:10       Isander 198:9       job 8:18 11:14 21:7       182:6 187:17,17         192:17 196:12 129:11       issue 18:19 19:14 37:1       27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9       182:16 187:17,17         192:17 196:12 129:12 253:22       105:14 106:21 107:1       264:16       194:12       Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5         160:13,15 186:1       issue 18:19 19:19 201:5       job 37:3 110:13 113:8       joined 202:21       keep 29:17 32:18 34:4         112:12 12:21  |                        |                        |                           | -                    |
| investigating 121:22involved 73:16 139:1079:10 80:2,10,20306:7 313:3320:18,19167:5 168:11 180:2183:12 98:1 102:4jumped 108:8investigation 1:3 4:6,22181:12 185:21 186:10103:20 111:15 119:14juncture 230:224:11 25:02 26:22207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:1jurisdiction 118:1324:11 25:20 26:22207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:1jurisdictions 218:2227:7 35:22 42:22290:12,21 313:1332:13 33:17 37:14jury 47:21 77:14 78:2245:12,20 46:15 49:4involvement 186:2266:12 69:12,16 112:8jury 47:21 77:14 78:2257:4 58:4,6 65:13,22involves 42:22 284:3Jay's 21:18 51:13115:20 116:2,9,11,1966:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involving 5:17 6:9 9:10Jen 143:8 202:18160:13,15 186:199:10 101:10 104:16IPAD 127:8 150:10Jen 143:8 202:18187:19 189:22 243:2199:10 101:10 104:16Irag 86:10jettison 234:13isbe 114 12:17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9194:1,223:12 23:12 23:1237:2 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5182:6 187:17,17192:17 127:12 78:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4joint 24:13205:21 223:9 325:1024:12,20 32:5219:12 199:19 207:14 284:8joint 24:13205:21 223:9 325:1010:vestigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 207:14 284:8Joseph 2:16keeps 77:1816:51 95:11 237:9216:12 19:15 237:21j  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 320:18,19         167:5 168:11 180:21         83:12 98:1 102:4         jumped 108:8           investigation 1:3 4:6,22         181:12 185:21 186:10         103:20 111:15 119:14         jurisdiction 118:13           5:15 13:21 15:18 16:9         186:11,13,16 188:5         Janice 2:4         jurisdiction 118:13           24:11 25:20 26:22         207:17 265:13 269:5         January 1:8 8:12 322:1         jurisdiction 118:13           43:11,22 44:4,18         317:8         32:13 33:17 37:14         jury or 47:16 48:19           43:12,20 46:15 49:4         involvement 186:22         66:12 69:12,16 112:8         justice 20:4 36:20 37:4           57:4 58:4,6 65:13,22         involves 42:22 284:3         Jay's 21:18 51:13         115:20 116:2,9,11,19           66:6,15 67:3 75:19,22         involves 42:22 284:3         Jay's 21:18 51:13         115:20 116:2,9,11,19           105:8,20 106:4 158:5         138:14         139:11         Jenifer 1:17 5:9         186:11,12:8           232:4 236:2 237:10         64:15 66:3 78:9 83:20         45:7 65:19 112:2         182:6 187:17,17           199:12 17:16:17 128         105:14 106:21 107:1         264:16         51:4 85:1 98:21           275:1 277:1 278:21         117:22 131:18 138:7         job 37:3 110:13 113:8         121:21 212:3 169:7           238:15 239:5 241:22         87:2 296:21 102:7         2   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| investigation 1:3 4:6,22181:12 185:21 186:10103:20 111:15 119:14juncture 230:25:15 13:21 15:18 16:9186:11,13,16 188:5Janice 2:4jurisdiction 118:1324:11 25:20 26:22207:17 265:13 269:5January 1:8 8:12 322:127:7 35:22 42:22290:12,21 313:13Jay 2:16 7:14 21:9jurisdiction 218:2243:11,22 44:4,18317:8involvement 186:2266:12 69:12,16 112:8jurisdiction 218:2257:4 58:4,6 65:13,22involvement 186:22139:17 6:9 9:10Jenifer 1:17justice 20:4 36:20 37:466:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involves 42:22 284:3Jay's 21:18 51:13160:13,15 186:180:15 95:10 96:3,4139:11Jenifer 1:17 5:9160:13,15 186:199:10 101:10 104:16IPAD 127:8 150:10Jenifer 1:17 5:9288:14158:10 166:17 168:10Iraq 86:10jettison 234:13iste 18:19 19:14 37:1169:21 171:20 178:12Islander 198:9job 8:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9194:1,2232:4 236:22 23:20105:14 106:21 107:1264:1651:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4joining 5:5,5keep 29:17 32:18 34:451:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keep 30:17166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6keystokes 143:424:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13124:  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 5:155:1513:2115:1816:9186:11,13,16188:5Janice 2:4jurisdiction 118:1324:1125:20207:17265:13269:5January 1:822:121jurisdictions 218:2227:735:2242:22290:12,21313:13Jay 2:1671:421:943:11,2244:18317:832:1333:1737:14jurisdictions 218:2245:12,2046:1549:4involvement 186:2266:1269:12,16112:857:458:4,665:13,22involves 42:22284:3Jay's 21:1851:13115:2080:1595:1096:3,4139:11Jenifer 1:18115:20116:2,9,11,1990:10101:10104:16IPAD 127:8150:10Jenifer 1:17187:19189:22105:8,20106:4158:5288:14236:18187:19189:22243:2115:8:1016:17168:10Iraq 86:10job 8:1811:1421:7182:6187:17,17192:17196:12198:1498:1027:429:5,8,10194:12194:1,2238:15239:5241:2287:2296:21102:7121:7,9180:14219:5238:15239:5241:2287:2296:21102:7121:7,9180:14219:5238:15239:5241:2287:2296:21102:7121:7,9180:14219:5238:15239:5241:22117:22131:18132:1122:1220:2122:   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 24:11 25:20 26:22<br>27:7 35:22 42:22207:17 265:13 269:5<br>290:12,21 313:13January 1:8 8:12 322:1<br>Jay 2:16 7:14 21:9jurisdictions 218:22<br>juro 47:16 48:1943:11,22 44:4,18317:8317:832:13 33:17 37:14jury 47:21 77:14 78:2243:12,20 46:15 49:4involvement 186:2266:12 69:12,16 112:8justice 20:4 36:20 37:457:4 58:4,6 65:13,22involves 42:22 284:3Jay's 21:18 51:13115:20 116:2,9,11,1966:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involves 42:22 284:3Jay's 21:18 51:13115:20 116:2,9,11,1999:10 101:10 104:16IPAD 127:8 150:10Jenifer 1:17 5:9288:14187:19 189:22 243:21105:8,20 106:17 168:10Isander 198:9job 8:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9122:238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5Katleen 5:8238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5114:21 22:03 169:7275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 167:7 178:4joined 202:21keep 37:18investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5joined 202:21keep 37:1851:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17Joseph 2:16key 68:1719:21 19:35 105:2319:332:12,17kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6319:332:112,1719:321,21 194:3issue's 216:632:112,17kick 63:10 73:519:21 194:3issue's 216:   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 27:7 35:22 42:22290:12,21 313:13Jay 2:16 7:14 21:9juro 47:16 48:1943:11,22 44:4,18317:832:13 33:17 37:14jury 47:21 77:14 78:2245:12,20 46:15 49:4involvement 186:2266:12 69:12,16 112:8jury 47:21 77:14 78:2257:4 58:4,6 65:13,22involves 42:22 284:3Jay's 21:18 51:13115:20 116:2,9,11,1966:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involving 5:17 6:9 9:10Jay's 21:18 51:13115:20 116:2,9,11,1980:15 95:10 96:3,4139:11194:1,2236:18166:11 168:10105:8,20 106:4 158:518ander 198:9jettison 234:13187:19 189:22 243:2116:21 171:20 178:12issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9194:1,2232:4 236:2 237:1064:15 66:3 78:9 83:2045:7 65:19 112:2Kathleen 5:8238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5182:6 187:17,17275:1 277:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4join 124:13joind 202:21investigations 3:8 6:19203:9,17 215:17joind 37:6keep 37:18166:1 93:5 105:2270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16keep 39:6:1717:24:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:1719:321,21 194:3issue's 216:6319:3321:12,17124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,1719:321,21 194:3issue's 216:61321:12,1719:321,21 194:3issue's 216:61321:12,1719:321,21 194:3issue's 216:   |                        |                        |                           | -                    |
| 43:11,22 44:4,18317:8317:832:13 33:17 37:14jury 47:21 77:14 78:2245:12,20 46:15 49:4involvement 186:22involves 42:22 284:366:12 69:12,16 112:8justice 20:4 36:20 37:457:4 58:4,6 65:13,22involves 42:22 284:3jury 47:21 77:14 78:2266:6,15 67:3 75:19,22involves 42:22 284:3jury 47:21 77:14 78:2280:15 95:10 96:3,4139:11jury 47:21 77:14 78:2299:10 101:10 104:16IPAD 127:8 150:10Jen 143:8 202:18160:13,15 186:1169:21 171:20 178:12Islander 198:9288:14Jennifer 1:17 5:9169:21 171:20 178:12Islander 198:9job 8:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:945:7 65:19 112:2238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:745:7 65:19 112:2Kathleen 5:8249:21 252:12 253:22105:14 106:21 107:1264:1651:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4joining 5:5,5joining 5:5,5joining 5:5,5joiking 37:6keep 28:6 31:7242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:839:3321:12,1719:21 19:15 237:21270:19 277:14 284:839:3321:12,1719:22:12,21 194:3issue's 216:6319:3321:12,1719:21.22:13issue's 216:6319:3321:12,1719:21.22:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2111:1414:1212:12 12:14<   |                        |                        |                           | -                    |
| 45:12,20 46:15 49:4<br>57:4 58:4,6 65:13,22<br>66:6,15 67:3 75:19,22<br>80:15 95:10 96:3,4<br>99:10 101:10 104:16<br>105:8,20 106:4 158:5<br>158:10 166:17 168:10<br>169:21 171:20 178:12<br>192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2<br>214:11 178:18 188:6<br>160:5 195:10 238:16 239:10 90:34<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>20:39,17 215:17<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>193:12 199:19 201:5<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19involvement 186:22<br>involving 5:17 6:9 9:10<br>139:14 157: 7178:4<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>242:6 327:19involvement 186:22<br>involving 5:17 6:9 9:10<br>139:14 157: 7178:4<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>217:1 278:12 188:6<br>217:19<br>217:11 278:12 188:6<br>217:10 277:14 284:8<br>217:10 277:14 284:8<br>217:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>217:12 194:3<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>217:11 218:15 6:8<br>219:311:31<br>211:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>211:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>211:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>211:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>211:14involvement 186:22<br>10:10 10:11 16:1,2<br>216:10 193:21,21 194:3<br>216:10 193:21,21 194:3<br>216:217<br>216:10 193:21,21 194:3involvement 186:22<br>10:10 10:11 14:1 16:1,2<br>216:31 135:21<br>10:10 14:  |                        |                        |                           | •                    |
| 57:4 58:4,6 65:13,22<br>66:6,15 67:3 75:19,22<br>80:15 95:10 96:3,4<br>99:10 101:10 104:16<br>105:8,20 106:4 158:5<br>158:10 166:17 168:10<br>192:11 71:20 178:12<br>192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:16 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>139:14 157:7 178:4<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 229:19 201:5<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 298:19,20<br>319:3<br>19:3 19:3<br>19:3 19:3<br>19:21 129:19 201:5<br>220:21 127:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:14 284:8<br>19:21 127:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3<br>19:21 127:19 277:14 284:8<br>321:12,17<br>19:21:13<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2<  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 66:6, 15 67:3 75:19,22<br>80:15 95:10 96:3,4<br>99:10 101:10 104:16<br>105:8,20 106:4 158:5<br>158:10 166:17 168:10<br>169:21 171:20 178:12<br>192:17 196:1 219:11involving 5:17 6:9 9:10<br>18ander 198:9<br>193:11Jen 143:8 202:18<br>Jenifer 1:17 5:9<br>288:14<br>jettison 234:13<br>job 8:18 11:14 21:7<br>27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>45:7 65:19 112:2<br>122:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>139:14 157:7 178:4<br>117:22 131:18 138:7<br>318:12 199:19 201:5<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>193:5 105:2<br>242:6 327:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 327:19<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>166:1 93:5 105:2<br>112:12<br>242:6 327:19<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>193:21 175:17<br>242:6 327:19<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>193:21 177:12 20:3<br>242:6 327:19<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>249:21 220:3<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 298:19,20<br>319:3<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 327:19<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>249:21 220:3<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 298:19,20<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 327:19<br>242:6 298:19,20<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>31   |                        |                        |                           | •                    |
| 80:15 95:10 96:3,4<br>99:10 101:10 104:16<br>105:8,20 106:4 158:5<br>158:10 166:17 168:10139:11<br>1PAD 127:8 150:10<br>288:14Jenifer 1:18<br>Jenifer 1:17 5:9<br>236:18187:19 189:22 243:21169:21 171:20 178:12<br>192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>105:14 106:21 107:1<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19139:11<br>139:14 157:7 178:4<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>217:19 277:14 284:8<br>292:6 298:19,20<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>319:3<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17<br>321:12,17 <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td></b<>   |                        |                        | 2                         |                      |
| 99:10 101:10 104:16<br>105:8,20 106:4 158:5IPAD 127:8 150:10<br>288:14Jennifer 1:17 5:9<br>236:18Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5158:10 166:17 168:10<br>169:21 171:20 178:12Iraq 86:10<br>Islander 198:9job 8:18 11:14 21:7<br>27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10issue 18:19 19:14 37:1<br>64:15 66:3 78:9 83:2027:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>45:7 65:19 112:2194:1,2<br>Kathleen 5:8<br>Keep 29:17 32:18 34:4238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:2287:22 96:21 102:7<br>105:14 106:21 107:145:7 65:19 112:2<br>264:16Kathleen 5:8<br>Keep 29:17 32:18 34:4275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17117:22 131:18 138:7<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>203:9,17 215:17join 24:13<br>joind 202:21<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joining 5:5,5112:12 120:3 169:7<br>205:21 223:9 325:10166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8<br>29:6 298:19,20<br>319:3Joseph 2:16<br>321:12,17Key 68:17<br>kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3issue 165:21<br>issue 165:21321:12,17<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2kick 73:3 111:13<br>kick 63:10 73:5214:11,21 220:3<br>214:11,22 20:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,210:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>kid 63:10 73:5Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 105:8,20 106:4 158:5288:14236:18K158:10 166:17 168:10Iraq 86:10jettison 234:13Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5169:21 171:20 178:12Islander 198:9job 8:18 11:14 21:7182:6 187:17,17192:17 196:1 219:11issue 18:19 19:14 37:127:4 29:5,8,10 41:9194:1,2232:4 236:2 237:1064:15 66:3 78:9 83:2045:7 65:19 112:2Kathleen 5:8238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7121:7,9 180:14 219:5194:1,2249:21 252:12 253:22105:14 106:21 107:1264:1651:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4joint 24:13205:21 223:9 325:10investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5joined 202:21keepi 32:451:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6keystrokes 143:4242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16keystrokes 143:466:1 93:5 105:2319:3321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kick 63:10 73:5193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kid 63:10 73:5214:11,21 220:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kid 34:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>107.19 109.22 243.21</td>  |                        |                        |                           | 107.19 109.22 243.21 |
| 158:10 166:17 168:10<br>169:21 171:20 178:12Iraq 86:10<br>Islander 198:9<br>issue 18:19 19:14 37:1<br>232:4 236:2 237:10Jettison 234:13<br>job 8:18 11:14 21:7<br>27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>45:7 65:19 112:2Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5<br>182:6 187:17,17<br>194:1,2232:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>266:1 93:5 105:2<br>242:6 327:19Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5<br>182:6 187:17,17<br>27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>264:16Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5<br>182:6 187:17,17<br>194:1,2106:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19<br>106:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:1966:1 293:5 105:2<br>319:3198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>201:15 237:21<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3joind 202:21<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joking 37:6Kate 2:9 164:20 175:5<br>182:14 219:15 237:21<br>205:21 223:9 325:10117:22 131:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>214:11,21 220:3<br>214:11,21 220:3193:3<br>issue 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:17Joseph 2:16<br>joing 5:5.17 50:8 52:2Kate 72:12<br>kid 63:10 73:5<br>kids 34:2,8,13124:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issue 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:1710:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14   |                        |                        |                           | к                    |
| 169:21 171:20 178:12<br>192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10Islander 198:9<br>issue 18:19 19:14 37:1<br>64:15 66:3 78:9 83:20<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>75:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19Islander 198:9<br>issue 18:19 19:14 37:1<br>27:2 96:21 102:7<br>139:14 157:7 178:4<br>joins 3:8 6:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>27:19 277:14 284:8<br>242:6 327:19job 8:18 11:14 21:7<br>27:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>14:15:2 105:14 106:21 107:1<br>264:16182:6 187:17,17<br>194:1,2<br>Kathleen 5:8<br>keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21investigations 3:8 6:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>242:6 327:19193:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3joined 202:21<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joking 37:6keeping 32:4<br>keeps 77:18<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>kick 73:3 111:13<br>kicked 72:12<br>kid 63:10 73:5<br>kid 63:10 73:5<br>kid 63:10 73:5<br>kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 192:17 196:1 219:11<br>232:4 236:2 237:10<br>238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:22<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>318:12,19 320:16,17issue 18:19 19:14 37:1<br>64:15 66:3 78:9 83:20<br>87:22 96:21 102:7<br>105:14 106:21 107:1<br>264:1627:4 29:5,8,10 41:9<br>45:7 65:19 112:2<br>121:7,9 180:14 219:5<br>264:16194:1,2<br>Kathleen 5:8<br>keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>318:12,19 320:16,17<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19105:14 106:21 107:1<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8<br>join 124:13<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joking 37:6194:1,2<br>Kathleen 5:8<br>keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>214:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>221:14,16 222:17270:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3Joseph 2:16<br>joy 41:4<br>321:12,17<br>judge 5:8 8:9,16,17<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>Kathleen 5:8<br>keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>L12:12 120:3 169:7<br>205:21 223:9 325:10  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 232:4 236:2 237:1064:15 66:3 78:9 83:2045:7 65:19 112:2Kathleen 5:8238:15 239:5 241:2287:22 96:21 102:7105:14 106:21 107:1264:1651:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4join 124:13205:21 223:9 325:10investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5joined 202:21keeps 77:1851:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6key 68:17242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16joy 41:4124:11 178:18 188:6319:3319:3321:12,17124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3issue 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kid 63:10 73:5211:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 238:15 239:5 241:22<br>249:21 252:12 253:2287:22 96:21 102:7<br>105:14 106:21 107:1<br>105:14 106:21 107:1<br>117:22 131:18 138:7<br>318:12,19 320:16,17121:7,9 180:14 219:5<br>264:16keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21318:12,19 320:16,17<br>investigations 3:8 6:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9117:22 131:18 138:7<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>216:1 219:15 237:21<br>220:6 298:19,20<br>319:3121:7,9 180:14 219:5<br>264:16<br>jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8<br>join 124:13<br>joined 202:21<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joking 37:6<br>Joseph 2:16<br>joy 41:4keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21105:14 106:21 107:1<br>198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17<br>242:6 327:19139:12 129:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17121:7,9 180:14 219:5<br>264:16keep 29:17 32:18 34:4<br>51:4 85:1 98:21investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>221:14,16 222:17205:21 223:9 325:10<br>203:9,17 215:17keeping 32:4<br>keeps 77:18<br>joining 5:5,5<br>joking 37:6keep 28:6 31:7<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:17<br>kicked 72:12121:1,217<br>judge 5:8 8:9,16,17<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2kid 63:10 73:5<br>kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 249:21 252:12 253:22105:14 106:21 107:1264:1651:4 85:1 98:21275:1 277:1 278:21117:22 131:18 138:7jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8112:12 120:3 169:7investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5join 124:13205:21 223:9 325:1051:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeping 32:4166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6kept 28:6 31:7242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8292:6 298:19,20Joseph 2:16kick 73:3 111:1366:1 93:5 105:2319:3319:3321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kick 63:10 73:5193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kids 34:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 275:1 277:1 278:21<br>318:12,19 320:16,17117:22 131:18 138:7<br>139:14 157:7 178:4jobs 37:3 110:13 113:8<br>join 124:13112:12 120:3 169:7<br>205:21 223:9 325:10investigations 3:8 6:19<br>51:4 161:20 164:19<br>166:5 195:11 237:9198:12 199:19 201:5<br>203:9,17 215:17joined 202:21<br>joining 5:5,5keeping 32:4<br>keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19216:1 219:15 237:21<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>319:3joseph 2:16<br>joy 41:4key 68:17<br>keystrokes 143:4investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2292:6 298:19,20<br>319:3JDPP 126:3 135:21<br>321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13<br>kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3<br>21:11,21 220:3issue's 216:6<br>issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:17join 04:1 16:1,2<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2kid 63:10 73:5<br>kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14   |                        |                        | -                         |                      |
| 318:12,19 320:16,17139:14 157:7 178:4join 124:13205:21 223:9 325:10investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5joined 202:21keeping 32:451:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6key 68:17242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8292:6 298:19,20joy 41:4keystrokes 143:466:1 93:5 105:2319:3JPP 126:3 135:21kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kid 334:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| investigations 3:8 6:19198:12 199:19 201:5joined 202:21keeping 32:451:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6kept 28:6 31:7242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8292:6 298:19,20joy 41:4keystrokes 143:466:1 93:5 105:2319:3JPP 126:3 135:21kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kids 34:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        | -                         |                      |
| 51:4 161:20 164:19203:9,17 215:17joining 5:5,5keeps 77:18166:5 195:11 237:9216:1 219:15 237:21joking 37:6kept 28:6 31:7242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8292:6 298:19,20Joseph 2:16keystrokes 143:466:1 93:5 105:2319:3JPP 126:3 135:21kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kids 34:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 166:5 195:11 237:9<br>242:6 327:19216:1 219:15 237:21<br>270:19 277:14 284:8<br>292:6 298:19,20joking 37:6<br>Joseph 2:16<br>joy 41:4kept 28:6 31:7<br>key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2292:6 298:19,20<br>319:3joy 41:4keystrokes 143:4<br>keystrokes 143:4124:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3<br>214:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issue 165:21<br>issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:17joking 37:6<br>Joseph 2:16<br>joy 41:4kept 28:6 31:7<br>key 68:17<br>key 68:1710:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>kids 34:2,8,13issue 32:6 298:19,20<br>319:3joy 41:4<br>321:12,17kick 73:3 111:13<br>kicked 72:1210:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>kids 34:2,8,13issue 32:6 298:11<br>kids 34:2,8,13judge 5:8 8:9,16,17<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2kids 34:2,8,13<br>kids 34:2,8,13  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 242:6 327:19270:19 277:14 284:8Joseph 2:16key 68:17investigative 3:15 6:8292:6 298:19,20joy 41:4keystrokes 143:466:1 93:5 105:2319:3JPP 126:3 135:21kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6issue's 216:6321:12,17kicked 72:12193:21,21 194:3issues 7:3 65:20 80:1110:10 14:1 16:1,2kids 34:2,8,13221:14,16 222:1781:2 104:22 157:1717:15 35:17 50:8 52:2Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| investigative 3:15 6:8<br>66:1 93:5 105:2292:6 298:19,20<br>319:3joy 41:4<br>JPP 126:3 135:21keystrokes 143:4<br>kick 73:3 111:13124:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3issue's 216:6<br>issued 165:21321:12,17<br>judge 5:8 8:9,16,17kick 73:3 111:13<br>kicked 72:12214:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:1710:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           | •                    |
| 66:1 93:5 105:2       319:3       JPP 126:3 135:21       kick 73:3 111:13         124:11 178:18 188:6       issue's 216:6       321:12,17       kick 72:12         193:21,21 194:3       issued 165:21       judge 5:8 8:9,16,17       kid 63:10 73:5         214:11,21 220:3       issues 7:3 65:20 80:11       10:10 14:1 16:1,2       kids 34:2,8,13         221:14,16 222:17       81:2 104:22 157:17       17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2       Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 124:11 178:18 188:6<br>193:21,21 194:3issue's 216:6<br>issued 165:21321:12,17<br>judge 5:8 8:9,16,17kicked 72:12<br>kid 63:10 73:5214:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:1710:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14   |                        |                        |                           | -                    |
| 193:21,21 194:3<br>214:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issued 165:21<br>issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:17judge 5:8 8:9,16,17<br>10:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2kid 63:10 73:5<br>kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 214:11,21 220:3<br>221:14,16 222:17issues 7:3 65:20 80:11<br>81:2 104:22 157:1710:10 14:1 16:1,2<br>17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2kids 34:2,8,13<br>Kill 211:14   |                        |                        | -                         |                      |
| 221:14,16 222:17 81:2 104:22 157:17 17:15 35:17 50:8 52:2 <b>Kill</b> 211:14   |                        |                        |                           |                      |
|  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
| 224:5,17 226:7   178:9,10 197:1 198:2   56:21 58:11,17 59:4   killing 22:10  |                        |                        |                           |                      |
|  | 224:5,17 226:7         | 178:9,10 197:1 198:2   | 56:21 58:11,17 59:4       | <b>kiiiing</b> 22:10 |
|  | ll                     | I                      | I                         | I                    |

