UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

+ + + + +

DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION,
PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE SEXUAL ASSAULT
IN THE ARMED FORCES (DAC-IPAD)

+ + + + +

PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY
OCTOBER 19, 2017

+ + + + +

The Committee met at One Liberty Center, Suite 1432, 875 North Randolph Street, Arlington, Virginia, at 1:15 p.m., Martha Bashford, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

Ms. Martha Bashford, Chair

Maj. Gen. Marcia M. Anderson, USA, Ret.

The Hon. Leo I. Brisbois

Ms. Kathleen Cannon

Ms. Margaret Garvin

The Hon. Paul W. Grimm

Dean Keith Harrison

Mr. A.J. Kramer

Ms. Jennifer Gentile Long

Mr. James Markey

Dr. Jennifer Markowitz

CMSAF Rodney J. McKinley, USAF, Ret.

Brig. Gen. James Schwenk, USMC, Ret.

Dr. Cassia C. Spohn

Ms. Meghan Tokash

The Hon. Reggie Walton

WITNESSES:

- Ms. Hannah Stolberg
- Dr. Nathan Galbreath, Deputy Director, Sexual
 Assault Prevention and Response Office, US
 Department of Defense
- Ms. Diana Rangoussis, Senior Legislative and Policy Advisor, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office, US Department of Defense
- Mr. Paul Rosen, Director, U.S. Navy Sexual
 Assault Prevention and Response Branch
- Ms. Gail Reed, Policy and Plans Program
 Specialist, U.S. Marine Corps Sexual Assault
 Prevention and Response
- Colonel Melanie A. Prince, U.S. Air Force,
 Division Chief, Interpersonal Self-Directed
 Violence Response Division
- Lieutenant Amanda Styles, U.S. Coast Guard,
 Central Assignment Coordinator, Personnel
 Service Center Enlisted Personnel Management
 Division
- Major Simone Jack, U.S. Army, former Special Victims' Counsel
- Lieutenant Commander Clair Huffstetler, U.S. Navy, Victims' Legal Counsel
- Major Jessica Martz, U.S. Marine Corps, Deputy Officer-in-Charge, Victims' Legal Counsel Organization
- Captain Brittany Tedford, U.S. Air Force, Special Victims' Counsel
- Commander Paul Markland, U.S. Coast Guard, Special Victims' Counsel

STAFF:

- Captain Tammy P. Tideswell, JAGC, U.S. Navy Staff Director
- Colonel Steven B. Weir, U.S. Army, JAG Corps,

 Deputy Staff Director
- Dwight Sullivan, Designated Federal Official

CONTENTS

Administrative Session	. 3
Welcome and Introduction Perspective of Sexual Assault Victim	. 8
Briefing on the DoD and Military Services' Expedited Transfer Policies	.26
Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel (SVC/VLC) Perspectives on Expedited Transfer Policy and	
SVC/VLC Program	132
7 diamen	220

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:15 p.m.)

MR. SULLIVAN: Good afternoon. I'm

Dwight Sullivan, I'm the designated federal

official of the Defense Advisory Committee on the

Investigation and Prosecution and Defense of

Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces. This meeting

of the Committee is open.

If a member of the audience would like to comment on any issue before the Committee, please direct your request to the Committee Staff Director, Captain Tammy Tideswell, who is seated to my right.

All public comments will be heard at the end of the meeting and at the discretion of the Chair. It would be inappropriate for any member of the public gallery to offer oral comments at any other time.

Written public comments may always be submitted for the Committees consideration. Ms. Bashford, the Chair is yours.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you, Mr.

Sullivan. Good afternoon all. I'd like to welcome the members, participants and everyone in attendance today to the fourth meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation Prosecution and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces, or DAC-IPAD.

The Secretary of Defense appointed 16 members to the Committee, all of whom are participating here today. The DAC-IPAD was created by the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as amended.

Our mandate is to advise the Secretary of Defense on the investigation, prosecution and defense of allegations of sexual assault and other sexual misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces.

Before we get started today I would like to recognize and introduce the new deputy staff director of the DAC-IPAD, Army Colonel Steven Weir. Would you standup please so everybody can see you? Thank you.

Colonel Weir comes to us from Newport,
Rhode Island where he led the defense institute
of international legal studies for the past three
years. Colonel Weir has significant experience
in Military justice.

He has served as a staff judge advocate multiple times, as a chief of military justice, as a defense and senior defense counsel and as a trial counsel. Welcome, Steve, and we are delighted to have you here with us.

Please note today's meeting is being transcribed and the complete written transcript will be posted on the DAC-IPAD website at https://dacipad.whs.mil. The written materials provided to the Committee Members, in preparation for the meeting, are also available on the DAC-IPAD website.

Next, I would like to recognize and introduce our Military Service representatives who will assist the Committee with any policy questions that might arise during our discussions. Could you please stand and just

1	identify yourselves for the benefit of the
2	Committee and the Members of the public? Thank
3	you.
4	LT. COLONEL VERGONA: Hi, I'm
5	Lieutenant Colonel Mary Catherine Vergona. I am
6	the Army representative.
7	MR. MARTINSON: I'm Jim Martinson, I'm
8	the Navy representative.
9	MAJOR SHEW: Good afternoon, I'm Major
10	Wayne Shew, I'm the U.S. Marine Corps
11	representative.
12	CAPTAIN AHLERS: Good afternoon, I'm
13	Captain Joseph Ahlers, United States Air Force.
14	CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you very much.
15	I'm just going to reiterate what Mr. Sullivan
16	said.
17	Each public meeting of the DAC-IPAD
18	includes a period of time for public comment.
19	The Committee has received no requests for public
20	comment for today's meeting. And again, if a
21	member of the audience would like to comment on
22	an issue, please direct your questions to our

staff director, Captain Tammy Tideswell, here.

All public comments will be heard at the end of the meeting and at the discretion of the Chair.

We'll begin today's meeting with an important reminder of why we are all here, as we listen to the testimony of a courageous former Air Force Senior Airman and sexual assault survivor about her unfortunate experience and how it has affected her life.

Next, we will turn our focus to the issue of the Military's expedited transfer policy for Service members who make an unrestricted report of sexual assault. Representatives from the Department of Defense and the Military Services will explain their policies and procedures and how they have been implemented to date.

After that, we will hear perspectives from special victim's counsel and victim's legal counsel, from each of the Services, about the victims counsel program and how the expedited

transfer policy is working in practice.

This meeting will continue tomorrow morning at 8:45 in this location. The focus of tomorrow's meeting will be on the legal and sexual assault response training received by commanders, as well as their experience in handling sexual assault allegations and expedited transfer requests.

Thank you very much for joining us today, we are ready to begin the meeting. And I would like to welcome Ms. Hannah Stolberg. Thank you for being here, thank you for sharing your experiences and we are honored to hear from you.

MS. STOLBERG: I'm sorry, it will take me just a second to get a little situated here.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Take your time.

MS. STOLBERG: I'd like to begin by letting you all know that I am so humbled and honored that you would have me here today to share this with you. And I ask that you bear with me, I'm very nervous.

I have some word recall issues so

there might be some pauses. And it's just me trying to figure out what word decided to leave my head.

I also deflect emotion with humor, so please don't be offended by anything I said if I crack some jokes in there. It's either crack some jokes or you can watch me lay in the fetal position and cry. So humor is better. Thank you.

Good afternoon, I am retired Senior
Airman Hannah Stolberg. I was a 6C0X1, which is
the AFSC code for nerd. Also known as a
contracting specialist in the Air Force.

In -- I'm sorry, let me take a moment.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Take all the time you want.

MS. STOLBERG: Thank you, ma'am. I was medically retired in May of last year, and I think what's shocking to some people is that I never even deployed. My scariest encounters were TDYs to places like California, Maryland with a population of, I think like seven people at the

time.

It was while I was at Wright-Patterson

Air Force Base, and even Offut. So I really

didn't do anything that was that intensive. I

was reach back for contingency construction in

San Antonio, Texas.

But in 2012 I was raped and beaten almost to death by an Air Force NCO. I spent almost two days in and out of consciousness on a concrete floor.

It was over Columbus Day weekend, and when I didn't show up to work no one even came to check on me.

During the assault, my arm, my right shoulder was dislocated, my left finger was fractured, damage was done to my spine. And I didn't find out for almost a year and a half that I had received a traumatic brain injury.

When I reported this to my command to try to get help, I was pulled into my commander's office, with my commander and my superintendent, who is also our acting 1st Sergeant, because we

were a small squadron, and told that sometimes it's better to just embrace the suck.

I was told that nothing would be done.

And it was conveyed that there wouldn't be any
help on that front.

My superintendent didn't say anything but his silence was his agreement. He didn't question what my commander said, he just went along with it.

This led to me trying very hard to suck it up. I didn't find out my femur was fractured for four months. I ran on it the entire time until it almost shattered.

Every time I went to the doctor they told me that I was just running too much. And it wasn't until a major realized that something was really wrong and sent me for a bone scan that they realized the damage that had been done.

On my commanders part, it wasn't handled well. But what I'd like to point out is that often times we don't give the necessary training to those in charge, in order to give

them the tools on how to deal with someone in this kind of situation.

And I'm very humbled to let you all know that about two years after this had happened was when a lot of the scandal was coming out of Lackland. And I remember our trainings on sexual assault increased, a lot more awareness was going on.

And I was pulled to the side by that same superintendent and acting 1st Sergeant, and he said, Airman Stolberg, we handled your situation wrong, what can I do to support you, what can I do to fix it.

as a Senior Master Sergeant, he had no real reason to stick up for me at that point, two years later, and put himself on the line. But he was willing to put his stripes and his reputation on the line for a Senior Airman who is going through a medical board and would be medically retired.

And I think that that's really

important to talk about the character of someone who has so much humility to come back and correct and address something that's been handled wrong.

And in the aspect of what happened with him, it was truly lack of training and lack of even knowing how to deal with this kind of situation, as he'd never encountered it before.

During my, well, my recovery process was not very smooth. I spent time inpatient at an intensive, in an intensive program for PTSD.

And there I was in a unit with all military members. Both from combat and from MST.

And I met women, and men, from all branches who had experienced military sexual assault, military sexual trauma. And it was resounding across the board that the way that the situations were being handled was not very well.

I was pulled out of this program

despite me saying that I didn't really think I

was ready. And the following day I was given an

EPR, by my command, well, by my superintendent

and my supervisor. And they told me that

although I may have outperformed my peers,
because I was inpatient and unable to work, and
the new EPR system that came out, I would be
docked to a four. Because there weren't enough
fives to go around and I had been absent to be
inpatient.

This was on my birthday and they took
me to lunch and gave me a cake and had my sign my
EPR and I went home and attempted suicide.

Obviously, it didn't work, I'm still here. The
saving grace was that I didn't have access to a
firearm at the time, and so I just went home and
I found every pill I could possibly take.

The turning point for me was when I got involved with my branch's Air Force Wounded Warrior Program. A DoDI was put out for all the branches to create their own.

The Army's is the WTU. I'm not really sure the other ones, but I became involved in this program.

At the very end of my time, active duty, they took me out to a, they wanted to take

me out to an adaptive sports camp. And my command said that I could go if I forfeit my 15 days of permissive TDY, upon retirement, to go to this week-long camp. And I thought, sure, it's at the beach. And I don't remember being at the beach. It was in Florida. And I went.

And that was the turning point for me.

I was taught that things that I didn't think I

could overcome I could.

I used to run, believe it or not, despite my physique now. I used to run quite a bit and loved it. And not being able to run any more was pretty devastating to me.

But at that camp they put me in a racing chair, and for the first time in two years I felt the wind fly past my helmet hair. And every sport that they gave me, from then on out, helped me grow and helped me claim back some of that confidence.

In the program, I was also linked up with the mentorship aspect of the program. And was given a mentor who's been an incredible, an

incredible help to my recovery as well.

And finally, the aspect that has helped the most is the ambassador program. Where I was taught to hopefully somewhat convey my story, as I heal. And that's where Chief Master Sergeant McKinley heard me speak at a briefing and asked me to attend.

It was the support like this that helped me, that helped me grow. And that helped me recover.

And I think that that's important to point out, is that the programs that have been put in place are, can be very effective and can be very helpful. As long as there's not push back from command, to be involved in them.

When I -- I've spoken on several occasions, although not to this kind of room, so I apologize for my nerves. And I can remember the first time I heard someone speak about how they had been assaulted. And it made an impact on me because when you're in this position you know that others are out there, but there's such

a stigma of shame surrounding it that no one speaks about it.

You just know that there's other
people floating out there and you never know who.

And I can remember hearing someone speak on it,
and I went up to him afterwards and I can
remember saying, me too.

If any of you are on social media you probably saw a trend happening this week of people posting the hash tag me too. And I thought, what a mantra, I didn't realize that this was an international, these were the two international words for people like us, who have been through this.

I have yet to speak anywhere, to any crowd and not be approached afterwards. And usually in a hushed whisper, in a hushed tone be told, me too. Me too.

The impact that more visibility by people who have already been through a trauma and are recovering is something that can't really be ignored. When you know you have someone whose

been in that same position, you find strength in it.

I'm still learning to find my voice.

I hate public speaking, and this is an uncomfortable subject. But I remember being silenced and the pain and the absolute isolation that it brings. And so even if my voice is just a whisper right now, I am very humbled and thankful that you've listened to me.

I'm very humbled and thankful that you would take these steps to try to protect and enforce better laws so that in the future, things like this hopefully won't happen as much. Thank you.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay, thank you. Ms. Stolberg, I do have one question for you if you don't mind. How long was it before, from your attack until you were able to get to that program that was on the beach, that you found very helpful?

MS. STOLBERG: So the program has different, that's one of those words that

escaping me, one second. The program has different camps that go around each region in the U.S.

I didn't go to my first one until

April of 2016. My assault happened in 2012. And

my MEB started in, it's a good question. 2014 is

when my MEB officially started.

So it took a couple of years. But I will say that there's been strides made to catch people sooner. As soon as they start the MEB process.

And I'm not sure about the other branches, but I at least know that on the Air Force side they've had a huge uptick in enrollment because they've been able to catch people faster than at the end. I think that had I been able to get into a program like this sooner, I might not be quite in the state that I am today. I think my recovery would have been faster and better and not quite so rocky.

But I've also seen that, on the side of the way that sexual assault is handled in

general, at least on the Air Force side, I was saying earlier that I think that if what happened to me happened to me today, and not in 2012, it would be handled vastly different. And for that I'm very thankful that strides are still being made to make this situation better and better. Did that adequately --

That's fine. CHAIR BASHFORD:

MS. STOLBERG: Okay.

CHIEF MCKINLEY: Hi, Ms. Stolberg, good to see you again. When you got out of the hospital and you went back to the unit, how were you integrated back into the unit and what kind of jobs did they give you?

It sort of depended. MS. STOLBERG: I had multiple surgeries. I've had two surgeries on my shoulder and two on my femur to reconstruct. And then of course my stay at inpatient.

I'd like to say that when you treat someone like a monster they become a monster. And in general, when this new kind of came out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and they didn't really know what to do with me, they just sort of squirreled me away in a cube and gave me some random stuff to do.

I took pride in my job. I wasn't going to win like Airmen of the year, but I was very good at my job. I took pride in what I did.

And my work definitely suffered afterwards. Part of it was undiagnosed PTSD and TBI. But definitely part of it was just the total lack of support and not really understanding how to go about getting help anymore.

So I think it's important to still engage with your members, especially if they can still carry out the mission and the taskings. To be reduced to almost nothing was definitely one of the key factors in me attempting to take my own life.

I had been stripped of my dignity of my job, of my profession and of my blue, of my Air Force blue of my family. And to kind of be squirreled away and kept in secret certainly

didn't help.

CHAIR BASHFORD: From your perspective, I know what happened to you happened in 2012, but as you've seen, as you've been speaking out and talking to people, where do you see the biggest need for improvement?

MS. STOLBERG: I am devastated to say that at least half the people I talk to never reported anything. They're not going to be in your statistics. Not even in your restricted reports. They're silent. And they've been silenced.

I've talked to many people who have been in the same kind of situation where I have where they've reached out and tried to get help and they were silenced. I've even met people who weren't the victim but knew the victim and spoke out, and they almost lost their life because of it and nothing was done.

I have encountered both men and women, the numbers are shocking, that either didn't report at all or never, nothing ever really came

of it.

And I understand that it's very difficult to prosecute at times, but a lot of times, the way that it's handled there at the beginning, could be the key between going to a trial or not.

For me, when I was finally diagnosed with the TBI, they said, well, we could have done more for you if you had come in 24 to 48 hours after this had happened. And 24 to 48 hours I'm still lying on a concrete floor with no one even looking for me.

One of the biggest disconnects is between leadership. And I don't think it's malicious, I think it's just a lack of training and ignorance to what to do in this situation.

It's still so stigmatized that even commanders don't know what to do when you bring up the fact.

MR. MARKEY: First of all, thank you so much for being here. I appreciate your courage to be here in front of this committee,

and your courage since 2012.

I guess the investigation, I mean part of the task of this particular Committee, is to look at the review process for cases that are investigated by the military. And so I don't know any of the background of the investigative process or whether there was one and what your perspective is on that particular process, in your case.

MS. STOLBERG: Okay. So what I was trying to, there's different avenues you can take, as we all know. And I took the avenue of reporting to my command.

And when I was shut down, and it was known, made known that I wasn't going to be receiving, they weren't going to have my back, I ended up, after doing quite a bit of research in looking into just trends and how things are, how things go to trial, the percentage of people who, things are even prosecuted and the percentage of action that's taken, even from then, and then to look at, especially in the military you see it

come out in the base letters all the time, 1 2 someone drove drunk and they've been stripped of everything and kicked out of the Air Force, but 3 4 someone assaults someone and they go in for like 5 They have reduced pay for nine months. a month. Is that what my dignity was worth? 6 7 that what my life is worth? 8 And I see that from so many other 9 people around me. For that reason, I chose to report restricted, knowing that I literally had 10 11 no one to support me. I'm very sorry. 12 MS. CANNON: Can I ask you a question? 13 MS. STOLBERG: Yes, ma'am. 14 MS. CANNON: I join the others in thanking you for your courage. Did anything 15 16 happen to either the man who assaulted you or 17 those higher ups that did not do anything to help 18 you? Nothing happened with 19 MS. STOLBERG: 20 the man who assaulted me. As for my commander, 21 because I was having such a hard time at work, I

was assigned to help put together his retirement

ceremony. And then he sat caddy corner to me, as a GS civilian, for a year and a half. That's what happened to him.

MS. TOKASH: Hannah, is the Air Force
-- so at some point did you un-restrict your
report?

MS. STOLBERG: I have not.

MS. TOKASH: Okay.

MS. STOLBERG: I'm just speaking. And at this point, when I speak, especially to other wounded warriors who have been in career fields that are much more intense than mine, they tend to want to say, where is this guy, we're going to go get him. You didn't have justice, let's bring justice.

And for me I say, someone told me yesterday that there is different kinds of justice. At this point I am five years out.

I've been through one heck of a mental health ride. And as I'm sure you can kind of notice,

I'm not exactly rock solid on the mental health aspect.

So for me, this is my justice. 1 Мy 2 justice is trying to be a voice for those who are still silenced. My justice is speaking out 3 4 against this. Well, thank you for CHAIR BASHFORD: 5 doing that, and you're doing it very eloquently. 6 7 MS. STOLBERG: Thank you, ma'am. 8 CAPT. TIDESWELL: Ms. Stolberg, I 9 don't have a question, I just want to, because 10 you were speaking to us that you're still trying to find your voice, I just want to acknowledge 11 12 you found it. I know you have places you still 13 want to go, but it's a beautiful, strong thing. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. STOLBERG: Thank you, ma'am. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: Well, thank you so 17 much for coming and sharing your experience, it's 18 been very moving for all of us. 19 MS. STOLBERG: Yes, ma'am. Thank you 20 for having me. 21 PARTICIPANT: Chair, if I ask for your I would recommend that we take a 22 attention?

short break while --1 2 CHAIR BASHFORD: I think that's good. PARTICIPANT: We are a bit ahead of 3 4 schedule. 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: Yes. We're on 6 recess. 7 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 8 went off the record at 1:45 p.m. and resumed at 9 1:55 p.m.) Before we continue 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: with our next panel, I would like Ms. Garvin to 11 12 have the mic for a moment. 13 MS. GARVIN: Yes, thank you. During 14 the break I had the opportunity to speak just a 15 little bit further with Ms. Stolberg, who spoke 16 to us before, and I asked her about her service 17 dog that she had with her, and I apologize for 18 not thinking to ask her while she was there, but 19 she authorized me to share, A, how much the 20 service dog has aided in her recovery and how 21 important the dog is and, B, she authorized me to

share that she paid for the dog out of pocket,

1	and did not have access to that as part of her
2	recovery in any formal way. And so I just
3	thought it was important for us to know that
4	piece of information in light of how much it has
5	helped in her recovery.
6	CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you. Okay,
7	we're now going to begin with the Briefing on the
8	Department of Defense in Military Services
9	Expedited Transfer Policies.
LO	We have quite the distinguished panel.
L1	The only person is Ms. Massey, who is missing
L2	from our printed agenda.
L3	Have you determined how you're going
L 4	to start?
L5	DR. GALBREATH: I think I'll just
L6	start briefly here and then we'll probably go on
L7	down the row. Is that okay with folks? Yes,
L8	okay.
L9	CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay, Dr. Galbreath,
20	you have the mic.
21	DR. GALBREATH: Okay, great.
22	Greetings all, it's very nice to be here and see

you all again. Thank you so much for talking about expedited transfers.

To give you a little of bit context for how expedited transfers came up, in about 2011 the Sexual Assault Prevention Response Program had been, was about four or five years old. Actually, about six years old.

And we had had lots of conversations, we had fielded a cadre of sexual assault response coordinators and victim advocates out to the field. We had created a response system.

But what we were finding is, is that there were still some significant gaps in what we could do to support victims as they went through the military justice process as well as the recovery process.

So, one of the things that kind of sparked additional focus on this problem was a, was Secretary Panetta. And he went and had a conversation with a number of members of Congress in the Fall of 2011.

And he asked, what else could we do to

push the program forward, what could we do to make a real difference.

One of the first things that we were able to do was to create expedited transfers. We had heard that folks were coming forward, they were making reports of sexual assault. But as you heard previously, some of them were not able to move from a unit or get away from the individual that had sexually assaulted them.

And from a clinical psychology standpoint, I would tell you that the continued retraumatization of having to be exposed to that person or the people that impacted you in that horrific way, prevents you from healing. It retards your healing process when you are continually retraumatized.

And that's one of the things that we thought that with this expedited transfer process, we might be able to jumpstart, at least give people a chance. And as we were having conversations with, not only victims but also members on the Hill, they thought this was also a

1 very important piece as well. So we all largely 2 came to the conclusion that this would be a helpful approach. 3 So with me today is my senior policy 4 5 advisor, Diana Rangoussis, and she's going to give you a little bit more of the details to the 6 expedited transfer process. 7 8 But I just wanted to say, thank you so 9 much for taking a look at this, we think that this is one of the things that has really been 10 11 helpful for healing. And it has evolved a little 12 bit over time since we kicked it off in 2012. 13 MS. RANGOUSSIS: Thank you very much 14 for inviting us to speak to you today. I have 15 been with the sexual assault prevention response 16 office --17 CHAIR BASHFORD: You got to keep your 18 voice, either get the mic a little closer or keep 19 your voice up a bit. Thank you. 20 MS. RANGOUSSIS: I will try. I don't 21 project as well as Dr. Galbreath.

I have been with the office since

2009, so I was responsible for putting together, crafting the first expedited transfer guidance.

And so I will detail a little bit of the history, just provide some dates to what Dr. Galbreath already covered and then get into a bit of the details of the policy.

The first expedited transfer guidance was issued on May 6th by the then Undersecretary Dr. Stanley. The Services were required to issue guidance with the following three criteria.

That there was a presumption in favor of transferring the sexual assault victims, if there was a credible report, ensuring that the transfer would not negatively impact the victim's career, and finally, authorizing an appeal to the first general or flag officer in the chain if the first expedited transfer request was denied.

Each Military Service submitted their expedited transfer of policies by May 31st of 2011. But as Dr. Galbreath mentioned, there was a lot of congressional interest. There were still a lot of discussions between SAPRO, our

department and the Hill.

So consequently, in addition to several policies, SAPRO crafted a DoD-wide directive-type memorandum, which is a temporary policy that's across DoD. And this was issued on December 16th and later incorporated into a permanent DoD instruction, then it was updated on March 20th, 2013.

Congress passed its own version of the expedited transfer mandate on December 31st in the NDA for FY 2012. And in that mandate, it included 72 hour time frame for making that decision on to approve or disapprove the expedited transfer. And also, the 72 hour for the appeal process.

As Dr. Galbreath mentioned, on January 18th, a year after, I mean, a month after, the details were issued in the NDAA. And at a DoD news briefing at the Pentagon, Secretary Panetta announced its transfer of policy.

And he stated that it would provide greater support for the victims of sexual

assault, giving victims protection for possible harassment and removing them from the proximity to the alleged perpetrator.

In the NDAAs for FY 2014, Congress spoke again. This time they added to the expedited transfer law by authorizing the transfer of the suspect. This was covered already in our DTM, so we did not have to alter our policy.

So let me turn now to a little bit of the detail of the actual policy. So the intent behind the expedited transfer policy is not for safety. It's for a situation where a victim feels safe but feels uncomfortable.

So where the victim is in close proximity to the alleged perpetrator, is perceiving ostracism or retaliation, or wants to leave the location where the event occurred to stop triggering these horrible memories.

Victims are informed of the availability of expedited transfer at the time that they file their unrestricted report with

their sexual assault response coordinator or their victim advocate.

It is the victim's choice, and has to be initiated by the Service member. Once it's submitted to the commander, the commander then has to annotate the time and the date, because she has 72 hours to response.

The policy does establish a presumption in favor of transferring the Service member if filing a credible report. And that credible report determination is made by the commander, with their advice from SJA and the MCIO, the military criminal investigator, when reviewing all the evidence that is available at the time.

If there is no credible report found, then the reasons for that decision must also be documented.

There is a misconception as to the presumption though. The presumption is in favor of the transfer. It's not in favor of the exact location of the transfer.

So the transfer can be permanent, it could be a temporary detail for 90 days somewhere else, to assess if the victim can recover, it can be outside of the installation, it could be within the installation. To different barracks, to a different duty location.

There are a lot of, to a night shift instead of a day shift. And again, also the suspect could be transferred instead of the victim.

So the commander has a lot of flexibility based on the victim's wishes but also with the necessity of the operational needs.

If approved, the transfer order shall include the Service member's dependent, if accompanied, the military spouse, if his military spouse consents.

In most circumstances, the transfers to a different installation should be completed within 30 days. If within the installation it should be completed within a week.

And again, we say should because there

are a lot of different details and circumstances that go along, especially if there are dependents or military spouses.

Again, we mentioned already that the commander must act within 72 hours. If the commander disapproves that expedited transfer, it is the victim's choice, it's not automatic, it's the victims choice whether to appeal.

And if the victim wants to appeal that, then the first flag or general officer in the victim's chain has 72 hours for them to approve or disapprove.

Military departments are required to make every reasonable effort to minimize destruction to normal career progression of the Service members who request an expedited transfer.

When authorizing an expedited transfer, a commander has an enumerated list to review. And I believe all of you have a handout, Handout 1 has that enumerated list.

I want to just point out three of the

criteria that have to be reviewed. One of them is, whether a transfer, a temporary transfer would meet the Service member's needs and the operational needs of the unit, the availability of positions within other units on the installation, and the status of the investigation and potential impact on the investigation and future dispositions of the offense, after consultation with the investigating NCIOs.

Service members requesting a transfer also shall be advised that if the case is determined that it should go to court-martial, that they may have to return for that court-martial proceeding.

Also in that Handout Number 1, there are four additional areas of which a Service member has to be fully informed. One of them that I want to highlight to you is the potential impact of the transfer or reassignment on the investigation and case disposition or the initiating of other adverse action against offender.

Now, when the victim is transferred out, there is a warm handoff to the receiving commander if the victim is still receiving advocacy services and if the investigation or the legal proceedings are still ongoing.

Now, the reason why this is done is to facilitate the investigation and to facilitate additional services for the victim.

So the losing commander will limit the information to objective facts about the victim care provided, the status of the open investigation and the status of ongoing legal proceedings.

Handout Number 2 that you have speaks to the commander's responsibility in the monthly case management group. So for every adult sexual assault case that is a unrestricted report, there is a monthly case management group meeting.

During that meeting, they review all victim services. And also they discuss direct system coordination.

All relevant parties come together,

including advocates, health care, investigators, law enforcement, legal officers. And they have a discussion about the case.

They discuss any challenges being experienced, they discuss any allegations of retaliation, not only against the victim but against the victim advocate or any responders or any other members to the case. They also discuss expedited transfers, military protective orders and any safety issues.

Now, the MCIOs conducting the case, at the original location, have to call in to that monthly CMG at their new location. As do the legal officers.

And they provide updates on the progress or challenges with the investigation to the installation commander. In an effort to facilitate any investigation issues.

The case will stay on the CMG agenda until all investigations and legal proceedings are complete and the victim has declined any further advocacy services.

In conclusion, the expedited transfer program is a service that favorably contributes to victims' lives and recovery. You know, it's very difficult for victims to come forward, as our last survivor who spoke to us told us.

This is always extremely challenging.

It's amazing that people ever come forward and tell us such a horrific event that happened in their lives.

The expedited transfer has been mandated by Congress on two separate occasions. So it has a lot of congressional interest and support.

And to echo Secretary Panetta, this service provides great support for victims of sexual assault by protecting them from possible harassment and by removing them from proximity to the alleged perpetrator.

Thank you and I look forward to addressing any questions that you may have.

CHAIR BASHFORD: I think we'll hold questions, can we hold questions till the end of

the, everybody speaks? Thank you.

MR. ROSEN: Hi, good afternoon. I'm

Paul Rosen with the Navy. I'm the Director of

the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response

Branch. I've been in that role since 2013, as a

civilian, and prior to that in uniform.

I'm not going to be duplicative of what Nate and Diana have said, so I'll just talk a little specifically about the importance to the Navy of the expedited transfer policy and process.