kinds 106:16 138:1,3 180:1 208:18 Kirsten 11:7 **kiss** 10:14 knew 19:10 27:3 29:3 32:8,9 38:20 63:16 95:13 knowing 54:9 70:22 142:20 knowledge 71:19 181:18 knowledgeable 102:10 known 4:7 320:14 knows 34:4 86:9 269:4 269:7 Kramer 5:10 L LA 64:16 labeled 92:16 93:1 lack 22:10 120:20 160:14 177:10 Lacrosse 51:1 ladies 38:2 Lai 85:19 language 199:16 226:12 286:11 large 107:8 109:17 132:7 largely 312:1 larger 150:6 largest 167:2,8 168:6 169:4,8 172:20 lasted 49:9 late 34:20 lateral 59:1 111:4 Laughter 77:21 153:21 159:11 172:8 191:10 194:11 196:8 212:14 231:3 248:9 251:16 320:2 325:6 327:7 launch 149:15 law 8:8 36:6,7 58:12 84:7 103:9 110:17 164:14 173:18,21 187:9 221:13 222:17 226:7 227:15 244:2 245:7,11,15,16 247:5 321:22 322:2 324:16 325:9,14 lawful 16:7 laws 324:19 lawsuit 47:13 lawyer 28:14,16,18 31:19 32:1,20 52:10 77:16 94:15 110:10 219:7 264:17 265:13 265:22

lawyers 32:14 35:14 264:19 272:1 lay 323:14 layer 189:10 layered 59:14 lead 9:3,9,15,21 35:16 60:18 62:8 155:7 158:13 185:22 186:5 186:18 188:6.16.20 189:2 leaders 39:21 85:7 leadership 13:4 19:9,21 20:11 22:21 24:8 28:4 63:14 64:13,17 123:3 leading 36:10 249:11 250:5 252:15 270:2 leads 54:11 leaked 10:18 57:4 leaking 17:2 56:21 leaning 87:20 leaping 328:15 learn 45:10 46:4 163:16 164:11 learned 24:4 26:4 34:2 36:16 40:21 66:9 leave 11:19 86:19 101:11.12 135:3 200:7 205:14 leaves 211:15 led 85:17 112:20 186:22 206:17 249:15 left 11:11 66:17 180:17 277:16 292:3,15 328:5 legal 8:6 36:12 49:22 77:10 82:12 164:7 174:6 261:21 271:15 length 70:13 152:1 166:4,17 204:17 242:6 323:8 lengthy 241:22 Leo 5:8 lesser 75:11 let's 27:15 111:11.12 113:17 119:16 172:9 187:18 letter 11:16 12:6 14:4 51:17 76:6 107:10,12 108:17 313:7,10,18 314:2,3,3,15,17 315:2 315:4 321:15 letters 78:7 letting 21:6 level 23:8 24:8 34:14 39:9 40:7 50:10 79:4 115:6,8,9 123:3 141:11 162:8 172:17 levels 34:13 102:21

109:2 113:12,14 114:15 **liability** 32:5 69:22 lie 47:18 57:15 95:12 lied 33:7,16 56:16 58:7 103:13 lieu 299:18 lieutenant 2:16 7:11 8:4 12:14 14:18,21 49:2 65:11 69:18 72:4,5 80:12,22 106:1 life 14:19 34:4,16 38:9 38:19 39:7 44:20 72:16 74:4 lifetime 44:21 47:1 light 46:13 lightly 22:13 likes 255:14 limited 109:7 line 18:22 108:1,2 183:20 240:6 lines 87:16 88:4 link 33:17 37:13 167:11 214:17 linked 155:20 **linking** 74:19 Lions 251:17 list 14:3 18:9 87:19 177:5 179:21 203:20 listed 15:6 177:2 178:2 301:20 listing 302:2 literally 17:3 18:17 51:7 little 23:20 25:3 29:7 50:7 54:9 65:4 87:12 108:8 125:8 141:16 147:11 149:8 152:9 153:17 173:14 174:6 174:6 175:5,14 181:10 182:7 213:16 232:1 233:2 234:10 240:14 247:9 266:20 273:10 285:4 298:18 300:21 302:13.20 309:21 328:20 live 34:8 lived 25:13 lives 35:18 36:21 39:5 living 23:7 **lobby** 227:13 local 17:8 107:19,21 108:4 locally 15:1 locally-filed 11:15 12:9 located 9:8 locations 235:9 279:13 London 11:3 long 1:17 5:9 17:14

18:9 19:9 40:15 43:21 44:15 49:3 90:4 117:2 166:15 202:18,19,21 203:8 236:17,18 237:1,7 longer 105:21 look 24:22 44:8 48:20 51:12 66:12 86:16 101:18 102:3 105:4 105:12,14 119:8 123:5 126:11,15 129:12 131:14 137:7 138:17 140:13 145:19 147:15 149:5 152:13 158:22 159:5 162:13 165:8 172:14 176:21 177:21 178:5,20 180:5,17 185:18 191:20 193:11 195:11 195:11 204:22 208:10 210:1 230:4 277:5 278:2 300:9,10 304:15 309:19 312:8 313:1 321:16 327:18 looked 27:17 54:13.18 151:7.17 152:5 153:9 153:13 170:15 178:14 180:11 184:16 191:13 191:15 195:5 207:9 209:6 212:18 213:1 213:16 214:11.20 219:14 221:7 225:11 230:17,19 265:13 274:9 295:1 300:4 315:13 320:13 looking 55:13 82:9 120:9 131:13,19 134:1 135:18 163:21 189:6 212:21 215:1 225:7 230:2 241:15 250:22 266:14 304:3 311:5 looks 75:10 205:8 loose 232:22 LOR 73:2 lose 37:12 226:17 loses 222:13,14 loss 179:6 312:7 lost 37:3,9 54:16 112:2 lot 35:2 49:22 50:19 63:21 73:14 74:5 97:10 110:10 112:2 163:1 186:4,4 197:15 206:3 214:5 233:3 241:15 310:9 326:17 lots 234:15 love 37:10 39:4 loved 41:19

loves 43:15 low 68:4 75:2 133:17 149:15 311:3 lower 138:16 153:7 154:4 180:17 184:22 LT 7:13 11:22 12:2,5,13 49:6 53:21 55:17 59:9 60:12 67:11 70:20 81:18 88:5 90:15 91:14 93:10,20 94:1,3 94:9 103:2 107:2,6 110:9 121:1 122:5 lumped 267:12 lunch 6:5 124:11 161:1 Μ M 1:15 ma'am 71:22 78:1,14 80:3 84:19 102:4 125:1,3 148:7 154:13 172:5 259:8,20 274:4 316:11.15 magazine 59:20 main 18:5 264:14 major 1:15 2:2 10:19 21:14 62:19 65:15 107:20 150:16 majority 164:13 173:11 173:19 183:21 215:4 240:12 making 14:5 16:11 45:20 46:19 82:9,11 106:3 107:3 123:18 159:5 172:19 188:20 212:5 217:16 225:12 230:20 266:19 268:13 275:14,21 282:2 295:12 296:16 297:9 male 61:5 130:9 131:6 131:11,20,22 132:2 173:11 308:9 male-accused 132:21 133:15 134:16 135:3 males 131:5 132:1 man 31:7 65:15 111:17 manage 38:19 management 313:5 mandate 5:13 mandatory 164:12 manifested 31:16 manner 255:18 mantra 54:8,11 March 3:17 8:12 10:16 10:18 165:22 Marcia 1:15 Margaret 5:9 Marguerite 2:10 Marine 61:14 153:16

Marines 231:2 Markey 1:17 104:12,14 107:5 110:1 112:17 170:6 174:10 183:19 184:6 196:21 202:7 202:10 204:3,21 212:15 214:19 216:19 250:5,16 251:14 254:9,11,21 255:2 258:4 265:4 266:14 268:7,17 269:9,15,18 270:20 271:10,13 272:5 274:11 279:11 279:21 280:12,18 309:20 Markey's 270:18 Markowitz 1:18 133:9 133:13 134:2 142:16 143:1 164:20 165:2 181:13 182:3,9,18 183:3,15,18 184:3,7 185:6 204:20 205:17 235:15,18,22 236:4,8 236:12,16 240:3,7 242:20 243:1,9 246:11 247:6,12,17 247:19 248:14.20 253:7 255:13 263:12 273:20 marks 41:12 married 57:1 167:5 Martha 1:13,15 212:2 256:11 martial 73:1 76:12 124:10,22 128:4,14 135:13 141:6,13,16 141:18 142:8 145:18 145:21 146:4,9,21,22 157:11 158:13,19 159:8,12,14 160:2,4 220:21 233:4 299:15 299:19 306:15 309:8 309:15 310:19 322:15 martials 142:7 324:15 Mason 2:7 124:19 125:1,3 126:17,20,22 128:5,15,22 129:6 133:6,12,22 134:6,12 134:18 135:1 138:2,4 140:19,22 141:5 142:22 143:3 144:10 144:14,16,20,22 145:5,7,10 149:3 150:13 156:11 157:10 158:18 massive 319:20 material 126:10 207:13 materials 126:9

matter 56:19 88:15 124:16 156:21 161:8 214:14 304:17 329:6 mattered 154:1,20 matters 57:12 Mattis 51:16 matured 119:17 maturity 34:12 36:13 Maxwell 17:14 MBA 17:19 18:10 McCain 34:21 MCIO 175:21 176:16 223:15 249:8,19 250:3,9,19 251:8 252:2 265:15,17 266:3,6 300:5 MCIOs 177:7,14 195:3 195:9 249:15 253:14 254:12,13,17 255:8 256:7 260:9,17 McKinley 1:18 59:13 62:5 248:12 255:17 256:1 260:11 279:8 326:16 328:2,8 McKinney 2:10 mean 52:13 55:6,22 56:9 65:19 68:17 70:20 73:4 75:21 77:11 78:18 79:1 83:1 88:18 89:4 93:12 101:20 103:13 104:8 109:15 120:19 121:2 122:6,22 123:11 132:13 134:7 141:10 145:3 149:22 155:4 196:9 208:10 210:5 216:3 218:10 224:6 226:16 230:1 231:7 235:18 242:8 247:2,4 247:8,13 251:3 267:17,20 268:6 272:22 276:4,5 284:1 284:12 289:22 296:6 323:10 324:13 328:20 329:2 meaning 77:9 105:13 222:14 meaningful 8:2 means 11:16 33:21 78:13 79:21 180:19 188:18 209:10 227:13 meant 63:2 measure 118:18 152:1 measuring 180:14 media 42:13 56:22 199:22 200:2,19 201:1,2 median 166:1

medical 164:21 192:22 medium 37:11 meet 122:14 128:17 196:5 211:5 249:9 250:1 252:3,13 meeting 1:6 4:10,16,21 5:18,21 6:15,18 7:1,7 14:11 23:12 149:10 150:8 311:9 318:7 326:1,5,22 329:4 meetings 63:22 98:15 310:20 meets 82:12 Meghan 1:20 2:7 77:2 Meghan's 201:10 212:13 meld 22:6 member 5:5 7:2 47:22 65:8 167:3 170:18 244:21 members 3:9 4:20 5:3,4 5:7,17,22 6:1 9:17 21:5,17 43:1 48:16 73:16 75:4 123:22 148:10 151:1 153:16 153:18 162:10 163:3 169:6 170:11.12 190:22 199:12 309:18 **memo** 168:18 memorandum 313:18 321:15 memory 179:6,22 180:4 men 40:19 47:16,17 men's 60:16 mention 114:9 169:20 323:9 mentioned 73:9 99:10 105:10 106:2 138:14 160:5 172:11 199:21 217:17 232:20 313:6 mentor 27:11 merged 291:3 merit 54:14 merits 122:18 message 227:9 265:9 messages 25:18 met 1:11 114:9 274:13 meth 64:16 methodology 213:17 Mexico 18:21 **MG** 84:16,21 87:11 273:4 275:3 298:14 mic 168:13 169:15 Michael 6:18 microphone 77:19 104:13 microscope 87:8 mid-level 17:15

middle 66:18 67:7 75:2 midst 41:18 Mil 221:15 mileage 327:21 miles 47:15 military 9:2 11:8 21:21 22:12,22 23:22 26:18 28:18 32:1,19 35:14 36:10,14,20 37:4 40:11 52:18 60:1 62:14 64:21 65:6 74:1 81:9,9,14,14 86:21 90:17 92:21 96:1 97:2 100:15 101:15 105:1 107:14 115:14,15,17 115:18,20,21,22 116:2,4,8,9,11,16,19 120:18 123:12 129:9 142:1 152:18 155:22 160:13,15 172:13 173:20 186:1 187:19 189:21 190:15 193:20 197:22 200:3,7,20 201:5,6,7,7,16,17,18 202:1,3,4 218:16 220:2 221:13 222:17 226:7 227:15 229:18 230:11 231:19 243:21 243:22 249:20 252:11 308:19 313:4 military-only 155:19 Military-wide 201:15 **million** 14:20 mind 32:18 34:5 41:12 55:11 98:21 169:7 179:18 269:13 307:7 326:13 mine 24:11 52:7,11 307:1 minimum 26:15 minority 183:12 minute 23:17 46:17 105:20 162:20 238:8 304:15 326:5 minutes 46:18 mirror 44:8 158:5 mis- 220:17 misconduct 5:17 222:9 317:13 318:5,18 319:3,12,15 320:16 321:5 misinterpret 87:4 misinterpretation 266:5 misinterpreted 12:20 255:15 misleading 133:20 145:15 281:22 282:11

misrepresentative 301:18 missed 40:16 49:3 325:14 missing 298:6 mission 60:9,10 63:12 163:5 mixture 155:22 model 154:1 156:3,22 167:17 models 151:3,6 152:6 153:10 155:12 157:1 157:4 modifications 125:7 modified 130:18 moment 41:14 328:16 Monday 28:20 52:11 monetary 37:8 monitor 241:11 242:1 242:12,18 243:5 287:13 288:2,14 month 27:21 32:5 34:8 34:11 43:11,22 46:4 65:21 98:22 months 17:7,20 18:20 29:4 30:4 32:15 44:11 44:20 45:5 47:14 49:8 49:9 51:17 66:7 69:14 98:11 113:15 140:10 193:16 225:8 247:5 276:18 326:22 327:2 327:3 Monument 1:11 morale 60:10 morass 214:18 morning 4:3,19 5:4 7:14 10:15 38:2 43:12 48:15 147:9 190:21 209:5 313:6 Morse 2:16 7:11,13,14 11:22 12:2,5,13 49:2 49:2,6 53:16,21 55:17 59:9 60:6,12 67:11 70:20 81:18 87:17 88:5 90:15 91:14 93:10,20 94:1,3,9 103:2 106:1 107:2,6 108:10 110:9 121:1 122:5 Morse's 99:15 mother 10:22 43:9 mountain 40:6 move 11:19 13:11 36:6 104:18 113:17 187:13 193:1 198:20 202:15 210:19 241:1 244:6 248:18 253:5 254:7 256:14 257:13 258:17

258:20 259:18 260:22 262:13 272:10 275:7 278:5 281:11 282:15 287:4 309:22 315:3,3 317:18 327:9 328:9 moved 228:21 moves 317:11 moving 243:11 244:13 249:3 256:20 262:21 313:11 327:20 multi- 59:13 multiple 15:19 25:16 40:10 69:17 131:8,10 190:11 multivariate 125:13,16 125:21 150:18 151:2 151:6 152:6 153:10 309:1 **mundane** 39:5 Myers 65:12 Ν Nalini 2:6 name 7:14 10:19 11:13 14:13 17:22 21:11 38:3 43:6 70:1 76:17 232:11 312:15.22 names 196:11 312:20 nametag 67:8 narrow 238:14 national 11:2 22:13 37:1 64:14 102:6 244:15 261:12 318:8 Native 198:9 **NATO** 17:10 nature 55:1,2 178:5,21 178:22 185:9 Navy 1:12 10:3 69:19 80:12 323:10 NCIC 253:17 256:10 281:20 NCIS 80:13 NDAA 5:12 245:20 near 34:9 99:1 nearly 68:8 177:1 205:3 208:3 235:7 necessarily 128:14 133:20 141:10 169:18 182:21 218:21 224:4 275:1 283:22 **necessary** 118:12 204:19 need 13:19 39:8 41:7,8 41:17 54:22 55:15 65:6 85:21 88:18 102:2 110:13,14,16 110:18 122:17 123:9 131:15 133:11,16

150:2 161:5 195:10 195:11 200:13 203:22 207:12 226:4 228:16 233:17 234:1 239:9 242:7 254:17 255:8 256:7 259:20 280:2 314:20 326:1 328:3 needed 14:13 23:14,16 27:10 28:15 30:7 120:7 203:11 231:10 231:11 253:19 Needless 29:9 needs 92:8 106:19 198:3 233:6 236:7 277:17 293:21 300:16 300:16 negative 11:10 85:4 166:5 negatively 90:3 neighborhood 221:4 neighbors 165:9 neither 99:11 net 32:12 neutral 89:9 Nevada 10:22 never 24:5 25:13.14 29:10,13,20 31:19,20 32:11 48:19 56:22 60:14,15 61:5 96:20 101:18 112:21 122:21 187:10 189:16 219:11 277:10 307:7 Nevertheless 44:17 **new** 24:10,16 31:8 32:17,19,20 33:6 35:3 45:1 68:13,15,15,21 88:22 127:17 136:14 148:11 228:6 259:5 313:5 322:3,4 324:19 325:18,19 news 10:19 11:2 29:12 31:20 73:10 74:8 newspaper 51:5,6 newspapers 84:6 nice 296:7 night 11:2,6 nights 44:11 Nine 68:19 253:13 254:5 256:6 259:6 nitpicked 328:19 nitpicking 222:2 NJP 128:9 no-action 149:13 321:7 noes 212:7 nomination 41:10 46:8 50:15 non- 12:20 294:7 non-judicial 51:14

69:14 70:8 112:3.6 289:15 292:14 298:11 299:10,15 301:11,13 320:9 non-judicially 112:7 **non-profit** 21:17,19 non-sexual 155:8 190:12 nonparticipation 310:13 norm 148:22 normal 34:12 51:15 normally 95:4 159:15 note 5:18 25:4 83:13 140:8 168:20 201:4 noted 175:7 232:5 notes 187:11 noteworthy 153:1 notice 315:21 notification 15:6,11 notified 14:22 15:2,5 noting 155:13 November 18:20 nuanced 300:8 number 23:3 44:10 86:11.15 104:6 109:17 115:14 128:8 128:10,10 132:4,8,14 133:11,17,18 135:20 136:19 138:15,19,22 139:1 147:4 151:22 152:18 153:22 154:14 168:6 171:15 180:19 181:2 194:4 210:10 210:21,21 211:2,12 211:14,15 229:14 231:4 238:20 239:17 262:13 271:18 272:10 316:1 318:14 320:6 numbers 127:12,22 132:11,19,22 133:2 134:16,19,21 135:5 136:22 140:1 141:14 147:10,16 148:1 150:5 154:5,7 155:17 162:6,14 165:14,18 166:1 168:4,14 171:2 172:3 178:1 182:17 186:4 205:6 216:14 308:10 312:21 numerically 159:3 numerous 35:9 0 o'clock 10:14 **O-5** 71:20 **O-6** 23:16

0-6's 34:16

(202) 234-4433

object 240:8 objection 240:20 253:19 objective 22:4 105:18 106:12 obligation 58:12 59:5 observation 104:20 observations 175:9 301:9 318:21 observed 152:12 observing 130:22 obstructing 96:2 obvious 28:16 80:3 231:4 obviously 63:18 94:6 164:1 320:15 324:5 occasional 282:5 occurred 17:6 38:11 151:21 232:8,9 301:18 occurring 10:1 104:22 occurs 203:2 October 12:13 216:12 315:15 318:7 319:2 off- 189:17 off-installation 189:17 offender 72:15 244:20 offenders 200:4,8 offense 42:6,19 44:3 67:13 68:6 75:14 83:3 115:19 137:4.8.11.15 137:16,21 139:10,11 140:20 143:15,19,21 145:11,12,22 146:1 146:12,13,20 147:1 151:11,12 152:20 154:18,19,19,21 155:1,18 203:16 217:9 277:8 289:14 289:16 291:2 292:8 294:8,12,14,17 298:4 298:10,12 301:3,16 302:3,5,12 303:3,4,4 303:9,10,12,18,20 304:11 305:8,16 318:20 offenses 42:15 88:8 90:19 116:8,18 137:18 138:3 144:1 145:17 155:2,6,8,9 281:21 290:19 299:11 offensive 83:3 offer 17:8,10 19:17 21:20 44:22 offered 19:5 75:9,13,17 192:7 offers 75:22 office 13:12 25:19