In addition to it being the right thing to do, which is to support victims in any way that we can, it's important for the Navy, for any sailor that's suffered a trauma, particularly one of this nature, to do everything we can to try to get them both personally and professionally well. And so they can continue their career and continue to contribute to the Service, which hopefully they love, and that we need them to do.

We have found that the reasons that

sailors request expedited transfers, are as Diana indicated, moving away from the subject of the report.

We also find a lot of people who request an expedited transfer to move closer to support infrastructure. Whether that be family or friends.

And in some cases, for specific support services. Depending on where they were located prior. Maybe they were worldwide somewhere and they needed to move somewhere for additional support services.

The policy has been in place for several years, as you have heard. We've just completed a Navy, a review of our process to try to make sure that it's as good as it can be. And there's probably some minor peaks and tweaks that we're going to make to that.

One specific policy piece in the Navy
that is a little bit different is that in the
request process, if the request by the victim to
make an expedited transfer is not approved by the

commanding officer with the Navy, it
automatically goes to the first flag officer. It
doesn't require the victim to make another
request. And then there's a 72 hour time period
for which that first flag officer then ultimately
makes the decision to turn it down or approve it.

So again, without being duplicative of what Nate and Diana have said, I want to thank you again for inviting us to discuss this and I look forward to any questions you may have.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay, Ms. Reed.

MS. REED: Yes. Good afternoon. I'm Gail Reed, I work at Headquarters, Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response. I'm one of their program and policy specialists.

I've been with the program since 2011.

And I was onboard when the policies were stood up and the Marine Corps took on the task of implementing the expedited transfer process. And have been supporting it since that time.

And when the Marine Corps looked at implementing the policy, which I'm not going to

reiterate, it was important to us to keep the victims' needs in mind as well as to make sure that it was a seamless process for our Marine Corps transfers. We wanted the Marine who was getting an expedited transfer to flow back into the normal process and not standout any more than necessary.

So we took it upon ourselves to work with our manpower management SMEs, and together we built a process where Headquarter Marine Corps serves as the liaison, the SARCs, the victim advocates and the commanders work with the victims to submit their requests through. And it's all worked out at their location.

It comes to the headquarter staff, we walk downstairs and we work with our, we hand the paperwork to our manpower. And that just flows right into our regular transfer process.

There are only certain people in the manpower management that work those expedited transfers. So, again, we're doing our utmost to ensure that the victim is not pointed out or

registered within their world, so that when the transfer happens, it just looks on their record as a transfer. That was important to us.

We've been very successful. We just went through another review of our own processes and released a new letter of instruction.

We have included the expedited transfer process in our pre-command training with our commanders. So that they are getting, from headquarters, what that is to look like in their roles and responsibilities, whether they are receiving a victim or whether they are losing a victim. Because there is things that they need to know on both sides of that equation.

So, again, I believe it's been a very successful process. Our SARCs are very supportive at the fleet level and we have support at the headquarters level.

So again, I'll be more than happy to answer any questions and thank you for this opportunity.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Colonel Prince.

Good afternoon. 1 COLONEL PRINCE: 2 CHAIR BASHFORD: Good afternoon. COLONEL PRINCE: I'm Colonel Melanie 3 4 Prince and I am the Chief of the Interpersonal 5 Self-directed Violence Division at Headquarters Air Force. So that's ISDV. 6 And within ISDV we are, it is 7 8 responsible for the strategic guidance for five 9 types of violence. One being sexual violence. And it is in the sexual violence branch that we 10 11 have the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and 12 Response Office. 13 So, I've been with the program for all of three months officially. Although I have been 14 engaged with the Air Force SAPRO office for about 15 16 a year. 17 So again, I'll try not to be 18 repetitive as my colleagues have already 19 mentioned, but our expedited transfer program has 20 policy process and procedures that are codified 21 primarily at the Air Force Personnel Center

Assignment Office, the Air Force Sexual Assault

Prevention and Response and the Air Force Family Advocacy Program. So three areas where we have regulations.

And the expedited transfer process is designed to meet the needs of the victim following an unrestricted report of sexual assault while balancing mission requirements, as assessed by the chain of command.

Additionally, as part of a comprehensive and system based response capability for sexual assault victims and survivors, other agencies play a critical role in the execution of the expedited transfer program, as it relates to victim advocacy.

To include, but not limited to, the special victims counsel, physical and mental health care from a medical agency, rigorous investigations, from the Office of Special Investigations, accountability via the military justice process facilitated by the Judge Advocate General's Corps a legal agency, and then mission effectiveness and mission continuity from our

command leadership structure. Which is also aided by counsel, from a majority of the response agencies that I've just listed. So very much a systems-based approach.

So, the result is an expedited transfer program that has vertical and horizontal checks and balances to drive the policy and to fellow Airmen and their families.

So the Air Force SAPRO Office assesses the expedited transfer program using feedback, survivor stories and record reviews, to look for opportunities to improve the process. So for example, the Air Force has focused on ensuring that we have the ability to transition victims to locations where the victim feels safe and supported, and continue to heal in process.

so when possible, transfers are approved to assist in the victim's recovery, by moving to a new location where no one knows of that sexual assault. But a recent improvement to the process ensures that both the commander, as you've already heard, and the SARC, the gaining

SARC, are aware of the arrival of that Airman.

And again, based on feedback and reviews that we've done, we ensure confidentiality is maintained, but it allows the unit commander and the SARC to have awareness and the ability to react appropriately, in the event a victim requires additional services, our support after arrival.

So this policy change was published this very month through an Air Force Guidance

Memorandum that went out to the field last week.

So in closing, the Air Force is committed to the smooth and orderly execution of expedited transfer policy, for both victims and alleged offenders. We support victims' recovery by offering expedited transfers of victims and transfers of alleged offenders, as one of several options to facilitate an environment conducive for care and recovery.

We facilitate the program by ensuring training is relevant, realistic and rooted in official guidance. And we are continuously

reviewing the program at the headquarters level. 1 2 And also work very diligently to sustain a culture of continuous improvement. 3 4 We want our program to be 5 collaborative with all the agencies, and we're constantly making these assessments at all levels 6 7 of the enterprise. So we value feedback of all 8 types and we methodically use it to build the 9 most effective programs possible, to support our Airmen and their families. 10 Especially when we 11 realize that they're most vulnerable. 12 So thank you for the opportunity to 13 speak to you this afternoon and I look forward to 14 your questions. Thank you, colonel. 15 CHAIR BASHFORD: 16 Lieutenant Styles. 17 LT. STYLES: Good afternoon, my name 18 is Lieutenant Amanda Styles, I'm with the United 19 States Coast Guard. 20 I am a Central Assignments Coordinator 21 at the Personnel Service Center. I oversee

several rates for enlisted personnel.

on transfers of members. Whether it be normal PCS transfers as well as expedited transfer requests.

Unlike the other DoD Services, the Coast Guard is unique in that the personnel service center handles all transfer requests.

And that includes expedited transfers. Local area commanders don't have that authority.

So all of those requests will come to the Coast Guard, to PSC, and they'll be evaluated at the time for the appropriate offices. So for officers it will go to OPM, for enlisted personnel it will go to EPM.

I'll kind of go through our procedures with that. Upon a request of an expedited transfer, the SAPR CIT, or the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Crisis Intervention Team, which is a lot like the case management group that was referred to earlier, will convene.

That includes the SARC, the special agent in charge, the judge advocate, our Service legal office as well as the medical

representative and a senior representative from the victim's and subject's commands.

They'll meet to determine how to process the request. They'll determine what area the victim has, if the victim is making the request to transfer themselves or to have the alleged subject moved. That also can occur at the victim's request.

The victim will make the request to move, let's say they want to move to an area with a confirmed support network, mother, father, brother, sister, as well as continuing to get those recovery services that they can get.

The requests will come to PSC within 72 hours. And the PSC will have two weeks to determine if we can approve their request.

At that time, we will cut the orders.

They have seven days to execute those, and they
will be moved.

PSC does not make the notification to the receiving command. That responsibility falls to the SAPR CIT to brief the incoming command of

the situation.

If there is a denial of that request, again, like the DoD, it will go to the next flag officer and then it will be forwarded on to PSC, to our flag, for adjudication of that case, at that time.

We find that we want to provide the most career progression for the member, as well as balancing the needs of the Service. We find that we have had very good success in getting the members services. And often we engage with the, we will re-engage with the SARC to confirm that the member is receiving the services that they need and for the best possible outcome of this.

I look forward to your questions, thank you.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Ms. Massey.

MS. MASSEY: Hi, I am Laura Massey.

I am the Policy Branch Chief for the Department of Army Sexual Harassment Assault Response and Prevention Office. I have been in this position since 2015.

Overall the Army's policy mirrors the DoD policies that were already briefed by SAPRO, but I wanted to take an opportunity to share with you the processes and procedures that are specific to the Army.

Our Service-specific expedited
transfer policy is contained in an Army Directive
titled, Expedited Transfers or Reassignment
Procedures for Victims of Sexual Assault dated
October 3, 2011. Their annual military personnel
messages, or MILPERs, that supplement this policy
and the Army's human resources command, published
the most current MILPER in February of 2017.

It is also the Army's policy that there is a presumption in favor of transfer or reassignment of a sexual assault victim, at his or her request, following a credible report of sexual assault.

A report of sexual assault is credible when the commander, battalion or above, after considering all available evidence and advice of the supporting legal officer concludes that there

are reasonable grounds to believe an offense constituting sexual assault has been committed against the person requesting the transfer or reassignment.

A transfer or reassignment includes, but is not limited to, the victim's temporary or permanent movement to a unit within the same battalion or brigade, to a unit within the same division, to a unit on the same installation or to a unit at a different geographic location.

For our Reserve component members, a transfer or reassignment might include provisions to perform their inactive duty on a different weekend or at different times from the subject or with a different unit than in the home drilling location.

When a victim files an unrestricted report, the sexual assault response coordinator, or SARC, or the victim advocate, VA, will notify them of their ability to request an expedited transfer. When a victim files their restricted report, they are notified at that time an

expedited transfer would not be an option.

All SARCs and VAs receive training regarding the expedited transfer process during their professional training.

A soldier most initiate the transfer or request in writing, on an Army Personnel Action Form, a DA 4187, and submit the request to their commanding officer. The commanding officer will document the date and time the request is received, and within 72 hours from the receipt of the soldier's request for reassignment or transfer, the commander and the chain of command, an O-5 minimum, must provide a decision regarding the soldier's request.

The approval authority for local moves that do not cross Army commands, Army Service component commands and direct reporting units, otherwise known as ACOM, ASCC and DRUs, is the lowest level commander exercising authority over both the losing and gaining unit.

The disapproval authority is the first general officer, or senior executive service,

GO/SES, in the soldier's chain of command. A decision by the GO/SES must be made within 72 hours of the commander's recommendation of disapproval.

The approval authority for local moves that do cross the ACOM, ASCC, DRUs is the senior commander at the installation.

The disapproval authority for local moves that cross those ACOM, ASCC, DRUs is the senior commander at the installation, provided that the senior commander is a general officer. If the senior officer is not a GO, then disapproval authority is the first GO or SES in the soldier's chain of command. Decision by the GO must be made within 72 hours, again.

The commanding general of the human resources command has assignment authority to execute PCS moves for the victims of sexual assault.

Command endorsements for the an expedited transfer, involving a PCS move, are sent to HRC and contain a CID case number or

civilian equivalent, and a statement from the solider explaining why they're requesting the move. The command endorsement should include appropriate recommendations to the first commander and the chain of command exercising the general courts-martial convening authority.

The commander will include, in the recommendation, that they consulted with the legal advisor and believe there is a credible report of sexual assault that a local move was considered, the victim was informed of any potential impact of the move on the investigation, the victim may have to return for prosecution, future disposition of the allegation and potential prosecution or other adverse action that may be initiated against the alleged offender, the potential for any bonus recruitment, if applicable, reasonably foreseeable career impacts and any other possible outcomes that could come from granting such requests.

They also state that the soldier

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

understands the selection of a permanent change of station does not guarantee the station of choice and that they believe the reassignment is in the best interest of the soldier and the organization.

Recommendations for PCS disapproval of a credible report require command endorsement with justification, forwarded to HRC with the original request. The commanding general of HRC, normally a major general, is the disapproving authority.

A victim may request PCS locations as a part of their expedited transfer request. PCS expedited transfers are ordinarily made to a valid authorized requirement in the continental United States and in accordance with the needs of the Army.

However, due to the size of the Army, the Army does have latitude to grant soldier location requests. HRC does its best to honor the PCS location, when possible.

If the soldier is in a high density

MOS, such as infantry, field artillery, military police, HRC is more likely to honor the request as the Army does have the flexibility. It becomes difficult to honor requests with low density MOSs, such as a parachute rigger or technical specialist, as there must be an authorized requirement at the location.

Since the policy went into effect six years ago, more victims have been transferred to Fort Hood than any other location in the Department of the Army.

In closing, the Army feels the expedited transfer program is a service offered to victims to help them recover. Victims of sexual assault may not wish to remain in their current unit or organizations after the sexual assault incident.

Requiring a soldier to remain when they have a desire to leave the unit or organization, may negatively affect their safety and emotional well-being, as well as the functioning of the unit or the organization.

Expediting the review of an action on 1 2 a victim's request for transfer or reassignment is an important component of a leader's response 3 4 to a credible report of a sexual assault. Thank you. I look forward to 5 answering your questions. 6 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: And plenty of time for questions. 8 9 CHIEF MCKINLEY: I'll start off. Chief McKinley? 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: 11 CHIEF MCKINLEY: Thank you all for 12 being here. I have a question and I'd like for 13 each branch to answer this question so that we 14 can get the perspective from all the Services. Could you tell us the effect of the 15 16 transfer on the victim participation in the 17 military justice system, the victim care and 18 services, and do you have any data on the 19 retention of those transfer victims in each branch of Service? 20 21 MR. ROSEN: Okay. On behalf of the 22 Navy then, the effect on the victim within the

military justice system I believe, sir, is one of the things you've asked, and I don't have specific statistics that would indicate participation by an expedited transfer victim as a percentage of a whole versus a victim's participation without.

So that would be something that if is interested we would have to go back and see if we could grab it. Anecdotally there doesn't appear to be, there is nothing that we are aware of at this point that would indicate a gap in the process any more so than we would find in a non-expedited transfer victim.

DR. GALBREATH: So if I may so that everybody can, we can maybe give you a preview of what the data will say when we come back and talk to you in January.

Our data right now says that folks that received an expedited transfer participate in the justice system at just about the same rate as folks that don't receive an expedited transfer.

But the exact numbers and data and 1 2 what each Service picture will look like will be coming to you when we come back and see you in 3 4 January. CHIEF MCKINLEY: Okay. Appreciate it, 5 thank you, sir. 6 7 MR. ROSEN: And, sir, I think you also 8 asked about the support system for expedited 9 transfer victims? Yes, victim care and 10 CHIEF MCKINLEY: services. 11 12 MR. ROSEN: Right. And, again, we 13 have no indication that the expedited transfer 14 victim support services and care is any different than any other unrestricted reporting victim, so 15 16 there is nothing that we have seen that would 17 indicate that. That I am sure --18 (Simultaneous speaking.) 19 CHIEF MCKINLEY: And then, also, 20 retention, do we have any idea of what the retention is of those transfers? 21 22 MR. ROSEN: We just began looking at

that in the Navy because we did a look at our process and because of the fact that the policy is relatively new there is not a tremendous amount of run time to determine whether we see higher or lower retention than we would for either unrestricted reporting victims who don't request expedited transfer versus sailors who don't make the report of a sexual assault at all.

That is something that we are continuing to pursue with a follow-on study since we have a little bit more run time now behind us, but at this point I don't have any data to provide to you.

MS. REED: That would be the same with the Marine Corps with regard to the retention data. The impact on services with regard to victim care and support, one of the things that we look at very closely within the SARCs before the request will come to us and then once it does come through us we are very cognizant of what services are needed and we make sure that the location's manpower management is also very

cognizant of what is there.

And so our goal is to make sure that they are transferred to a location that has the needed support services that are available to them.

We specifically would not, you know, even if a victim would request like an INI or a Reserve unit that is sitting in a remote location, even though it might be near a support system we would have a conversation with the SARC to go through how damaging that could be to be in an isolated location working in a very small unit and we would try to redirect their preference to a location where they would get better support and have the facilities around them.

So it's a team effort on our part to ensure that if a victim is still in the process and wanting advocacy that they get placed in a location where they can get that care and support.

COLONEL PRINCE: Yes, sir, thank you for that. So similar to my colleagues here I

don't have any data either on the retention aspect of your question.

In terms of victim care and services, that is one of the considerations that we expect the commanders to discuss with the victim when they are requesting that expedited transfer.

And I also believe I mentioned in my remarks that a new guidance memo was published this last week which allows the Air Force to notify that gaining commander and sergeant.

So the hope there is that there will be even more discussion and analysis of what is available in the local area to support that victim even prior to transferring to the new location.

And in terms of the data on a victim in the military justice system, I know that data is pending, we are collecting that to give to you all a little bit later.

But I would just have to say that these are active duty members and I know that the justice system can certainly connect and reach

out and touch them at all times from the victim's perspective.

We have not found where anything changes in their ability to participate or not participate in the system. Thank you.

LT. STYLES: Like my colleagues I don't have any data about the retention or the military justice system, their participation.

I will say when we look at Confirmed Support Network in their memo that is submitted to PSC it has a section for medical support services that are requested at that time as well as, what is that, Confirmed Support Network.

So we want to ensure that the victims are getting the best possible services where they are going as well as also providing that emotional support system to where they may be PCS'ing to.

MS. MASSEY: And also like my colleagues I don't have data on the retention nor the specifics on the participation in the military justice system. I know that is one of

the things the commander does discuss with the victim, the possibility they will have to travel back to participate.

As far as the support system, as I alluded to, especially our high density MOS's, first they look at making sure there are services at the location, the government-provided services, much that was alluded to like my colleague from the Marine Corps.

But then because there a lot of times are multiple locations they can then look at the request of the soldier and see if they have that family or friends support network nearby, so they are able to provide that sort of, not only the victim care, but the support system on the outside as well.

DR. GALBREATH: I'm glad you asked that question about retention. It is a research study to do and we are doing that research.

I don't think I'll have anything for you in January, but we are looking at number of different sources to get after that and we have

funded some research to understand exactly how that works.

As you know there is no identifier really in a personnel system that would say that you are a victim of sexual assault or not, so that means that lines up with the folks that we have on file in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database and then I have to marry that up with people who have been separated, but then in addition to that we also have to know whether or not that that's, to give you a context we have to know what the normal separation rate is for folks anyway so that you can see whether or not separation is higher or lower for folks that have experienced a sexual assault and participate in the transfer program.

So it's not a press the button and watch it print.

CHIEF MCKINLEY: I actually believe it's a -- Retention is a very important thing, in all branches we care about retention.

PARTICIPANT: Agreed.

CHIEF MCKINLEY: But if someone is sexually assaulted, you know, and they choose to leave and not have a career in our military because of this sexual assault they are also going to be a recruiter out there but it's not going to be a positive recruiter for our military.

So how we take care of victims and if we can retain them and do positive things for them that's great, but so we actually need the numbers.

PARTICIPANT: Agreed.

MS. RANGOUSSIS: Sir, I just wanted to add to that. The rule of the monthly case management group meeting is to check up on the victim services.

So that's why when the victim is transferred there is that notification to that gaining commander and in that discussion on the monthly meeting they talk about whatever accesses to services the victim wants, so whether it's medical, mental health.

If they are having difficulty getting into the mental health clinic that's something that the installation commander could facilitate, maybe facilitate, through an MOU to a nearby facility, or things like that.

But that monthly check-in helps to quickly identify what parts of the system were not working for that victim, even when they transfer in.

JUDGE GRIMM: I'd like to hear from each of the Service representatives whether or not there is any method in place that you have adopted to assess from the perspective of the Serviceperson requesting transfer how well they think the system is working.

When they get to the new command do they feel as though they are arriving without any stigma associated with the circumstances under which they were transferred?

Do they view the services that they are receiving as services that are equal to or better than the services that might have been

available from the location where they were transferred from?

We have foreseen the very similar topdown directors that have come from higher
headquarters as to what's to be done, how do you
measure your success from the views of the people
that you are trying to take care of?

DR. GALBREATH: So one of the things that we will make sure that the Committee gets is the results of our force-wide survey that asks the very questions that you just did.

Of the folks that received the forcewide survey and experienced the sexual assault
and received an expedited transfer we have rates
of at least how well that worked for them on a
number of different variables.

And rather than go into great detail right now we'll make sure that you get the results of those, of a force-wide survey called The Workplace and Gender Relation Survey, as well as the Military Investigation and Justice Experience Survey, which is a survey that goes

directly to, just to victims of sexual assault 1 2 that have completed their participation in the justice system. 3 And so we'll make sure the Committee 4 5 gets that data, but --6 JUDGE GRIMM: Anonymous responses? 7 DR. GALBREATH: They are. The Justice 8 Experience Survey is an anonymous survey. The 9 Workplace and Gender Relation Survey is a confidential survey. 10 11 JUDGE GRIMM: Thank you. 12 DR. GALBREATH: Yes. 13 MS. LONG: I just have a follow-up 14 question. What is the response rate on those 15 surveys? Do you have a high response rate? 16 DR. GALBREATH: Yes. So with the 17 Workplace and Gender Relation Survey our response 18 rate is usually between 29 and 30 percent, but 19 it's a randomized stratified sample, so they are 20 very good and reliable and representative of the

entire force and generalizable to the entire

force.

21

The Military Justice Experience Survey is a little bit different. I have been looking at ways to boost the response rate, actually a very low response rate to that one and it only represents about 150 or so victims this last year and the first time we did it was two years ago and only about 200 folks responded back to us.

So at the end of the day --

CHAIR BASHFORD: I'm sorry. How does that translate into a response rate?

DR. GALBREATH: Well, I don't have that percentage off the top of my head, but I can tell you it's fairly low.

It's not as high as we would like it to be and it certainly is not generalizable, it's a convenient sample of folks that completed the justice process. Again, more information coming to you.

JUDGE GRIMM: And just to make sure I understand, you have described the feedback process, is that for all Services, what you identified is identical for all Services?

1 DR. GALBREATH: Yes. The Workplace 2 and Gender Relation Survey is force-wide, so it generates representative numbers for the DoD as a 3 4 whole. 5 Keep in mind that the smaller categories you go into margins of error increase 6 7 because it is a representative sample. The 8 bottom line is is that some categories we are not 9 able to necessarily report out by every Service but we can give you the DoD experience as a 10 11 whole. 12 JUDGE GRIMM: Okay. 13 CHAIR BASHFORD: Judge Walton? 14 JUDGE WALTON: Several of you indicated that the expedited transfer can be both 15 16 for the victim and the alleged perpetrator. that true for all of the Services? 17 18 MR. ROSEN: Just to clarify, sir, the 19 policy applies to victims that make an unrestricted report of a sexual assault. 20 21 For the good order and discipline of

any unit the commanding officer can always move

an individual away from the unit and that would be the policy at least with the Navy that potentially would be used for a subject, it's not a request by the subject though.

JUDGE WALTON: Do you know what the percentage is when these type of allegations are made as to whether it was a transfer of the alleged victim or the alleged perpetrator?

MR. ROSEN: No, sir, I do not for Navy. I can't speak on behalf of the other Services.

JUDGE WALTON: And when an alleged perpetrator is transferred is someone notified at that new location of why the transfer has occurred?

MR. ROSEN: Again, speaking on behalf of the Navy, we don't have a policy per se that would enable a subject of a sexual assault to be transferred.

Typically what would happen if they are moved out of their unit it's probably within that same chain of command, maybe from like a

squadron to a wing level, particularly if there 1 2 is an investigation and potential adjudication 3 ongoing. 4 That's not necessarily something that, 5 somebody that the Navy would transfer anyway. So, but several 6 CHAIR BASHFORD: 7 branches I thought did say you could transfer the 8 suspect so I would like to know the answer to 9 Judge Walton's question about would the receiving facility in a suspect transfer know the reason 10 11 why. 12 MS. RANGOUSSIS: And just to clarify, it's required by law. So back in NDAA for 2012 13 14 that was the expedited transfer for the victim. 15 And, again, a victim initiated within a 72-hour 16 timeframe. For the suspect it came out for the NDAA in FY 14 and there was no timeframe 17 18 required, it could happen any time. 19 It's not for punitive reasons. They 20 explicitly stated that it's for good order and 21 discipline.

CHAIR BASHFORD:

22

I'm sorry, it's for?

Good order and 1 MS. RANGOUSSIS: 2 discipline. So both of types of transfers are required by law. 3 4 MR. KRAMER: And does anybody have any 5 statistics on how often that's done? 6 DR. GALBREATH: Yes, we do, and we can 7 provide those. It's in the SAPRO annual report. 8 Several hundred cases of expedited transfers 9 happen every year. The numbers that are declined 10 are very small. 11 I don't have the numbers right off the 12 top of my head, but I can tell you that one of 13 the reasons that we track is that the subject, or 14 the accused, was moved instead, and I have 15 numbers on that. 16 CHAIR BASHFORD: But, again, I just 17 want to follow up on Judge Walton's question, 18 whether it's being said it's for the good of the 19 order it's still a suspect being transferred 20 presumably where the complainant doesn't want to 21 be or elects not to.

So is the receiving institution told

the underlying reason for the good of the order 1 2 that this person is being transferred? For the Marine Corps there 3 MS. REED: 4 is a requirement for the commanders to be 5 reviewing all inbound personnel and if there is a sexual assault related incident it would be on 6 that record. 7 8 So it's a protocol that is followed 9 for any inbound transfer and they should be annotating, it would be annotated, but I would 10 concur with the Navy that the commanders have 11 that good order and discipline and they are 12 probably maintaining control of the alleged 13 14 offender or the alleged subject. But in any event, the commanders are 15 16 -- it would be on that Marine's record and the 17 commander would have eyes on that. 18 MEMBER WALTON: Is the policy any 19 different for any of the other branches? 20 COLONEL PRINCE: So for the Air Force 21 I would have to confirm with my colleagues just

because I am a little bit new at this, so to

answer that specific question as to whether the gaining command is notified of the circumstances surrounding the alleged offender was similar to my colleague here, any inbound airman certainly the commanders are looking into that person's record, but I can certainly get back to the Committee on that.

And there was another question. So, yes, the Air Force, as she said, this is law, both victim and alleged offender do have the opportunity for that expedited transfer.

I can say though that the receiving

Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, the SARC,

will not be a participant on the alleged offender

aspect of the transfer because they are advocates

for the victim.

MS. REED: If I might clarify, and thank you for bringing that up, yes, we do not, our program at the Headquarters Marine Corps, we are not involved in any movement of the alleged offender.

We do not classify that as an

expedited transfer, it's all managed under the commander's options for good order and discipline and it's just a Marine Corps move, if that is, you know, if that is the direction the commander opts to take.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Let them finish up with the last two branches.

MS. MASSEY: For the Coast Guard that is something that is handled. We do have the alleged subject moved. We have policies in place for that.

The receiving command will be notified through the SAPRO SIT. Usually it's done through the legal channels and we usually try to place the alleged subject within the same jurisdiction area.

So let's say the incident occurs in Miami, we're going to try to keep that person somewhere within Florida in order to allow the case to continue.

But the alleged subject has to be offered the opportunity to continue to progress

within their career, to be serving in a public area and, you know, with oversight, but the number of people that know is limited to the senior command officials.

MS. MASSEY: And for the Army it is very similar as well. The commander can decide to move a subject, often does not for the purposes of the judicial process.

However, all subjects are, their personnel folders, files, are flagged. So if a subject is moved that flag would be in their file for the new command team to see that they are a subject.

JUDGE GRIMM: On that, I just want to make sure I understand what it is that the new command has as information about the reason for the suspect being transferred?

I appreciate it's delicate, but there may, the investigation may be new, there may be, by definition there was a credible determination of the complaint, otherwise, it would not be something that would be brought necessary of good

order and discipline in the military, but that's a generic category and if the receiving command only hears that there is a flag on the file and that they have been transferred for the good order and discipline and they don't have some idea, even though there has been no adjudication and you have to protect both the rights of the victim as well as the rights of the accused, do they have information at least that alerts them that there may have been this allegation, if for no other reason than to make sure that they are not sending them to another location where there could be another victim?

That's I think the level of detail that we are trying to get our hands on. Just to have a stamp that says they are being sent out for the good order and discipline of the military and that there is a flag on that that allows any number of inferences that can be drawn.

DR. GALBREATH: So let me -- I cannot answer for the Services as to what policies they have, but let me provide the Committee a little

bit of context.

In my former life I was an Air Force
Office of Special Investigations Criminal
Investigator and while this policy didn't exist
when I was a criminal investigator what I will
tell you is is that when a criminal investigation
happens one of the first steps is is that the
individual is put on some kind of administrative
hold, flagged, or things along those lines.

This is so that the individual can't initiate a PCS move, can't initiate any kind of go to school or train and things like that, and move away, retire, or separate. So that's the first thing.

The second thing is is that command is regularly briefed about the status of a criminal investigation, and so a move like this at the end of the drill I would tell you is that the staff judge advocates, the defense attorneys, the criminal investigators, all are going to have dibs on where the accused is going to be.

In other words, the person isn't just

picked up and moved off into a system somewhere, 1 2 that person is part or the target or the subject of an active criminal investigation. 3 4 So it's not as though someone is going 5 to turn up in your unit and you would not know that this person is under investigation because 6 7 this person is going to be going through a 8 justice proceeding or things along those lines. 9 So allow us to connect the dots for 10 you on what the policies say in each of the Services, but that's the context. 11 12 JUDGE GRIMM: Very helpful, but under 13 investigation for a sexual assault or just under investigation for any of the 134 Articles in the 14 Uniform Code of Military Justice? 15 That's correct. 16 DR. GALBREATH: We'll have to find that out for you. 17 18 MEMBER PROVOST: All right. 19 I have a follow-up to MS. TOKASH: 20 that. Are there any due process rights for the 21 accused or the suspect when they are moved? 22 For example, do they -- I understand

that it's for good order and discipline, but for them being a subject of a sex assault investigation, there is no other reason for them to be moved for good order and discipline.

So my question is do they get, is there an appeals process for them, and then, also, the follow-up to that is how are the military defense bars responding to suspect moves?

Are they filing motions for relief for unlawful pretrial treatment, are they seeking mason credit, do we know if there is any impact on the military justice system?

DR. GALBREATH: So I'll give you a very respectful I don't know, I'm not an attorney, but what I would offer to you is is those are really good questions for the follow-up attorneys for some of those.

In the law I can tell you that there is no provision that I know of that allows a commander to move the suspects that are accused of a sexual assault of any kind of relief or

anything because it's not as the result of a 1 2 legal determination. Again, Congress was very explicit that 3 it was for the good order and discipline within 4 5 the unit. So I would say you have to follow up with the staff judge advocates, the SVCs, and the 6 defense counsel. 7 8 CHAIR BASHFORD: I have a question on 9 the, it seems to me that people covered under FAP, F-A-P, I don't know how you say it --10 11 DR. GALBREATH: Family Advocacy 12 Program, yes. 13 CHAIR BASHFORD: -- are, there is a 14 carve out for the expedited transfer, so if somebody who would be covered under FAP is the 15 16 victim of a sexual assault what avenues are 17 available to them? 18 DR. GALBREATH: And Kathy Robertson 19 will be here in January to answer your questions 20 on the Family Advocacy Program. 21 CHAIR BASHFORD: But so anybody who

falls under that is not covered in the stats we

1	get under expedited transfers?
2	DR. GALBREATH: From the SAPRO
3	Program, that is correct.
4	CHAIR BASHFORD: And from the
5	Services, do you all have a carve out for that,
6	all of you?
7	DR. GALBREATH: Yes.
8	CHAIR BASHFORD: So any stats from the
9	Navy, Air Force, Marines, Coast Guard, Army, for
10	expedited transfers would not cover family
11	members then, right?
12	DR. GALBREATH: Right.
13	CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay.
14	BGEN SCHWENK: But the statute doesn't
15	make a distinction, does it?
16	DR. GALBREATH: Well
17	BGEN SCHWENK: Does the statute make
18	a distinction?
19	DR. GALBREATH: Well, yes
20	PARTICIPANT: The statue does not.
21	BGEN SCHWENK: It just talks about
22	sexual assault, right?

1	PARTICIPANT: The statute just refers
2	to the Articles.
3	BGEN SCHWENK: So theoretically there
4	should be something similar out the other side?
5	DR. GALBREATH: Yes. Kathy Robertson
6	has some data for you.
7	CAPT. TIDESWELL: Chair Bashford, I
8	just want to draw the Committee's attention to
9	the data that Dr. Galbreath was kind enough to
10	reference, the military transfer data from the FY
11	16 data report.
12	(Simultaneous speaking.)
13	CAPT. TIDESWELL: It's actually in
14	your reading file.
15	DR. SPOHN: I had a question about
16	I noticed in looking at that data for 2016 there
17	were 19 cases, I believe that was the right
18	number, where the request by the victim for an
19	expedited transfer was denied.
20	Why are those requests denied
21	typically?
22	DR. GALBREATH: We have all the
ı	

reasons why, sometimes the person is undergoing a medical evaluation board and that locks them out of being able to be moved, sometimes the accused is moved instead, sometimes there is not a credible report, and then there is a couple other categories that I don't remember right off the top of my head.

MS. TOKASH: Are the cases where it's determined that the report was not credible, do you have any data or anecdotal information on the impact of the victim's credibility at trial?

DR. GALBREATH: I do not.

MS. TOKASH: So, for example, a defense attorney saying you put in, you know, under cross examination, you requested an expedited transfer, true? Yes, I did. And it was denied, wasn't it? Yes, it was. And it was denied because your commander and the military police found that there was not credible information? True.

DR. GALBREATH: It's a possibility, but because the numbers are so small my guess --

and in addition to that if there was no credible 1 2 evidence at that time that might be going, end up in an unfounded case that wouldn't necessarily go 3 4 forward in the justice process. That's my guess with knowing how the 5 data works, but, again, I can't answer directly 6 7 for that. 8 So an expedited transfer MS. TOKASH: 9 determination could potentially have an impact on even whether a case can be shepherded through the 10 11 military justice system? 12 DR. GALBREATH: It's possible. Ιt 13 certainly is possible. 14 MS. REED: But I think they would have to look at -- Respectfully, I mean expedited 15 16 transfer requests come in at very different 17 times. 18 DR. GALBREATH: Yes. 19 MS. REED: I mean we have expedited --20 PARTICIPANT: I'm sorry, we're really 21 having trouble --22 MS. REED: Okay. Expedited transfer

requests come in at different times. They even come in after the end of courts-martial when the suspect is found not guilty, right.

So depending on when the expedited transfer request comes in is when they are looking at the evidence before them. Just because there is not enough evidence or to find a credible report at that moment does not mean that there is not more evidence coming in later on.

MS. TOKASH: And I understand that.

MS. REED: All right.

MS. TOKASH: My primary concern is the impact on the victim should that victim find him or herself on the witness stand at a trial and earlier in the process they have been denied an expedited transfer because there wasn't credible information. That's all I was wondering about.

DR. GALBREATH: And I would offer to you that that situation would probably be exceptionally rare. This last year there were only three cases out of about 700-some where a credible report, they didn't move the person

because there wasn't a credible report. Like I said, it's very, very rare.

And if you would like to look at this, this is the data from the SAPRO report is here and that information about the categories is on the last page right before Tab 6 and you can see all the reasons why people didn't move.

CHAIR BASHFORD: But Lieutenant

Styles, you mentioned that in deciding this it's also the needs of the member balanced with the needs of the Services, and, Colonel Prince, you said we want to meet the victim's needs while balancing mission requirement needs.

Do the other forces have the same mission requirement need built into there?

MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. For Navy when the request is made it goes to our Navy Personnel Command and they are looking for the fit based on the reasons that the request was made and also what the needs of the Navy are and the needs of the sailor professionally going forward.

So we don't want to send somebody who

is an aviation technician to a surface base, a surface ship base where they can't work on aircraft.

So all those things are looked at by our Navy Personnel Command and if there is a little bit of trade space there there is a discussion with the command to try to find out what the best fit would be both for Navy and for the requesting Servicemember.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Are the Marines the same?

MS. REED: Yes. Our victims are encouraged to submit three desired preferred locations, understanding, you know, managing expectations, but then within manpower their goal is to keep them within their MOS and to put them in a place, because they are working with a monitor who has been tracking this Marine throughout their career and that monitor is providing that overlook to make sure that where they are going is still going to move them forward in their career progression.

They make every effort to, again, move 1 2 a Marine out of their MOS or into a location that would not assist them moving forward. 3 CHAIR BASHFORD: Army, you're so big 4 you can always find a place, right? 5 6 PARTICIPANT: Yes. 7 CHAIR BASHFORD: A.J.? 8 I have a couple of MR. KRAMER: 9 questions, one pretty simple probably, it's a follow-up to a bunch of questions that were asked 10 earlier, but I didn't hear this specific mention, 11 12 and it probably ties into the retention rate, but is there, in this questionnaire that's being 13 14 done, or survey, is there, and I heard said that it's not supposed to affect the career of the 15 16 person being transferred, is there a question in 17 there about whether the person feels that it has 18 affected their career along the way? 19 DR. GALBREATH: So I don't -- I can't 20 break that out by folks with an expedited 21 transfer, but I do have --22 MR. KRAMER: Well, that's what I mean,

people who've taken an expedited transfer. 1 2 DR. GALBREATH: Yes. No, in general I have a question that says that, that goes to 3 4 the folks that said they experienced sexual assault in the past year, and the question is did 5 you take any active steps to separate or did you 6 7 think about separating, and that information is in our Workplace and Gender Relation Survey and I 8 9 can provide that back to the Committee. Because it would seem to 10 MR. KRAMER: 11 me extremely important because one of the 12 criteria we heard -- And is it Rangoussis? 13 MS. REED: Rangoussis. 14 Rangoussis, I'm sorry. MR. KRAMER: 15 That's all right. MS. REED: 16 MR. KRAMER: And by the way I want to 17 thank you all, it's been extremely valuable, for 18 coming here. 19 It seems to me it would be important 20 to note retention rates may reflect whether they 21 think their career was hurt, but as somebody else

said it may also reflect that just the nature of

the sexual assault may want to make them separate.

But it would seem to me to tie in whether they think their career has been affected would be extremely important for the expedited transfer request to know.

DR. GALBREATH: It is. And so, like I said, is I do have some data that talks about their career. A vast majority of the folks that go through an expedited transfer say that their experience with their living situation is about the same or better.

With their support, their medical and mental health care is about the same or better.

Their career progression, a little bit less than the other things, but like I said is we'll get you that data.

The challenge is is that the number of people in the expedited transfer bucket is about 700 folks every year versus the several thousand people that may have experienced a sexual assault and not reported it and not gone through the

process, and so as a result my numbers in the survey get a little fuzzy, so it's hard to run that.

MR. KRAMER: And then I have one totally unrelated question, probably for Mr.

Rosen. The number of unrestricted reports requesting transfer in the Navy are three times or twice as high as some of the other Services, is that because the nature in the Navy people are on ships or do you know why it's so much higher?

MR. ROSEN: No, sir, I don't. We run somewhere between 28 and 30 percent of our unrestricted reports end up in an expedited transfer request.

MR. KRAMER: Right.

MR. ROSEN: Some of that probably does have to do with the expeditionary nature of how we do business, particularly if there is a victim that is looking at ongoing services and support, and if they are on a ship that's either getting ready to deploy or on deployment that may drive that number up, but that's just speculation on my

1	part.
2	MR. KRAMER: Because it's three times
3	as high as the Air Force and more than twice as
4	high as the Army and still 50 percent more than
5	the Marine Corps. I'm just does anybody have
6	any ideas why that is?
7	CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. Do you break
8	out the requests by the nature of the complaint
9	whether it's a penetrative or non-penetrative
10	offense, do we know?
11	COLONEL PRINCE: The Air Force does
12	not.
13	CHAIR BASHFORD: It does not or you
14	don't have it?
15	COLONEL PRINCE: Does not break it out
16	
17	(Simultaneous speaking.)
18	CHAIR BASHFORD: Okay. Army?
19	MS. MASSEY: To my knowledge, no, we
20	don't break it out either.
21	CHAIR BASHFORD: Coast Guard?
22	LT. STYLES: We do not, ma'am.

MS. REED: Not within this process, 1 2 no. 3 CHAIR BASHFORD: And Navy? 4 MR. ROSEN: The same thing. CHAIR BASHFORD: I am sure we have 5 more questions. 6 Yes, okay, over here. Harrison? 7 8 DEAN HARRISON: As I understand the 9 definition of a transfer, in a large command it could be moving a person from upstairs to 10 downstairs or from one side of the base to the 11 12 other, and I guess theoretically even an aircraft carrier not even off the boat, but the goal of 13 this is to minimize the encounters between the 14 victim and the alleged accuser. 15 16 Do you have any data on how many times 17 a person is not really geographically moved but 18 considered transferred? 19 DR. GALBREATH: I do in a very general I have numbers about how often that they 20 21 go, they result in a PCS move, an installation 22 transfer versus basically a unit or a duty

transfer, but I don't have anything back 1 2 underneath that. But essentially there were 62 requests 3 4 for unit or duty transfers, that would be kind of 5 a PCA or across the base move or a different unit move, and then in 2016 there were 684 requests 6 7 for installation transfers. 8 So the victim DEAN HARRISON: Okay. 9 decides what level they want to be transferred? DR. GALBREATH: Often times that is 10 11 part of their request. 12 DEAN HARRISON: Okay. Do you keep count as to -- Is a transfer considered to be 13 14 granted when a victim requests a PCS move and is given basically an intra-base move? 15 DR. GALBREATH: I don't think so, 16 17 largely because if they have requested a PCS move 18 and that doesn't satisfy their need at that time 19 then that's a reason that they could appeal to 20 the next higher, to the General Officer next in 21 the chain.

DEAN HARRISON:

22

Lieutenant Styles,

have a question for you about the Coast Guard, 1 2 and I know the Coast Guard is not part of DoD, but the 72-hour action timeline does apply to the 3 4 Coast Guard. Did I hear you correctly that your 5 decision-making line is two weeks? 6 7 LT. STYLES: It's two weeks for us to make a decision. That's what is written into our 8 9 policy, but we find that the timeline is a lot 10 faster in actual processing these requests. 11 DEAN HARRISON: Does the statute 12 require 72 hours or is that just DoD policy? 13 LT. STYLES: It's upon reporting to --14 for us to receive the actual request. 15 DEAN HARRISON: Okay. And --16 LT. STYLES: So once it comes to PSC 17 we have up to two weeks to adjudicate the request 18 and sometimes it does take us time because we may 19 have to go back to the command, let's say we 20 don't know where the Confirmed Support Network is

or we want to, you know, engage through the SARC

to find the best fit for the member with their

21

1	career progression.
2	So that may take some time, but most
3	of the time we're processing these requests
4	within a few days.
5	DEAN HARRISON: And your office is
6	part of the Commandant's Office, isn't it, or
7	LT. STYLES: No. We are
8	DEAN HARRISON: it's part of Coast
9	Guard Headquarters?
LO	LT. STYLES: We are geographically
L1	located at Coast Guard Headquarters, but the
L 2	Personnel Service Center we are our own unit. So
L3	we fall under the Human Resources CG-1.
L 4	DEAN HARRISON: I'm just trying to
L5	understand the structure. If you deny, whose
L6	command is making that decision and who is the
L 7	next flag officer?
L8	LT. STYLES: So we have our own flag
L9	officer at the Personnel Service Center and then
20	so the next flag officer in that chain of command
21	will make a recommendation.
22	So let's say it's a denial, it will go

1	to that victim's next flag officer, so it could
2	be at a District, that request will then be sent
3	to PSC, the PSC Admiral will then review it and
4	make a determination at that time.
5	DEAN HARRISON: Thank you.
6	CHAIR BASHFORD: Dr. Markowitz?
7	DR. MARKOWITZ: Dr. Galbreath, I very
8	much appreciate having this data, but obviously
9	Coast Guard is not reflected in the data.
LO	So a very simple question, do we have
L1	the ability to get from you for the Coast Guard
L2	similar data about expedited transfer numbers and
L3	denials?
L 4	LT. STYLES: I think we provided the
L5	
L6	DR. MARKOWITZ: Did I just miss it?
L 7	That's entirely possible.
L8	LT. STYLES: There should be We
L9	had, I believe it's 18 different, in the
20	attachments that were provided, and there was one
21	instance of a denial that should have been
22	annotated within there and that had to deal with

an unrestricted report where the command was reviewing an investigation regarding the victim's own misconduct at the time that was not related to the sexual assault.

The victim was being processed from, separated from the Coast Guard and the alleged perpetrator was a civilian who lived in Michigan and the victim was receiving support services in Florida, so that was --

DR. MARKOWITZ: Great, thank you. I appreciate that and I apologize for missing it.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Major General Anderson, you had your hand up, too.

MG ANDERSON: Yes. My question is kind of personnel related. Most of you were pretty helpful in describing your processes and procedures for processing these transfers.

My question goes to, and I am assuming everybody flags somebody if it's an accused who is going to be transferred, my question relates to how many people will have access to that information, because all of you say is, the

commander is going to be notified that they are 1 2 getting someone who has been, as a result of an expedited transfer and that they have been 3 accused of sexual assault? 4 5 My experience is there may be other people who have access to that information in the 6 7 unit, so this kind of goes to how we maintain 8 confidentiality or kind of internal controls and 9 processes we have in place to assure that that 10 happens. 11 So for Navy, to clarify, MR. ROSEN: 12 we don't flag personnel records of subjects of 13 sexual assault investigations. However --14 MG ANDERSON: Neither the victim or 15 the accuser, they are not flagged? 16 MR. ROSEN: Nobody's record is 17 flagged, we never flag records and victims. 18 MG ANDERSON: Okay. 19 MR. ROSEN: So if a sexual assault, if a Servicemember is accused of a sexual assault 20 21 and an investigation is ongoing that command is responsible for ensuring that our personnel 22

command is aware of it if that particular sailor 1 2 happens to be up for orders at that time, either if they have orders in hand that they are going 3 4 to be transferring at some point in the future or 5 the personnel command is working on future orders because it's their normal rotation date, it would 6 7 be that command that contacts personnel command 8 to say put that person on hold while we take care 9 of this issue and figure out what the outcome of 10 that is going to be. 11 So I just wanted to clarify at no time 12 in the personnel system is a record flagged for a subject or a victim ever. And I don't know if 13 14 that answers you question about how our process 15 works. 16 MG ANDERSON: Okay. 17 PARTICIPANT: For you, I don't know 18 about the rest of them. 19 MG ANDERSON: The others, yes. 20 COLONEL PRINCE: So for the Air Force 21 I had said that I would get back to the

Committee, I wasn't sure exactly what the gaining

commander receives in terms of information about the alleged offender.

But my office works very closely with the Air Force Personnel Center and I can tell you that the Director of the Air Force Personnel Center and one other person has access to information.

So they keep those very close hold and very restricted to no more than two people.

MG ANDERSON: Okay.

LT. STYLES: For the Coast Guard the files are not flagged for the victim or the alleged perpetrator.

When you look in our personnel system, which PSC has control over, it only shows up as a PCS transfer within the system, there will be a unit change and that will be it for it.

And as far as the confidentiality of the case, that is being briefed with the SAPRO SIT to the senior command officials, so you're talking about your COs of the units have that knowledge.

MS. MASSEY: Ma'am, for the Army the 1 2 victim also is not flagged. As far as what the file looks like for the subject I would have to 3 get in touch with HRC and get more details for 4 I am not aware of that. 5 you. CHAIR BASHFORD: And Marines? 6 7 MS. REED: And for the Marines the 8 victims are never flagged and I will need to go 9 back and get into the details as far as who has access to those records. 10 11 I believe there is, again, commander 12 review. In order to -- I will need to go back 13 and get clarification as to who has access to 14 those records. If I could follow up 15 DEAN HARRISON: 16 on that, does that mean for all of you that if, 17 for example, someone is the subject of a credible 18 sexual assault allegation there is nothing done, 19 for example, with the security clearance? 20 MR. ROSEN: That may be -- Sir, and 21 maybe that's a different issue altogether.

DEAN HARRISON:

22

Okay. Wouldn't that

affect where they would be assigned or --1 2 MR. ROSEN: Well, you're talking about as they are a subject of an ongoing 3 4 investigation? 5 DEAN HARRISON: Yes. So maybe it would 6 MR. ROSEN: Okay. 7 be atypical for a subject to be moved anyway, 8 other than within the same chain of command, 9 maybe as I mentioned before from a squadron to a wing level. 10 11 That doesn't mean that they wouldn't have necessarily their clearance suspended or 12 13 they would be down-armored if they carry a 14 Those things are up to the command from weapon. an operational perspective, kind of outside the 15 16 personnel realm. DEAN HARRISON: But if their file is 17 18 not flagged how is all of this information 19 exchanged and how are these things accomplished? MR. ROSEN: Well, the individual is in 20 21 the unit that they were in when the accusation

was made and the commanding officer of that unit

was aware of that.

DEAN HARRISON: Okay, so the commander.

MR. ROSEN: Yes.

DR. GALBREATH: So just to clarify, there is a process by which most of the MCIOs, the Military Criminal Investigative
Organizations, formally notify a command that an individual is under investigation.

That commander is then responsible for making determinations as to special program access, weapon -- Army, and anything else that is going on, Personnel Reliability Program, all that kind of stuff. So that's different from flagging a record.

CHIEF MCKINLEY: For the alleged victim do we have any knowledge or data on the possible abuse of this transfer program where they ask for an expedited transfer basically just to get an assignment and we talked so much about it's up to the commander to make these decisions and for each branch of Service do you know what

type of training we provide to that commander to assist them in making these decisions?

DR. GALBREATH: So the data with regard to making a false allegation to get an expedited transfer, I don't have any direct information, but what I can tell you is the rates of cases that are determined to be unfounded are no different really, maybe a percentage point or two, than between cases that receive an expedited transfer than cases that don't receive an expedited transfer, and that's some of the data that I will be bringing with us back in January so that you all can see that.

So cases going forward in the system, what I do is, I don't know if you remember or if you were awake last time when I was walking through the different, the waterfall charts of how cases go forward, I can do that for cases that did not receive an expedited transfer versus cases that do receive an expedited transfer and you can see for yourself the percentage of cases in each of those categories of where cases go

forward or don't and the rate, and they are 1 2 really largely identical almost, you know, within a percentage point or two. 3 So I will bring that back to you and 4 you can make, you can look for yourself. 5 CHAIR BASHFORD: Ms. Garvin, I know 6 7 you've been trying to get in there for a bit. That's okay. 8 MS. GARVIN: 9 Galbreath, did I understand you correctly in saying that if a victim has asked for a 10 particular type of transfer but a different 11 12 transfer is awarded that would be considered a 13 denial? 14 DR. GALBREATH: I think that they would be able to appeal that and go forward. 15 Ι 16 have one case -- I have I think a couple of cases 17 in here, just two, where a, there is two from the 18 Marine Corps where they offered a PCA instead of a PCS, or, in other words, an across the base 19 move versus an installation move. 20 And then there is one from the Air 21 22 Force where it says the victim was placed in a

different organization and squadron with victim 1 2 approval. And so I do believe though that if the 3 4 victim doesn't get -- There is nothing that --5 Let me put it this way, there is nothing in our policy that says if you didn't get the request 6 met that you could not go forward and ask for an 7 8 appeal on that. 9 MS. GARVIN: Okay. Do any other branches know anything, operate differently than 10 11 that? 12 COLONEL PRINCE: That is consistent for the Air Force. 13 14 MS. GARVIN: And then, Mr. Rosen, you had mentioned, I believe, for the Navy that it's 15 16 not a request for an appeal it's an automatic 17 appeal when you were pointing out some 18 differences? 19 Right. So if the initial MR. ROSEN: 20 request to the commanding officer was denied the 21 OSD policy is the victim has the ability then to submit a subsequent request to the first flag 22

officer.

That process is automatic in the Navy and automatically gets transferred up to the first flag officer.

MS. GARVIN: And are you aware of the policy reason why the Navy chose to make that automatic?

MR. ROSEN: When we were implementing the guidance from OSD we just felt like that was the right thing to do, you know, to ensure first of all we had flag visibility on these decisions that were being made and also to take the onus off the victim of having to request it again.

CHAIR BASHFORD: I just want to follow up on Chief McKinley's question about training because, Ms. Reed, you said that you had, I believe, recently included in pre-command training for your officers, whether giving or receiving, about the expedited transfers. Is the Navy doing any kind of pre-command training, including the expedited transfer issue?

MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am, that is

incorporated into our PCO/PXO course, pre-1 2 commanding officer/pre-executive officer course. When they assume command the triad, 3 4 that's the commanding officer, the executive 5 officer, and the senior enlisted, also get a brief from their sexual assault response 6 coordinator that goes over their toolkit and some 7 8 of the local policies and processes. So that 9 training occurs at that point as well. CHAIR BASHFORD: And is that also the 10 case then for the Air Force? 11 12 COLONEL PRINCE: Yes, ma'am, that's 13 also the case for the Air Force. Training 14 occurs, a pre-command training done at Air University at Maxwell Air Force Base for our 15 16 commanders. 17 In addition, within 30 days the sexual 18 assault response coordinators must provide 19 additional training for commanders when they 20 report to their base upon assumption of command. 21 And in addition to that when any type 22 of a, when a CMG is convened there is additional

1	training that is provided to those commanders
2	that are part of the
3	CHAIR BASHFORD: The training includes
4	
5	COLONEL PRINCE: It includes expedited
6	transfer, yes, ma'am.
7	CHAIR BASHFORD: Same for Coast Guard?
8	LT. STYLES: Ma'am, I would have to
9	get back to you on that.
10	CHAIR BASHFORD: And the Army?
11	MS. MASSEY: Yes. They meet, yes,
12	with the SARCs within the 30 days taking the
13	command, and so they meet with their SARC and
14	receive training.
15	MS. RANGOUSSIS: Ma'am, I just wanted
16	to add that part of the DoD, in the DoD
17	instructions 6495.02, part of the pre-command
18	training standards is training on the expedited
19	transfer.
20	MS. GARVIN: And if I may follow up
21	both on the training part, but it's related to
22	how do you say it, Rangoussis?

MS. RANGOUSSIS: Yes.

MS. GARVIN: Sorry, Ms. Rangoussis.

I wrote it down, I was hoping I would get it.

It's related to both training, and then you had flagged in the materials that we had received regarding the expedited victim transfers the items that commanders shall directly counsel Servicemembers about, and there were four things in small letters there.

The first one is reasonably

foreseeable career impacts, and I am just curious
how commanders are trained on these pieces in

order to directly counsel Servicemembers,

particularly in light of the fact that we're not
sure we have data on career impact?

So I am just wondering -- so it's a two-part question. Generally, how are commanders trained on these four things, both in terms of content for those subparts and then in method of counseling, because that's the word that is used, and then specifically with regards to Subpart A, how that's done in light of we're not sure we

have data on career impact?

MS. RANGOUSSIS: Well, I can tell you that I cannot speak specifically to how it's done, because we only set the standards at the DoD level.

I think the intent behind when we wrote that part of the policy was if victims were in a particular school how that would affect it.

As victims are going through a crisis moment and they want to leave the area it's up to the commander to explain to them that maybe a slot in that particular school won't be available for some time.

There is also victims in a very narrow duty area, intelligence roles and things like that, there are only so many positions and if you are PCS'ing out of cycle those positions are more difficult to get at.

Or, again, if you have a military spouse, getting two spouses together in the same location at their required duty area is difficult.

So I think that was the intent behind 1 2 the policy, that when somebody is going through a crisis moment, you know, they are talking to 3 their victim advocate, they're going through all 4 5 the things that they need, that somebody kind of sits them down and says I know that you want an 6 7 expedited transfer, I know that you are going 8 through this, but these are the potential impacts 9 to your career. Some victims also have, particularly 10 11 duty stations, where they get a bonus for 12 accepting that job, so any kind of impact on that 13 bonus if they now decide to leave. So that was 14 the intent behind that in terms of specific training, I mean we only have the general 15 16 training requirement. 17 CHAIR BASHFORD: I think we have time 18 for one last question. Judge Brisbois, you 19 haven't had a chance to speak yet. 20 MEMBER BRISBOIS: I don't like the

We do.

CHAIR BASHFORD:

sound of my own voice, so --

21

here with the question regarding the training.

You've all said that you get pre-command

training, you get assumption of command training,

but what no one has said is what is the extent,

the scope, the length of that training, you know,

what is the quantity and quality of that

training?

And the reason I am asking is, as a former judge advocate myself, I would do a lot of war training for new commanders and I was given all of 30 minutes and then I never saw them again, so you can see where the conundrum comes, or the curiosity comes from.

(Off-microphone comment.)

MR. ROSEN: Okay, thanks. So, you're right, there is an awful lot of training, particularly pre-command. They pack it in pretty tightly.

So there is a sexual assault prevention and response module. Part of that training in that module is the expedited transfer

policy, among the many other things related to the program that we expect our commanding officers and our executive officers and our senior enlisted to have.

There is the in-brief when they assume command, and I will tell you that a key component of that in-brief is for the sexual assault response coordinator to begin establishing that personal relationship with the commanding officer because they are the expert and resource.

A sexual assault event in a unit is pretty unique. There will be commands that never experience one in the tenure of an commanding officer and larger commands may have several.

But we don't expect them to be offthe-cuff experts, but we do expect them to know
what procedures to take immediately, obviously to
support the victim, and if it's an unrestricted
report to begin the notification to the MCIO and
investigation and to reach out to the resources,
the SARC, or the victim advocate, the staff judge
advocate, who are the experts that can come in

and advise them of what their responsibilities 1 2 are and how to best execute them. So that's probably more than you 3 4 wanted, but the reality is you are right, there 5 is a lot of information and sometimes it needs to be just in time. 6 7 If they know where to go to get it 8 that may be sufficient for their needs at that 9 point. 10 MEMBER BRISBOIS: So just to summarize or paraphrase what you just said, the gist of the 11 12 training is these are issues you might face, 13 these are where you go to resource and staff that 14 issue it sounds like. That's part of it, but 15 MR. ROSEN: 16 part of it will be an immediate understanding of 17 what your responsibilities would be to a 18 reporting victim. 19 That is something that we expect them 20 to walk away with and have that knowledge. 21 MS. REED: I would concur with that. We actually conducted our pre-command, our 22

commanders course, Cornerstone, for the Marine Corps.

SAPRO is provided a 3-hour window in which to provide training for them. It was shunted down to an hour and a half just this most recent event, but they were afforded another opportunity to come back on Monday, so they will, you know, they recognized that the hour and a half wasn't covering the time needed.

I believe with respect to, you know, a commander needing training on how to address a Marine's career progression, that's outside of SAPR.

That is a commander's role to be aware of who his Marines are, or who his or her Marines are, and then to facilitate and enable them to progress forward in their career.

That is outside of SAPR's window and it just is part of their being a commander and it's just included, you know, in the policy as a reminder that this is something you should also take into consideration when you sit down with

your victim, with your Marine who has requested an expedited transfer.

Our SARCs are well versed as well to be able -- Again, it's building -- That resource brief is there to build that rapport and to lock on with that resource so that, you know, you have your immediate response and then you know who your circle of support are to make sure that the services are continuous and relative.

yes, we are challenged with time as well. So we have invested in hiring curriculum design experts to help us figure out strategies that would take adult learning and put it into a small window of time to make sure that our commanders can walk away with the knowledge and takeaways for them to at least get started because they will always have opportunities for that just in time training from the SARCs.

So we do use application-based scenarios for the pre-command training so that they can have examples of what their roles and

responsibilities would be.

In addition to that we provide information ahead of time, so they walk out with packets and flow charts and reference materials that they can refer to at a later time.

But we are using these curriculum design experts to help us get the core information and training that they need in a small amount of time. So that's the continuous improvement work that we are doing with our training aspect for SAPRO in general but especially for our commanders.

And then relative to commanders being trained on career, I will say, and if I can just be a little bit subjective, I am most proud of the Air Force's ability with career development and professional development. Commanders have access to chief enlisted managers who are well versed at making sure that our enlisted force have professional development so that commander can always reach out to the chief enlisted manager for assistance there, whether it's PME or

special duty.