46:17 57:5 65:14 78:9 83:15 187:10 264:16 328:1 officer 2:2 4:4 28:4 50:14 61:5 65:3 107:10 121:17 323:4 323:5 officer's 50:12 159:16 officers 32:6 37:3 40:22 offices 312:13 official 14:8 45:5,18 46:5 59:19 79:6 107:10,18 168:17 officially 253:16 254:18 256:8 329:5 officially-filed 12:6 old 43:9 56:22 63:10 117:3,17 228:5 237:11 258:21 **older** 173:14 olds 73:20 once 11:18,18 13:15,16 44:21 47:1 51:5 65:2 158:3 207:1 210:22 211:6 one-on-one 27:2 one-star 15:16 31:5 35:20 66:20 99:19 ones 41:19 93:16 188:19 191:7 317:6 online 39:13 86:17 onsite 310:7 open 4:16 85:22 200:17 **opened** 166:9,11 opening 77:7 operations 25:8 86:13 86:14 opinion 20:2 59:20 95:11 98:13 106:20 108:14 179:17 opinions 238:6 opportunities 20:11 37:12 40:12 105:5 opportunity 7:15 24:7 38:4 47:1 48:13 123:2 193:20 217:21 **Oppose** 272:14 opposed 52:5 93:4 180:4 195:8 202:11 229:1 235:2 241:6 244:11 249:1 250:6 253:10 256:18 259:3 260:3 261:5 262:17 266:18 275:12 278:9 280:4 281:16 282:20 287:9 306:4 315:8 318:1 327:14 opposite 238:6

opposition 202:15 **OPs** 29:5 optics 204:8 option 47:6 115:16 131:15 182:9 options 47:9 115:14 261:14,19 290:10 oral 17:12 ordeal 44:7 order 15:22 16:4,7 39:11 94:22 95:5,9 96:5 110:15,20 116:3 117:17 118:16.21 252:3 263:4 264:3 268:15 270:14 289:9 ordered 16:2 45:18 57:8 96:1 ordering 83:1 orders 25:15 30:10,15 31:12 82:20 95:4 organization 17:11 19:12,16,18 30:21 71:22 74:17 78:4 83:14 84:11 193:21 249:21 252:12 organizations 264:19 original 33:9 103:11 141:22 originally 200:15 **OSI** 25:19 26:12 28:3 29:8 OSI's 25:21 ought 62:2 144:6 159:4 232:19 257:8 outcome 43:22 45:13 66:5,10,14 99:10 113:4 123:16 151:8,9 151:18 209:21 outcomes 120:11 153:13 309:10,14 outgoing 57:2 outlet 10:19 outset 196:18 outside 71:12 94:6,18 95:18 97:1 110:5 149:1 outsized 68:4 over-simplification 101:7 overall 168:10 184:12 214:10,11 241:17 overcoming 329:1 overseas 84:7 oversight 56:8,10 82:17 83:5 116:10 overturned 68:7 overturns 68:12 overwhelming 57:11

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

| overwhelmingly 68:16        | partial 179:22 180:4         | 145:11,17 146:1,7,12   | performed 175:15            |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 68:18 91:19 167:1           | <b>PARTICIPANT</b> 161:4     | 146:20 147:2 151:11    | 193:11                      |
|                             | 290:7 297:20 324:21          | 154:21 155:2,6,18      | period 6:16 48:4 148:       |
| P                           | participate 168:10,22        | 192:15,19,20 203:15    | 151:19 216:11 243:          |
| <b>P</b> 1:17               | 169:6 207:18 265:22          | 211:5 217:9 220:4,18   | 243:11 296:19,20            |
| P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S       | 266:7 310:10                 | 226:9 227:17 229:22    | 298:13                      |
| 4:1                         | participated 171:19          | 230:15 308:20          | permanent 12:3 19:5         |
| <b>p.m</b> 161:10 304:18,19 | 192:16                       | pensive 278:2          | 102:16 107:13               |
| 329:7                       | participating 172:20         | Pentagon 22:17         | permeant 19:17              |
| PACAF 23:11,20 24:4         | participation 172:16         | people 9:14 10:4 15:15 | person 20:21 45:15          |
| 24:18 30:9 31:8 63:14       | 173:7 177:11                 | 27:3 29:6 35:21 49:22  | 51:6 57:7,8 58:1            |
| 64:7,13,17 98:3             | particular 80:21 105:13      | 50:2 51:19 60:10       | 60:17 68:10 78:10,2         |
| Pacific 22:18 25:8          | 106:21 111:3 133:14          | 61:18 62:8 63:15       | 80:11 82:10 89:19           |
| 62:20,21 64:8 196:12        | 134:3 154:19 167:9           | 68:20 70:15 72:16      | 104:2 111:19 112:1          |
| 198:9                       | 176:5,9 178:1,11             | 84:12 86:1 92:2,7      | 126:16 179:4 196:1          |
| <b>PACOM</b> 98:4           | 181:18 231:22 233:7          | 97:10 102:13 103:21    | 196:16 238:7                |
| <b>PAG</b> 33:3,3           | 233:12 298:19                | 104:10 110:7 111:9     | person's 106:15 319:        |
| page 3:3 26:1 199:20        | particularly 201:11          | 115:5 117:21 121:12    | personal 19:19 21:21        |
| 200:1 202:16 203:12         | parties 163:21 164:2         | 122:4 164:5 168:7      | 22:5 23:12 40:14 54         |
| 210:21,22 211:1,8,16        | 171:16,21                    | 170:10,20 171:9        | 82:18 97:8,13               |
| 213:18 219:22 229:14        | partner 136:1 155:15         | 173:2,7 174:8 205:7    | personally 9:16 15:12       |
| 231:15,15 243:14,14         | partners 165:9 193:3         | 209:17 225:11 247:21   | 37:2 54:9 142:16            |
| 306:19 307:8,10,12          | parts 206:12                 | 310:20 312:7 319:8     | 143:1,12                    |
| 307:17 308:3,6,8            | party 165:7 172:12,16        | people's 35:18         | personnel 65:3 164:2        |
| 309:4,4 316:6,14            | 172:19 173:6 274:16          | perceived 42:12 54:21  | perspective 185:8           |
| pages 57:10 102:18          | party's 67:17                | 57:15 123:19           | pertaining 204:16           |
| 147:7 204:4 236:20          | pass 202:5                   | percent 36:13 120:15   | pertinent 238:22            |
| 278:21 306:22               | passed 36:8 179:4,8,21       | 121:21 129:14,16       | perversely 48:10            |
| painful 38:20 43:21         | passes 46:4 229:3            | 130:8,10 131:2,4,5,9   | Peters 2:7                  |
| paint 133:21                | 235:4                        | 132:12 137:17,19       | phase 15:18                 |
| panacea 103:1               | path 90:13 91:2 225:1        | 139:17,21 141:15,17    | phone 25:18 30:14           |
| panel 3:7 6:2 13:1 21:5     | patient 185:13               | 141:18 165:18 173:19   | 74:13 76:20 78:8            |
| 45:6,8,11,14 46:6,7         | patient's 183:5              | 177:9 179:9 180:19     | photographs 235:9           |
| 124:1 161:12 190:21         | Patricia 2:10                | 180:20 181:2,11        | 279:17                      |
| 190:22 209:5                | patrol 18:15                 | 183:21 187:6 204:21    | pick 41:20 85:21 189:       |
| panels 148:10               | pattern 153:5,12 211:3       | 207:16 208:19 209:8    | <b>picked</b> 74:13 189:14  |
| <b>paper</b> 52:15          | 310:3                        | 210:5 217:7,22 219:2   | 231:6                       |
| paperwork 27:20 47:11       | patterns 152:12,13           | 220:15 226:11          | picking 36:19 217:1         |
| paragraph 202:8 204:8       | 156:2,6,8 177:18             | percent's 221:5        | picture 10:20 133:21        |
| 206:7 219:20,20             | 184:12 203:13 210:8          | percentage 129:11,15   | 176:13 206:16,20            |
| 316:13,14                   | 212:17                       | 129:18 132:4,8         | pictures 25:19 232:12       |
| paragraphs 203:20           | Paul 1:16                    | 133:19 138:18 139:9    | piggyback 158:1             |
| 204:5,12                    | pause 38:21 324:19           | 139:10 147:21 167:8    | piling 247:11               |
| Paralegal 2:6,8             | <b>pay</b> 14:19 130:16      | 171:19 172:20 175:1    | pilot 21:12 23:16 30:2      |
| paralegals 10:3             | 156:21 327:21                | 207:18 208:21 213:11   | pinnacle 39:22              |
| Pardon 295:7                | paying 34:7                  | 220:5 221:3 226:10     | <b>Pizza</b> 194:12         |
| parents 73:14,15 74:6       | <b>PCS</b> 11:18 12:1 102:15 | 227:19 306:21 307:20   | place 13:8 24:18 32:9       |
| 74:12 75:12 76:20           | peak 41:12                   | percentage-wise 130:1  | 48:2 51:9 159:22            |
| parity 129:16               | peer 113:11                  | percentages 132:7,18   | 324:4,7,8                   |
| part 9:6,7 12:6 18:11       | peers 10:8 40:1,12           | 132:21 133:1 134:16    | placed 58:21 319:5          |
| 30:2 43:11 57:7 58:10       | 97:16                        | 134:20 135:4 172:3     | places 274:22               |
| 66:3 76:3 88:7 90:20        | pending 220:21               | 177:15 205:7 307:21    | plagiarizing 42:1           |
| 93:8 97:1 104:15            | pendulum 104:9               | perception 54:11 61:11 | planned 30:5                |
| 121:2 148:17 160:4          | penetrate 142:8              | 61:12 111:1,2 122:6    | play 59:11 81:17 85:1       |
| 201:6 212:20 221:7          | penetrated 191:18            | 122:11,14              | 110:10                      |
|                             | nonotrative 6:0 120:10       | perfect 23:18 51:13    | played 94:21                |
| 250:10 266:17 316:17        | penetrative 6:9 120:10       | perice: 20.10 01.10    | played 04.21                |
|                             | 137:5,10,17 139:11           | 192:12                 | players 275:2<br>plea 75:14 |

plead 72:12,21 76:1 please 5:18 7:4 77:4,11 87:3 90:13 107:7 131:16 162:20 314:22 325:15 pleased 45:10 pled 76:21 pledge 123:5 plummet 82:5,7 plural 276:8 **po** 238:16 point 10:17 12:19,19 20:19 25:4 27:12 30:11 40:9 42:3 43:5 48:17 62:9 66:5 69:9 75:18,19 98:13,17 99:14,15 106:4 108:12 113:3 114:3 122:16 127:9 128:2 130:20 140:14 141:20 157:12 192:11 200:10 200:10 201:10 204:2 204:4 210:4 216:17 218:3,13 234:7 241:14 254:13 276:22 277:2 279:12 280:18 301:7.14 319:10 pointing 205:8 207:14 298:4 points 68:17 125:18,20 126:11 175:18 176:2 176:7,16 177:20 192:8 199:15 213:19 214:1,4,5,18 225:19 309:11 poison 41:21 policy 260:10,18 283:3 283:12 284:1,18 285:20 286:5,19 289:10 290:5 292:1 298:22 299:4,14,18 315:10 324:7 political 81:7,8,12,16 83:17 84:3 92:2 104:21 113:19,20 119:19 121:12,13 123:17 politically 84:1 123:19 politics 110:17 112:12 119:19 Polynesian 196:13 poor 80:22 **pop** 327:18 population 129:22 port 10:3 portion 178:19 271:15 316:4 317:4 portrayed 115:18

posed 81:21 position 13:5 14:1 19:6 29:6 41:11,11 45:1 46:11 47:5 49:17 63:13 110:2.7 positions 8:11,14 100:20 possibilities 113:4 153:15 possibility 181:16 possible 26:8 43:16 52:20 103:19 141:21 240:1 251:19 312:18 possibly 40:1 post 52:10 292:16 posted 5:20 6:20 poster 60:16 posting 28:19 **posts** 17:9 **pot** 163:4 potential 39:1 178:9 312:6 potentially 61:4,21 89:17 109:4 123:18 162:16 166:5 168:20 170:16 171:4 173:1 298:15 Powell 2:8 power 71:19 85:9 91:17 PowerPoint 125:4 practice 246:17 pre-conclusion 75:21 pre-trial 139:7 preamble 221:11 preceding 40:8 precluded 267:9,11 predict 209:20 predictors 152:16 prefer 141:22 213:6,6 268:7 preference 133:8,15 134:3 preferral 106:9 209:2 209:21 225:9.22 preferred 120:16 128:7 128:17 141:9 145:12 145:12,22 146:12,13 146:19 149:13,16 203:15 208:3 217:8 223:20 224:19 225:16 280:6 322:14 prejudice 33:20 98:7,19 98:20 99:7 preliminary 159:16 191:3 323:4 premature 53:5 218:2 preparation 39:12 preparatory 129:8

prepare 30:10 318:13 prepared 151:3 preparing 30:7 38:10 preplanned 27:21 prescription 94:7 182:22 present 1:14 2:22 5:4 136:12 166:2 presentation 3:11,14 6:3,6 124:9,11 156:12 157:16 161:13,17 171:7 175:1 218:11 presentations 124:8 162:16 322:6 presented 68:14 81:22 156:6 162:3 189:16 215:8 PRESENTERS 2:14 presenting 124:21 presents 189:19 president 21:16 36:17 presiding 1:13 press 10:18 17:2 32:21 33:4 52:4,21,22 53:6 pressure 60:5 81:7,8,11 81:12 121:14 pressures 71:4 81:16 92:3 116:17 presumption 121:5 pretty 20:2 102:10 133:14 153:9 177:14 178:6,18 180:14 227:5 prevent 52:20 53:5 **preview** 163:17,18 previous 35:11 67:18 previously 7:21 10:5 47:2,6 83:11 126:3 274:11 price 40:14 pride 25:6 primarily 180:8 primary 12:22 253:14 254:12.16 principal 212:16 print 228:6 prior 6:17 14:5 27:21 29:4 38:16 135:19 priority 86:12,16 **Privacy** 312:14 private 38:9 70:17 privileged 92:12 probable 44:2 58:20 66:11 175:19 176:16 190:2,3,5 272:20,22 274:2,6,17,20,21 275:21 276:16 277:7 278:14,22 279:14,15