On the officer side, as well as the enlisted side, we have functional managers. I talked about vertical integration, we have functional career managers at the unit level, at the major command level, at the Headquarters level.

So that commander has a lot of resources to assist him or her in building that career path and helping to communicate the impacts on the career, so I think the Air Force does a pretty good job with that.

LT. STYLES: I'll say with the Coast
Guard I can't specifically speak to the
individual training because I don't have
knowledge about that, but the Coast Guard has a
very active training regimen for all Coasties to
educate on this.

And as far as to the commanders, the PSC provides a central point for commanders to go to to ask questions. My role as a CAC is to answer those questions, to field those questions.

If they are not sure how the policy is working we have a very, a forward-leaning message in going out to the fields and saying that we're here to answer your questions, we want to be, you know, your call center, so to speak, to call us and try to work through these challenging issues.

MS. MASSEY: And I would say for the Army I can't speak to the exact details of the scope, the length of the training that they receive on expedited transfers, but we do have a dedicated SHARP Academy who is made of curriculum developers, like the Air Force mentioned, and they do work on all of the training and the adult learning theories.

In addition, I know they have been working on some initiatives that are commander-specific.

I don't have the details on all the different types of curriculums that they teach for commanders, but they have been designing commander-specific curriculums to help them with how they handle this.

And I also want to echo the 30-day meeting that the commander has with the SARC is to go over different things and also in the Army to build that rapport as well, so it's similar.

CHAIR BASHFORD: I want to thank everybody for coming, Ms. Massey, Lieutenant Styles, Colonel Prince, Ms. Reed, Mr. Rosen, Ms. Rangoussis, and Dr. Galbreath, thank you so much for your time, your expertise in answering our questions, and we will be on a 10-minute recess.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:28 p.m. and resumed at 3:42 p.m.)

CHAIR BASHFORD: So we're starting our Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel Perspectives on Expedited Transfer Policy and the Program itself. I want to welcome everybody. As we did with the last panel, I'd like to hear what you all have to say and then we'll open it up to questions from the Committee members. You are in control of your destinies as to how you choose in what order to proceed.

MAJOR JACK: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I am Major Simone Jack. I have served as an active-duty judge advocate for the U.S. Army for over eight years. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. The opinions I express today are my own based on my experience and are not those of the Army.

As a judge advocate, I have been a legal assistance attorney; administrative law attorney; trial counsel, which is an Army term for prosecutor; and, most recently, a special victims' counsel. As a trial counsel from June 2012 to May 2014, I prosecuted sexual assault cases.

I served as a full-time special victims' counsel from March 2015 to July 2017 at Fort Hood, Texas. I'm currently in the process of earning my LLM at the Army's Judge Advocate Legal Center and School in Charlottesville, Virginia.

The role of an SVC is to represent victims of sexual assault. As attorney for a

sexual assault victim, special victims' counsel ensure their client is feeling educated about their rights and services available to them in the justice process, whether it be in the military realm or the civilian realm.

Special victims' counsel provide
effective and timely advice and support to
clients during this time. Victims are entitled
to special victims' counsel representation,
whether the client has chosen to make a
restricted or unrestricted report. Both
reporting options allow victims to receive the
necessary services to cope with the assault.
Only the unrestricted option requires an
investigation.

Victims are entitled to special victims' counsel representation at any point in the process, meaning an attorney-client relationship can be formed soon after a report is made or the day before trial. I typically became involved soon after a report was filed.

Because special victims' counsel

zealously and appropriately advocate in the best interests of their client, special victims' counsel are independent of the investigative prosecution and defense offices. Special victims' counsels advocate for the best interests of their clients. Therefore, there are times when those interests were not aligned with those of the prosecution, the defense and the client may agree on an issue, or the victim may decline to participate.

During my time as an SVC, I served as a senior special victims' counsel, which meant that, in addition to my own caseload, I supervised other SVCs. At Fort Hood, we had between three to four full-time special victims' counsel that served, on average, for 18 months.

Over the course of my tenure, I supervised eight full-time special victims' counsel and represented 77 clients. I currently have six clients whose cases are now post-trial. At any one time, on average, I had 30 clients whose cases were at different stages in the

investigative and disposition processes. The cases ran the gamut from abusive sexual contact to sexual assault.

I had adult clients, as well as minor clients. I had dependents as clients. The majority of my clients were current or former Service members. The majority of my clients were also female.

I had eight clients who requested expedited transfers. Some came to Fort Hood, left Fort Hood, or transferred units within the installation. Those that hadn't received a transfer prior to becoming my client came to me seeking information and/or advice on the process. In discussing the process with a client, I explained the process, the pros and cons of a transfer, and the roles of the parties involved.

In the expedited transfer process, I mostly advised my client and talked to commanders and/or victim advocates, if necessary. In my experience, all of my clients who requested a transfer received it. For seven out of the eight

clients, the expedited transfer process went smoothly and occurred in a timely fashion. One client had an issue that was resolved after speaking to the company commander.

Once my clients made their moves, I didn't have any clients report that they experienced any issues moving forward in their new unit and/or their career. After transfer, all of my clients continued to cooperate with investigators and the prosecution.

I do believe the special victims'
counsel program is beneficial to victims of
sexual assault. I've had clients tell me that
they appreciated having someone who could explain
things to them about the limitations that a
prosecutor has, that someone was available to
them more often than not. Depending on the
particular case, having a buffer between them and
investigators, the prosecution, and defense, as
well as a filter for information and requests, as
well as a sounding board that minimized the
stress and confusion.

I enjoyed my time as a special victims' counsel and believe it is an interesting, educational, and important assignment. I welcome your questions. Thank you.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Lieutenant Commander Clair Huffstetler, and I'm currently the victims' legal counsel for Naval District Washington.

I've been serving as the Naval

District Washington VLC since July 2016, so

approximately 15 months. Prior to this billet, I

served as the command judge advocate on three

separate aircraft carriers and also the command

judge advocate for Naval Station Guantanamo Bay,

Cuba.

Prior to those duties, I served as a defense counsel from 2010 to 2013 and concurrently served as the PEB department head and also respondent's counsel in formal PEB proceedings in front of the CORB.

I have served over the course of my 15 months 49 VLC clients. My average caseload is approximately 34 clients, between 30 and 40 clients I would say, and I currently have 34 clients.

There's no typical case. That's something that I want the Board to understand. Every single case that we see is different. The facts are different, the commands are different, and the needs of the individual are different. And as such, there's no typical point that I receive a client in any case. It could be before the case has even been reported to law enforcement. It could be at the point where the client has already made a statement. And I have even had a case where the client was getting ready to go on the stand and decided that they needed legal representation.

I absolutely think that the VLC program has been beneficial to victims of sexual assault. I receive very positive feedback from my clients. They tell me that they understand

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the process and that they have someone to call when they're overwhelmed and anxious about this legal process and about their personal legal liability.

A cornerstone of my relationship with my clients involves expectation management. I attempt to alleviate their anxiety revolving around the legal process. They have extreme anxiety about the future, about their participation in the legal process, and about their legal liability if they choose to go forward.

The clients are worried about this universe of unknowns, and I can give my client a target set of issues to worry about: you should worry about this, this, and this, and maybe not that, the unknown specter. And it actually does help them, and I receive positive feedback from my clients.

The feedback I often receive is that the client could not have gone forward through the criminal justice process. In some cases,

they would not have executed their report to NCIS, and they would have not endured the legal process in their local commands but for having their own legal advocate separate from the prosecution staff.

In many ways, the VLC program is an added benefit to retention and to readiness within the commands. On one hand, by focusing my client's attention on their jobs and away from the anxiety about the process, I keep them ready. I can empower my clients to do their jobs even in times when they're going through legal proceedings because I'm there to explain the process to them, and I'm also there to interface with all the other agencies that are trying to take away from their time at their job.

On the other hand, when it's clear that the client is not, in fact, suitable for continued service or they're struggling significantly in their jobs due to medical conditions or psychological conditions, I can also advise my client about the best resources

that are available. I'm not a doctor, so I tell
my clients very often, please, go see counseling
services, go see the chaplain, and I can give
them advice on the implications of that medical
treatment and care and how it will relate to
their case going forward and how it will affect
them if they end up on the stand. Taking away
that anxiety about that aspect of the case allows
them to get past the barriers to treatment.

I can also shepherd them through the right processes for medical boards and administrative separation boards in ways that will be beneficial to that client. When the client gets to the right process or resource early in a timely fashion, that's best for them, that's best for their commands, and that's best for the Navy overall, and I get to assist the client in that.

What is most beneficial to the clients about having a victims' legal counsel is that they get unbiased legal advice. They get to evaluate and understand and make a voluntary and

knowledgeable decision about how they want to handle their case, and that puts the control back in the hands of the client. That's the most important thing, I believe, about my practice in the VLC program.

If my client wants to, after knowing what is in store for them in the process, wants to go forward, I will charge ahead and advocate for their rights in the process. If they decide, however, after hearing what's in store for them in the legal process, that they do not want to go to NCIS, that they do not want to go through the law enforcement process, I will advocate for their rights to not be approached by any of the other stakeholders in the process, in the criminal justice process.

I can prevent them from being unduly influenced by either the prosecutor or the defense or for being shamed for making a decision about not going forward. And as you can imagine, that's a very stressful decision for a victim of a sexual assault to make, but it's a valid

decision and their rights need to be protected.

In the expedited transfer process, my role is to advise my clients about the process, to give them the pros and cons about an expedited transfer that's tailored to the facts of their case and to the life and the needs of that sailor or officer. I can also evaluate the personalities of the commanders involved in making that decision, and I can also advise my client on how to make their request in a way that will more likely be accepted and that their geographic location that they're requesting, that they may actually receive that request.

So I'm a helper. I help them communicate to the leadership on making their decisions.

I also provide training to victim advocates to SARCs, to commands, to the PCOs and PXOs as they go through their schooling before they take command on how the SAPR process and expedited transfer requests work and what are the criteria to approve or deny those requests.

Approximately one-third of my clients have requested expedited transfer under my term as a VLC, and most of those requests were approved. I do believe that the expedited transfer process is a vital part of the military It is absolutely vital and justice process. beneficial for the victims to have the ability to exit the command when there is a stigma and when there is a perceived stigma because the perception of the victim is one of the most important things that's going to determine whether that person goes forward in the process: their perception of whether they're supported. And this expedited transfer gives them an opportunity to be aligned in a location where they perceive that they are supported.

Of the process in place in the Navy,
one aspect I would recommend a change is that the
expedited transfer orders that come from the
Bureau of Personnel, once the client has
submitted their request, it's been approved, and
it's at the Bureau of Personnel, they're detailed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

orders, and there are obviously several criteria that the Navy has to look at to determine what orders they get. And I would recommend that the process for expedited transfer orders be as transparent as we have ventured to make our regular detailing process, although there are some limitations for our Bureau of Personnel just due to the shortened time frame of these expedited transfers. However, I think, in the future, we could probably move towards a system where the victim knows what billets are available in which geographic locations. And, again, that would provide some benefit to their expectation management in this process. Thank you.

MAJOR MARTZ: I have a PowerPoint,
ladies and gentlemen, so if you could just give
me a moment while that's being loaded. I'd like
to introduce myself. My name is Major Jessica
Martz. I am the Deputy Officer in Charge for the
Victims' Legal Counsel Program within the Marine
Corps. I thank you so much for this opportunity,
and now that my slides are loaded I will move on.

Next slide, please. I'll go ahead and press.

our mission. Just like my colleagues in the Army and the Navy have highlighted, the mission of the Victims' Legal Counsel Program within the Marine Corps is the same. Our goal is to educate and advocate for victims of sexual assault. The thing that makes us a little bit different, and I know it's not within the purview of this panel, we also represent victims of domestic violence, as well, and occasionally we will take on, with exceptional cases, victims of other violent crimes.

I'm on slide 3, ma'am, thank you. We do that to hold everybody accountable: the trial counsel, the defense counsel, the military judges, the commanders. We do that -- and MCIOs -- throughout the investigative process and throughout the military justice process. I've highlighted some of the references that our mission statement is made up of or made up from

there at the bottom of the slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Next slide, please, ma'am. First of all, I'd like to start off by saying I've loved my experience as a judge advocate, but I particularly love this job. I've served four years in military justice prior to becoming a VLC, and I just left being the Regional Victims' Legal Counsel for the National Capital Region down in Quantico, which is similar to the billet that Lieutenant Commander Huffstetler serves for the National District of Washington. I did that from May of 2016 until August. During that time, I assisted over 70 victims. I had 47 detailed clients, meaning I was actually their attorney. Attorney-client privilege was established. those other cases, I had confidentiality with those victims. My average caseload was about 25 cases, give or take a few more.

I supervised other victims' legal counsel in that capacity. And in August, I moved up to Henderson Hall here in lovely Arlington, Virginia to serve as the Deputy Officer in Charge

for our whole program, like I said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

In that capacity, I assist my boss,
Lieutenant Colonel Lavato, the program manager,
in supervision of all the victims' legal counsel.
And I also still hold 12 clients from my prior
billet as the RVLC.

Next slide, please. First of all, I'd like to say, just like everybody has said before, when we take a case, it really depends on when the victim comes to us. I like to kind of jokingly say we don't ambulance chase. We don't go after victims. They've already been traumatized, so we don't want to chase after them. We count on the DoD mandate that MCIOs and SAPR and FAP and commanders notify the victim of our services, and then we are usually provided a referral through that process. Just like my colleagues have said before, I've had victims come way before they were actually reported, immediately after the assault, all the way until they're about to testify at trial.

The goal of our organization and

certainly a goal with respect to expedited
transfers is to educate the victims on this
process. They're going to hear about it usually
before they get to us because the SAPR program is
going to tell them about it. Their command,
their UVAs might tell them about it. But our
goal is to make sure that they truly understand
that, not just the policies in place, the process
and the other options available. I've heard
already discussed the transfer of the accused as
an option, safety transfers are other options,
going on temporary orders away from that location
or away from that offender have been discussed.
But also what is the impact on their career?
I've heard that also discussed here. We advise
them on that. We look at, you know, your MOS,
what's your MOS? Is that a small MOS, a low-
density MOS? Would you like to change MOS's if
you can't get to the location you'd like? In
some cases, we've been able to get MOS transfers
for victims. It's not a common thing, but, if
they're willing to do that because they want to

go to a particular location, that has been something that we've been able to work out through the SAPR program.

We also advise them on the impact that it's going to have on their case. If the victim is going to move away from where that case is going to be tried, we talk about the pros and cons of that. Maybe it's better for them. Maybe they're more likely to participate if they go away from where that is and their VLC works on worrying about the case.

We talk about the transfer of the VLC services when we're advising our clients. If you transfer, if I'm in Quantico and you transfer to Camp Pendleton, are you going to want a Camp Pendleton VLC, somebody right there that you can walk into and talk? Or do you want the VLC that's located where the case is going to be tried, somebody who knows the players, who knows the trial counsel, who knows the defense counsel? It's up to the victim. They make the call and we honor that request.

Finally, with respect to helping victims in expedited transfers, we also sometimes help them with the actual submission of those requests. It's typically the SAPR program in my experience, but sometimes we have assisted them.

I've assisted two victims directly in their submission because their SARC was not necessarily somebody that they knew that well, and I was somebody that they knew better.

We also will assist them with those appeals that came up with the last panel. So if they're denied their request and they want to appeal that, we will assist them with that and we will advise them of what the process and procedure is for that.

Next slide, please. My personal experience with expedited transfers as a victims' legal counsel, I've inherited seven cases where one was granted, so the victim was at a different location. They asked for a transfer, it was granted. They come to Quantico or the National Capital Region within my district, and now I'm

their victims' legal counsel.

I've also assisted with six transfer requests. Five were approved. I will caveat that. I didn't put it on the slide, but I will caveat that, in one of those approvals, it did take a discussion between the staff judge advocate advising the commander and myself because there was a perception that there was a potential for misuse of that process. But with my client's permission, I was able to explain that she wanted to be closer to a support person where she was requesting to go, and it was granted once that was explained.

I did have one denied, and that was based on the credible claim standard. I would rather not get into the details of that out of respect for my client, but, ultimately, she got her goal. She was sent on temporary orders to the location that she requested, so we were able to accomplish her goal via another means outside the expedited transfer process. She was offered the ability to appeal that, but, because she was

sent to where she wanted, we did not end up appealing that.

Next slide, please. For my personal perception of my clients' experience with the expedited transfer, it has been a very, very positive thing. I will admit that, prior to becoming a VLC, I wasn't totally sure about the program. But now that I've worked with victims, I definitely see how beneficial it is to them. It removes them from where this has all happened potentially, if the assault has happened in that location. It also gets them away from the rumor mill that could happen, even if the offender is not co-located with them.

It's not to be used for safety
reasons, as I think it's already been stated.
That's a safety transfer. That's a different
transfer. Expedited transfers, unfortunately, I
think, are still sometimes used to move the
victim away where it's unsafe. That's a
misconception, and that's a misuse of the
expedited transfer. It's really to get the

victim away from that experience, not because of safety reasons.

The other thing that benefits victims, they may experience retaliation in the form of ostracism at the command level. That's usually that peer to peer, maybe one level up. They don't want to report that, and you can all imagine probably why. When you've already reported a crime, you don't want to report another crime. It's already an experience that you haven't enjoyed, and you don't want to have to go through that again. So one way they're able to get away from that retaliation is to ask for an expedited transfer. Then they don't have to report it, they can just get away from it.

Finally, it gives victims a sense of empowerment. And that may seem kind of cliche, but it really does. When somebody has taken away the control from you in assaulting you, it gives you a chance to take control of your life a little bit and get away from that situation.

And then, finally, like I've discussed

before with that one particular example I gave previously, sometimes victims want to move closer to support persons, and that expedited transfer allows them to do that.

Next slide, please. Some areas for The policy can be viewed as improvement. contradictory, and I'll give an example. The policy in the Marine Corps, and I think it's consistent with the DoD policy as it stands, is that the victim should provide non-confidential information in their request. However, the commander is required to consider, I believe, ten factors. One of those goes back to your question, I believe, Ms. Bashford. You asked about the nature and circumstances of the charge that considered. The commander is required to consider that, at least in the Marine Corps.

Yet, when the victim is only providing non-confidential information, that can be kind of hard to tell. Yes, they're required to pull, you know, if there's, obviously, an unrestricted case, they're required to pull the unrestricted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

file, if they can, from NCIS and they can gain details from that. But if the burden is a little bit on the victim, I think that that can be contradictory, not just for the victim but for the commander as well. I think that's a difficult position to put the commander in to ask him or her to analyze all these factors when the victim is only going to tell you so much, and that's where that one case that I described, the caveat case out of the five approvals, the one commander just needed more information, and the staff judge advocate and I were able to discuss that and then the commander was able to reach a decision in her favor.

There is also an issue still with perceptions. I'm sure that's probably why we're all here. There is a perception still out there, I don't think it's the majority of Marines, but I think there's still a perception out there that transfers are being used outside its intended purpose. So, you know, one example would be, well, the victim just wants to get out of that

duty station. They want to go from, let's say,

Camp Lejeune, North Carolina in lovely

Jacksonville, North Carolina, to the sunny

beaches of Miramar or Camp Pendleton, California.

The other thing, which was, I think, highlighted by you, Ms. Tokash, I think it could hinder the prosecution of the case, so the defense might want to use that as a motive to fabricate. The victim will come forward and say that they're sexually assaulted so that they can get to a better duty station. I think that that perception is still out there. I think anybody that works with a victim knows how untrue that would be that somebody would put themselves through telling their story so many times just to get transferred to a different duty station instead of just waiting out where they're at.

I've been to Camp Lejeune for five years. It's not that bad if you go to the Outer Banks. So, you know, everybody finds a way to make it all work.

I really do think, overall, in

closing, that the Victims' Legal Counsel Program is extremely beneficial. I just want to close with one quick story. I was actually just telling somebody who taught me how to be a UVA back in 2006 this story, but I think this is a very illustrative story.

The first time I went to a trial with a client, we went to help her rehearse for her testimony inside the courtroom. And long story very short, she didn't like the idea of getting on that stand. So we were able to come up with a way where she could still hold her offender accountable outside the court-martial process through an administrative proceeding.

They were able to get a deal from the defense. The defense came with a deal. The trial counsel presented it to my client, and they said here you go. And I thought to myself in that moment before there was a VLC or an SVC sitting next to this victim, that victim was going to have to figure out what was in that document by themselves or the trial counsel would

hopefully explain it to them. But that's our job. Our job and what I did is I took that document, we excused ourselves, and I explained exactly what that document meant.

So I hope there's never any doubt that victims' legal counsel and SVCs do provide a very valuable service to these victims who are very young and who don't know the legal process and might never have heard any of these terms. So I really thank you for your time. I think that it's a program that should never go away, and I look forward to your questions. Thank you. And that's our handsome faces at CAAF a few weeks ago.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Good afternoon. My name is Captain Brittany Tedford. I'm the special victims' counsel at Shaw Air Force Base in South Carolina, and I have been in that position since July of 2016.

Overall, we in the Air Force SVC program have found expedited transfers to be a lifesaving positive benefit for our clients, and

we endorse the ET program. My goal today is to share my perspective on the program, how my clients have benefitted from it, and the impact that it has had, speaking from the benefit of my own experience, as well as the input that I've received from my fellow Air Force SVCs.

I would first like to talk about the SVC's role in the ET process. The SARCs and commanders own the process, but the SVCs play an important role. We advocate for our clients' interests, which often means educating and informing commanders throughout the process in order to get them to support our clients' goals. We will help explain to commanders why our client wants an ET in the first place, what the ET will be expected to do for the client, and how the ET will benefit the unit and the Air Force as a whole.

Victims ask for transfers for a variety of reasons, including to get physically closer to their families or other support system; to get away from the subject, especially in cases

where the subject may be a supervisor or in the chain of command; to separate themselves from other airmen who may gossip or discuss the case, especially in cases where many members of the same unit are involved; and to have the opportunity for a fresh start away from the physical location where the assault occurred.

I'd like to give an overview of what

I would consider the typical case where an active
duty airman requests a transfer. Normally, they
make the unrestricted report with the SARC
office, which is where they learn about the
option to request an ET, as well as SVC services.

After I get detailed and establish an attorneyclient relationship with the client, I coordinate
with OSI to schedule the initial victim interview
and attend that interview with the client.

Following the interview, the client considers the pros and cons of requesting the ET, both for their life and for the case. These may include starting over in a new location but having to leave a base that they may like,

possible cross-examination questions at trial by defense counsel, and potential career implications of that decision.

If the clients decides to request it, they put in the official request in our online personnel system where they list up to three bases and provide justification as to why they want to go to those locations. All of my clients have requested bases that are close to their families or to other support systems. Their justifications focus on their well-being and starting over away from the subject and other airmen who may know about the sexual assault.

I have not found that my clients are trying to get to what are generally considered highly-desirable locations, such as Hawaii or Italy. For example, I have had clients transfer from Shaw to Dyess Air Force Base in Abilene, Texas, McConnell Air Force Base in Kansas, and Moody Air Force Base in Augusta, Georgia, not that those aren't great locations.

Once it is officially requested, ETs

get involved by having meetings and telephone calls with commanders to advocate for approval of In my discussions with commanders, they the ET. want to know that the client has fully thought through the implications of their decision and they rely on the SVCs to really educate them about the ET process and the military justice process from the victims' perspectives specifically. This indicates to me that the commanders are trying to balance my clients' well-being and career, trying to understand the process, and trying to do what they believe is the right thing for the client and for the Air Force.

We acknowledge that commanders have a difficult task in balancing the mission and the victims' needs and, thus, we emphasize the critical importance of the ET for a victim's recovery and often retention in the Air Force.

An airman forced to remain in a hostile or threatening environment will not remain a productive airman, and commanders are often

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

receptive to such an appropriately-framed ET.

If it is approved, it goes to the Air Force personnel center and it usually takes a month to two months, sometimes less, for them to actually leave the base and move to their new duty station. For unusual or unique cases, the SVC takes the lead role in coordinating with AFPC and crafting solutions.

The transfers and the OSI
investigations run concurrently, which minimizes
any potential investigative impact. The local
OSI detachment will continue to run the
investigation after the client moves bases. I
have had cases where there are minor follow-up
questions needed, and we set that up via
teleconference with the investigators.

I have not seen a negative impact to the case processing through the military justice system caused by the client being at a different base. And all of my clients who have transferred from Shaw have traveled back to Shaw for the Article 32 hearing and for trial.

Although ETs have many benefits to the victims who request them, I want you to know that ETs are rarely a complete panacea for all of the challenges facing a sexual assault victim. In some cases, the ET can actually introduce new problems for the victims, and the victims, with the help of their SVCs, carefully consider where the benefits of the ET are outweighed by the costs.

Additionally, the client must weigh the potential career implications, as we've discussed today. Many of our airmen have been trained on a specific airframe or have a job that is available at a limited number of bases. This creates a challenge because, in smaller career fields, many of the people within that career field could also be involved in the case, or the client may fear that if they stay within that career field they may be later reassigned back with the subject.

Because of these concerns, the client, with the SVC's help, can request to cross-train.

This may be a difficult decision for a client to leave a career field that they may have spent years training in and feel a connection to.

In my view and experience echoed by the input that I got from my fellow Air Force SVCs, abuse of the ET program is not prevalent. I have not had the experience where I have felt like a client is gaming the system in order to obtain an ET. In fact, the majority of my clients did not know that the expedited transfer system exists until the SARC briefed them on it when they were actually making the unrestricted report.

My perception is that my clients that have requested the transfer have done so to be close to their family or other support system, and I believe that these motivations are genuine. Before they put the request in, we discuss the pros and cons, the career impacts, and how the transition may be more difficult than they think. A great deal of thought and discussion goes into the client's decision to put in the request.

Finally, as attorneys, we are ethically bound to act in good faith, and we cannot facilitate a request if we know it's being made fraudulently.

SVCs have been integral in advocating to and working with SARCs, OSI, commanders, our base legal offices, and the Air Force Personnel Center to best serve our clients in order to support and assist with their healing, recovery, and rehabilitation. We have found the expedited transfer program to be a positive, valuable program for our clients. Thank you.

MR. MARKLAND: Good afternoon. I'm

Commander Paul Markland, and I'm a Coast Guard

special victims' counsel stationed in Cleveland,

Ohio. I was commissioned a little over 21 years

ago, and, since then, I've also served as trial

counsel, defense counsel, and staff judge

advocate.

I received my initial SVC training and certification in 2014, and I've represented 33 victim clients, 13 of whom have ongoing cases.

Regarding my perspective on the

victims' counsel program generally, I can tell
you that it's the most personally satisfying work
I've done as a judge advocate. Back when I was
litigating regularly, I remember watching sexual
assault victims on the stand and thinking that
the government has its lawyers, the accused has
lawyers, but if anyone really needs one now it's
the victim.

aspect has been that it curbs that unintentional and intentional victim bullying that, unfortunately, has become customary in a military justice proceeding. The most problematic aspect of the program that I see is our current need to fill SVC positions with new judge advocates because they're expected to be the victim's expert on the system before they've experienced any of the system's competing interests. I'm confident that, as our program evolves, we'll be able to improve it with SVCs who know what it's like to prosecute and defend in courts-martial.

I always mention the expedited

transfer program to new clients, but usually they already heard about it when they first made their sexual assault report. So far, I've had five clients who wanted expedited transfers. I put in a request for all five. Their commands recommended approval for all of them, and they were all granted to the client's satisfaction and within policy guidelines.

From my perspective, the request process in the Coast Guard is simple. If clients are interested, I talk to them about whether the reason for requesting a transfer fits the policy. If they express a fear of retaliation or desire to be closer to family support or some other distant support network or any other pertinent reason, then we collaborate on a request.

After confirming the reason for transferring, I ask clients to write a short email intended for their command that says, in their own words, why they want an expedited transfer and where they prefer to go. I have them send it to me first so I can forward it with

more of a legal justification that connects the client's narrative to the policy. Then I attach a copy of the policy and send it to the command, and I copy the command's servicing legal office and the SARC.

After that, the command runs with it.

They have to produce a formal recommendation memo
that's significantly more comprehensive than the
email I sent them.

While preparing that over the next few days, they call me with questions and often with specific transfer proposals, wondering if the client would be okay with a certain job at a certain unit. Those calls indicate to me that the command is already talking with the client's assignment officer at our personnel service center in Washington, D.C., which is where all our transfer orders come from and it's where the command's recommendation memo is headed.

A couple of times at that stage, I've called assignment officers directly to advocate for my client's interests, and they've been open

to that discussion.

within two weeks of requesting an expedited transfer, my clients have gotten their new orders. After the first time, I've had to warn new clients that it could happen that fast, and they've all remarked that two weeks is not a lot of time to prepare for a permanent change of station. But the orders always give them at least another 30 days to execute, and I'm confident we can get even more time if we needed it.

We have frequent transfers off the cutter fleet. I've had three cases in which clients were assigned to a cutter when they made their sexual assault report and potentially could have just transferred ashore to the base where the ship ties up. But I don't think that was ever seriously considered. I suspect that's probably because our bases are really small compared to the other Services, so the odds are high that the victim and the accused would encounter each other at the gym, at the exchange,

at the health clinic, in the galley, in the parking lot, etcetera.

The most populated Coast Guard base is Base Alameda, California. And when all the cutters are in, it's around 2400 people on a tenth of a square mile of space. I have been there several times, and I don't like my chances of successfully avoiding anyone either stationed there or home-ported there. And any two people working there are likely to discover they have overlapping functions.

There is only one other base that comes close to that size, and the rest of our populations are much smaller. At any of our installations, a military protective order might prevent interaction, but it doesn't stop an accidental encounter from happening in the first place, which can be very traumatic. And just imagining the likelihood could cause a victim to become hypervigilant instead of focused on duties.

In the Coast Guard, victims of any

crime are usually not co-located with the prosecutor. So having a complaining witness who needs a plane ticket to show up was typical before there were expedited transfers. None of my expedited transfer clients have resisted cooperation with the prosecution.

I haven't had an increase in these requests in the past year. I helped with two requests in the past 12 months and two in the 12-month period before that. After their transfer, one of my client's enlistment expired. The rest are still serving in the Coast Guard.

I see the fact that they want to continue serving as one of the benefits of expedited transfers, but, before their transfer, all these clients were feeling tormented where they were. I see the ability to quickly rescue them from that as the biggest benefit of the program, and I wholeheartedly recommend its continuation.

Everything I just described is my own experience, but I also have some common

observations from the other Coast Guard SVCs.

Most clients decide not to seek an expedited transfer, and they tend to want to bring as little attention as possible to their situation. The ones who do transfer tend to express sadness about leaving their units, their shipmates, and their homes. The most common reason for requesting the transfer is relief from the distracting and relentless rumor mill that pervades cutters and small boat stations.

with the prosecution tend to make that aversion clear, regardless of whether they are interested in an expedited transfer. The most common reason for becoming uninterested in the prosecution is because it takes too long. The combined investigation and legal analysis take about six months, and that's just getting to a charge sheet.

Clients who are already participating in the prosecution tend to continue participating after their expedited transfer. And there are a

couple of cases in which clients initially 1 2 decline prosecution but are re-engaged after their expedited transfer because they felt safer 3 without the accused around and even saw the move 4 as an opportunity to get their careers back on 5 track. 6 7 Lastly, all of the Coast Guard's SVCs 8 I've heard from favor continuation of the 9 expedited transfer program. Thank you. 10 CHAIR BASHFORD: Thank you all. Judge Grimm. 11 12 JUDGE GRIMM: Can each of you take 13 just a minute or two and give us some more detail 14 about your goal during the actual court proceedings prior to the beginning of the trial 15 16 and during the court process? For example, if 17 you believe that an improper line in cross-18 examination, do you have the ability during the 19 20 MAJOR JACK: I'd say, pretrial, It

really just depends on the complexity of the case

and at what point do I get involved in a case.

21

If I've been involved since the beginning of the case, I'm there for every interview between the investigators' criminal investigative division, or CID, with the prosecutors themselves, and, if my client has agreed to meet with defense counsel, I'm also there when they meet with defense counsel.

I'm there for any pre-trial hearings, so any motions, requests for continuance, whatever. As far as the actual trial, I'm there in the courtroom the entire time, and I, on breaks, go out and explain to my client what is going on. I'm there if there's any meetings between a prosecution and a client in preparation for their testimony, if there's issues that come up during trial.

If there's any issues during trial, in my particular jurisdiction at Fort Hood, we had to object through the prosecutors so that we would pass notes to the prosecutor and let them know that, hey, you should be objecting at this point. I maybe had to do that one time because

our judges and our prosecutors were pretty good at making sure that the defense counsel or prosecution were, well, defense counsel were following through on whatever issues had been set at pre-trial as far as psychiatric records, rape shield laws, or anything else pertaining to our client that we have decided ahead of time, that had been decided ahead of time. And also the judge, we're very good at making sure defense counsel were not badgering or harassing the witness. And I will say that happened very rarely with defense counsel. If it did come up, it was mostly with civilian counsel.

So in that process, the JUDGE GRIMM: pre-trial procedure, would that be the most typical time that the special victims' counsel and the prosecutor would raise issues about the ability of the defense to raise issues that would be covered under the auspices of Military Rule of Evidence 412, the rape shield rule?

> MAJOR JACK: Yes, sir.

JUDGE GRIMM: Those would be typically

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

litigated at pre-trial, right?

MAJOR JACK: Yes, sir.

That's the intent. However, obviously, sometimes new issues come up at trial for 412 and 513. In the Navy court system, we will stand, actually VLCs will sit behind the bar. If we have an objection that applies to the standing of the client, we will stand quietly and wait to be acknowledged by the judge and we'll usually make our objection outside of the audience of the members.

In the Army courtroom, however, you have to make your objection through the prosecutor, which, at some points, is problematic because the interest of the prosecutor and the interest of the victim may be divergent.

As far as the Navy VLC is concerned, we do answer all the motions prior to trial separately from the trial counsel, although I have joined with the government in my answers.

JUDGE GRIMM: And when they're argued

pre-trial before the military judge, will the military judge direct questions or give an opportunity to hear from the special victims' counsel separately from the prosecutor?

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Yes, sir. We file our motions, and we ask for oral argument in our motion as a party.

JUDGE GRIMM: Thank you.

MAJOR MARTZ: So our system, your Honor, our system here at the Marine Corps, we would stand quietly if there was an objection in mid trial. If a defense counsel were asking my client an improper question, I would stand, I would wait for the judge to acknowledge me, and then I would object on the basis of Article 6B. Our clients have a right to be treated with fairness and dignity, and I would cite that rule. It's stronger than the case law that supports us on that regard because, typically, you know, the case law says we can only object on 412, 513, but Article 6B came after LRM vs. Kastenberg, so we would object on Article 6B.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

But I would agree with everything they've said about our interaction before trial with respect to motions. We would argue separately from the government typically and during trial. Thank you.

JUDGE GRIMM: Thank you.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Yes, your Honor, same in the Air Force. The majority of our issues are handled in pre-trial motions, and we are given a separate opportunity to argue and we file written responses, as well.

Our rules are actually in our Uniform Rules of Practice, but they mirror the Navy and the Marines in that, while our client is testifying, if we need to object, we sit in the gallery, we are to stand and wait to be acknowledged by the military judge, and we usually ask for an Article 39A session, which is a session outside the hearing with the members.

JUDGE GRIMM: Thank you.

MR. MARKLAND: I have yet had trouble being recognized either pre-trial or during the

trial. You know, pre-trial, we're typically there to argue the motion we filed. It's usually either a 412 or a 513 under the Military Rules of Evidence.

And then during trial, actually in our Norfolk courtroom, the last couple of cases there, they had set up a third table. So there was a trial counsel table, a defense counsel table, and a third table for SVC, and we were in the well. There was another courtroom where I had a lot of cases in Alameda, and we're still in the gallery in that courtroom. And the gallery, it's such a small courtroom, and the gallery is up close. I've never had trouble just standing up and everything stops, and they ask what you want.

JUDGE GRIMM: Collectively, could you just give me one trial thing, and that will be my last question. We're focusing on who we should be hearing from for this committee, and obviously commanders and investigators and special victims' counsel, it is a pleasure to hear such articulate

lawyers who can attest to how meaningful they believe this rule is.

Should we be hearing from military judges? They're independent. They're trained. They're not in the same chain of command as the convening authority. That has been established from when I was in the military as a JAG, and that was before any of you were born. So that independence has been around for a long time. But should we be hearing from military judges? Do you believe that the military judges take seriously, because you are a relatively new role in the courtroom, take seriously the ability to allow you meaningful involvement, or is this not a problem so we can best spend our time looking elsewhere?

MAJOR JACK: I think it's going to depend on a judge. We've had some judges that are supportive of the program. We've had some judges that don't know how to feel about it. And we've had some judges that have outright told us they don't like us. So I think it would be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

beneficial just to get those different
perspectives and see what way you can get those
judges that may not like us to have a little more
respect for the program.

JUDGE GRIMM: Thank you, Major.

MEMBER BRISBOIS: Madam Chair, I've got a question that dovetails right on this. So Judge Grimm is the trial judge. I'm a United States magistrate judge, so I'm the discovery judge. And something you said, you know, during the discovery phase in federal cases that I preside over, you know, the discoverability of something doesn't necessarily translate to the admissibility of something.

So when you fill out on behalf of your clients your requests for expedited transfer and you have to describe the event, that's potentially impeaching type materials. Are you getting requests for those materials?

MAJOR MARTZ: So the Marine Corps policy, sir, is that they're not to provide confidential information.

MEMBER BRISBOIS: Well, with the description of the event, if it differs from the report to CID or NCIS, you know, that discrepancy in description of events could lead to potential impeachment lines of questioning. So are you still, are you getting requests for those materials?

MAJOR MARTZ: I haven't seen that request, sir.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Sir, I have. But they're not -- in the justification, they're justifying why they want to go to these particular locations. I have never seen a client where they have, you know, described all of the facts of the sexual assault in those. And I usually help them write the justifications because I know they're probably going to be discoverable.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: In the Navy requests, I think the most time spent on the actual allegation and the facts of the case are my name is so and so, I made an unrestricted

report of sexual assault on X date, and that date could pertain to the report to the SARC or to law enforcement. And that's really the last time we talk about the case. The rest of the letter is spent talking about the personal needs of the sailor or the officer. And, yes, those issues in that letter have come up in 412 motions and have been the source of cross-examination and credibility questions later. That's one reason that we scrutinize those letters so closely before we submit them to the command.

MAJOR JACK: I have not had requests.

MR. MARKLAND: In my position, discovery has always been neither my client or I have any discovery obligations in the system.

Now, after you talk to the client, if they're onboard, anything is negotiable. I mean, what's in it for us kind of thing. But, you know, typically, I point back to the trial counsel and say talk to him because we don't have any discovery obligations in the system.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Ms. Long and then Ms.

Cannon and then Judge Walton.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MEMBER LONG: So thank you very much for your testimony. This entire day has been very, very instructive. This question is a little bit outside the scope of what you talked about because I'm not sure when we're going to get to ever hear from you all again. But based on your representation of victims, could you each, and knowing that every case is different, could you each tell us what maybe your number one thing your clients have said that they would want out of an investigation or prosecution of a case that would make them feel like they received I know you see a lot of things, but if iustice? you could give us that top thing, the top one or two things.

MAJOR MARTZ: I'd say in the presentencing, so in a case where you get a conviction or a case where the offender is pleading guilty, the thing that I've heard more than once, so I'd say at least two or three times, is something to the effect of that really

felt sanitized, that victim impact statement really felt sanitized. And I think that the rules right now do tend to kind of tighten what the victim can say about their impact.

And then there's also the concerns
that we're advising them that they don't want to
open potentially a door that's never been opened
that isn't relevant to consent or not. For
example, mental health. That might not have been
something that's ever come up, and we don't want
to ruin the case. We want the case to withstand
appellate scrutiny so that the victim doesn't
have to do this again.

So there's lots of considerations that go into that, and then that might be why the victim feels sanitized. But there's also case law that kind of restricts what the victim can say in pre-sentencing. So that's the thing that I felt the worst about in representing victims is that a few of them have said that at the end of the case.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: And as far

as justice and what my clients communicate, well,
I preface this by saying I spend a great deal of
time discussing with my client how the outcome of
the case should not determine their sense of
justice in that a victory or a loss does not
translate to their life. So I spend a lot of
time on that.

But what my clients are generally seeking is they want to know what the accused, when is the accused going to give his side of the story. So I handle that early on that he or she is probably not going to give his or her side of the story.

So they want to know their side of the story. Second, I think they want an apology.

And, third, I hear from my clients that they want the offender to no longer be wearing a uniform, regardless of what happens in the case. And, you know, I can't give all those things to my clients, but that's what they ask for.

MAJOR JACK: I would piggyback on what my colleague from the Navy said as far as what

the, what my clients are seeking as far as I always tell them that every step in justice. the process is a victory, the fact that you report it, the fact that you got the charge, the fact there's a 32 and so on and so on, so that the actual guilty verdict, if there is one, is just kind of like the cherry on the top because getting spun up and wound up and so focused on the outcome, you're going to be disappointed, even if there's a guilty verdict. Most of the time, sometimes you're disappointed because the accused did not get on the stand because, just to piggyback on what she said, they want to hear his, and the majority it's him, his side of the They want to hear that apology, and, if there's an apology during sentencing, it's never good enough. So it's expectation management from the beginning, focus on day by day, take these little victories as they come.

And the other thing I would say a lot, things that they want that they're not necessarily getting is consideration of their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

time and the fact that this takes so much time and they've moved on. In a sense, they've moved Whether they're still in the Service or not, on. they've moved on maybe personally. I've had clients get married, have babies. And it takes so long and it can be extended periods of time between one action to the next that every time they get that phone call from me asking for this or that it's a disruption in their life. need you to come in or spend these next four hours on the phone with us, we need you to come in for this trial, and then the trial gets postponed but they've already made all the arrangements for this particular week in time and now have to push off another month to six months.

So I would say those are the big things that are issues for my clients.

CAPT. TEDFORD: I would echo the frustration with the amount of time that it takes. I hear that a lot from my clients.

As far as just what do they want in addition to justice, I feel like a lot of my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

clients, they just want to be heard. They want the opportunity to be heard. And even in cases that don't have the outcome that they want, and that is certainly frustrating, I have found that they are happy that they went through the process and they had the opportunity to be heard.

MR. MARKLAND: I always ask my clients that question in the beginning, and probably the most common response is I want him out, meaning that they just want that accused to be out of the Coast Guard, they don't want to -- you know, so, typically, it's a male accused, so they don't want to run into him again, they don't want him to be in any other Service or anything like that or any circumstance where they can cross paths again.

And it's funny, the last three written victims' views that I submitted, I specifically asked for what's called a Code RE-4, which is a Re-enlistment Code 04, which is a code that you give someone in their discharge papers that says they can't re-enlist in any Service again. And

when I tell my clients that that is something we can ask for, they tend to jump on that.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Ms. Cannon and then Judge Walton and then Mr. Kramer.

MEMBER CANNON: Thank you all. It's been very informative. We have something in California that passed a few years back called Marsy's Law which provides for something similar but not appointed, and you are provided an attorney for the complaining witness in a sexual assault case. And I think that's somewhat leveling of the playing field.

Now, Captain Tedford, you indicated that abuse is not prevalent and that attorneys, like yourselves, act in good faith. So I'm going to ask a hypothetical and assume that in most cases this does not happen.

If a complaining witness or victim

tells you that it was a fabrication after she's

made a report, assuming it's a woman, and she

still wants the transfer and she wants to get out

because now she's kind of created a bubble of

problems or it's not about the transfer, it's just about the case, under those circumstances, what do you do? What is your role as victim's counsel and your role with the court? And if I could hear from whoever.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Yes, ma'am, I'll go first. So I think, first, it's important to note that I haven't had this experience. In preparing for today, I talked with all of the other Air Force SVCs, and I have not heard of anyone having this experience. So I think that's very important to note up-front.

And then, secondarily, we're all bound by our state bar rules, as well as in the Air Force by the Air Force Rules of Professional Conduct. And so if we know, under your hypothetical, we absolutely know because the client has told us that this was a fabrication, we cannot help the victim facilitate a fraud. I don't believe we have a duty to report to anyone because we're within the bounds of attorney-client privilege, but I would have to

unfortunately tell the client that I could not continue to help them facilitate that fraud.

MAJOR MARTZ: I would echo that,
ma'am. I would look, you know, depending on the
facts. The facts that you described, I would
first look to my JAG Instruction 5803.1E, which
is our rules of professional responsibility, as
well as my state rules, and those rules would
point me to not being able to sponsor that lie,
if that's a lie.

So if that victim were going to take the stand and continue to lie, I would seek my supervisory attorney's permission to withdraw from that case, and that would be it.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: In my
experience, ma'am, I've never had a client
outright say this was a fabrication. What I have
seen is areas of gray and a lot of different
perceptions about what the truth is. So when it
doesn't hit those bar rules, from an officer's
standpoint, from a JAG standpoint, in my duties
to uphold our regulations, I advise the client on

ways to limit their liability, which usually includes no longer participating in the process.

So I do offer that advice to my clients if I believe that they're exposing themselves to further legal liability, either through a fraud or through some other misconduct that's out there or some omission to law enforcement. I will provide advice, either we need to take this to law enforcement, and the client makes the decision.

But my goal is to limit their legal liability. Whether they decide to continue to participate or not and get to those bar rules is another matter.

MAJOR JACK: I would piggyback on what my colleagues said as far as what they would do.

I would say I have had three clients that it turned out their reports were false, but we never even got to the point where the accused was charged because it became very clear during the investigation that they had made a false report.

And in these particular cases, they were all

civilians, so there was nothing that the military could do to them.

So my firm belief is, if someone is making a false report, it will be clear by the end of the investigation that it is a false report. Therefore, this particular individual is not going to be making a fraud upon the court, as it were.

In a case if they had been military and they had requested expedited transfer, that's kind of the risk you take with this program.

But, again, I don't think it would have gotten past the investigative stage. And I don't think, any of my clients who have requested expedited transfer, those reports were false at all. I don't think the expedited transfer program is being abused in that way.

MEMBER WALTON: I guess a little bit of a different wrinkle in the hypothetical that was just asked. You say that sometimes you're present during the interviews that the prosecutor has with the alleged victim. If the alleged

victim says something during that discussion that you perceive to be exculpatory, the prosecutor does not, and so the prosecutor feels there's no obligation to disclose that. But you think it is. Do you have an obligation under those circumstances to disclose it?

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: No, sir.

MEMBER WALTON: Because it seems to me one of the problems you have is that if your role is a counselor, why do you need the prosecutor present? So if the prosecutor is present when that statement is made, does that alleviate the confidentiality of the statement?

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Yes, sir.

So as far as confidentiality, there is none.

However, I don't have a duty under Giglio or

Brady to turn over that.

Now, what I will tell you in this situation is I would have two conversations. I would have one conversation with the prosecutor about why they need to turn this over, and we would have a stern heart-to-heart about following

the rules. And then I would have a second conversation with my client about why it's in the benefit of her participation in going forward in this case to have that piece of information turned over to the defense.

And so in the absence of good faith on the part of the prosecutor, I would probably urge my client to give me permission to turn that information over to the defense myself.

MEMBER WALTON: One other question.

If there is a determination on a request for an expedited transfer denied and it's denied on the basis of there not being credible evidence of the allegation, is that determination admissible at a subsequent trial?

MAJOR JACK: I don't know if it would be admissible. But I treat that kind of thing like I would anything that the defense may take as innocuous, I believe, and turn it into something that it's not in order to make my client feel bad.

And I think it would depend on exactly

when that request was made and at what point in the investigation because if the report was already made two days ago, there's going to be credible information, but it may be more credible once there's been more of an investigation. So I think it really depends on the circumstances, but I don't think the fact that an expedited transfer was denied would have any bearing on the case because, again, the defense takes a lot of things that are pretty innocuous and try to make them seem worse than they are, in my experience.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Your

Honor, sir, the case law in this particular

subject is evidence of prior sexual assaults is

not admissible. However, evidence of prior false

reports is going to be coming up on cross
examination for credibility purposes.

However, this is going to get meted out during the pre-trial motions, and the judge is going to make a determination beforehand whether or not the specific instances of that prior report actually rise to the level of a

false report. And in some cases, the victim will be cross examined on the stand about the prior knowledge of the expedited transfer process, why they requested the expedited transfer, and if they've had an expedited transfer before this case. Those things can come on cross-examination, but there are limitations in the case law that talk specifically about that.

Thank you very much for MR. KRAMER: the presentation. It was very interesting. Ι have a two-part question, and I completely understand Commander Markland's problem with running into the person all the time, which must be just terrible. So one thing I wonder is, since it's only for unrestricted reports, have you seen people change a restricted report to an unrestricted report just to take advantage of the expedited transfer process? I guess you probably don't have statistics but, anecdotally, how often that happens.

And the second question is bigger in the sense we were given statistics. And as I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

said, I understand Commander Markland, and it
would seem to me to be terrible. But the numbers
are actually pretty low of the percentage. I
view it as low. Maybe you view it as high
because it obviously causes a huge disruption in
people's lives. But it varies from 10 percent in
the Air Force, 13 in the Army, and Marine Corp
18. But then 29 percent for the Navy, much, much
higher. And I wonder why. To me, it seems very
low, given the problems as described with having
to run into the person all the time, and I'm just
wondering why. Am I misreading it as low? Does
it seem high to you?
CAPT. TEDFORD: Sir, can I just ask a
clarification? That number, is that the number
of expedited transfer requests?
MR. KRAMER: Unrestricted reports
requesting expedited transfer, and the Air Force
was the lowest of all, 10 percent.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Maybe it's the percentage of unrestricted reports from people who have then asked for an expedited transfer.

MAJOR MARTZ: I think there are so many factors that go into a victim's choice to submit an expedited transfer that it's too hard to capture why one Service is higher than another because there could be so much going on in each particular victim's life that it might just be, you know, the way it is.

But I think that those numbers are actually low in comparison out of our clients, at least for me. About 25 to, excuse me, about 20 to 30 percent of my clients ask for an expedited transfer, so it's pretty close to what you said about the Marine Corp's number, so that's pretty accurate, I think.

But going back to your first question, sir, restricted to unrestricted, I have not seen a victim, at least anecdotally, that went restricted to unrestricted just to get an expedited transfer. I've actually seen a restricted case that got an unwritten expedited transfer, so there's creative ways to, you know, if the victim does not want to report, that's on,

you know, the VLC or SVC to kind of figure that out. But I have not seen that yet. I think it goes back to there's probably a perception out there that's, frankly, unwarranted because the exceptional case tends to pollute people's minds that people are unrestricting so that they can go somewhere better. I hope that answers your question, sir.

CHAIR BASHFORD: Would you all concur anecdotally that you have not seen that move?

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: I have.

CHAIR BASHFORD: You have seen the --

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: I have, and I've advised clients to make that choice for their best interest. A client can unrestrict their case, submit their expedited transfer request, and simultaneously sign a document declining to participate with law enforcement. It's for all number of reasons but for their privacy. And I've seen it in our regular active duty Services and also in our academy.

MR. KRAMER: Do you have a feel for

why the Navy's percentage is so much higher?

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Sir, I
would be speculating.

MAJOR JACK: I would say, in my experience, most of the clients that made restricted reports are unrestricted, they're unrestricting their report not for expedited transfer but for some other reason. And I would say why their percentage is low as far as people who ask for expedited transfer is because some clients are married to other Service members or they're married to civilians who have jobs in the Maybe their support system is in the area, area. or maybe they just don't want another major event in their life, so they're choosing to stay. could be that they do have a supportive unit. could be in their unit they're not experiencing retaliation, they're not experiencing any rumors, or the good with the unit outweighs the bad. So I would think those could be some reasons why the percentage are so low.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Sir, I would concur

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

with both. I have seen where a case is restricted and then goes unrestricted and asks for the expedited transfer program but then also does not participate in the military justice process and that, frankly, was a case that that was the right decision that that client made for herself.

The 10-percent number, my numbers are a little bit higher. I've had 37 clients and 9 have taken advantage of the expedited transfer program, which is about 24.3 percent. And I would echo my colleagues that these are complex decisions that complex humans are making in every case.

MR. MARKLAND: I would say, for my clients, I haven't had any who went unrestricted to take advantage of expedited transfers. But what happens is what they want is justice, and so they take advantage of going unrestricted for that purpose. And then when the MCIOs start investigating, that's when kind of everything breaks loose at the command, then they want the

expedited transfer because it's a little bit too much of what's going on at the command because everyone knows at that point. So one kind of begets the other.

And then I think a lot of the reasons, because, like you said, in the Coast Guard, everywhere is small and you'll run into them all the time. But I think the commands are moving the accused. A lot of times they've already moved the accused before I've gotten involved. So that's why those folks aren't asking because they're still not going to run into them so they don't need the expedited transfer to accomplish that.

DEAN HARRISON: First, I'd like to thank all of you for what you're doing. It sounds like it's really a tremendous step forward for all of the Services.

But I've got two questions. As I read
the DoD regs, it sounds like there is a
presumption for granting a request for an
expedited transfer. But as I listen to each of

you, and this might be just because you're really good lawyers, you approach these cases as if you have to prove that your client's case should be granted. Should I assume that the commands do not always approach this as something where the victim should be presumed to be given an expedited transfer? I know you're just basing this on your own experiences and not --

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: Exactly.

This is my opinion and not the opinion of the

Navy. But, yes, my perception and my experience

is that commanders, there is a higher bar, and we

do have to provide a certain amount of

information for that commander to believe that

they are making a decision that meets the

regulation.

MAJOR MARTZ: I think it depends on the commander, and it depends on the victim. The case that I ran into a couple of roadblocks with, there were some other things going on in the victim's life, and the commander was not colocated with that victim because that victim, the

06 level commander was located way far away.

It's a reserve unit, so way far away from where

the -- so he doesn't personally know the victim.

So I think, again, it depends on the commander, it depends on the victim. That's on us, that's on the SAPR program to advocate on behalf of that victim so that commander who doesn't know the victim very well knows that story.

But I've had very good luck for the most part, sir. Commanders are very receptive, at least the commanders I've interacted with, to granting that request, and it happens fast.

Like, I think, Commander Markland, you mentioned, I mean, I've seen a victim submit a request and the same day get orders and she's got to be gone within the week. She was very excited, though, so it worked out.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Sir, I would echo that. I've found commanders to be very receptive. I've found them that they're concerned about our clients. They want to make

sure the clients have fully thought through the decision and there is handoff to the next commander. But I've found, generally, that they have followed the presumption.

I think the SVCs and the SARCs have to walk them through, especially if they haven't, even though they've had the training, if they haven't done an expedited transfer before, it's sometimes a foreign concept. And so we have to walk them through. But I have found that they follow the presumption.

MR. MARKLAND: My perspective of the Coast Guard commanders has been that they don't have a presumption one way or the other. They just want to do the right thing, whatever that is. So when I send my requests, I do two things: I send them a copy of the policy, which is pretty short and easy to understand, and then I also, at the bottom, call your servicing legal office because I know, as a former HHA, they'll forget to do that sometimes. Then the legal office will guide them through the process.

But, otherwise, I'm not sure they have a bias one way or another. They just want to know what they should do.

LT. COMMANDER HUFFSTETLER: And some commanders call us.

MAJOR JACK: I would agree that most commanders want to do the right thing. And most of the time, I'm not getting the calls. They are calling their trial counsel and asking those questions, and I may hear about it through the trial counsel. But I think it's really going to depend on the commander how much effort you're putting in on the front end because, if you have a new commander, you may have to put in more effort on the front end because this is perhaps their first one. And if you have a commander who's in their second year, then you already know what you have to do for that particular commander.

But I think most commanders are wanting to do the right thing and take care of the soldier and make sure they're following the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rules.

DEAN HARRISON: My last question, it sounds like, for some of you, this is a major part of your assignment. For some of you, it's a collateral duty maybe. As lawyers in your Services, is an assignment like this looked upon beneficially for your career advancement or neutrally or . . .

MAJOR JACK: I would say, in the Army, it depends on the installation whether or not it's going to be a full-time or a part-time duty. I personally think it should be a full-time, no matter where you are. And I personally think, just like a lot of things in the Army, we may not have enough bodies for the new mission that you have to do. So I think some installations don't have enough SVCs, so bigger installations end up taking a lot more clients.

So at Fort Hood, like it was said earlier, we get a lot of clients in for expedited transfers. And some of them want an SVC that's on the ground, so we end up taking a lot more

cases than another installation because we just have three full-time, four full-time SVCs.

I think with any new job in any of the Services, people are going to have a question of whether or not this is going to be good for your career. I never had any of those questions. My philosophy is the Army tells me I have to do this, I'm going to do it. So I don't worry about whether it's going to have a bad impact on my career or not. And in my experience, without even being asked, having asked someone about that, I've been told don't worry about it, even though I never had those worries, but I've been told don't worry about it. And I think that has borne out in my experience thus far.

Navy, sir, the Victims' Legal Counsel Program, in my opinion, is looked on highly. Anecdotally, we've had good promotion rates. However, that could be because we're also personally screened by our judge advocate before we're placed in this position.

I have direct reach back to my deputy chief of staff and my chief of staff of the program, and they check on me pretty often on my personal well-being, as well, because this job has some stresses that are unusual for trial positions. So I do think that the program and the position is looked on highly by my Service. And while it's a newer job in the JAG Corps, there are metrics and also reach-back in place to make sure that we're all doing well.

MAJOR MARTZ: Sir, I just want to start by saying it is a sole duty in the Marine Corps to be a VLC. We are screened, like the Navy, not only by the OIC of the victims' legal counsel. Those individuals identified and nominated to that person are then screened by that person through an interview process, and then they're certified by the SJA to the Commandant.

So there is a screening process even to become a VLC, and I would say it's still a mixed bag in the Marine Corps. I think that

there's probably a good number of people, especially amongst the younger judge advocates that really want to do it, but I think that there's still folks who think that it's this three-headed beast that's now entered the courtroom. But I think we're getting past that and we're doing well anecdotally on promotion boards and school boards.

And so I think that more and more, as the younger folks see that, you know, the old geezers like me are moving up and we're hanging in there, I think as they see that, then I think that it will continue to produce -- we're very lucky. We have very good people across the board, and I think that, as the practice has grown over the last four years, we continue to get better and better counsel coming in and people are volunteering more and more. But we're not quite where the total stigma that VLC could be damaging to your career is gone yet. So thank you, sir.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Yes. So I've found in

the Air Force that -- well, first, let me say it is a full-time position, so these are my sole duties. And I've found that it is looked at as beneficial. You have to be nominated. So for me, I was in the legal office at my base before. I was nominated by my SJA for this job, and then there is a formal bidding process, as well.

I think it's looked at beneficially for a couple of reasons. But for junior JAGs, like myself, I was in the legal office for two years before I moved over to this job. And in this job, I have been given the opportunity to run my own office. I have my own paralegal, and you have a certain degree of, you're given a certain degree of leadership and autonomy that captains don't normally get.

MR. MARKLAND: All I have for you is my personal observation, which is just that the folks that are doing this work are getting promoted.

CHAIR BASHFORD: All right. Ms. Garvin, and then I think we're pretty much out of time.

MS. GARVIN: So jumping off of what

Jennifer Long had asked, kind of a broader

question since I'm not sure when we're going to

have you back, Major Martz, you brought up that

Article 6B is broader than 412 and 513, but so

much of your practice has been seemingly

constrained to 412 and 513. So I'm just curious

how much you guys are arguing general 6B practice

and then what you feel about your capacity to

challenge losses of your motion practice on 6B.

MAJOR MARTZ: So, actually, with the help of probably you, Ms. Garvin, and Lisa
Krieger Norman and all of us get together and we talk out these issues, I think the next charge for us is, and I'm stealing the Air Force's thunder here, I'm totally stealing this from them, the next charge is Article 6B right to be free from a reasonable delay, going back to the complaint that's been highlighted about the victims. It drives us and them crazy that it takes so long. So any time a defense counsel files a continuance motion, then there's a good

chance we might be fighting it because they don't want to have that phone call where we're saying, all right, this was supposed to happen in January, now it's happening in April. They want to get this over with. So that's one area that I think we're seeing similar motions practice.