279:19,22 280:1,3,5 280:19,21,22 281:1,2 281:5 295:12 296:16 297:9 probably 10:7 11:12 18:9 63:3,17 74:9 85:3 103:1 105:17 144:8 188:20 189:1 192:14 197:18 215:21 218:11 227:8 252:8 268:4 274:13 288:8 289:8 310:15,16 311:15 313:1 324:12 328:3 problem 85:5 92:15 102:5 115:10,13 121:3 127:4 134:14 143:3 157:8 172:7 251:3 252:6 320:5 321:21 323:12 problematic 183:22 197:1,14 241:12 242:8,15 287:14,18 287:22 problems 197:20 230:19 255:19 324:13 proceeding 299:16 proceeds 79:20 process 20:5 31:15 45:8,10 88:13 97:7,9 105:3,8 106:11,12,18 106:18 109:19 119:18 140:9 149:16 158:8 160:12 230:16 266:19 269:11 273:3.6 309:15,22 310:16 processed 55:2 processing 189:22 produced 300:14 profession 42:16 45:1 47:4 professional 39:7,14 41:13 43:7 64:2 212:22 professionalism 64:10 profile 53:10 63:13 64:7 98:15 109:5,8,10 profound 20:3 program 8:7,20 18:6,10 164:6 170:5 programs 17:20 18:14 progression 178:7 prohibition 238:10 project 309:3 prom 237:14 prominent 282:5 promote 116:3 promoted 14:16,16

35:15 36:3 46:3 59:1 70:2 81:1 111:4 **promotion** 41:2,6,9 42:18 44:16 45:4,16 45:18,21,22 46:2 48:7 55:6 59:10 65:2 114:7 promotions 40:11 85:10 promulgate 267:17 prone 85:3 propagated 35:21 **proper** 58:4 properly 19:20 60:2 87:2 proposals 199:8 propose 234:20 305:20 proposed 198:22 220:7 275:19 281:3 287:12 289:8 304:21 305:19 pros 249:19 prosecute 10:4 115:21 188:19,21 189:1 prosecuted 116:20 186:20 187:4 188:9 prosecuting 9:9 187:13 prosecution 1:3 4:6.22 5:15 92:22 104:16 169:22 173:4 177:3,6 187:1 189:15 191:2 217:6 318:12 prosecutor 8:14 9:4.21 16:11 35:16 36:14 50:21 67:19 77:8 89:7 91:4.7 186:20 187:12 188:9 189:16 218:11 prosecutor's 187:10 prosecutorial 65:17 prosecutors 9:8 35:14 92:5 148:21 protected 54:22 protection 96:22 116:13,15 protector 58:15 protracted 22:2 proud 36:11 97:20,22 proudest 98:2 prove 25:16 77:13 99:21 101:10 proved 31:14 proven 22:11 26:10 77:6,8,12 78:2,14 101:6 108:4 provide 17:14 22:4 82:17 88:21 103:16 142:15,19 147:11 205:10,11 224:3 232:2 243:22 263:2,8 263:12,14,17 264:1

268:12 270:13 274:10 283:3,12 284:19 285:20 286:5,19 289:11 290:10 296:11 297:5,6 302:8,22 304:7 305:3,11 326:20 provided 6:3 68:20 103:19 152:15 162:14 166:3 183:16 223:18 249:14 250:12 285:7 313:10 providers 164:21 providing 38:12 166:14 264:20 272:1 provision 181:14 322:3 **public** 1:6 4:9,12,14 6:15,16,17 7:1,3,6 33:2 53:2 121:15 199:9 publicly 42:18 52:17 115:17 published 66:6 pull 118:12 149:7 159:20 160:4 pulled 18:22 204:14 pulling 160:7 punish 87:2 punished 321:5,6 punishment 51:14 69:14 70:8 punitive 48:7 55:9 151:20 152:2 punitively 46:7 purely 82:15 purpose 38:6 89:3 245:3 253:14 254:13 254:16 purposes 12:10 142:5 162:10 170:9 174:15 179:14 224:2 purse 85:9 pursue 283:7 285:11,19 286:8,21 294:3 pursued 284:20 pursuit 284:15 purview 321:2 pushing 31:7 141:15 put 11:9 30:9 51:9 52:14 57:16 60:3 70:1 72:3 98:22 111:19 117:2 122:21 134:6 140:8 144:5 162:5,16 183:7 184:7 194:5 209:3 234:20 258:4 265:1 266:15,16 284:14 293:14 304:1 316:1 317:5

puts 57:13 173:11 putting 61:14 143:17 293:10 302:4 308:10 315:2,19 328:12,17 **PWG** 316:7 Q qualification 236:9 qualifications 46:11 qualified 45:15 quality 105:7 127:15 147:20 178:11 180:8 quarter 35:12 quarter-to-one 161:7 query 320:7 question 52:1 57:14 59:14 60:13 62:7,13 64:21,22 66:4,19 70:12 71:22 73:8 75:16 77:1,16 80:17 81:4 83:13 84:8,16 85:2 87:11 90:3.14 94:9 95:21 98:6 101:2 102:2,22 104:21 112:17 113:2,20,21 115:2 117:1,15 118:3 127:11 130:11 137:6 143:10 145:13,16 147:9 156:15 184:9 185:22 191:9 194:16 213:4 215:6.10 223:4 237:14,18 245:9 265:5 310:21 311:1 questioned 30:16 questioning 19:1 215:17 questions 8:1 12:17 29:9 33:4 37:18 48:21 49:1 70:5 90:2 96:4 156:12 194:14 198:17 225:14 237:21 319:9 quick 28:1 189:13 quietly 32:6 quite 26:7 29:3 30:3 66:15 101:3 177:7 quote 30:15 R **R** 1:19 R-84s 294:20 race 157:8 158:17 159:22 194:17,20,22 195:2,12,20 197:11 229:8 313:13 races 157:19 racial 157:7 160:13.14

204:3 rambling 26:2 ramifications 68:5 ran 10:19 165:14 171:2 random 163:10 172:4 range 154:16 ranges 154:16 rank 14:10,14,17 15:4 15:16 24:6 59:1.10 70:13 73:14 130:15 156:20 167:12 308:7 ranks 86:18 rape 239:3 320:19 rarely 100:13 rate 28:14 139:12,17 140:18 143:10,19,20 147:17,18 149:15,17 149:19,20 311:1,2,3 rater 15:2 rates 148:9,12 217:6 311:22 rational 46:19 rationale 261:16 262:5 262:8 re-label 211:17 re-litigate 12:15 reached 23:8 224:2 read 37:4 69:2 228:16 230:9 252:8 268:8 286:14 288:1 305:9 322:14.19 read-ahead 307:3 reading 69:3 107:10,16 161:19 207:1 245:4 271:2 304:4 reads 199:21 256:6 ready 7:12 27:20 59:10 real 42:10 110:13,14,16 110:18,19 189:13 194:5 328:3 realistic 86:20 reality 61:11 69:5 111:1 122:7,12 realization 73:18 realize 41:14 74:5 87:6 102:14 114:5 115:4 148:9 realized 127:14 reason 30:2 34:19 39:8 42:17 66:13 67:4 79:18 81:6 98:19 103:6,7 116:1 122:1 135:16,17 140:2 160:1 265:15,20 266:2,11 reasonable 29:19 203:14,14 207:7 208:2,14,16,19,20

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

raised 127:11 130:11

130:18 137:6 147:6

210:6 214:1.14 220:5 225:3 226:10 227:18 272:20 reasonableness 209:3 209:4,14 211:20 224:9 225:20 reasonably 208:9 reasoned 269:8 reasons 18:9 31:18 53:14 171:9 178:8 243:18 267:10 reassigned 65:14 reboot 297:20 recalculate 222:22 recall 82:1 250:14 321:14 receive 6:6 92:5 319:6 received 6:17,22 15:10 138:15,16 192:21 274:5 313:7,20 receives 318:17 receiving 14:4 87:9 127:17 recognized 39:21 261:21 recommend 49:13 150:10 221:21 290:11 recommendation 45:21 51:18 99:18 107:18 159:17 165:22 229:16 245:19,19 246:1 247:15,21,22 248:13 257:12 259:13 261:9 262:13,22 263:8 265:7,12 267:14 269:10 271:9 272:10 275:15 290:8 295:17 299:2 304:21 305:19 313:9,16,20,22 314:14 recommendations 6:14 48:6 52:21 53:4 87:14 87:21 199:1,8 261:8 289:8 290:5 294:1 314:18 315:15 316:3 321:17 323:16 325:5 325:20 recommending 46:2 283:11 reconstruct 126:12 record 47:21 53:3 124:17 157:12 160:5 161:9 174:19 304:18 329:7 recorded 165:13 173:17 182:5 183:14 191:13 194:22 recording 175:8

records 150:4 311:12 311:13,14 319:19 recourse 75:8 red 32:2 126:17 reduced 26:1 75:13 155:20 redundant 236:3 refer 251:13 320:21 **reference** 26:9 40:4 referred 74:16 146:3,22 147:2 268:2 322:15 refers 207:5 reflect 41:8 133:5 135:9 147:6 262:1 reflected 153:6 237:4 reflecting 136:10 reflection 86:22 reflects 196:22 269:7 refocus 55:15 refused 95:5 regard 38:7 regarding 18:5 87:13 106:11 155:2 279:6 regardless 42:4 231:21 regards 133:15 241:21 Reggie 1:21 regional 71:13 registered 72:15 regulation 15:9 16:17 16:18 88:19 164:11 302:4 regulations 15:14 170:14 267:21 reinforce 32:2 reinitiate 13:17 reiterate 151:4 rejected 46:20 relate 41:4 225:15 295:22 related 46:15 123:11 152:21 178:10 180:6 191:16 236:19 302:4 315:11 relates 56:20 relationship 89:10 135:14,22 136:1,17 155:15,16 156:7,9,16 156:18 167:16,18,21 168:2,3,5 170:13 192:9 193:3 309:13 319:22 relationships 192:1 193:4 release 312:20 relevant 11:6 15:21 90:5 214:8,10 224:18 226:1 233:3 235:11 237:22 238:17 239:6

246:13 **relief** 44:18 **relies** 18:7 reluctance 66:22 67:2 69:7 reluctant 118:15 rely 195:13,19 remain 132:6 200:15 remained 139:2 remaining 307:4 remains 42:20 remarks 37:22 38:10 48:18 77:7 remember 26:17 30:10 31:4 32:9 43:18 50:9 183:20 236:19 237:8 267:8 295:3 remind 220:9 249:18 264:7 remodeled 232:11 **removal** 240:9,22 remove 11:8 82:16 116:16 203:5.7 206:10 207:21 210:10 210:10,14 237:5 240:2 removed 13:16.22 14:2 116:18 136:8 207:15 240:21 287:18 removing 205:19 242:14,14 263:20 renovated 232:11 rental 61:8 **renumber** 259:6 repeatedly 197:19 replace 258:21 report 3:18 6:13 25:21 26:3 129:2 131:17 135:7 145:1 147:14 147:16 159:16 160:15 164:11,12 165:14,21 166:12 167:11 172:12 172:16,19 177:22 183:17 184:4 197:21 199:17 204:1 216:7 217:7,14 218:3 223:3 229:7 244:22 253:15 253:22 254:18 255:10 263:9 265:8 269:4,6 269:14,15,16 270:4,6 277:12 279:6 292:3 301:8 306:7 310:17 314:16 315:20 317:19 319:6 320:7,13 321:19 322:19,20 323:1,15 327:10 reported 164:14 165:16 165:17,19 168:5

173:7 180:7 182:11 182:15 183:21 185:2 185:4 205:7 245:15 281:20 reporter 52:14,19 reporting 161:21 163:21 166:10 173:6 244:19 249:8 250:3,6 251:7,7,13,20,22 252:2,4,13,13,19,21 253:1 254:20 273:8 274:18 280:9 305:22 reports 164:1,15 165:7 165:15 183:9 200:2 200:19 224:4 244:1 248:4 249:6 252:9 253:17 255:9 256:8,9 257:10,17,21 259:12 260:8,16 263:4 264:3 268:14 270:14 273:9 310:9 318:14,15 represent 71:15 98:3 132:3 168:4 representation 65:7 130:3.13 133:3 136:7 174:7 representative 35:1 56:1 representatives 164:4 164:22 165:3 319:22 **represented** 64:7 65:18 98:3 171:12,13 179:9 237:4 representing 9:15 94:6 represents 42:11 reprimand 11:16 14:5,7 14:12 19:4 49:7 76:6 107:11 reprisal 34:10 reps 312:11 reputation 57:2 reputations 87:15 request 6:22 14:7 30:11 46:20 313:1 321:22 requested 46:17 127:1 135:21 223:15 321:18 requesting 321:15 requests 7:4 73:12 requi 251:7 require 261:15 262:5,6 262:8 267:15 268:3 270:11 311:11,12 required 19:1 39:19 231:20 246:9 253:16 254:19 255:10 256:9 260:10,18 267:1 274:18 317:12 318:4 requirement 198:15