The other area that we're seeing, at least within the Marine Corps, is seeking those protective orders over certain types of evidence, going back to what's discoverable versus what's produced. We talked about discover admissibility, but discovery versus production. You know, a kit and photos and mental health records and medical records and cell phone data, you know, that all could be an area that we are seeking protective orders over from either the convening authority if it's early on or the military judge under Article 6B out of our client, again, going back to the right to be treated with fairness and dignity and respect of their privacy.

CAPT. TEDFORD: Yes, ma'am, we are arguing Article 6B. I will echo everything that

Major Martz said, to include the issue or the motions that are not foretold for 513. The judges are wanting to hear us make a statement argument first, and so we're having to fight to even get a foot in the door, but we are making those motions.

MR. MARKLAND: I am so ready to stand up and make an Article 6B argument. And that was the one thing, when Article 6B came out, I said this is it, this is what has been concerning me, you know, because even I have had opportunity to disrespect a sexual assault victim when I was defending and things like that. And what I'm learning now, which I didn't expect, was that defense counsel are now figuring out how to deconstruct a complaining witness's credibility without taking away their dignity. And so I haven't had to stand up on that yet.

MAJOR JACK: I'd say, in my practice, the majority of the motions we've had were around 412 and 513. So I haven't had an opportunity to really argue 6B, but the program, the SVC

1	program, since its inception, has been very
2	supportive of SVCs pushing the limits of what
3	they can do. So I'm sure other SVCs may have had
4	to argue with 6B and, you know, would have called
5	their colleagues and other Services for advice.
6	CHAIR BASHFORD: Well, thank you very
7	much, Commander Markland, Captain Tedford, Major
8	Martz, Lieutenant Commander Huffstetler, and
9	Major Jack. We really appreciate you coming.
10	Keep up the good work.
11	Captain Tideswell, have there been any
12	last-minute requests for public comment?
13	CAPT. TIDESWELL: No, ma'am, there
14	have not.
15	CHAIR BASHFORD: Then Mr. Sullivan?
16	MR. SULLIVAN: This public meeting is
17	officially closed.
18	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
19	went off the record at 5:08 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	

A
A.J 1:17 98:7
Abilene 163:18
ability 51:14 52:6 58:20
70:4 107:11 117:21
129:16 145:7 153:22
174:17 176:18 178:18
183:13
able 16:12 19:18 20:15
20:17 32:4,7,19 71:14
78:9 93:3 116:15
128:4 150:20 151:2
153:10,19 155:13
157:12,13 159:11,15
169:20 195:9
above-entitled 29:7
132:11 220:18
absence 199:6
absent 15:5
absolute 19:6
absolutely 139:19
145:6 194:17
abuse 114:18 167:6 193:14
193:14 abused 197:17
abusive 136:2
academy 131:11
204:21
accepted 144:11
accepting 123:12
access 15:11 30:1
108:21 109:6 111:6
112:10,13 114:12
129:18
accesses 73:20
accidental 173:17
accompanied 38:16
accomplish 153:20
207:13
accomplished 113:19
accountability 50:19
accountable 147:16
159:13
accurate 203:14
accusation 113:21
accused 81:14 86:8
87:21 88:21 89:21
93:3 108:19 109:4,20
150:10 169:6 172:21
176:4 189:9,10
190:12 192:10,12 196:19 207:9,10
accuser 103:15 109:15
acknowledge 28:11
164:15 180:14
acknowledged 179:10
181:17
ACOM 59:18 60:6,9
I

act 5:11 39:5 168:2
193:15 acting 11:22 13:10
action 25:21 40:21 59:7
action 25:21 40:21 59:7 61:15 64:1 105:3
191:7
active 15:21 69:21 88:3
99:6 130:17 162:9
204:20
active-duty 133:3
actual 36:11 105:10,14 152:3 176:14 177:10
185:21 190:6
adaptive 16:1
add 73:14 120:16
added 36:5 141:7
addition 35:2 44:12
72:10 94:1 119:17,21
129:2 131:15 135:13
191:22
additional 31:18 40:16
41:8 45:12 52:7
119:19,22 Additionally 50:9
166:10
address 14:3 127:11
addressing 43:20
adequately 21:7
Adjourn 3:8
adjudicate 105:17
adjudication 56:5 80:2
86:6
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14 195:22 advised 40:11 136:19
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14 195:22 advised 40:11 136:19 204:14
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14 195:22 advised 40:11 136:19
86:6 administrative 3:2 87:8 133:9 142:12 159:14 Admiral 107:3 admissibility 184:14 218:11 admissible 199:14,17 200:15 admit 154:6 adopted 74:13 adult 41:16 128:14 131:13 136:4 advancement 212:7 advantage 201:17 206:10,17,19 adverse 40:21 61:15 advice 37:12 57:21 134:7 136:14 142:4 142:21 196:3,8 220:5 advise 5:13 126:1 141:22 144:3,9 150:15 151:4 152:14 195:22 advised 40:11 136:19 204:14 advising 151:13 153:7

act 5:11 39:5 168:2

```
advisor 2:4 33:5 61:9
Advisory 1:3 4:5 5:4
advocacy 41:4 42:22
  50:2,14 68:18 90:11
  90:20
advocate 6:7 37:2 42:7
  50:20 54:21 58:19
  123:4 124:10 125:21
  125:22 133:3,8,18
  135:1,5 138:14,16
  141:4 143:8,13 147:8
  148:4 153:7 157:12
  161:10 164:2 168:18
  169:3 171:21 209:6
  213:21
advocates 31:10 42:1
  47:12 83:15 87:19
  90:6 136:20 144:18
  169:15 215:2
advocating 168:4
affect 63:20 98:15
  113:1 122:8 142:6
afforded 127:6
AFPC 165:7
AFSC 10:12
afternoon 4:3 5:1 7:9
  7:12 10:10 44:2 46:12
  49:1,2 53:13,17 133:1
  138:7 160:15 168:12
agencies 50:12 51:3
  53:5 141:15
agency 50:17,21
agenda 30:12 42:19
agent 54:21
ago 63:9 77:6 160:14
  168:16 200:3
agree 135:9 181:1
  211:6
agreed 72:22 73:12
  177:5
agreement 12:7
ahead 29:3 129:3 143:8
  147:1 178:7,8
Ahlers 7:12,13
aided 29:20 51:2
Air 2:8,15 7:13 8:8
  10:13 11:3,8 15:15
  20:13 21:1 22:21 26:3
  27:4 49:6,11,15,21,22
  50:1 51:9,13 52:10,12
  69:9 82:20 83:9 87:2
  91:9 102:3,11 110:20
  111:4,5 116:21
  117:13 119:11,13,14
  119:15 128:10 129:16
  130:11 131:12 160:17
  160:20 161:6,17
  163:18,19,20 164:13
```

164:19 165:2 167:5 168:6 181:8 194:9,14 194:15 202:7,18 216:1 217:15 aircraft 97:3 103:12 138:15 **airframe** 166:13 airman 8:8 10:11 13:11 13:19 52:1 83:4 162:10 164:20,22 airmen 22:5 51:8 53:10 162:3 163:13 166:12 Alameda 173:4 182:11 alerts 86:9 aligned 135:7 145:15 allegation 61:14 86:10 112:18 115:4 185:21 199:14 allegations 5:15 9:7 42:5 79:6 alleged 36:3,16 43:18 52:15,17 55:7 61:16 78:16 79:8,8,12 82:13 82:14 83:3,10,14,20 84:10,15,21 103:15 108:6 111:2.13 114:16 197:22.22 alleviate 140:7 198:12 allow 84:19 88:9 134:12 183:14 allows 52:4 69:9 86:18 89:20 142:8 156:4 alluded 71:5,8 **alter** 36:8 altogether 112:21 **Amanda** 2:10 53:18 amazing 43:7 ambassador 17:3 ambulance 149:11 amended 5:12 amount 67:4 129:9 191:19 208:13 analysis 69:12 175:17 analyze 157:7 and/or 136:14,20 137:8 **Anderson** 1:14 108:13 108:14 109:14,18 110:16,19 111:10 anecdotal 93:10 anecdotally 65:9 201:19 203:17 204:10 213:18 215:7 annotate 37:6 annotated 82:10 107:22 annotating 82:10 announced 35:20 annual 57:10 81:7 anonymous 76:6,8

answer 48:20 64:13 80:8 83:1 86:21 90:19 94:6 130:22 131:4 179:19 answering 64:6 132:9 answers 110:14 179:21 204:7 Antonio 11:6 anxiety 140:7,9 141:10 142:8 anxious 140:2 anybody 81:4 90:21 102:5 158:12 anymore 22:12 anyway 72:13 80:5 113:7 apologize 17:18 29:17 108:11 apology 189:15 190:15 190:16 appeal 34:15 35:15 39:8,9 104:19 116:15 117:8,16,17 152:13 153:22 appealing 154:2 appeals 89:6 152:11 appear 65:9 appellate 188:12 applicable 61:18 application-based 128:20 **applies** 78:19 179:8 **apply** 105:3 **appointed** 5:7 193:9 **appreciate** 24:21 66:5 85:18 107:8 108:11 220:9 appreciated 137:14 approach 33:3 51:4 208:2,5 approached 18:16 143:14 **appropriate** 54:11 61:4 appropriately 52:6 135:1 appropriately-framed 165:1 approval 59:15 60:5 117:2 164:2 170:6 approvals 153:5 157:10 **approve** 35:13 39:12 46:6 55:16 144:22 approved 38:14 45:22 51:18 145:4,21 153:3 165:2 approximately 138:13 139:3 145:1 **April** 20:5 218:4

area 54:8 55:4,10 69:13 84:16 85:2 122:10,15 122:21 205:13,13 218:5,7,15 areas 40:16 50:2 156:5 195:18 argue 181:3,10 182:2 219:22 220:4 argued 179:22 arguing 217:8 218:22 argument 180:6 219:4 219:8 Arlington 1:11 148:21 arm 11:14 **Armed** 1:4 4:7 5:6,17 **Army** 2:12,20 5:20 7:6 56:20 57:5,7 59:6,16 59:16 62:17,18,19 63:3,11,12 85:5 91:9 98:4 102:4,18 112:1 114:12 120:10 131:8 132:3 133:4,7,10 147:4 179:13 202:7 212:9,14 213:7 **Army's** 15:18 57:1,12 57:14 133:18 arrangements 191:14 **arrival** 52:1,8 arriving 74:17 **Article** 165:22 180:15 180:21.22 181:18 217:5,17 218:18,22 219:8,9 **Articles** 88:14 92:2 articulate 182:22 artillery 63:1 **ASCC** 59:18 60:6,9 **ashore** 172:16 asked 17:7 29:16 31:22 65:2 66:8 71:17 98:10 116:10 152:20 156:14 192:19 197:20 202:22 213:11,11 217:2 asking 124:9 180:12 191:8 207:11 211:9 asks 75:10 206:2 aspect 14:4 16:21 17:2 27:22 69:2 83:15 129:11 142:8 145:18 169:10,13 assault 1:3 2:3,4,6,7 3:3 4:7 5:5,15 8:8,14 9:5,7 11:14 13:7 14:15 20:5,22 31:5,9

32:6 33:15 34:12 36:1

37:1 41:17 43:16 44:4

46:14 49:11,22 50:7

50:11 51:20 54:16

56:20 57:9.16.18.19 58:2,18 60:19 61:10 63:15,17 64:4 67:8 72:5,7,15 73:4 75:13 76:1 78:20 79:18 82:6 83:13 88:13 89:2,22 90:16 91:22 99:5 100:1,21 108:4 109:4 109:13,19,20 112:18 119:6,18 124:20 125:7,11 133:13,22 134:1,13 136:3 137:13 139:21 143:22 147:8 149:20 154:11 162:7 163:13 166:4 169:5 170:3 172:15 185:15 186:1 193:11 219:12 assaulted 17:20 26:16 26:20 32:9 73:2 158:10 assaulting 155:19 assaults 26:4 200:14 assess 38:3 74:13 assessed 50:8 assesses 51:9 assessments 53:6 assigned 26:22 113:1 172:14 **assignment** 2:10 49:22 60:17 114:20 138:4 171:16,21 212:4,6 Assignments 53:20 assist 6:20 51:18 98:3 115:2 130:9 142:17 149:2 152:10,13 168:8 assistance 129:22 133:9 assisted 148:13 152:5 152:6 153:2 associated 74:18 **assume** 119:3 125:5 193:16 208:4 **assuming** 108:18 193:20 assumption 119:20 124:4 **assure** 109:9 attach 171:2 attachments 107:20 attack 19:18 attempt 140:7 attempted 15:9 attempting 22:17 attend 17:7 162:17 attendance 5:3 attention 28:22 92:8

141:9 175:4 attest 183:1 attorney 89:16 93:14 133:9,10,22 148:14 193:10 attorney's 195:13 attorney- 162:14 194:21 attorney-client 134:18 148:15 attorneys 87:19 89:18 168:1 193:14 atypical 113:7 audience 4:9 7:21 179:11 August 148:12,20 Augusta 163:20 **auspices** 178:19 authority 54:8 59:15,19 59:21 60:5,8,13,17 61:6 62:11 183:6 218:16 Authorization 5:11 **authorized** 29:19,21 62:15 63:7 authorizing 34:15 36:6 39:18 automatic 39:7 117:16 118:2,7 automatically 46:2 118:3 autonomy 216:15 availability 36:21 40:4 available 6:16 37:14 57:21 68:4 69:13 75:1 90:17 122:12 134:3 137:16 142:1 146:11 150:9 166:14 **avenue** 25:12 avenues 25:11 90:16 average 135:16,21 139:2 148:17 aversion 175:12 aviation 97:1 avoiding 173:8 awake 115:16 **awarded** 116:12 aware 52:1 65:10 110:1 112:5 114:1 118:5 127:14 awareness 13:7 52:5 awful 124:17 В

B 2:20 29:21

babies 191:5

back 11:5 14:2 16:18

17:15 21:12,13 25:16

II			
47.5 05.0 40 00.0		himmon 004:04 040:47	100:00 101:1 100:10
47:5 65:8,16 66:3	basically 103:22 104:15	bigger 201:21 212:17	123:20 124:1 126:10
71:3 77:7 80:13 83:6	114:19	biggest 23:6 24:13	184:6 185:1
99:9 104:1 105:19	basing 208:7	174:18	Brittany 2:15 160:16
110:21 112:9,12	basis 180:15 199:13	billet 138:13 148:9	broader 217:2,5
115:12 116:4 120:9	battalion 57:20 58:8	149:6	brother 55:12
127:7 143:2 156:13	Bay 138:16	billets 146:11	brought 85:22 217:4
159:5 165:21 166:19	beach 16:5,6 19:19	birthday 15:7	bubble 193:22
169:3 176:5 186:19	beaches 158:4	bit 16:12 25:17 29:3,15	bucket 100:19
193:7 203:15 204:3	bear 9:20	31:3 33:6,12,19 34:3	buffer 137:18
214:1 217:4,18	bearing 200:8	34:5 36:10 45:20	build 53:8 128:5 132:4
218:10,18	beast 215:5	67:11 69:19 77:2	building 128:4 130:9
background 25:6	beaten 11:7	82:22 87:1 97:6	built 47:10 96:15
bad 158:19 199:21	beautiful 28:13	100:15 116:7 129:15	bullying 169:11
205:19 213:9	becoming 136:13 148:6	147:9 155:21 157:3	bunch 98:10
badgering 178:10	154:7 175:15	187:5 197:18 206:9	burden 157:2
bag 214:22	began 66:22	207:1	Bureau 145:20,22
balance 164:10	begets 207:4	blue 22:20,21	146:7
balanced 96:10	beginning 24:5 176:15	board 13:20 14:16 93:2	business 101:18
balances 51:7	177:1 190:18 192:8	137:21 139:7 215:15	button 72:17
balancing 50:7 56:9	behalf 64:21 79:10,16	boards 142:11,12 215:8	
96:13 164:16	184:15 209:7	215:8	C
Banks 158:20	belief 197:3	boat 103:13 175:10	C 1:20
bar 179:7 194:14	believe 16:10 39:20	bodies 212:15	CAAF 160:13
195:20 196:13 208:12	48:15 58:1 61:9 62:3	bone 12:17	CAC 130:21
barracks 38:5	65:1 69:7 72:19 92:17	bonus 61:17 123:11,13	caddy 27:1
barriers 142:9	107:19 112:11 117:3	boost 77:3	cadre 31:9
bars 89:8	117:15 118:17 127:10	born 183:8	cake 15:8
base 11:3 26:1 97:1,2	137:11 138:2 143:4	borne 213:15	California 10:21 158:4
103:11 104:5 116:19	145:4 156:12,14	boss 149:2	173:4 193:7
119:15,20 160:17	164:12 167:17 176:17	bottom 78:8 148:1	call 42:12 131:5,5 140:1
162:22 163:18,19,20	183:2,11 194:20	210:19	151:21 171:11 191:8
165:5,20 168:6	196:4 199:19 208:14	bound 168:1 194:13	210:19 211:5 218:2
172:16 173:3,4,12	beneficial 137:12	bounds 194:21	called 75:19 171:21
216:5	139:20 142:13,19	Brady 198:17	192:19 193:7 220:4
based 38:12 50:10 52:2	145:7 154:9 159:2	brain 11:18	calling 211:9
96:18 133:6 153:15	169:9 184:1 216:4	branch 2:6 44:5 49:10	calls 164:2 171:14
187:7	beneficially 212:7	56:19 64:13,20	211:8
bases 163:7,9 165:13	216:8	114:22	camp 16:1,4,14 151:15
166:14 172:19	benefit 7:1 141:7	branch's 15:15	151:15 158:2,4,18
Bashford 1:12,14 4:21	146:13 160:22 161:4	branches 14:14 15:17	camps 20:2
4:22 7:14 9:16 10:15	161:17 174:18 199:3	20:13 72:21 80:7	Cannon 1:15 26:12,14
19:15 21:8 23:2 28:5	benefits 155:3 166:1,8	82:19 84:7 117:10	187:1 193:3,5
28:16 29:2,5,10 30:6	174:14	break 29:1,14 98:20	capability 50:11
30:19 33:17 43:21	benefitted 161:3	102:7,15,20	capacity 148:20 149:2
46:11 48:22 49:2	best 56:14 62:4,20	breaks 177:12 206:22	217:9
53:15 56:17 64:7,10	70:15 97:8 105:22	brief 55:22 119:6 128:5	Capital 148:8 152:22
77:9 78:13 80:6,22	126:2 135:1,5 141:22	briefed 57:2 87:16	
81:16 84:6 90:8,13,21	142:15,16,16 168:7	111:19 167:11	CAPT 28:8 92:7,13 160:15 181:7 185:10
91:4,8,13 92:7 96:8	183:15 204:15		191:18 194:6 202:14
	better 10:8 12:2 19:12	briefing 3:4 17:6 30:7 35:19	
97:10 98:4,7 102:7,13			205:22 209:19 215:22
102:18,21 103:3,5	20:20 21:6,6 68:14	briefly 30:16	218:21 220:13
107:6 108:12 112:6	74:22 100:12,14	Brig 1:20	Captain 2:15,18 4:12
116:6 118:14 119:10	151:8 152:9 158:11	brigade 58:8	7:12,13 8:1 160:16
120:3,7,10 123:17,22	204:7 215:17,17	bring 24:18 27:14 116:4	193:13 220:7,11
132:5,14 156:14	BGEN 91:14,17,21 92:3	175:3	captains 216:16
176:10 186:22 193:3	bias 211:2	bringing 83:18 115:12	capture 203:4
202:20 204:9,12	bidding 216:7	brings 19:7	care 41:11 42:1 50:17
216:21 220:6,15	big 98:4 191:16	Brisbois 1:15 123:18	52:19 64:17 66:10,14
I	I	I	ı

II			
67:17 68:19 69:3	168:21 172:13 176:1	challenges 42:4,16	clear 141:17 175:13
71:15 72:21 73:8 75:7	182:6,11 184:11	166:4	196:20 197:4
100:14 110:8 142:5	192:2 193:17 196:22	challenging 43:6 131:6	clearance 112:19
211:21	201:1 208:2 213:1	chance 32:20 123:19	113:12
career 27:11 34:15	Cassia 1:20	155:20 218:1	Cleveland 168:14
39:15 44:19 56:8	catch 20:9,15	chances 173:7	cliche 155:17
61:19 73:3 85:1 97:19	categories 78:6,8 93:6	change 52:9 62:1	client 134:2,10 135:2,8
97:22 98:15,18 99:21	96:5 115:22	111:17 145:18 150:18	136:13,15,19 137:3
100:4,9,15 106:1	category 86:2	172:7 201:16	139:12,15,16 140:14
121:11,15 122:1	Catherine 7:5	changes 70:4	140:21 141:18,22
123:9 127:12,17	cause 173:19	channels 84:14	142:13,14,18 143:3,6
129:14,16 130:5,10	caused 165:19	chaplain 142:3	144:10 145:20 153:17
130:11 137:8 150:14	causes 202:5	character 14:1	159:8,17 161:14,16
163:2 164:11 166:11	caveat 153:3,5 157:10	charge 12:22 54:21	162:15,15,17,18
166:15,16,19 167:2	cell 218:14	143:8 146:19 148:22	164:4,13 165:13,19
167:19 212:7 213:6	center 1:11 2:11 49:21	156:15 175:18 190:4	166:10,18,21 167:1,8
213:10 215:20	53:21 54:6 106:12,19	217:14,17	171:13 177:5,12,14
careers 176:5	111:4,6 131:5 133:19	charged 196:20	178:7 179:9 180:13
carefully 166:7	165:3 168:7 171:17	Charlottesville 133:19	181:14 185:13 186:14
Carolina 158:2,3	central 2:10 53:20	charts 115:17 129:4	186:16 189:3 194:18
160:18	130:20	chase 149:11,13	194:22 195:1,16,22
carrier 103:13	ceremony 27:1	check 11:13 73:15	196:10 199:2,8,21
carriers 138:15	certain 47:19 171:13,14	214:3	204:15 206:6 218:18
carry 22:15 113:13	208:13 216:14,15	check-in 74:6	client's 141:9 153:10
carve 90:14 91:5	218:9	checks 51:7	167:22 170:7 171:2
case 25:9 40:11,20	certainly 22:22 69:22	cherry 190:7	171:15,22 174:11
41:16,17,18 42:3,8,11	77:15 83:4,6 94:13	chief 2:9 6:7 17:5 21:10	208:3
42:19 54:18 56:5	150:1 192:4	49:4 56:19 64:9,10,11	clients 134:8 135:6,19
60:22 73:14 84:20	certification 168:20	66:5,10,19 72:19 73:1	135:20,21 136:4,5,5,6
94:3,10 111:19	certified 214:18	114:16 118:15 129:18	136:7,9,21 137:1,5,6
116:16 119:11,13	CG-1 106:13	129:21 214:2,2	137:9,13 139:2,3,4,5
137:18 139:6,8,12,13	chain 34:16 39:11 50:8	choice 37:3 39:7,8 62:3	139:22 140:6,13,19
139:16 142:6,8 143:2	59:12 60:1,14 61:5	203:2 204:14	141:11 142:2,19
144:6 149:9 151:5,6 151:11,18 156:22	79:22 104:21 106:20 113:8 162:2 183:5	choose 73:2 132:21 140:11	144:3 145:1 148:14 149:5 151:13 160:22
157:9,10 158:7 162:3	chair 1:12,14 4:16,21	choosing 205:15	161:3 163:4,8,14,17
162:9,20 165:18	4:22 7:14 8:4 9:16	chose 26:9 118:6	165:20 167:10,14
166:17 176:21,22	10:15 16:15 19:15	chose 20.9 110.0	168:7,11,21 170:1,4
177:2 180:18,20	21:8 23:2 28:5,16,21	CID 60:22 177:4 185:3	170:10,18 172:3,5,14
185:21 186:4 187:9	29:2,5,10 30:6,19	circle 128:8	174:5,16 175:2,11,20
187:12,18,19 188:11	33:17 43:21 46:11	circumstance 192:15	176:1 180:16 184:16
188:11,16,21 189:4	48:22 49:2 53:15	circumstances 38:18	187:11 189:1,8,16,20
189:18 193:11 194:2	56:17 64:7,10 77:9	39:1 74:18 83:2	190:1 191:5,17,20
195:14 197:9 199:4	78:13 80:6,22 81:16	156:15 194:2 198:6	192:1,7 193:1 196:4
200:8,13 201:6,8	84:6 90:8,13,21 91:4	200:6	196:17 197:14 203:9
203:20 204:5,16	91:8,13 92:7 96:8	CIT 54:16 55:22	203:11 204:14 205:5
206:1,5,14 208:3,19	97:10 98:4,7 102:7,13	cite 180:17	205:11 206:9,16
caseload 135:13 139:2	102:18,21 103:3,5	civilian 27:2 44:6 61:1	209:22 210:1 212:18
148:17	107:6 108:12 112:6	108:7 134:5 178:13	212:20
cases 25:4 45:8 81:8	116:6 118:14 119:10	civilians 197:1 205:12	clients' 154:4 161:10,13
92:17 93:8 95:21	120:3,7,10 123:17,22	claim 16:18 153:15	164:10
115:7,9,10,14,18,18	132:5,14 176:10	Clair 2:13 138:8	clinic 74:2 173:1
115:20,21,22 116:16	184:6 186:22 193:3	clarification 112:13	clinical 32:10
133:14 135:20,22	202:20 204:9,12	202:15	close 36:15 111:8 159:2
136:2 140:22 147:13	216:21 220:6,15	clarify 78:18 80:12	163:9 167:16 173:13
148:16,18 150:20	challenge 100:18	83:17 109:11 110:11	182:14 203:12
152:18 161:22 162:4 165:6,14 166:5	166:15 217:10	114:5	closed 220:17
103.0,14 100.5	challenged 128:11	classify 83:22	closely 67:18 111:3
II	1	1	1

I		1	1	1
	186:10	105:16 124:13,14	commanders 9:6 12:19	59:17 64:3 125:6
	closer 33:18 45:5	149:10 173:13	24:18 47:12 48:9 54:8	comprehensive 50:10
	153:11 156:2 161:21	coming 13:5 28:17 32:5	69:5 82:4,11,15 83:5	171:8
	170:14	66:3 77:17 95:9 99:18	119:16,19 120:1	concept 210:9
	closing 52:12 63:12	132:6 200:16 215:17	121:7,12,17 124:11	concern 95:12
	159:1	220:9	127:1 128:15 129:12	concerned 179:18
	CMG 42:13,19 119:22	command 11:19 14:21	129:13,17 130:19,20	209:22
	CMSAF 1:19	16:2 17:15 25:13 50:8	131:20 136:19 144:8	concerning 219:10
	co- 208:21	51:1 55:21,22 57:12	147:18 149:15 161:9	concerns 166:21 188:5
	co-located 154:14	59:12 60:1,14,17,20	161:12,14 164:2,3,10	concludes 57:22
	174:1	61:3,5 62:7 74:16	164:15,22 168:5	conclusion 33:2 43:1
	Coast 2:10,16 53:19	79:22 83:2 84:12 85:4	182:21 208:12 209:11	concrete 11:10 24:11
	54:5,10 84:8 91:9	85:12,16 86:2 87:15	209:12,20 210:13	concur 82:11 126:21
	102:21 105:1,2,4	96:18 97:5,7 103:9	211:5,7,20	204:9 205:22
	106:8,11 107:9,11	105:19 106:16,20	commanding 46:1 59:8	concurrently 138:20
	108:6 111:11 120:7	108:1 109:21 110:1,5	59:8 60:16 62:9 78:22	165:10
	130:13,16 168:13	110:7,7 111:20 113:8	113:22 117:20 119:2	conditions 141:21,21
	170:10 173:3,22	113:14 114:8 119:3	119:4 125:2,9,13	conducive 52:18
	174:12 175:1 176:7	119:20 120:13 124:4	commands 55:2 59:16	Conduct 194:16
	192:11 207:6 210:13	125:6 130:6 138:14	59:17 125:12,14	conducted 126:22
	Coasties 130:17	138:15 144:20 145:8	139:9 141:3,8 142:16	conducting 42:11
	code 10:12 88:15	150:5 155:5 162:2	144:18 170:5 207:8	confidence 16:19
	192:19,20,20	170:19 171:3,6,15	208:4	confident 169:19
	codified 49:20	183:5 186:11 206:22	comment 4:10 7:18,20	172:10
	cognizant 67:20 68:1	207:2	7:21 124:15 220:12	confidential 76:10
	collaborate 170:16	command's 171:4,19	comments 4:14,18,19	184:22
	collaborative 53:5	Commandant 214:19	8:2	confidentiality 52:4
	collateral 212:5	Commandant's 106:6	commissioned 168:15	109:8 111:18 148:16
	colleague 71:9 83:4	commander 2:13,16	committed 52:13 58:2	198:13,15
	189:22	11:21 12:8 26:20 37:5	committee 1:3,10 4:5,8	confirm 56:12 82:21
	colleagues 49:18 68:22	37:5,12 38:11 39:5,6	4:10,11 5:4,8 6:15,20	confirmed 55:11 70:9
	70:6,20 82:21 147:4	39:19 41:3,9 42:17	7:2,19 24:22 25:3	70:13 105:20
	149:18 196:16 206:12	51:21 52:5 57:20	75:9 76:4 83:7 86:22	confirming 170:17
	220:5	59:12,19 60:7,10,11	99:9 110:22 132:20	confusion 137:22
	collecting 69:18	61:5,7 69:10 71:1	182:20	Congress 31:20 35:9
	Collectively 182:17	73:19 74:3 82:17 84:4	Committee's 92:8	36:4 43:11 90:3
	colonel 2:8,20 5:20 6:1	85:6 89:21 93:18	Committees 4:20	congressional 34:21
	6:4 7:4,5 48:22 49:1,3 49:3 53:15 68:21	109:1 111:1 112:11	common 150:21 174:22	43:12
	82:20 96:11 102:11	114:3,10,21 115:1 122:11 127:11,19	175:7,14 192:9 communicate 130:10	connect 69:22 88:9 connection 167:3
	102:15 110:20 117:12	129:20 130:8 132:2	144:15 189:1	connects 171:1
	119:12 120:5 128:10	137:4 138:6,8 148:10	company 137:4	cons 136:16 144:4
	132:7 149:3	153:7 156:12,16	company 137.4 compared 172:20	151:8 162:19 167:19
	Columbus 11:11	157:5,6,11,13 168:13	comparison 203:9	consciousness 11:9
	combat 14:12	179:3 180:5 185:19	competing 169:18	consent 188:8
	combined 175:16	188:22 195:15 198:7	complainant 81:20	consents 38:17
	come 14:2 24:9 26:1	198:14 200:12 201:12	complaining 174:2	consequently 35:2
	41:22 43:4,7 54:9	202:1 204:11,13	193:10,18 219:16	consider 156:12,17
	55:14 61:20 65:16	205:2 208:9,14,18,21	complaint 85:21 102:8	162:9 166:7
J	66:3 67:19,20 75:4	209:1,5,7,14 210:3	217:19	consideration 4:20
	94:16 95:1,2 125:22	211:4,12,14,16,19	complete 6:12 42:21	127:22 190:22
	127:7 145:19 149:19	213:16 220:7,8	166:3	considerations 69:4
	152:21 158:9 159:11	commander's 11:20	completed 38:19,21	188:14
	171:18 177:15 178:12	41:15 60:3 84:2	45:15 76:2 77:16	considered 61:11
	179:5 186:7 188:10	127:14	completely 201:11	103:18 104:13 116:12
	190:19 191:10,11	commander- 131:16	complex 206:12,13	156:16 163:15 172:18
	201:6	commander-specific	complexity 176:21	considering 57:21
	comes 6:1 47:15 95:5	131:21	component 58:11	considers 162:19
		I	I	I

1	
consistent 117:12 156:9	cooperation 174:6 coordinate 162:15
constantly 53:6	coordinating 165:7
constituting 58:2	coordination 41:21
constrained 217:7	coordinator 2:10 37:1
construction 11:5	53:20 58:18 83:13
consultation 40:9	119:7 125:8
consulted 61:8	coordinators 31:10
contact 136:2	119:18
contacts 110:7	cope 134:13
contain 60:22	copy 171:3,4 210:17
contained 57:7	CORB 138:22
content 121:19	core 129:7
CONTENTS 3:1	corner 27:1
context 31:3 72:11 87:1	cornerstone 127:1
88:11	140:5
continental 62:15	Corp 202:7
contingency 11:5	Corp's 203:13
continually 32:16	Corps 2:7,14,20 7:10
continuance 177:9	46:13,18,21 47:4,10
217:22	50:21 67:15 71:9 82:
continuation 174:20	83:19 84:3 102:5
176:8	116:18 127:2 146:21
continue 9:2 29:10	147:7 156:8,17
44:18,19 51:16 84:20	180:10 184:20 214:8
84:22 165:12 174:14	214:13,22 218:8
175:21 195:2,12	correct 14:2 88:16 91:3
196:12 215:13,16	correctly 105:5 116:9
continued 32:11 137:9	COs 111:21
141:19	costs 166:9
continuing 55:12 67:10	counsel 2:12,13,14,16
continuity 50:22	2:17 3:6 6:8,9 8:20,2
continuous 53:3 128:9	8:22 50:16 51:2 90:7
129:9	121:7,13 132:15
continuously 52:22	133:10,12,12,16
contracting 10:13 contradictory 156:7	134:1,6,9,17,22 135:
157:4	135:12,16,19 137:12 138:2,9,19,21 142:20
contribute 44:19	146:20 147:6,17,17
contributes 43:2	148:8,20 149:4
control 82:13 111:15	151:20,20 152:18
132:21 143:2 155:19	153:1 159:1,17,22
155:20	160:6,17 163:2
controls 109:8	168:14,17,17 169:1
conundrum 124:13	177:6,7 178:2,3,10,1
convene 54:19	178:13,16 179:20
convened 119:22	180:4,12 182:8,8,22
convenient 77:16	186:20 194:4 211:9
convening 61:6 183:6	211:11 213:17 214:1
218:16	215:17 217:21 219:1
conversation 31:20	Counsel/Victims' 3:6
68:10 198:20 199:2	132:15
conversations 31:8	counseling 121:20
32:21 198:19	142:2
convey 17:4	counselor 198:10
conveyed 12:4	counsels 135:5
conviction 187:19	count 104:13 149:14
cooperate 137:9 175:11	couple 20:8 93:5 98:8

ation 174:6 nate 162:15 nating 165:7 courage 24:22 25:1 nation 41:21 nator 2:10 37:1 58:18 83:13 125:8 nators 31:10 34:13 71:3,4 210:17 138:22 29:7 27:1 stone 127:1 02:7 203:13 2:7,14,20 7:10 3,18,21 47:4,10 67:15 71:9 82:3 84:3 102:5 8 127:2 146:21 156:8,17 0 184:20 214:8 3.22 218:8 14:2 88:16 91:3 ly 105:5 116:9 1:21 66:9 **1** 2:12,13,14,16 3:6 6:8,9 8:20,21 50:16 51:2 90:7 ,13 132:15 0,12,12,16 ,6,9,17,22 135:3 2,16,19 137:12 ,9,19,21 142:20 0 147:6,17,17 ,20 149:4 20,20 152:18 159:1,17,22 ,17 163:2 4,17,17 169:1 ,7 178:2,3,10,12 3,16 179:20 ,12 182:8,8,22 0 194:4 211:9 1 213:17 214:15 7 217:21 219:15 el/Victims' 3:6 eling 121:20 elor 198:10

26:15 courageous 8:7 course 21:18 119:1,2 127:1 135:17 139:1 court 176:14,16 179:6 194:4 197:7 **court-** 40:13 court-martial 40:12 159:13 courtroom 159:9 177:11 179:13 182:6 182:10,12,13 183:13 215:6 courts-martial 61:6 95:2 169:21 cover 91:10 covered 34:5 36:7 90:9 90:15,22 178:19 covering 127:9 crack 10:6,6 crafted 35:3 crafting 34:2 165:8 crazy 217:20 create 15:17 32:4 created 5:10 31:11 193:22 **creates** 166:15 creative 203:21 credibility 93:11 186:9 200:17 219:16 credible 34:13 37:10,11 37:16 57:17,19 61:9 62:7 64:4 85:20 93:5 93:9,19 94:1 95:8,16 95:22 96:1 112:17 153:15 199:13 200:4 200:4 credit 89:12 **crime** 155:9,10 174:1 **crimes** 147:14 criminal 37:13 87:3,5,6 87:16,20 88:3 114:7 140:22 143:16 177:3 **crisis** 54:17 122:9 123:3 criteria 34:10 40:1 99:12 144:22 146:1 critical 50:12 164:18 cross 59:16 60:6,9 93:15 192:15 201:2 cross- 176:17 200:16 201:6 cross-examination 163:1 186:8

116:16 171:20 176:1

182:6 208:19 216:9

crowd 18:16 cry 10:8 Cuba 138:17 **cube** 22:2 culture 53:3 curbs 169:10 curiosity 124:14 curious 121:11 217:7 **current** 57:13 63:16 136:6 169:14 currently 133:17 135:19 138:9 139:4 curriculum 128:12 129:6 131:11 curriculums 131:19,21 customary 169:12 cut 55:17 **cutter** 172:13,14 cutters 173:5 175:10 **cycle** 122:17

D **D.C** 171:17 **DA** 59:7 **DAC-** 6:16 **DAC-IPAD** 1:4 5:6,9,20 6:13 7:17 damage 11:16 12:18 damaging 68:11 215:20 data 64:18 65:16.18 66:1 67:12,16 69:1,16 69:17 70:7,20 76:5 92:6,9,10,11,16 93:10 94:6 96:4 100:8,17 103:16 107:8,9,12 114:17 115:3,11 121:15 122:1 218:14 Database 72:8 date 8:18 37:6 59:9 110:6 186:1,1 dated 57:9 **dates** 34:4 day 11:11 14:20 38:8 77:8 134:20 187:3 190:18,18 209:16 days 11:9 16:3 38:2,20 55:18 106:4 119:17 120:12 171:11 172:9 200:3 deal 13:1 14:6 107:22 159:15,16 167:21 189:2 **Dean** 1:17 103:6,8 104:8,12,22 105:11 105:15 106:5,8,14

cross-train 166:22

107:5 112:15,22

113:5,17 114:2

207:15 212:2

death 11:8 **December** 35:6,10 **decide** 85:6 123:13 143:9 175:2 196:12 decided 10:2 139:17 178:7,8 decides 104:9 163:4 deciding 96:9 decision 35:13 37:17 46:6 59:13 60:2,14 105:8 106:16 143:1 143:19,21 144:1,9 157:14 163:3 164:5 167:1,22 196:10 206:6 208:15 210:2 decision-making 105:6 decisions 114:21 115:2 118:11 144:16 206:13 decline 135:9 176:2 declined 42:21 81:9 declining 204:18 deconstruct 219:16 dedicated 131:11 defend 169:21 defending 219:13 **defense** 1:1,3,3 2:3,5 4:5,6 5:4,5,7,10,11,14 5:15 6:2,8,8 8:15 30:8 72:7 87:19 89:8 90:7 93:14 135:4,8 137:19 138:19 143:19 147:17 151:20 158:8 159:16 159:16 163:2 168:17 177:5,7 178:2,3,9,12 178:18 180:12 182:8 199:5,9,18 200:9 217:21 219:15 definitely 22:7,9,16 154:9 definition 85:20 103:9 deflect 10:4 degree 216:14,15 delay 217:18 delicate 85:18 delighted 6:10 denial 56:2 106:22 107:21 116:13 **denials** 107:13 denied 34:17 92:19,20 93:17,18 95:15 117:20 152:12 153:14 199:12,12 200:8 density 62:22 63:5 71:5 150:18 deny 106:15 144:22 **department** 1:1 2:3,5 8:15 30:8 35:1 56:19 63:11 138:20

departments 39:13 depend 183:18 199:22 211:12 depended 21:15 dependent 38:15 dependents 39:2 136:5 depending 45:9 95:4 137:17 195:4 depends 149:9 176:21 200:6 208:17,18 209:4,5 212:10 deploy 101:21 deployed 10:20 deployment 101:21 deputy 2:2,14,21 5:19 146:19 148:22 214:1 describe 184:17 described 77:20 157:9 174:21 185:14 195:5 202:10 describing 108:16 description 185:2,4 design 128:12 129:7 designated 2:22 4:4 designed 50:5 designing 131:20 desire 63:19 170:13 desired 97:13 **despite** 14:19 16:11 destinies 132:21 destruction 39:15 detachment 165:12 detail 34:3 36:11 38:2 75:17 86:14 176:13 detailed 145:22 148:13 162:14 detailing 146:6 details 33:6 34:6 35:18 39:1 112:4,9 131:8,18 153:16 157:2 determination 37:11 85:20 90:2 94:9 107:4 199:11,14 200:20 determinations 114:11 **determine** 55:3,4,16 67:4 145:11 146:2 189:4 determined 30:13 40:12 93:9 115:7 devastated 23:7 devastating 16:13 developers 131:12

development 129:16,17

129:20

diagnosed 24:7

45:1 46:8

dibs 87:21

Diana 2:4 33:5 44:8

difference 32:2 differences 117:18 different 19:22 20:2 21:4 25:11 27:17 38:5 38:6,19 39:1 45:20 58:10,13,14,15 66:14 71:22 75:16 77:2 82:19 94:16 95:1 104:5 107:19 112:21 114:14 115:8,17 116:11 117:1 131:19 132:3 135:22 139:8,9 139:9,10 147:9 152:19 154:17 158:16 165:19 184:1 187:9 195:18 197:19 differently 117:10 differs 185:2 difficult 24:3 43:4 63:4 122:18,22 157:6 164:16 167:1,20 difficulty 74:1 dignity 22:19 26:6 180:17 218:20 219:17 diligently 53:2 direct 4:11 7:22 41:20 59:17 115:5 180:2 214:1 direction 84:4 Directive 57:7 directive-type 35:4 directly 76:1 94:6 121:7 121:13 152:6 171:21 director 2:2,6,19,21 4:12 5:20 8:1 44:3 111:5 directors 75:4 disappointed 190:9,11 disapproval 59:21 60:4 60:8,13 62:6 disapprove 35:13 39:12 disapproves 39:6 disapproving 62:10 discharge 192:21 discipline 78:21 80:21 81:2 82:12 84:2 86:1 86:5,17 89:1,4 90:4 disclose 198:4,6 disconnects 24:13 discover 173:10 218:11 discoverability 184:12 discoverable 185:18 218:10 discovery 184:9,11 186:14,15,21 218:12 discrepancy 185:3 discretion 4:15 8:3 discuss 41:20 42:4,5,8

46:9 69:5 71:1 157:12 162:3 167:18 discussed 150:10,13 150:15 155:22 166:12 discussing 136:15 189:3 discussion 42:3 69:12 73:19 97:7 153:6 167:21 172:1 198:1 **discussions** 6:22 34:22 164:3 dislocated 11:15 disposition 40:20 61:14 136:1 dispositions 40:8 disrespect 219:12 disruption 191:9 202:5 distant 170:15 distinction 91:15,18 distinguished 30:10 distracting 175:9 district 107:2 138:10,12 148:11 152:22 divergent 179:17 **division** 2:9,9,11 49:5 58:9 177:3 docked 15:4 doctor 12:14 142:1 document 59:9 159:22 160:3,4 204:17 documented 37:18 **DoD** 3:4 35:5,7,18 54:4 56:3 57:2 78:3,10 105:2,12 120:16,16 122:5 149:14 156:9 207:20 **DoD-wide** 35:3 **DoDI** 15:16 dog 29:17,20,21,22 doing 25:17 28:6,6 47:21 71:19 118:20 129:10 207:16 214:10 215:7 216:19 domestic 147:11 door 188:7 219:5 dots 88:9 doubt 160:5 dovetails 184:7 down-armored 113:13 downstairs 47:16 103:11 **Dr** 1:19,20 2:2 30:15,19 30:21 33:21 34:4,9,20 35:16 65:14 71:17 75:8 76:7,12,16 77:11 78:1 81:6 86:20 88:16 89:14 90:11,18 91:2,7 91:12,16,19 92:5,9,15

101:20 102:20 110:2 error 78:6 execution 50:13 52:13 92:22 93:12.21 94:12 **executive** 59:22 119:4 94:18 95:18 98:19 143:18 173:8 181:22 escaping 20:1 99:2 100:7 103:19 182:3 196:5,8 218:16 **especially** 22:14 25:22 125:3 104:10,16 107:6,7,7 **elects** 81:21 27:10 39:2 53:10 71:5 **exercising** 59:19 61:5 107:16 108:10 114:5 eloquently 28:6 129:12 161:22 162:4 exist 87:4 exists 167:11 115:3 116:8,14 132:8 **email** 170:19 171:9 210:6 215:2 draw 92:8 embrace 12:2 essentially 104:3 exit 145:8 establish 37:8 162:14 drawn 86:19 emotion 10:4 **expect** 69:4 125:2,15 drill 87:18 emotional 63:21 70:17 established 148:15 125:16 126:19 219:14 drilling 58:15 emphasize 164:17 183:6 expectation 140:6 drive 51:7 101:21 establishing 125:8 **empower** 141:11 146:13 190:17 drives 217:20 expectations 97:15 empowerment 155:17 **ET** 161:1,8,15,15,16 **drove** 26:2 **enable** 79:18 127:16 162:13,19 164:3,7,18 expected 161:16 drunk 26:2 encounter 172:22 165:1 166:5,8 167:6,9 169:16 **DRUs** 59:18 60:6,9 173:17 etcetera 173:2 Expediting 64:1 **DTM** 36:8 encountered 14:7 ethically 168:1 expeditionary 101:17 due 62:18 88:20 141:20 23:20 **ETs** 163:22 166:1,3 **experience** 6:4 8:9 9:6 encounters 10:20 28:17 75:22 76:8 77:1 146:8 evaluate 142:22 144:7 duplicative 44:7 46:7 103:14 evaluated 54:10 78:10 100:11 109:5 duties 138:18 173:21 encouraged 97:13 evaluation 93:2 125:13 133:6 136:21 ended 25:17 event 36:18 43:8 52:6 148:4 152:5,17 154:4 195:21 216:3 duty 15:22 38:6 58:13 **endorse** 161:1 82:15 125:11 127:6 155:1,4,10 161:5 69:21 103:22 104:4 endorsement 61:3 62:7 184:17 185:2 205:14 167:4,7 174:22 194:8 122:15,21 123:11 endorsements 60:20 events 185:4 194:11 195:16 200:11 130:1 158:1.11.16 endured 141:2 everybody 5:22 44:1 205:5 208:11 213:10 162:10 165:6 194:20 enforce 19:12 65:15 108:19 132:6 213:15 198:16 204:21 212:5 enforcement 42:2 132:17 147:16 149:8 **experienced** 14:14 42:5 212:11 214:12 139:14 143:13 186:3 158:20 72:15 75:13 99:4 **Dwight** 2:22 4:4 196:8,9 204:18 evidence 37:14 57:21 100:21 137:7 169:17 **Dyess** 163:18 engage 22:14 56:11 94:2 95:6,7,9 178:20 **experiences** 9:13 208:8 105:21 182:4 199:13 200:14 experiencing 205:17,18 Ε engaged 49:15 200:15 218:9 expert 125:10 169:17 evolved 33:11 earlier 21:2 54:19 95:15 enjoyed 138:1 155:11 expertise 132:9 98:11 212:20 enlisted 2:11 53:22 **evolves** 169:19 **experts** 125:16,22 exact 37:21 66:1 131:8 early 142:15 189:11 54:12 119:5 125:4 128:12 129:7 218:17 129:18,19,21 130:3 exactly 27:21 72:1 **expired** 174:11 earning 133:18 enlistment 174:11 110:22 160:4 199:22 explain 8:16 122:11 137:14 141:13 153:10 easy 210:18 enrollment 20:15 208:9 echo 43:14 132:1 ensure 47:22 52:3 examination 93:15 160:1 161:14 177:12 191:18 195:3 206:12 68:17 70:14 118:10 176:18 200:17 201:7 explained 136:16 209:19 218:22 134:2 examined 201:2 153:13 160:3 echoed 167:4 **ensures** 51:21 **example** 51:13 88:22 explaining 61:2 educate 130:18 147:7 ensuring 34:13 51:13 93:13 112:17,19 explicit 90:3 150:2 164:6 52:20 109:22 156:1,7 157:21 explicitly 80:20 educated 134:2 entered 215:5 163:17 176:16 188:9 exposed 32:12 enterprise 53:7 educating 161:11 examples 128:22 exposing 196:4 exceptional 147:13 **express** 133:6 170:13 educational 138:3 entire 12:13 76:21,21 effect 63:8 64:15,22 177:11 187:3 204:5 175:5 extended 191:6 187:22 entirely 107:17 exceptionally 95:20 entitled 134:8,16 **extent** 124:5 exchange 172:22 **effective** 17:13 53:9 enumerated 39:19,21 exchanged 113:19 134:7 **extreme** 140:8 effectiveness 50:22 environment 52:18 **excited** 209:17 extremely 43:6 99:11 164:21 exculpatory 198:2 99:17 100:5 159:2 effort 39:14 42:17 68:16 **EPM** 54:13 **excuse** 203:10 98:1 211:12,15 eyes 82:17 **EPR** 14:21 15:3,9 eight 133:4 135:18 excused 160:3 F execute 55:18 60:18 136:9,22 egual 74:21 either 10:6 23:21 26:16 equation 48:14 126:2 172:9 **F-A-P** 90:10 33:18 67:6 69:1 equivalent 61:1 executed 141:1 fabricate 158:9

	ı	I	I
fabrication 193:19	63:12 98:17 188:16	five 27:18 31:6 49:8	160:17,20 161:6,17
194:18 195:17	198:3	153:3 157:10 158:18	163:18,19,20 164:14
face 126:12	fellow 51:8 161:6 167:5	170:3,5	164:19 165:3 167:5
faces 160:13	felt 16:16 118:9 167:7	fives 15:5	168:6 181:8 194:10
facilitate 41:7,7 42:18	176:3 188:1,2,19	fix 13:13	194:15,15 202:7,18
52:18,20 74:3,4	female 136:8	flag 34:16 39:10 46:2,5	216:1
127:16 168:2 194:19	femur 12:11 21:17	56:3,5 85:11 86:3,18	Force's 129:16 217:15
195:2	fetal 10:7	106:17,18,20 107:1	force- 75:12
facilitated 50:20	field 31:11 52:11 63:1	109:12,17 117:22	force-wide 75:10,19
facilities 68:15	130:22 166:17,19	118:4,11	78:2
facility 74:5 80:10	167:2 193:12	flagged 85:10 87:9	forced 164:20
facing 166:4	fielded 31:9	109:15,17 110:12	forces 1:4 4:7 5:6,17
fact 24:19 67:2 121:14	fields 27:11 131:3	111:12 112:2,8	96:14
141:18 167:9 174:13	166:16	113:18 121:5	foreign 210:9
190:3,4,5 191:1 200:7 factors 22:17 156:13	fight 219:4 fighting 218:1	flagging 114:14 flags 108:19	foreseeable 61:19 121:11
157:7 203:2	figure 10:2 110:9	fleet 48:17 172:13	foreseen 75:3
facts 41:10 139:9 144:5	128:13 159:21 204:1	flexibility 38:12 63:3	foretold 219:2
185:15,21 195:5,5	figuring 219:15	floating 18:4	forfeit 16:2
fairly 77:13	file 36:22 72:7 85:11	floor 11:10 24:11	forget 210:20
fairness 180:17 218:19	86:3 92:14 112:3	Florida 16:6 84:19	form 59:7 155:4
faith 168:2 193:15	113:17 157:1 180:6	108:9	formal 30:2 138:21
199:6	181:10	flow 47:5 129:4	171:7 216:7
fall 31:21 106:13	filed 134:21 182:2	flows 47:17	formally 114:8
falls 55:21 90:22	files 58:17,21 85:10	fly 16:16	formed 134:19
false 115:4 196:18,21	111:12 217:22	focus 8:11 9:3 31:18	former 2:12 8:7 87:2
197:4,5,15 200:15	filing 37:10 89:10	53:22 163:11 190:18	124:10 136:6 210:20
201:1	fill 169:15 184:15	focused 51:13 173:20	Fort 63:10 133:17
families 51:8 53:10	filter 137:20	190:8	135:14 136:10,11
161:21 163:10	finally 17:2 24:7 34:15	focusing 141:8 182:19	177:18 212:19
family 22:21 45:6 50:1 71:13 90:11,20 91:10	152:1 155:16,22 168:1	folders 85:10	forward 32:1,5 43:4,7
167:16 170:14	find 11:17 12:11 19:1,3	folks 30:17 32:5 65:18 65:21 72:6,13,14	43:19 46:10 53:13 56:15 64:5 94:4 96:21
FAP 90:10,15 149:15	28:11 45:4 56:7,9	75:12 77:7,16 98:20	97:22 98:3 115:14,18
far 71:4 111:18 112:2,9	65:12 88:17 95:7,13	99:4 100:9,20 207:11	116:1,15 117:7
130:19 170:3 177:10	97:7 98:5 105:9,22	215:4,10 216:19	127:17 137:7 140:12
178:5 179:18 188:22	finding 31:12	follow 81:17 90:5	140:21 142:6 143:8
189:22 190:1 191:21	finds 158:20	112:15 118:14 120:20	143:20 145:12 158:9
196:16 198:15 205:9	fine 21:8	210:11	160:12 170:22 199:3
209:1,2 213:15	finger 11:15	follow-on 67:10	207:17
fashion 137:2 142:15	finish 84:6	follow-up 76:13 88:19	forward-leaning 131:2
fast 172:5 209:13	firearm 15:12	89:7,17 98:10 165:14	forwarded 56:4 62:8
faster 20:16,20 105:10	firm 197:3	followed 82:8 210:4	found 15:13 19:19
father 55:11	first 16:15 17:19 20:4	following 14:20 34:10	28:12 37:16 44:22
favor 34:11 37:9,20,21 57:15 157:14 176:8	24:20 32:3 34:2,7,16	50:6 57:17 162:18 178:4 198:22 211:22	70:3 93:19 95:3 160:21 163:14 168:9
favorably 43:2	34:17 39:10 46:2,5 59:21 60:13 61:4 71:6	foot 219:5	192:4 209:20,21
fear 166:18 170:13	77:6 87:7,14 117:22	force 2:8,15 7:13 8:8	210:3,10 215:22
February 57:13	118:4,10 121:10	10:13 11:3,8 15:15	216:3
federal 2:22 4:4 184:11	147:3 148:2 149:7	20:14 21:1 22:21 26:3	four 12:12 15:4 31:6
feedback 51:10 52:2	159:7 161:7,15 170:2	27:4 49:6,11,15,21,22	40:16 121:8,18
53:7 77:20 139:21	170:22 172:4 173:17	50:1 51:9,13 52:10,12	135:15 148:5 191:10
140:18,20	194:7,7 195:6 203:15	69:9 76:21,22 82:20	213:2 215:16
feel 74:17 167:3 183:20	207:15 211:16 216:1	83:9 87:2 91:9 102:3	fourth 5:3
187:13 191:22 199:21	219:4	102:11 110:20 111:4	fractured 11:16 12:12
204:22 217:9	Fiscal 5:12	111:5 116:22 117:13	frame 35:12 146:8
feeling 134:2 174:16	fit 96:18 97:8 105:22	119:11,13,15 128:10	frankly 204:4 206:5
feels 36:14,14 51:15	fits 170:12	129:19 130:11 131:12	fraud 194:19 195:2
	I	I	I

government 169:6 196:6 197:7 **Gender** 75:20 76:9.17 110:3 141:8.17 fraudulently 168:3 78:2 99:8 179:21 181:4 handle 131:22 143:2 general 21:1,22 34:16 free 217:18 government-provided 189:11 39:10 59:22 60:11,16 handled 12:20 13:11 frequent 172:12 71:7 fresh 162:6 61:6 62:9,10 99:2 **grab** 65:9 14:3,17 20:22 21:4 friends 45:7 71:13 103:19 104:20 108:12 grace 15:11 24:4 84:9 181:9 front 12:5 24:22 138:22 123:15 129:11 217:8 handles 54:6 grant 62:19 211:13,15 General's 50:21 granted 104:14 152:19 handling 9:7 handoff 41:2 210:2 frustrating 192:4 generalizable 76:21 152:21 153:13 170:7 frustration 191:19 handout 39:20,21 40:15 77:15 208:4 full-time 133:15 135:15 generally 121:17 granting 61:20 207:21 41:14 hands 86:15 143:3 135:18 212:11,12 163:15 169:1 189:8 209:13 gray 195:18 **handsome** 160:13 213:2,2 216:2 fully 40:17 164:4 210:1 generates 78:3 greater 35:22 hanging 215:11 functional 130:3,5 generic 86:2 Greetings 30:22 Hannah 2:2 9:11 10:11 **Grimm** 1:16 74:10 76:6 functioning 63:22 Gentile 1:18 27:4 functions 173:11 gentlemen 133:2 138:7 76:11 77:19 78:12 **happen** 19:13 26:16 funded 72:1 146:16 85:14 88:12 176:11 79:20 80:18 81:9 funny 192:17 genuine 167:17 154:13 172:5 193:17 176:12 178:14,22 further 29:15 42:22 179:22 180:8 181:6 geographic 58:10 218:3 196:5 144:12 146:12 181:20 182:17 184:5 happened 13:4 14:4 future 19:12 40:8 61:14 geographically 103:17 184:8 20:5 21:2,3 23:3,3 110:4,5 140:9 146:10 106:10 ground 212:22 24:10 26:19 27:3 43:8 154:10,11 178:11 **fuzzy** 101:2 **Georgia** 163:20 grounds 58:1 **FY** 35:11 36:4 80:17 getting 22:11 47:5 48:9 group 41:16,18 54:18 happening 18:9 173:17 92:10 56:10 70:15 74:1 73:15 218:4 101:20 109:2 122:20 grow 16:18 17:9 happens 48:2 87:7 G 139:16 159:10 175:18 grown 215:16 109:10 110:2 189:18 **Gail** 2:7 46:13 184:19 185:6 190:8 **GS** 27:2 201:20 206:18 209:13 190:22 211:8 215:6 Guantanamo 138:16 happy 48:19 192:5 **gain** 157:1 gaining 51:22 59:20 216:19 quarantee 62:2 harassing 178:10 69:10 73:19 83:2 **Giglio** 198:16 Guard 2:10,16 53:19 harassment 36:2 43:17 110:22 gist 126:11 54:5,10 84:8 91:9 56:20 Galbreath 2:2 30:15,19 give 12:21,22 21:14 102:21 105:1,2,4 hard 12:10 26:21 101:2 30:21 33:21 34:4.20 31:3 32:20 33:6 65:15 106:9,11 107:9,11 156:20 203:3 35:16 65:14 71:17 69:18 72:11 78:10 108:6 111:11 120:7 **Harrison** 1:17 103:7,8 75:8 76:7,12,16 77:11 89:14 140:14 142:3 130:14,16 168:13 104:8,12,22 105:11 78:1 81:6 86:20 88:16 144:4 146:16 148:18 170:10 173:3,22 105:15 106:5,8,14 89:14 90:11,18 91:2,7 156:7 162:8 172:8 174:12 175:1 192:11 107:5 112:15,22 91:12,16,19 92:5,9,22 176:13 180:2 182:18 207:6 210:13 113:5,17 114:2 93:12,21 94:12,18 187:15 189:10,12,19 **Guard's** 176:7 207:15 212:2 guess 25:2 93:22 94:5 hash 18:10 95:18 98:19 99:2 192:21 199:8 100:7 103:19 104:10 given 14:20 16:22 103:12 197:18 201:18 **hate** 19:4 104:16 107:7 114:5 104:15 124:11 181:9 **guidance** 34:2,7,10 Hawaii 163:16 115:3 116:9,14 132:8 201:22 202:10 208:6 49:8 52:10,22 69:8 head 10:3 77:12 81:12 118:9 93:7 138:20 gallery 4:17 181:16 216:12,14 headed 171:19 182:12,12,13 gives 145:14 155:16,19 guide 210:22 galley 173:1 giving 36:1 118:18 quidelines 170:8 headquarter 47:10,15 **gaming** 167:8 guilty 95:3 187:20 glad 71:17 headquarters 46:13 190:6,10 **GO/SES** 60:1,2 48:10,18 49:5 53:1 gamut 136:2 goal 68:2 97:15 103:13 75:5 83:19 106:9,11 gap 65:11 gym 172:22 gaps 31:13 147:7 149:22 150:1,7 130:6 Н 153:18,20 161:1 heal 17:5 51:16 **Garvin** 1:16 29:11,13 176:14 196:11 healing 32:14,15 33:11 116:6,8 117:9,14 **hair** 16:16 118:5 120:20 121:2 **goals** 161:13 half 11:17 23:8 27:2 168:8 216:21 217:1,12 **qossip** 162:3 127:5,9 health 27:19,21 42:1 **gotten** 172:3 197:12 Hall 148:21 50:17 73:22 74:2 **geezers** 215:11 Gen 1:14,20 207:10 hand 47:16 108:13 100:14 173:1 188:9