| 1                       |  |   |                       |
|-------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| 245:11 250:10 251:21    | 151:18 152:8 156:3                         | roviowing 182-1 102-15                        | sample 163:10 172:4   |
| 321:8                   |  | reviewing 182:1 193:15<br>225:10 265:19 301:9 | 178:19 221:7 230:18   |
| requirements 249:9,9    | 162:2 174:21 175:5                         |   | 320:12                |
| 250:2,3 251:22 252:3    | 176:14 192:3 205:10<br>206:18 249:11 250:7 | reviews 45:12 235:6<br>revised 241:1 243:12   |                       |
|                         |  |   | samples 300:14        |
| 252:4,13,14             | 251:6 252:16,19                            | 243:15 244:7 253:3                            | sanctions 155:7       |
| requires 199:9 244:16   | 293:17                                     | 261:10 305:20                                 | sandwiches 161:6      |
| 245:16 246:16           | resume 44:19                               | revising 220:1 298:21                         | SAPRO 173:10          |
| requiring 139:8 318:10  | resumed 124:17 304:18                      | revision 318:9                                | SARCs 164:6           |
| rescinded 19:17         | <b>Ret</b> 1:16,18,19                      | revisions 325:8                               | sat 26:22 27:1 29:1   |
| research 140:6,14       | retention 311:21                           | revisit 45:7 203:1                            | 102:8                 |
| 219:15                  | retire 13:18 14:7 15:4                     | <b>RFI</b> 319:18                             | satisfaction 305:1    |
| reservist 23:2 24:10    | 28:5                                       | rid 107:19                                    | Saunders 2:9 316:15   |
| 30:11 31:5              | retired 2:16,18,19 12:14                   | <b>Riggins</b> 2:19 37:20,21                  | 316:20 317:1,4        |
| reservists 30:13        | 17:4 21:12 32:3,7                          | 38:3 53:17,18 54:2                            | save 6:19 22:7 26:9   |
| resisted 115:22         | 99:3                                       | 55:18 64:20 73:7                              | 36:18 49:17 51:8,10   |
| resolve 24:21           | retirement 14:19 15:8                      | 84:17,19,22 94:5,12                           | 69:12 70:11 76:2      |
| resolved 141:13         | 27:22 28:3,9,11 47:12                      | 94:17,20 96:14 97:4                           | 83:14 119:15 209:19   |
| resonate 122:20         | 107:12 108:18                              | 99:8 101:1 105:10                             | 209:22 270:18         |
| resounds 121:14         | retirements 27:19                          | 112:16 115:11 116:5                           | saving 112:5          |
| resource 103:19         | retiring 14:18,21 15:16                    | 116:22 117:8 122:15                           | saw 50:4 54:9 125:6   |
| resources 75:4 89:6     | retreating 118:1                           | rights 54:21 116:14,15                        | 129:7 137:4 141:22    |
| 90:7,16 91:12 93:6      | return 194:15                              | ripe 84:4                                     | 167:9 191:7 199:19    |
| 103:16 312:12           | returning 47:5                             | ripple 114:21                                 | 204:7,15 212:18       |
| respect 30:1 35:3 64:10 | reunited 34:1                              | risk 58:22 61:15                              | 267:8 274:21 312:6    |
| 96:4 97:18 112:1        | revealed 39:14                             | <b>risks</b> 100:20                           | 322:5                 |
| 135:13,21 137:8         | revealing 156:10                           | road 67:3 113:16 120:1                        | saying 25:6 32:13,16  |
| 157:10,18 158:19        | reverse 93:11 285:4                        | <b>Robinson</b> 31:8,11                       | 58:7 78:20 90:20,22   |
| respond 84:18 97:6      | review 3:14,15 6:6,8,12                    | rock 44:5                                     | 95:16,21 96:1 100:8,8 |
| responds 93:7           | 39:14 45:18,19,22                          | Rodney 1:18                                   | 100:9 101:18 112:8    |
| response 124:2 202:13   | 55:4,6 79:15 114:7                         | role 20:2,5 58:10 65:17                       | 119:11 121:13 126:14  |
| 228:11 229:2 234:18     | 149:12,22 150:11                           | 264:8,11                                      | 127:3 205:5 212:2     |
| 235:3 241:7 244:4,12    | 157:15 158:4 161:13                        | roles 85:13                                   | 227:4 234:12 240:11   |
| 248:17 249:2 253:4      | 161:18 163:15 165:12                       | rolling 57:6                                  | 242:8 245:6 268:7     |
| 253:11 254:6 256:19     | 166:4 172:21 173:16                        | room 1:12 10:15 57:9                          | 293:2 294:15 328:7    |
| 259:4,17 260:4,21       | 188:2 192:6 193:13                         | 61:6 64:1 92:7 107:17                         | says 16:19 58:1 67:16 |
| 261:6 262:11,18         | 193:18,20 194:19                           | 107:17 232:12 327:22                          | 87:6 125:17 142:2     |
| 272:8,15 275:6,13       | 196:6 197:6 198:2                          | roommates 43:13                               | 229:7 245:21 250:18   |
| 278:10 281:10,17        | 204:7,16 207:6 219:7                       | <b>ROTC</b> 8:5                               | 257:16 295:3,17       |
| 282:14,21 313:21        | 220:2 221:13,21                            | roughly 130:9 131:4                           | 309:5                 |
| responsibilities 13:11  | 222:3,3,7,16,16 226:6                      | round 145:8                                   | scale 152:3           |
| responsibility 40:20    | 226:14,15,18 227:2,5                       | route 69:15 70:9 112:4                        | scenario 72:19        |
| 45:2 117:16,18          | 227:15 229:18 230:11                       | 112:6   | scheduled 198:18      |
| responsible 79:9,21     | 230:11 232:5 263:2,6                       | routinely 259:11 271:19                       | schedules 124:6       |
| 99:20 119:6             | 263:8,10,11,21,22                          | row 176:15,21 179:3                           | scholar 101:4         |
| rest 72:15 74:4 202:8   | 264:1 268:12 287:12                        | 186:19 187:2,5,8                              | school 8:8 17:13,17   |
| 296:8 306:7             | 311:6,7 319:1 321:12                       | rows 178:22 186:12                            | 18:3 36:6,7 237:13    |
| restate 236:5           | 327:16 328:1                               | Rozell 2:8 124:20 129:4                       | schools 18:2          |
| restatement 245:11      | reviewed 39:13 45:11                       | ruin 50:12                                    | <b>SCI</b> 13:14      |
| restricted 164:15       | 55:7 106:19 120:13                         | rule 89:2 121:4 239:3                         | screen 220:8          |
| restrictions 239:2      | 163:3 168:2 191:13                         | 267:18,19                                     | screened 24:5 45:7    |
| result 11:11 15:21 79:7 | 196:10 206:15 208:11                       | run 21:14 100:19 320:7                        | screens 183:9         |
| 83:4 159:18 162:4       | 223:14 226:19 277:6                        | e   | screwed 253:1         |
| 192:15 207:19 310:16    | 298:16 319:12 320:12                       | <u>S</u>                                      | scrutiny 82:22 83:10  |
| 318:18 322:8            | 327:17                                     | <b>S</b> 1:15 258:5 277:19                    | 114:1,2               |
| resulted 190:9,14       | reviewer's 179:17                          | sacrifice 39:19                               | seated 326:13         |
| resulting 309:14        | reviewers 167:20 172:7                     | Sadly 26:11                                   | Seattle 18:22         |
| results 126:13 127:13   | 175:7 182:13 195:5                         | sailor 61:14                                  | second 8:4,18 45:11   |
| 148:4 150:18 151:2      | 195:19                                     | <b>sake</b> 43:20                             | 47:13 58:10 60:13     |
|                         | 1  |   | 1                     |

72:3.5 114:10 118:16 118:18,20 142:15 162:7 167:2 186:7 228:14 234:20,21 241:3 244:8 248:20 253:7 256:15 258:22 259:21,22 260:1 261:2 262:14 272:11 275:9 278:6 281:13 282:17 287:6 294:5 299:7 306:1 315:5 316:13,13 317:20 327:11 seconded 228:21 secret 13:14 Secretaries 122:10 **Secretary** 5:12,14 15:11,15 33:13 45:17 46:5,18 48:5 51:16 55:4 86:7,11,15 113:11,18 114:6,15 114:17 222:9 244:16 261:13,18 262:22 263:15 267:15 268:8 268:10 270:10 290:7 290:9 295:17 296:11 297:4 302:8.21 304:7 305:2,10 318:10 326:7 section 162:1 183:12 191:1 203:22 206:8 207:5 215:21 217:5 244:14 245:20 246:16 261:11 sections 206:6 security 13:14 22:13 28:11 34:18 37:1 64:15 102:6 320:6 seeing 51:12 69:13 70:10 72:7 84:5 102:8 126:7 129:21 130:4 136:16,17 145:16 146:8 202:14 292:5 seen 31:20 43:2 69:17 75:11 84:6 86:2 131:3 149:2 150:15 156:16 195:2 205:6 230:19 294:21 selected 8:6 9:21 10:5 10:9 40:10,22 41:1 65:2 selectees 14:3 selection 14:10 17:21 45:7 self 20:1 senate 11:9 81:22 85:11 senator 11:7 34:21,21

34:21.22 35:3 50:11 56:1 70:3,3 81:20 83:15 84:14 senatorial 83:18 senators 50:13 70:4 83:19 122:9 send 27:15 160:19 265:9 277:3 280:21 290:8 314:10,12 325:11 senior 2:6,8 10:6 14:3 15:2 22:20,21 24:7 37:3 61:5 65:8 66:9 85:6 seniors 97:12 sense 20:1,4 69:2 76:12 116:7 119:9 153:14 192:12 206:7,10 233:2,16 238:14 271:16 285:7 301:19 307:21 326:17 sent 10:22 33:11 276:19 277:9 292:6 292:10 321:14 sentence 32:15 152:1 155:21 199:21.22 200:14 201:14 202:4 203:2 316:13 sentenced 153:17 sentences 154:7 201:10 309:12 sentencing 151:17 152:3 153:13 **separate** 54:18 56:2 57:19,20,21,22 61:8 68:20 69:3 90:1,21 93:4,21,22 94:1 103:12 235:17 302:3 separately 148:2 292:4 separation 14:9 151:20 152:2 **September** 28:12,18 sergeant 9:22 10:4 107:20 112:16 series 151:5,7 191:14 191:15 235:8 serious 32:2 102:5 137:8,11,12,15,21 145:22 147:1 155:7 seriously 23:10 seriousness 120:21 serve 39:16 68:22 91:9 91:10 92:22 108:11 108:22 served 8:11 14:17 59:15 60:8 61:18 91:6 114:19 service 3:8 5:22,22

10:6 14:3 21:17 48:16 51:8 52:18 59:15 70:13 73:16 75:3 93:5 129:9 130:1 142:1 152:18 153:4,8,15,19 167:3 169:6 170:11 170:11,14,18 306:21 308:19 312:11 319:22 323:10 328:15 services 53:4,12 65:1 85:11,12 93:13 157:18 169:3 170:4 197:4 224:3 248:6 249:19 261:22 273:16 276:2,10,21 283:4,13 283:22 284:19 285:21 286:6,19 289:11 290:2 292:3 296:5 297:6 298:18 302:9 302:22 304:8 305:3 305:12 307:21 309:16 312:7 serving 8:5 session 6:11 129:8 199:4.5 set 90:6 162:7.18 163:20 182:9 193:10 238:4,5 261:14,19 287:19 sets 47:10 74:9 136:5 156:3 162:2 175:13 setting 21:7 47:21 settings 78:15 **setup** 245:18 seven 49:9 74:12 151:22 152:4 248:2 248:16 257:6,10,13 257:16 severe 180:2 severely 153:17 severity 152:3 154:7 sex 72:15 104:4 109:9 130:5,20 131:21 132:10 135:3.4 137:4 137:16,21 190:10,11 308:9 sexually 42:2 237:15 SGT 104:12,14 107:5 110:1 170:6 174:10 183:19 184:6 196:21 202:7,10 204:3 212:15 214:19 216:19 250:5,16 251:14 254:11,21 255:2 258:4 265:4 266:14 268:17 269:9,15,18 270:20 271:10,13 272:5 279:11,21

280:12 309:20 shading 142:12,19 shaming 57:16 share 7:15 61:7 shared 41:18 sharing 38:11 **sheet** 159:15 194:3 224:21 Shield 239:3 shift 17:1 shocked 24:12 36:5 shocking 34:2 shooting 117:21 short 38:12 85:1 289:9 326:4 short-lived 24:9 shortage 30:2 shorten 203:19 shorter 204:13 **shortly** 17:10 25:2 **shot** 216:9 show 26:19 105:20 109:16 136:11,15 140:1 146:18 147:12 154:16 156:8 165:15 165:18 186:19 265:7 294:17 showed 282:4 299:22 **showing** 130:7 142:5 161:18 shows 142:6 175:1 shut 144:19 side 63:18 80:7 100:3 105:14 140:8 166:6 187:20 196:1 266:18 sides 158:13 312:1 sigh 44:18 sign 6:21 31:14 signed 31:12 significant 40:13 171:15,20 178:19 198:8 238:20 272:18 significantly 150:6 171:20 signify 226:18 similar 38:17 42:15 48:14 129:7 136:22 173:10 231:8 simple 39:15 101:5 102:12 127:3 184:4 197:11 simply 38:11 39:11 100:16 229:10 Simultaneous 78:17 79:2 94:2 117:5 245:13 Sinema 34:22,22 single 36:1,2 54:16,17

55:22 57:1 60:22 109:9 179:20 218:18 219:6 **singular** 276:8 sir 77:20,22 79:3 83:13 90:15 93:10 94:4,10 128:22 133:6 144:14 144:20,22 145:10 157:14 158:18 162:21 169:12 170:10 174:13 174:18 254:22 255:2 286:13 304:6 sister 43:13 sit 326:21 site 206:20 310:16 328:4,11 sitting 35:16 situation 23:20 24:3,17 24:21 25:1 36:17 46:22 47:7 48:14 56:17 61:5,19 69:19 73:21 75:7 132:5 situational 125:15 situations 38:18 48:3 54:7 84:7 100:18 106:21 206:17 264:18 301:15 six 32:15 43:11,22 49:9 51:17 63:22 65:21 69:14 98:11 113:15 131:4 140:10 146:2 190:5 204:12 244:14 247:18 267:12 276:17 300:1 306:8,12 Sixteen 281:19 282:16 282:22 **sixty-**169:16 size 118:2 150:5 218:4 316:8 SJA 224:21,22 skip 149:16,21 sleep 327:22 sleepiness 44:11 slice 116:12 slide 127:6 129:6,20 130:3,5,7 131:12,13 132:9 134:9 136:19 138:5,6 139:3 141:6 142:3,6,15 144:2,3,3 144:10 145:11 146:11 150:18 153:2,3 171:2 172:10 178:3 187:21 188:2 189:10,19 250:14 308:2 slides 125:5 130:14 135:11 141:19 150:15 151:4 308:8 slight 127:10

slightly 208:7 small 9:13 10:22 104:6 132:13 163:18 208:20 316:8 smaller 147:4 150:5 smart 217:18 sneak 163:18 Social 320:6 society 86:22 sodomy 129:5 software 148:3 soil 40:18 soldiers 40:19 71:2,16 104:4,6 119:7 237:18 312:20 solely 221:12,20 222:16 223:5 226:6 227:3 solid 44:5 140:15 solider 61:13 solution 196:20 solve 102:5 115:10 320:5 somebody 28:8 43:4 62:11 68:5 70:18 76:21 84:2 100:20 106:7.12.14 109:10 110:5 112:1 117:22 126:10 159:5 181:20 196:11 264:13 269:20 273:4 280:6 294:16 somebody's 109:18 somewhat 70:14 133:20 son 75:13 soon 328:3 sophisticated 175:6 sore 106:3 sorry 11:21 12:2 47:16 49:3 58:2 144:21 149:20 153:3 174:18 183:5 186:9 202:21 228:3 254:10 265:4 305:9 307:16 317:16 321:20 326:12 sort 18:18 26:16 51:11 69:4,8 84:3 88:18 89:2 91:2 92:18 105:20 163:17 169:2 179:1 205:2 207:13 233:18 240:13 245:21 289:19 310:3 sorted 312:22 sorts 301:2 sought 20:10 sound 229:11 266:22 267:2 sounds 30:17 201:19 227:3 284:9 314:19

soup-to-nuts 158:7 source 16:22 126:9 262:1 sourced 129:20 Southern 23:7 space 67:10 99:11 spanned 73:13 speak 182:4 200:11 speaking 78:17 79:2 94:2 117:5 144:7 245:13 **special** 9:7,10 25:20 77:9 104:4 141:17 146:21,22 164:6 170:16,21,22 171:3 171:10 299:14 specific 16:4 56:20 79:11,14 90:16 107:7 133:11,17,21 235:12 301:7 **specifically** 16:16 18:6 21:22 33:5 70:3 71:13 78:1 135:20 207:5 298:6 spectrum 73:13 spend 34:11 236:2 spending 85:9 spent 23:1 40:16 102:10 **Spohn** 1:19 120:8 122:4 122:15 123:21 125:21 126:16 127:4 137:20 138:3 146:14 194:15 207:4 210:3 212:3 218:5,10 222:15,21 223:2 226:20 227:21 230:5,9,21 231:11,13 240:1,4,15,19 246:4,7 246:18 247:2 263:6 267:14,22 275:14,17 278:2,3 282:1,8 287:20 288:5 289:19 289:22 291:8 Spohn's 165:22 208:6 **spoke** 16:6 **spoken** 43:2 sponsored 11:7 sporting 40:17 **spouse** 136:1 167:3,6 spouses 170:11 spreadsheet 148:3 **spun** 89:1 spy 64:17 **Stacy** 2:8 153:4 staff 2:1,3,3,4 7:5 8:16 8:17 10:10 14:1 16:1 33:13 58:11,17 59:4 65:8,971:8,982:19

106:2 107:15.21 114:10,14 124:4 149:4 159:6,16 162:4 163:2,6 175:10 197:5 199:13 200:5 206:14 209:8 213:22 220:1 220:17 226:20,21 229:13,17 241:10 264:10 283:10,11 286:16 319:4 324:3 326:19,20 328:13,16 329:2 staffer 55:22 staffs 9:18 stages 90:8 stake 43:8 stakes 119:3 stand 64:18 76:14 standalone 54:19 standard 67:13 68:4 82:12 88:19 131:1 137:1 197:12 261:13 261:19 standards 103:9 128:18 197:10 261:22 275:19 295:3.10 296:14 297:7 standing 153:11 standpoint 65:3 stands 216:22 star 63:17 start 30:7 49:1 70:4 85:6 92:2 113:3 121:12 134:20 161:1 161:12 199:2 200:19 210:16,17 225:1 246:20 285:4 286:5 322:16 328:3,13 started 26:22 64:4 138:7 158:10 161:15 247:3 326:17,22 starting 204:9 247:7 starts 286:12 307:6 state 64:18 179:18 207:16 220:1 229:18 stated 18:4 236:15 statement 12:11 56:13 56:21 59:18 68:17 114:18 115:3 173:17 173:20 174:2,4,8 179:17 statements 57:10 68:15 69:1 85:13,17,21 90:1 90:5 106:17 states 1:1 15:14 115:14 117:19 station 12:3 102:16 statistic 122:3 139:1

statistical 6:7 statistically 26:19 171:14 statistics 121:3 122:20 123:8 125:11 133:4 143:18 162:4 171:8 309:8 310:19 stats 109:16 status 45:3 170:18 175:17 stay 13:13 312:2 **Stayce** 2:8 189:12 stealing 42:1 step 27:5 103:10 123:3 178:20 187:16 233:5 stepdaughter 25:13 98:22 steps 115:12 233:12 Steve 202:18 **Steven** 2:3 7:5 stick 92:1 Stickle 30:12,14 Stickle's 31:15 stigma 101:16 stood 152:17 stop 44:10 55:13 83:2 219:10 stopped 294:16 story 11:6 24:19 28:17 32:9 38:11 62:1 stovepiped 93:13 strategic 123:3 street 99:1 182:21 264:14 stress 30:6 43:18 44:6 strikes 54:6 strong 20:1,2,12 63:9 111:15 117:3 strongly 50:16 struggling 117:1 stuck 67:6 student 18:8 studied 80:4 study 170:10 317:12 318:4 stuff 199:6 221:18 223:22 225:18 232:14 234:15 295:19 312:15 322:10 325:18 stupid 301:22 style 141:21 Subcommittee's 213:9 subject 41:20 96:7 114:1 166:7 167:5 168:8 173:17,19 183:14 193:2,6 195:15 237:10 280:4 321:5

subject's 276:11 subjects 173:11,14 submit 14:7 284:12 289:17 302:14 304:1 304:12 318:13 323:1 submitted 4:15 7:8 108:17 260:8,16 283:5,14 284:22 285:22 286:9 287:1 291:20 300:4 301:4 301:15,17 302:11 303:1 304:9 305:6,14 322:20 326:6 submitting 275:20,22 287:15,16 288:3,3,15 288:16 291:6,14 295:12,13 296:1,15 296:17 297:8,10 305:4,12 subordinate 40:19 61:7 subordinated 58:15 subsequent 15:11 38:21 substance 138:10 substantial 179:13 subsume 295:21 subtract 308:4 success 40:7,13 successful 113:9 sufficient 111:8 **sufficiently** 316:8,22 suggest 87:22 88:4 157:14 233:15,17 239:4,7 300:12 304:14 suggested 220:1 suggesting 96:8 suggestion 50:7 89:5 132:18 140:5 201:22 286:18,18 298:15 suggestions 50:4 82:2 87:13 149:4 suggests 67:20 191:3 229:17 Sullivan 2:2 4:3,4,19 7:2 160:20 328:15 329:3,4 summarizes 153:3 summary 141:18 145:17,21 146:3,9 147:3 150:18 151:3 152:12 156:2 299:14 summed 154:14 summer 10:11 26:21 27:10,15 supervised 9:6 supervising 8:15 supervision 110:6

supervisor 91:5 108:1 108:2 supplement 7:21 support 43:16 44:5 45:9 46:8 114:12 126:6 184:13 213:9 326:19 supported 213:5 supportive 44:12 suppose 246:1 283:20 supposed 11:17 12:9 16:20 38:22 62:20 67:14 68:2 89:9 95:4 95:7 103:8 105:18 225:9 284:2 surname 196:15,16 surprise 166:22 surreptitious 181:14,15 surrounding 60:11 survey 310:8 suspect 8:20 100:13 217:13 237:10 238:5 276:11 323:3 suspended 13:15 28:12 suspense 160:8 SVC 170:8 171:13.14 171:19 sweat 39:18 **swept** 76:3 swing 104:9 switched 8:9 295:8 **synopsis** 204:13 205:22 system 21:22 22:12 37:4 49:13 51:15 56:10 70:22 81:13,15 84:4 97:2 104:3,6,7 111:13 116:2,11 120:4 128:16 159:2 160:14,15 163:22 186:1 187:19 188:15 190:15 218:17,17 266:9 310:4 systematic 229:20 230:13 systematically 182:5 systems 56:2 57:20,21 57:22 103:12 198:12 266:13 т table 6:21 100:4 178:15 192:3,5 198:21 215:22 tables 126:6 129:20 147:14 186:4 tackle 105:1 199:18 tactics 26:13

Tagert 2:9 161:14 162:21 163:19 165:1 165:4 168:14 169:12 169:18 170:3,9 172:5 172:9 174:13,18 179:14 182:8,19 183:11 194:9,12,18 196:19 223:19 224:1 224:19 225:6,11 255:21 274:4 takeaway 180:12 takeaways 125:13 150:21 taken 23:10 35:10 42:14 44:14 57:18 62:10,12 71:10 98:10 115:12 120:15,21 121:22 187:12 193:7 207:3 221:3 243:19 257:3 258:1,10 262:9 266:11 271:22 276:12 289:13,16 290:18,19 290:22 291:2 293:15 294:4,12,13 298:3,3,9 298:12 299:8,10 304:11 319:14 320:10 321:7 322:2.4 takes 20:20 52:13 98:10 114:6,7 166:15 175:2 211:16 283:16 286:16 talented 312:7 talk 10:21 13:6.7 16:13 19:9 26:14 102:19 107:22 132:9 136:19 150:20 160:9 175:12 175:13,16,19 179:11 181:6,7 182:6 209:14 312:10 325:22 talked 55:4 56:1 66:12 66:20 74:14 81:2 95:17 102:8 112:4 123:4 152:9 203:10 250:14 277:12 306:17 308:20 310:1 316:16 316:19 talking 56:2 73:17 74:18 80:22 83:2 102:11 107:2 128:20 130:19 132:7,13 134:11 138:5 139:4 154:20 164:15,16 179:12 183:4 205:22 210:7 211:7 216:4,8 216:10 224:16 225:4 237:8 241:20 247:22 250:2.8 257:7.9 274:12 283:18 291:7 308:12 324:3

talks 210:22 211:1 245:20 306:20 308:9 308:18 313:4 318:3 Tampa 22:17 25:16 tank 17:8 tapes 311:13 **TARGET** 183:16 task 57:18 319:20 tasks 13:11 taste 162:11 taxi 61:8 **TCAP** 9:1,6,21 11:15 **TDY** 61:8 team 13:12 18:11 26:13 49:22 213:10 teamwork 18:8 tear 108:4 tease 300:19 technical 105:2,5 266:17 teeing 245:7 telephone 2:22 telephonic 326:1 telephonically 5:6 77:3 tell 28:5 58:5,17 62:1 72:14 74:7 75:5.12 84:10 88:16 105:15 110:12 127:1 130:21 157:17 164:13 168:16 170:19 172:6 174:5 233:19 295:20 telling 97:11 174:3 ten 5:3 9:16 10:2 17:16 50:13 83:19 97:19 109:7 145:21 146:2 165:10 202:16 210:18 211:8 256:22 257:10 257:13 258:14 259:7 304:15 tended 153:16 tendency 69:13 term 50:9 77:6,12 78:3 78:13 91:9 249:19 terminology 130:12 249:7,15 250:11 252:11 261:21 terms 56:9 64:21 66:16 119:11 249:10 252:2 252:15 272:20 273:22 Terri 2:9 299:22 303:11 Terri's 293:2 test 264:21 testify 231:20 259:10 322:22 323:2 testimony 5:21 21:20 22:5 25:22 26:2 35:12 50:5 63:9 104:18 105:6 106:10 166:3

194:21 232:3,17 271:22 274:5 294:18 316:7 317:2,9 323:1 text 125:19 126:1 texts 126:4 thank 4:18 7:10,12,13 12:4,12 21:1,2,4,10 37:16,19,21 38:3 48:19,22 49:11 55:16 59:15,16 60:11 73:7 77:5,20,22 83:12 87:10 96:16 100:4 101:2 104:14 119:13 123:21 124:3,4,14 125:3 144:19 145:9 149:21 156:10 160:21 163:18 165:3 183:18 184:11 189:8 194:8 255:2,12 268:9 275:3 305:17 328:16 thanks 21:5 37:22 62:6 64:20 77:15 112:17 150:22,22 203:8 theirs 320:4 theory 102:12 215:1 thereabout 309:4 Theresa 2:5 thesis 42:1 thing's 247:5 things 34:2 49:14 55:18 57:19 64:4 71:12 98:2 103:6 104:19 120:8 121:13 123:5,7 150:9 150:19 175:7,10,22 176:4 179:20 187:7 215:13 233:15 236:19 239:13 241:20 252:5 267:12 292:10 311:4 313:12,14 323:8 third 118:16,19,20 164:2,8 165:6 172:12 172:15 177:1,4 185:17 251:21 Thirteen 272:17 275:5 thorough 164:19 thought 29:18 31:15 63:5 64:2 71:14 82:3 93:4 97:13 101:2 113:10 118:6 174:3 189:6 202:22 210:4 230:16 307:19 thoughts 53:7 54:3 81:20 210:2 216:16 thousand 241:18 thousands 119:7 thread 22:9 threads 22:7 threat 22:12

threatened 96:2 threats 23:9,9,13 25:18 three 5:21 14:17 17:19 18:1,3,13,16 22:19 29:4 32:5 36:1 47:17 63:15 72:12 128:4 129:13 132:14 143:6 184:16 186:12 193:22 210:15 211:2 235:5 236:4 237:6 239:9,17 275:2 280:19 322:12 326:22 327:2,3 Three's 236:3 three-quarters 26:1 three-star 63:17 throat 108:8 throw 108:2,3 tidal 55:12 tie 158:6 205:9 tied 66:16 259:13 tiered 188:14 ties 232:4 tigers 251:17 timeliness 309:15 timely 244:1 255:18 times 9:16.16 25:16 27:12 28:19 31:22 32:12,15 33:10,11 52:8 59:19 69:17 83:16 119:9 139:5 185:13 186:21 188:22 193:22 203:6 timing 275:19 295:11 296:14 297:7 tip 37:16 title 10:19 68:5 69:7 137:21 titled 16:13,19 67:13 88:8 130:16 titling 58:21 67:12 68:2 88:9,10 109:15 146:11 today 4:20 7:10 12:16 20:22 21:6,20 33:19 36:14 38:1,4,6 39:9 67:8 104:15,18 126:7 130:4 147:21 150:17 151:1 152:11 162:3 199:14 203:11 308:21 309:2 313:12 today's 5:18 6:18 7:1 22:21 37:11 39:12 toil 39:18 Tokash 1:20 77:1,2,5 78:18 79:13 80:8,19 199:20 told 13:12,18,22 14:6 14:12 16:14 17:17

18:22 19:15 24:11.16 27:7 28:3 30:14,19 31:19 32:20 33:8 43:22 65:13 72:20 99:4,5 107:9,14 108:13 110:21,22 164:10 209:5 tongue-tied 297:17 top 13:14 18:10 36:7 40:6 47:2 71:1 86:5 115:8 176:15 179:3 186:12 187:5 top-down 85:5 topics 298:2 total 129:15,18 154:14 308:1 totality 16:21 totally 69:11 81:15 touches 55:18 tough 114:3 218:16 324:12 toughest 36:9 tox 183:8,13 track 138:11 157:21 172:3.6 176:9 trade 21:13 trail 56:11 trailed 26:12 Train 202:19 trained 60:3 82:8 232:21 training 9:3 17:9 39:18 86:13 92:5 trajectory 23:6 transcribed 5:19 311:14 transcript 5:19 transcripts 311:13 transfer 59:2 111:5 315:10,12,20 316:3 transgender 133:5 transparent 197:20 travel 88:1 Travis 35:17 treasure 119:1 treat 56:3,5 93:11 100:18 122:17 treated 19:21 29:22 30:20 60:19 64:2,9 81:7 treatment 103:22 tremendous 116:7 trend 84:9 127:10 trending 84:5 trends 158:11 306:16 Trexler 2:4 trial 8:19 16:10 30:8 67:15 71:8 77:14

78:21 82:13 91:5 93:12 118:9 150:4 157:13 158:6 159:18 160:5 238:2 271:6 311:12,13,14 tried 10:14 101:18 122:16 206:15 221:10 tries 221:22 triggers 118:10 119:9 trips 18:17,18 49:18 51:21 trouble 69:10 299:6 troubling 61:22 true 38:13 56:13,16 76:19 100:1 158:16 truly 41:15 48:10,13 75:7 328:20 trust 126:15 196:2,19 truth 26:5,15 122:13,14 try 13:8 22:6 46:19 60:7 85:1 96:9 115:12 145:5 149:7 158:5,22 184:1 209:8 239:5 301:14 311:9 312:22 320:4 322:12 328:11 trying 38:19 72:16 74:2 79:13 84:3 87:18 113:5 122:12,13 134:20 136:4 199:12 209:1,19 219:1 238:13 254:15 265:8 268:17 284:18 285:2 290:15 301:1 turn 60:14,15 150:19 193:17 202:16 212:12 232:22 turned 22:12 82:2 163:13 Twelve 255:22 260:6 261:1 twice 15:6 46:12 64:1 288:21,21 297:13 two 8:22 15:10 19:15 27:18 29:6 30:4 31:1 31:17 32:3 35:21 36:19 47:10 48:6 53:9 53:15 55:17 56:2 57:19,20,21,22 62:14 68:8,15 69:3 78:6,7 78:15 81:3 84:13 86:10 88:11 89:22 90:4 103:12 104:3 114:15 120:1 124:8 132:3,14 136:4 138:20 141:19 146:4 158:7,12 162:2 171:16,16 176:2 181:10 186:13 187:6

187:7 204:4 210:11 210:15,21 211:15 220:13 229:15,17 231:14,16 234:10,17 235:14,21 236:3,15 241:18 244:21 250:19 259:13 262:22 267:10 272:10 278:21 284:5 284:9,10 290:9,20 293:3 314:1 328:5 two-day 323:11 two-star 23:12,15 24:1 24:10 63:17 108:7,18 type 20:21 30:13 51:14 81:11,12 92:20 115:19 116:8,16 119:21 136:15,16 137:4,16 141:6 152:19 154:19 165:11 181:7 184:2 292:8 types 90:18 116:17 128:4 170:7 215:7 310:2 typical 15:13 21:19 118:13 typically 65:7 70:6,10 72:7 73:15 89:15 U **U.S** 1:15 9:4,15,22 17:13 UCI 120:3 **UCMJ** 26:10 112:13 119:6,20 299:16 ultimate 100:21 285:5 301:5 ultimately 11:14 65:21 146:6 174:14 um-hum 188:11,17 210:13 211:13 225:6 227:21 229:12 240:19 250:16 252:17 256:3 258:3 259:15 263:19 277:20 279:20 unable 254:14 322:1 unanimously 46:1 unattended 61:20 unbelievably 31:17 83:2,3 unbiased 105:7,19 unclear 185:9 248:5 251:15 273:10 276:1 295:14 **unconscious** 179:5,9 undeniably 68:16 **underage** 319:13 320:20 underlying 81:6 214:15

underneath 211:10 Undersecretary 15:7 understand 12:22 13:1 32:13 35:21 38:6 39:3 46:10,19 48:10 57:20 62:17 63:1,8 78:12 79:19 80:6 98:9 99:9 118:20 183:4 187:3 206:21 207:2.2 212:16 228:16 240:10 300:6 Understandably 81:12 understanding 38:13 38:13 39:10 241:17 understood 27:7 undue 60:5 unfair 53:13,15 247:10 257:18 unfairness 104:10 unfold 38:21 unfortunate 70:21 85:18 **Unfortunately** 22:9 28:2 33:16 34:6 37:15 unfound 109:17 unfounded 88:3.6 109:16 176:21.22 177:2 250:15,21 272:21 273:1,17 274:3,7,14 unfounding 273:15 unhappily 13:6 **uniform** 114:8,19 115:20 116:9,18 243:16,20 244:17 246:8 261:10,22 276:2 278:19 290:11 295:15 296:3,4,12,13 297:5,6,12,22 302:8,9 302:22 304:8 305:3 305:11 unimportant 157:5 unique 172:13 301:10 unit 110:7 117:17 United 1:1 115:14 117:19 **Unlawful** 120:4 unparallel 41:16 unqualified 48:7 unreasonable 208:17 214:14 unreasonableness 209:11,12 unrestricted 164:16 244:22 unwillingness 101:16 update 127:16 321:13 updating 148:6

upheld 46:1 uphold 58:12 upload 159:13,20 **upward** 23:6 urging 28:13 32:14 **USA** 2:3,17,19 **USAF** 1:18 2:18 use 119:2 132:8,19 142:20 177:11,17 180:7,15,22 181:7,12 181:15 184:18,18 185:2,3 225:2 251:14 322:21 useful 234:4 uses 176:22 177:3,8 224:20 USMC 1:19 **USMJ** 99:2 utility 185:9 V **v** 9:22 VA 78:10 102:16 vague 289:20 valid 67:5 99:17 200:10 validated 87:3 valuable 322:17 325:2 value 232:2 236:2 240:15 variable 136:12 151:18 151:21,22 157:2 178:6 180:6,9,13 184:13 variables 136:6 151:8,9 151:15 152:5,17,21 155:11 158:12 194:4 variates 156:17 variation 125:6 varied 197:2 varies 276:10 various 8:11 17:3 vast 240:11 vehemently 42:7 verbal 301:19,21 verifying 183:8 version 131:16 135:8 304:16 versus 117:11 130:1 137:5 138:12 165:13 171:13 179:5 237:1 309:12 Vice 114:10 victim 9:8 54:21 56:12 57:15,16 68:11 80:5 116:14 130:21 131:21 135:13 136:17 155:19 155:21 156:22 164:4 164:21 165:2,5 166:6

166:20 168:3,7,16,19 168:21 169:20 171:5 173:8 177:10 178:5,9 178:13,16,21 179:2 179:16,21 180:6,8,11 180:13,18,20,21 181:2,3,11 183:13 184:13,17,21 192:16 192:21 193:2 195:16 203:18 204:9,10 207:14,17,19 208:4,4 236:21 238:4 239:3 244:22 250:20,21 265:21 266:7 309:13 312:15 323:2 victim's 57:14 159:19 168:12 183:5 211:4 victim- 152:20 victim-related 155:11 victim-shame 68:22 victim-shaming 67:22 89:1 victim/subject 167:15 victims 9:10,12 131:3,6 131:8,10 132:10 133:5 135:3,5 136:20 155:17,22 158:16,17 164:1,17 167:1,7 169:8 170:7 171:12 171:13,18 172:20 173:15 179:12 182:11 204:16 308:9 309:21 310:10 312:2 victims' 164:6,7 170:2 170:16,21 171:1,3,11 Vietnam 85:18 view 1:11 117:6 viewpoint 100:2 101:15 113:3 violating 301:22 violation 16:7 302:3 violations 243:20 violence 9:11 170:2 violently 119:16 Virginia 1:12 visit 310:16 visits 310:7 328:4,11 visually 44:6 voices 43:17 voluntarily 15:19 **voluntary** 107:12 229:11 volunteers 49:21 vote 11:9 199:18 223:8 228:13,18 234:20 314:21 voted 315:14,17,18 316:2 325:18

voting 325:21 **VP** 28:21 29:1,5,8 37:10 w **W** 1:16 wait 160:18 193:19 238:8 298:15 323:22 324:1 waited 28:11 waiting 66:7 81:1 161:2 254:4,4,4 319:7 waive 139:8 waived 138:12 139:6.7 139:9,11,13 141:1 143:20,21 322:7,8 waivers 139:5 walk 180:16 192:10 walking 111:17,17 115:5 Walton 1:21 81:4 94:5 94:11,14 95:22 133:4 148:12 170:1 233:21 Walton's 95:21 wandering 264:14 wanted 47:22 51:16 53:20 60:14,15 71:15 89:12 97:16,18 105:4 112:17 138:10 178:4 178:12 189:20 191:20 202:22 203:3 229:4 297:1 308:15 311:18 wanting 169:20 309:22 312:20 wants 126:10 269:2 wasn't 28:2 75:19 88:6 135:16 142:17 190:4 197:16,17 220:19 224:16 321:6 watch 57:5 watching 109:10 wave 55:12 76:4 way 18:21 20:15 31:7,9 43:16 48:9 50:6 54:15 67:9 81:14 86:7 89:4 89:8 90:6 91:16 93:1 94:21 97:5,6,14,16,19 102:11 103:10 104:9 109:13 111:3,5,18 112:20,21 120:3 123:16 142:20 146:14 169:2 184:20 197:8 197:12 199:6,18 200:15 203:19 205:2 208:10 215:19 219:11 225:1 235:19 252:7 273:16 285:18 286:12 300:19 309:3 311:10 311:16,17 312:8,11

320:4,22 323:20 326:10 ways 17:3 65:5 87:7 104:11 142:12 149:5 197:3 267:10 wearing 118:4 weaselly 227:3 website 5:20 6:20 314:11 week 17:14 64:1 65:13 95:16 276:15 weeks 14:11 19:15 31:1 31:18 36:20 weighed 54:13 326:9 weight 57:16 welcome 3:5 4:20 7:22 17:18 124:13 161:11 193:22 194:8,10 327:19 well-connected 50:1 well-known 50:1 Wells 2:11 124:20 125:12 126:5,22 148:1 150:19,22 153:22 154:13 156:20 162:8.15 163:14 167:17 171:6 172:6 174:22 175:4 179:19 181:22 184:12 185:17 188:3,11,17 189:9 190:19 191:11 193:17 216:13 308:22 Wells' 126:15 250:14 went 28:10 34:20 36:6 55:21 72:19 78:21 91:18 105:3,8 107:9 107:15,16 117:12 124:17 128:7,9 141:1 145:19 146:20 158:10 161:9 190:13 199:3 204:18 297:14 300:3 304:18 306:16 320:17 329:7 weren't 90:3 152:22 155:11 174:10 183:8 185:1 West 40:8 42:3 wherewithal 71:19 white 195:4,8 wholly 239:13 wide-scale 160:12 wife 23:19 29:1 34:1 36:5 43:12 47:8 77:18 118:7 wife's 22:3 23:7 24:13 24:17 25:14,22 28:13 Wil 2:19 38:3 69:15 70:7 76:19 80:4 120:5

Wil's 50:19 William 2:11 willing 12:16 30:21 60:3 99:13 119:4.8 wing 31:6 winnowing 148:15 Winter 23:6 wise 174:4 233:20 wishes 159:19 withdraw 14:13 46:8 withdrawn 17:10 20:9 withdrew 95:9,12 witness 323:3,11 witnesses 15:19 83:2 89:22 95:15 96:10 106:5 235:11 woefully 38:12 75:15 woman 60:22 111:17 women 40:20 47:17 61:18 62:2 312:1 wonder 188:12 wondering 237:2 284:8 word 67:7 78:13 200:16 203:5 240:2,3,9 242:14 251:20,20 270:21 271:3 worded 146:15 304:22 wording 145:14 203:1 226:5 258:9 266:15 words 77:8 78:1 99:19 105:12 176:4 325:10 wordsmith 302:20 wordy 222:6,13 226:17 work 19:17 22:20 24:20 45:11 49:16 65:20 98:4 123:14 126:4,11 127:3 134:9 142:14 163:13 197:5 208:9 218:22 311:16 328:17 328:21 worked 22:14 25:6 27:12 31:4 60:19,22 70:22 83:19 112:22 working 3:11,14 6:4,7,8 35:4 36:9 51:19 53:9 98:14 99:5 139:22 140:3 149:5,12 150:1 150:11 161:13,18 172:21 173:16 193:13 193:19 207:6 213:10 222:3,6,7,16 226:14 226:19 287:13 290:11 309:5,18 310:15,18 311:6,17 319:11,21 324:7 327:16 workshop 326:13,15 world 9:9 20:3,12,17 22:22 77:17 92:16

100:12.15 218:6 219:10 228:7 worrying 123:17 worse 102:17 worst 118:21 worth 155:13 216:4 324:10 worthwhile 235:17 wouldn't 105:12 160:6 168:22 201:18 206:6 wrap 149:12 193:16 writ 95:1 96:16 write 17:9 90:1 writer 52:8 75:1 writing 50:14 written 4:14 5:19 6:17 7:8,22 33:2,12 35:9 **z** 204:9,10 69:1 78:7 182:5 206:16 231:2 239:13 wrong 32:22 41:20 42:12 68:10 95:13 123:19 148:16,20 255:17 **1,000** 34:7 wrongdoing 55:10 66:2 wrote 27:14 32:15 51:17 267:20 Х **x** 204:9.10 XX 220:10 315:22 **1000** 98:21 Υ 210:22 y 204:9,10 year 5:13 6:9 7:19 8:10 10:7 19:7,22 26:13,21 11th 4:21 31:1 34:1,17 35:22 49:19 63:10 64:5 **12.1** 181:2 73:20 84:2 98:10 120:11 126:8 128:1 128:11 135:15,17 292:11 138:8 139:14 143:16 143:17 147:10,10 148:6,6 150:12 161:20 166:14 183:17 132 323:9 244:15 261:12 year's 147:16 216:7 310:17 324:10 years 7:20 8:22 14:17 324:17 140 313:6 14:19 17:5 18:13,16 20:18 22:16 23:3 31:4 40:8 42:3 43:2 48:5 56:22 72:11,12 81:3 144 229:6 84:10,13 97:15,19,19 109:6,7 119:15 120:1 136:7 138:20 140:13 140:15 143:7 151:6.8 151:9 153:8 232:9 237:11 309:1 322:12

324:20 328:5 yellowed 219:20 yeses 239:18 yesterday 125:6 127:2 129:7 130:12 132:11 137:4 141:22 142:17 144:17 145:13 150:16 152:10 171:7 182:21 199:3,10,21 203:10 218:15 229:5 282:2 299:22 306:16 young 62:15 65:15 71:2 71:16 72:1,9 73:16 Ζ zero 238:13 0 1 **10** 177:9 203:12 10-minute 124:15 **10:03** 322:16 10:22 322:17 10:56 124:17 **100** 10:3 204:21 **11** 181:2 190:1 210:21 11:10 124:18 **11:44** 161:9 **12** 136:6 211:1 324:18 **12:45** 161:10 120 128:21 291:20 **125** 3:12 129:5 **13** 136:6 146:19 147:2 203:12 324:15 134 316:6,12 **14** 136:7 210:12 211:16 295:2 296:7 324:15 **1400** 163:6,6 320:14 140A 198:14 248:13 **15** 5:3 9:16 46:17,18 47:14 63:9 109:6 126:9 129:21 130:9 136:2.22 142:4 143:6 189:1 219:22 229:6 229:14 299:16 326:4

**16** 10:1 126:3.9 127:9 129:8,21 130:9 131:2 131:4 135:18 136:2 136:15,22 142:4 143:6 144:3 152:6,14 324:17 161 3:15 **165** 163:2,9 168:11 191:12 220:2 229:18 230:2,11,17 320:11 17 125:19 126:4,9 127:9 128:6 129:9,21,22 130:9 131:2,4 132:17 135:18 136:2,15 137:1 142:4,5 143:6 144:3,5 152:7,14 157:4 161:20 283:2 287:5 294:2 **19** 70:18 73:20 167:8 244:15 261:12 **1986** 42:3 1987 40:9 **1993** 8:5 1998 8:7 1st 10:10 14:1 2 **2** 14:20 306:14 **20** 18:10,17 70:18 73:20 97:15 141:16 167:4.8 237:10 306:22 326:4 200,000 47:14 **2000** 120:14 158:4 218:1 **2001** 8:8 2002 8:12 **2011** 10:16 2012 324:14 **2013** 23:6 24:10 40:6,21 48:4 86:7,12,16 112:1 2014 8:12 10:9,12,18 19:2,2 26:21 27:19 28:12,13,18 81:21 **2015** 5:13 6:9 12:13 30:4,4 125:11,22 126:16 **2016** 125:14 309:1 2016-2017 126:15 2017 73:11 120:11 125:11,14 309:2 2019 1:8 3:17 51:21 **2055** 163:4,11 209:22 216:7 **21** 23:2 31:4 70:18 73:20 213:17 21st 10:12 **22** 185:4 187:14,18 189:11,14,20 190:1

190:13 **24** 9:6,7 **25** 1:8 36:7 72:11 231:15 255 213:18 **26** 40:8 137:18,18 150:18 **27** 42:3 28 23:2 31:4 2nd 40:21 3 **3** 307:12,17 308:3 313:3 313:16 315:2,3 3,500 41:1 3.1 129:16 3:07 304:18 3:23 304:19 3:52 329:7 **30** 9:2,7 18:17 74:9 127:22 243:14,14 **30-something** 147:19 300 1:12 36:16 **304** 3:18 **32** 138:5,9,11 139:5,6 139:12 141:1,1 143:20,21 145:1 159:15 321:16 322:3 322:16 323:4,11 326:18 329 3:20 32s 322:6,8 **33** 165:18 188:13 321:16 **34** 186:17 188:6,16 321:17 326:18 **35** 40:22 74:9 4 **4** 3:5 315:9 317:15,18 318:1 **40** 14:19 49:21 56:22 132:12 167:4 40-year-old 71:20 40,000 28:14 **42%** 307:22,22 **43** 179:9 **44** 307:11 308:4 **45** 74:7 207:16 209:8 45.8 187:5 **47** 139:18 306:19 307:10,15,17 **48** 165:17 183:22 187:4 188:10,22

**49** 186:9,14,15,21

<u>5</u> 5 316:6,14 317:12 318:3

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Meeting

Before: DAC-IPAD

Date: 01-25-19

Place: Arlington, VA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near Lans &

Court Reporter

## NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 362

(202) 234-4433