II			
218:13	hold 43:21,22 87:9	identical 77:22 116:2	58:5 120:3,5 196:2
hear 8:19 9:13 74:10	110:8 111:8 147:16	identified 77:22 214:15	including 42:1 118:21
98:11 105:5 132:18	149:5 159:12	identifier 72:3	161:20
150:3 180:3 182:22	home 15:9,12 58:15	identify 7:1 74:7	incoming 55:22
187:7 189:16 190:13	home-ported 173:9	1	incoming 33.22
	•	ignorance 24:16	119:1
190:15 191:20 194:5	homes 175:7	ignored 18:22	
211:10 219:3	Hon 1:15,16,21	illustrative 159:6	increase 78:6 174:7
heard 4:14 8:2 17:6,19	honor 62:20 63:2,4	imagine 143:20 155:8	increased 13:7
32:5,7 45:14 51:22	151:22 180:10 181:7	imagining 173:19	incredible 16:22 17:1
98:14 99:12 150:9,15	200:13	immediate 126:16	independence 183:9
160:9 170:2 176:8	honored 9:13,19	128:7	independent 135:3
187:20 192:1,2,6	Hood 63:10 133:17	immediately 125:17	183:4
194:10	135:14 136:10,11	149:20	indicate 65:3,11 66:17
hearing 18:5 143:10	177:18 212:19	impact 17:20 18:19	171:14
165:22 181:19 182:20	hope 69:11 160:5 204:7	34:14 40:7,19 61:12	indicated 45:2 78:15
183:3,10	hopefully 17:4 19:13	67:16 89:12 93:11	193:13
hearings 177:8	44:20 160:1	94:9 95:13 121:15	indicates 164:9
hears 86:3	hoping 121:3	122:1 123:12 150:14	indication 66:13
heart-to-heart 198:22	horizontal 51:6	151:4 161:3 165:11	individual 32:9 79:1
heck 27:19	horrible 36:19	165:17 188:1,4 213:9	87:8,10 113:20 114:9
helmet 16:16	horrific 32:14 43:8	impacted 32:13	130:15 139:10 197:6
help 11:20 12:5 17:1	hospital 21:12	impacts 61:19 121:11	individuals 214:15
22:11 23:1,15 26:17	hostile 164:20	123:8 130:11 167:19	infantry 63:1
26:22 63:14 128:13	hour 35:12,14 46:4	impeaching 184:18	inferences 86:19
129:7 131:21 140:18	127:5,8	impeachment 185:5	influenced 143:18
144:14 152:3 159:8	hours 24:9,10 37:7 39:5	implemented 8:17	information 30:4 41:10
161:14 166:7,22	39:11 55:15 59:10	implementing 46:19,22	77:17 85:16 86:9
185:16 194:19 195:2	60:3,15 105:12	118:8	93:10,20 95:17 96:5
217:12	191:11	implications 142:4	99:7 108:22 109:6
helped 16:18,18 17:3,9	HRC 60:22 62:8,9,20	163:3 164:5 166:11	111:1,7 113:18 115:6
17:9,9 30:5 174:8	63:2 112:4	importance 44:9	126:5 129:3,8 136:14
helper 144:14	https://dacipad.whs	164:18	137:20 156:11,19
helpful 17:14 19:20	6:14	important 8:6 14:1	157:11 184:22 199:4
33:3,11 88:12 108:16	Huffstetler 2:13 138:6,8	17:11 22:13 29:21	199:9 200:4 208:14
helping 130:10 152:1	148:10 179:3 180:5	30:3 33:1 44:14 47:1	informative 193:6
helps 74:6	185:19 188:22 195:15	48:3 64:3 72:20 99:11	informed 36:20 40:17
Henderson 148:21	198:7,14 200:12	99:19 100:5 138:3	61:11
hey 177:21	204:11,13 205:2	143:4 145:11 161:10	informing 161:12
HHA 210:20	208:9 211:4 213:16	194:7,12	infrastructure 45:6
Hi 7:4 21:10 44:2 56:18	220:8	improper 176:17	inherited 152:18
high 62:22 71:5 76:15	huge 20:14 202:5	180:13	INI 68:7
77:14 101:8 102:3,4	human 57:12 60:16	improve 51:12 169:20	initial 117:19 162:16
	106:13	improvement 23:6	168:19
172:21 202:4,13			•
	humans 206:13	51:20 53:3 129:10	initially 176:1
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20	humans 206:13 humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8	51:20 53:3 129:10 156:6	initially 176:1 initiate 59:5 87:11,11
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14		156:6	
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10		initiate 59:5 87:11,11
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20 hypothetical 193:16	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16 Hill 32:22 35:1	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15 58:12 61:3,7 162:21	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10 inpatient 14:9 15:2,6 21:19
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16 Hill 32:22 35:1 hinder 158:7	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20 hypothetical 193:16	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15 58:12 61:3,7 162:21 219:1	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10 inpatient 14:9 15:2,6 21:19 input 161:5 167:5
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16 Hill 32:22 35:1 hinder 158:7 hiring 128:12	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20 hypothetical 193:16 194:17 197:19	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15 58:12 61:3,7 162:21 219:1 included 35:12 48:7	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10 inpatient 14:9 15:2,6 21:19 input 161:5 167:5 inside 159:9
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16 Hill 32:22 35:1 hinder 158:7 hiring 128:12 history 34:3	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20 hypothetical 193:16 194:17 197:19	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15 58:12 61:3,7 162:21 219:1 included 35:12 48:7 118:17 127:20	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10 inpatient 14:9 15:2,6 21:19 input 161:5 167:5 inside 159:9 installation 38:4,5,19
higher 26:17 67:5 72:14 75:4 101:10 104:20 202:9 203:4 205:1 206:9 208:12 highlight 40:18 highlighted 147:5,21 158:6 217:19 highly 213:18 214:7 highly-desirable 163:16 Hill 32:22 35:1 hinder 158:7 hiring 128:12	humbled 9:18 13:3 19:8 19:10 humility 14:2 humor 10:4,8 hundred 81:8 hurt 99:21 hushed 18:17,17 hypervigilant 173:20 hypothetical 193:16 194:17 197:19	156:6 in-brief 125:5,7 inactive 58:13 inappropriate 4:16 inbound 82:5,9 83:4 inception 220:1 incident 63:17 72:8 82:6 84:17 include 38:15 50:15 58:12 61:3,7 162:21 219:1 included 35:12 48:7	initiate 59:5 87:11,11 initiated 37:4 61:16 80:15 initiating 40:21 initiatives 131:16 injury 11:18 innocuous 199:19 200:10 inpatient 14:9 15:2,6 21:19 input 161:5 167:5 inside 159:9

60:7.10 74:3 103:21 109:21 113:4 114:9 **James** 1:18,20 187:14 189:1,5 190:2 104:7 116:20 136:12 125:20 134:15 165:13 **January** 35:16 65:17 191:22 206:4,18 justification 62:8 163:7 212:10 213:1 175:17 187:12 196:21 66:4 71:21 90:19 installations 173:15 197:5 200:2,5 115:12 218:4 171:1 185:11 212:16,17 investigations 42:20 **Jennifer** 1:18,19 217:2 justifications 163:11 **Jessica** 2:14 146:18 **instance** 107:21 50:18,19 87:3 109:13 185:16 instances 200:21 Jim 7:7 165:10 justifying 185:12 **job** 22:4,6,20 123:12 institute 6:2 investigative 25:6 Κ institution 81:22 114:7 135:3 136:1 130:12 141:16 148:5 **instruction** 35:7 48:6 147:19 165:11 177:3 Kansas 163:19 160:2,2 166:13 195:6 197:13 171:13 213:3 214:4,8 Kastenberg 180:21 instructions 120:17 investigator 37:13 87:4 216:6,11,12 Kathleen 1:15 **jobs** 21:14 141:9,11,20 instructive 187:4 87:5 Kathy 90:18 92:5 integral 168:4 investigators 42:1 205:12 keep 33:17,18 47:1 78:5 join 26:14 integrated 21:13 87:20 137:10,19 84:18 97:16 104:12 joined 179:21 integration 130:4 165:16 182:21 111:8 141:10 220:10 intelligence 122:15 investigators' 177:3 joining 9:9 **Keith 1:17** inviting 33:14 46:9 intended 157:20 170:19 jokes 10:6,7 kept 22:22 **intense** 27:12 involved 15:15,19 **jokingly** 149:11 key 22:17 24:5 125:6 intensive 11:4 14:10,10 17:15 83:20 134:21 **Joseph** 7:13 kicked 26:3 33:12 intent 36:11 122:6 136:17 144:8 162:5 judge 6:6 50:20 54:21 kinds 27:17 123:1,14 179:4 164:1 166:17 176:22 74:10 76:6,11 77:19 **kit** 218:12 intentional 169:11 177:1 207:10 78:12,13,14 79:5,12 knew 23:17 152:8,9 interacted 209:12 involvement 183:14 80:9 81:17 85:14 **knowing** 14:6 26:10 interaction 173:16 involves 140:6 87:19 88:12 90:6 94:5 143:6 187:9 181:2 involving 5:16 60:21 123:18 124:1.10 knowledge 102:19 interest 34:21 43:12 **IPAD** 6:17 125:21 133:3.8.18 111:22 114:17 126:20 62:4 179:16,17 **ISDV** 49:6,7 138:14,16 148:4 128:16 130:16 201:3 204:15 Island 6:2 153:6 157:12 168:17 knowledgeable 143:1 interested 65:8 170:11 isolated 68:12 169:3,15 176:10,12 **known** 10:12 25:15,15 175:13 isolation 19:6 178:9,14,22 179:10 59:18 knows 51:19 146:11 interesting 138:3 **issue** 4:10 7:22 8:12 179:22 180:1,2,8,14 201:10 34:9 110:9 112:21 181:6,17,20 182:17 151:19,19,20 158:13 interests 135:2,5,7 118:21 126:14 135:9 183:18 184:5,8,8,9,10 207:3 209:8 161:11 169:18 171:22 137:3 157:15 219:1 187:1 193:4 200:19 Kramer 1:17 81:4 98:8 interface 141:14 issued 34:8 35:5,18 213:21 215:2 218:17 98:22 99:10,14,16 internal 109:8 issues 9:22 42:10,18 judges 147:18 178:1 101:4,15 102:2 193:4 international 6:3 18:12 126:12 131:6 137:7 183:4,10,11,18,20,21 201:9 202:17 204:22 18:13 140:15 177:15,17 184:3 219:3 **Krieger** 217:13 Interpersonal 2:9 49:4 178:4,17,18 179:5 judicial 85:8 L Intervention 54:17 181:8 186:6 191:17 **July** 133:16 138:12 217:14 lack 14:5,5 22:10 24:15 interview 162:16,17,18 160:19 Italy 163:17 177:2 214:17 jump 193:2 Lackland 13:6 interviews 197:21 items 121:7 **jumping** 217:1 ladies 133:1 138:7 **intra-base** 104:15 jumpstart 32:19 146:16 introduce 5:19 6:19 **June** 133:12 large 103:9 146:18 166:5 **J** 1:19 **junior** 216:9 largely 33:1 104:17 Introduction 3:3 Jack 2:12 133:1,2 jurisdiction 84:15 116:2 **invested** 128:12 176:20 178:21 179:2 177:18 larger 125:14 183:17 186:12 189:21 investigated 25:5 justice 6:5,8 27:14,15 last-minute 220:12 investigating 40:9 196:15 199:16 205:4 27:18 28:1,2,3 31:15 **Lastly** 176:7 206:21 211:6 212:9 219:19 50:20 64:17 65:1,20 latitude 62:19 investigation 1:3 4:6 220:9 69:17,22 70:8,22 **Laura** 56:18 Jacksonville 158:3 75:21 76:3,7 77:1,17 5:4,14 25:2 40:6,7,20 **Lavato** 149:3 41:4,7,12 42:16,18 **JAG** 2:20 183:7 195:6 88:8,15 89:13 94:4,11 law 36:6 42:2 80:13 61:13 75:21 80:2 195:21 214:8 134:4 140:22 143:16 81:3 83:9 89:19 133:9 85:19 87:6,17 88:3,6 **JAGC** 2:18 145:6 147:20 148:6 139:13 143:13 180:18 88:13,14 89:3 108:2 **JAGs** 216:9 164:7 165:18 169:13 180:20 186:2 188:17

193:8 196:7.9 200:13 201:8 204:18 laws 19:12 178:6 lawyers 169:6,7 183:1 208:2 212:5 lay 10:7 lead 165:7 185:4 **leader's** 64:3 leadership 24:14 51:1 144:15 216:15 learn 162:12 learning 19:3 128:14 131:14 219:14 leave 10:2 36:18 63:19 73:3 122:10 123:13 162:22 165:5 167:2 leaving 175:6 led 6:2 12:10 **left** 11:15 136:11 148:7 legal 2:13,14 3:6 6:3 8:20 9:4 41:5,12 42:2 42:14,20 50:21 54:22 57:22 61:9 84:14 90:2 132:15 133:9,19 138:9 139:18 140:3,3 140:8.10.11 141:2.4 141:12 142:20.21 143:11 146:20 147:6 148:8,19 149:4 152:18 153:1 159:1 160:6,8 168:6 171:1,4 175:17 196:5,11 210:19,21 213:17 214:14 216:5,10 Legislative 2:4 **Lejeune** 158:2,18 length 124:6 131:9 **Leo** 1:15 let's 27:14 55:10 84:17 105:19 106:22 158:1 letter 48:6 186:4,7 letters 26:1 121:9 186:10 letting 9:18 level 48:17,18 53:1 59:19 80:1 86:14 104:9 113:10 122:5 130:5,6,7 155:5,6 200:22 209:1 leveling 193:12 **levels** 53:6 liability 140:4,11 196:1 196:5,12 liaison 47:11 Liberty 1:10 **lie** 195:9,10,12 **Lieutenant** 2:10,13 7:5 53:16,18 96:8 104:22

132:6 138:8 148:10 149:3 220:8 life 8:10 22:18 23:18 26:7 87:2 144:6 155:20 162:20 189:6 191:9 203:6 205:15 208:21 lifesaving 160:22 light 30:4 121:14,22 likelihood 173:19 limit 41:9 196:1,11 limitations 137:15 146:7 201:7 limited 50:15 58:6 85:3 166:14 limits 220:2 line 13:17,19 78:8 105:6 176:17 lines 72:6 87:9 88:8 185:5 linked 16:20 **Lisa** 217:12 list 39:19,21 163:6 **listed** 51:3 listen 8:7 207:22 listened 19:9 literally 26:10 litigated 179:1 litigating 169:4 little 9:15 29:15 31:3 33:6.11.18 34:3 36:10 44:9 45:20 67:11 69:19 77:2 82:22 86:22 97:6 100:15 101:2 129:15 147:9 155:21 157:2 168:15 175:4 184:3 187:5 190:19 197:18 206:9 207:1 lived 108:7 lives 43:3,9 202:6 living 100:11 **LLM** 133:18 loaded 146:17.22 local 54:7 59:15 60:5,8 61:10 69:13 119:8 141:3 165:11 located 45:10 106:11 151:18 208:22 209:1 location 9:3 36:18 37:22 38:6 42:12,13 47:14 51:19 58:10,16 62:20,21 63:7,10 68:3 68:9,12,14,19 69:15 71:7 75:1 79:14 86:12

98:2 122:21 144:12

151:1 152:20 153:19

145:15 150:12,19

locations 51:15 62:12 71:11 97:14 146:12 163:8,16,21 185:13 lock 128:5 locks 93:2 long 1:18 17:14 19:17 76:13 159:9 175:16 183:9 186:22 187:2 191:6 217:2,21 longer 189:17 196:2 look 25:4,22 33:9 43:19 46:10 48:10 51:11 53:13 56:15 64:5 66:2 67:1,18 70:9 71:6,11 94:15 96:3 111:14 116:5 146:2 150:16 160:12 195:4,6 looked 46:21 97:4 212:6 213:18 214:7 216:3,8 looking 24:12 25:18 66:22 71:21 77:2 83:5 92:16 95:6 96:18 101:19 183:15 looks 48:2 112:3 loose 206:22 losing 41:9 48:12 59:20 **loss** 189:5 losses 217:10 lost 23:18 **lot** 13:5,7 24:3 34:21,22 38:7,11 39:1 43:12 45:4 54:18 71:10 105:9 124:10,17 126:5 130:8 172:7 173:2 182:11 187:14 189:6 190:20 191:20 191:22 195:18 200:9 207:5,9 212:14,18,20 212:22 lots 31:8 188:14 love 44:20 148:5 loved 16:12 148:3 lovely 148:21 158:2 **low** 63:4 77:4,13 202:3 202:4,10,12 203:9 205:9.21 low- 150:17 lower 67:5 72:14 lowest 59:19 202:19 **LRM** 180:21 **LT** 7:4 53:17 70:6 102:22 105:7,13,16 106:7,10,18 107:14 107:18 111:11 120:8 130:13 138:6 179:3

154:12 162:7.21

location's 67:22

180:5 185:19 188:22 195:15 198:7,14 200:12 204:11,13 205:2 208:9 211:4 213:16 luck 209:10 lucky 215:14 lunch 15:8 lying 24:11

IV

M 1:14

ma'am 10:17 26:13 28:7 28:15,19 96:16 102:22 112:1 118:22 119:12 120:6,8,15 147:15 148:2 194:6 195:4,16 218:21 220:13 Madam 184:6 magistrate 184:9 maintain 109:7 maintained 52:4 maintaining 82:13 **Mai** 1:14 major 2:12,14 7:9,9 12:16 62:10 108:12 130:6 133:1,2 146:15 146:18 176:20 178:21 179:2 180:9 183:17 184:5,20 185:8 186:12 187:17 189:21 195:3 196:15 199:16 203:1 205:4,14 208:17 211:6 212:3,9 214:11 217:4,11 219:1,19 220:7,9 majority 51:2 100:9 136:6,7 157:18 167:9 181:8 190:14 219:20 making 32:6 35:12 53:6 55:5 71:6 106:16 114:11 115:2,4 129:19 143:19 144:9 144:15 167:12 178:2 178:9 197:4,7 206:13 208:15 219:5 male 192:12 malicious 24:15 man 26:16,20 managed 84:1 management 2:11 41:16,18 47:9,20 54:18 67:22 73:15 140:6 146:14 190:17 manager 129:22 149:3 managers 129:18 130:3 130:5

п	
managing 97:14	Maxwell 119:15
mandate 5:13 35:10,11	McConnell 163:19
149:14	MCIO 37:13 125:19
mandated 43:11	MCIOs 42:11 114:6
manpower 47:9,17,20	147:18 149:14 206:20
67:22 97:15	McKinley 1:19 17:6
mantra 18:11	21:10 64:9,10,11 66:5
March 35:8 133:16	66:10,19 72:19 73:1
Marcia 1:14	114:16
Margaret 1:16	McKinley's 118:15
margins 78:6	mean 25:2 35:17 94:15
Marine 2:7,14 7:10	94:19 95:8 98:22
46:13,18,21 47:3,4,10	112:16 113:11 123:15
67:15 71:9 82:3 83:19 84:3 97:18 98:2 102:5	186:17 209:15 meaning 134:18 148:14
116:18 127:1 128:1	192:9
146:20 147:6 156:8	meaningful 183:1,14
156:17 180:10 184:20	means 72:6 153:20
202:7 203:13 214:12	161:11
214:22 218:8	meant 135:12 160:4
Marine's 82:16 127:12	measure 75:6
Marines 91:9 97:10	MEB 20:6,7,10
112:6,7 127:15,15	media 18:8
157:18 181:14	medical 13:20 50:17
Markey 1:18 24:20	54:22 70:11 73:22
Markland 2:16 168:12	93:2 100:13 141:20
168:13 181:21 186:13	142:4,11 218:13
192:7 202:1 206:15 209:14 210:12 216:17	medically 10:18 13:20 meet 40:3 50:5 55:3
219:7 220:7	96:12 120:11,13
Markland's 201:12	177:5,6
Markowitz 1:19 107:6,7	meeting 1:6 4:7,15 5:3
107:16 108:10	6:11,16 7:17,20 8:3,5
married 191:5 205:11	9:2,4,10 41:18,19
205:12	73:15,20 132:2
marry 72:8	220:16
Marsy's 193:8	meetings 164:1 177:13
Martha 1:11,14	meets 208:15
martial 40:14	Meghan 1:21
Martinson 7:7,7	Melanie 2:8 49:3
Martz 2:14 146:15,19 180:9 184:20 185:8	member 4:9,17 7:21
187:17 195:3 203:1	37:4,10 40:17 56:8,13 82:18 88:18 96:10
208:17 214:11 217:4	105:22 123:20 126:10
217:11 219:1 220:8	184:6 185:1 187:2
Mary 7:5	193:5 197:18 198:8
Maryland 10:21	199:10
mason 89:12	member's 38:15 40:3
Massey 30:11 56:17,18	members 5:2,8,16 6:15
56:18 70:19 84:8 85:5	7:2 8:13 14:12 22:14
102:19 112:1 120:11	31:20 32:22 39:16
131:7 132:6	40:10 42:8 54:1 56:11
Master 13:15 17:5	58:11 69:21 91:11
materials 6:14 121:5	132:20 136:7 162:4
129:4 184:18,19 185:7	179:12 181:19 205:11 memo 69:8 70:10 171:7
matter 29:7 132:11	171:19
196:14 212:13 220:18	memorandum 35:4
Ш	

52:11 memories 36:19 men 14:13 23:20 mental 27:19,21 50:16 73:22 74:2 100:14 188:9 218:13 mention 98:11 169:22 mentioned 34:20 35:16 39:4 49:19 69:7 96:9 113:9 117:15 131:12 209:14 **mentor** 16:22 mentorship 16:21 message 131:2 messages 57:11 met 1:10 14:13 23:16 117:7 meted 200:18 method 74:12 121:19 methodically 53:8 **metrics** 214:9 **MG** 108:14 109:14,18 110:16,19 111:10 Miami 84:18 mic 29:12 30:20 33:18 Michigan 108:7 mid 180:12 mile 173:6 military 3:4 6:5,7,19 8:15 14:11,14,15 25:5 25:22 30:8 31:15 34:18 37:13 38:16,16 39:3,13 42:9 50:19 57:10 63:1 64:17 65:1 69:17 70:8,22 73:3,7 75:21 77:1 86:1,17 88:15 89:8,13 92:10 93:18 94:11 114:7 122:19 134:5 145:5 147:17,20 148:6 164:7 165:18 169:12 173:15 178:19 180:1 180:2 181:17 182:3 183:3,7,10,11 197:1,9 206:4 218:17 Military's 8:12 mill 154:13 175:9 **MILPER** 57:13 **MILPERs** 57:11 mind 19:17 47:2 78:5 minds 204:5 mine 27:12 minimize 39:14 103:14 minimized 137:21 **minimizes** 165:10 **minimum** 59:13 minor 45:17 136:4 165:14

minute 176:13 minutes 124:12 Miramar 158:4 mirror 181:13 mirrors 57:1 misconception 37:19 154:21 misconduct 5:16 108:3 196:6 misreading 202:12 missing 30:11 108:11 mission 22:15 50:7,21 50:22 96:13,15 147:4 147:5,22 164:16 212:15 misuse 153:9 154:21 mixed 214:22 **module** 124:21.22 moment 10:14 29:12 95:8 122:9 123:3 146:17 159:19 Monday 127:7 monitor 97:18,19 monster 21:21,21 month 26:5 35:17 52:10 165:4 174:10 191:15 monthly 41:15,18 42:13 73:14,20 74:6 months 12:12 26:5 49:14 135:16 138:13 139:2 165:4 174:9 175:18 191:15 Moody 163:20 morning 9:3 **MOS** 63:1 97:16 98:2 150:16,17,17,18,20 **MOS's** 71:5 150:18 **MOSs** 63:5 mother 55:11 motion 180:7 182:2 217:10,22 motions 89:10 177:9 179:19 180:6 181:3,9 186:7 200:19 218:6 219:2,6,20 motivations 167:17 **motive** 158:8 **MOU** 74:4 move 32:8 45:5,11 55:10,10 60:21 61:3 61:10,12 78:22 84:3 85:7 87:11,13,17 89:21 95:22 96:7 97:21 98:1 103:21 104:5,6,14,15,17 116:20,20 146:10,22 151:6 154:19 156:2 165:5 176:4 204:10

moved 55:7,19 79:21 81:14 84:10 85:11 88:1,21 89:4 93:3,4 103:17 113:7 148:20 191:2,2,4 207:10 216:11 movement 58:7 83:20 moves 59:15 60:5,9,18 89:9 137:5 165:13 moving 28:18 45:2 51:19 98:3 103:10 137:7 207:8 215:11 **MST** 14:12 multiple 6:7 21:16 71:11 Ν name 53:17 138:7 146:18 160:16 185:22 narrative 171:2 narrow 122:14 **Nate** 44:8 46:8 Nathan 2:2

National 5:11 148:8,11 152:21 nature 44:16 99:22 101:9,17 102:8 156:15 **Naval** 138:9,11,16 Navy 2:6,13,18 7:8 44:3 44:4,10,14 45:15,19 46:1 64:22 67:1 79:2 79:10,17 80:5 82:11 91:9 96:16,17,20 97:5 97:8 101:7,9 103:3 109:11 117:15 118:2 118:6,20 142:17 145:17 146:2 147:5 179:6,18 181:13 185:20 189:22 202:8 208:11 213:17 214:14 Navy's 205:1 **NCIOs** 40:9

NCIS 141:2 143:12 157:1 185:3 NCO 11:8 NDA 35:11 NDAA 35:18 80:13,17 NDAAs 36:4 near 68:9 nearby 71:13 74:4 necessarily 78:9 80:4 94:3 113:12 152:7 184:13 190:22 necessary 12:21 47:7 85:22 134:13 136:20 56:14 73:10 96:15 104:18 112:8,12 123:5 129:8 144:1 169:14 181:15 191:10 191:11 196:9 198:10 198:21 207:13 needed 45:11 67:21

68:4 127:9 139:18 157:11 165:15 172:10 needing 127:11 needs 38:13 40:3,4 47:2 50:5 56:9 62:16 96:10,11,12,13,20,20 126:5,8 139:10 144:6 164:17 169:7 174:3 186:5

negative 165:17 negatively 34:14 63:20 negotiable 186:17 neither 109:14 186:14 nerd 10:12 nerves 17:18

nervous 9:21 network 55:11 70:10,13 71:13 105:20 170:15 neutrally 212:8

never 10:20 14:7 18:4 23:8,22 109:17 112:8 124:12 125:12 160:5 160:9,11 182:14 185:13 188:7 190:16 195:16 196:18 213:6 213:13

new 5:19 15:3 21:22 42:13 48:6 51:19 67:3 69:8,14 74:16 79:14 82:22 85:12,15,19 124:11 137:8 162:21 165:5 166:5 169:15 170:1 172:4,5 179:5 183:12 211:14 212:15 213:3

newer 214:8 Newport 6:1 news 35:19 nice 30:22 night 38:7 nine 26:5 Nobody's 109:16 nominated 214:16 216:4,6 non- 65:12

non-confidential 156:10,19 non-penetrative 102:9 Norfolk 182:6 normal 39:15 47:6 54:1 72:12 110:6 normally 62:10 162:10 216:16 **Norman** 217:13 **North** 1:11 158:2,3 **note** 6:11 99:20 194:7 194:12 **notes** 177:20 **notice** 27:20 noticed 92:16 notification 55:20 73:18 125:19 **notified** 58:22 79:13 83:2 84:12 109:1 notify 58:19 69:10 114:8 149:15 number 31:20 40:15 41:14 60:22 71:21 75:16 85:3 86:19 92:18 100:18 101:6 101:22 166:14 187:10 202:15,15 203:13 204:19 206:8 215:1 numbers 23:21 66:1 73:11 78:3 81:9,11,15 93:22 101:1 103:20 107:12 202:2 203:8

206:8

0 **O-5** 59:13 **object** 177:19 180:15 180:20,22 181:15 objecting 177:21 **objection** 179:8,11,14 180:11 objective 41:10 obligation 198:4,5 **obligations** 186:15,21 observation 216:18 observations 175:1 **obtain** 167:9 **obviously** 15:10 107:8 125:17 146:1 156:21 179:4 182:20 202:5 occasionally 147:12 occasions 17:17 43:11 occur 55:7 occurred 36:18 79:15 137:2 162:7 occurs 84:17 119:9,14 **October** 1:8 57:10 odds 172:20 off- 125:15 Off-microphone 124:15 offended 10:5 offender 40:22 61:17 82:14 83:3,10,14,21 111:2 150:13 154:13

159:12 187:19 189:17 offenders 52:15,17 offense 40:8 58:1 102:10 offer 4:17 89:16 95:18 196:3 offered 63:13 84:22 116:18 153:21 offering 52:16 office 2:3,5 11:21 33:16 33:22 49:12,15,22 50:18 51:9 54:22 56:21 87:3 106:5,6 111:3 162:12 171:4 210:19,21 216:5,10 216:13 officer 34:16 39:10 46:1 46:2,5 56:4 57:22 59:8,8,22 60:11,12 78:22 104:20 106:17

78:22 104:20 106:17 106:19,20 107:1 113:22 117:20 118:1 118:4 119:2,4,5 125:9 125:14 130:2 144:7 146:19 148:22 171:16 186:6 officer's 195:20

Officer-in-Charge 2:14 officer/pre-executive 119:2 officers 42:2,14 54:12

officers 42:2,14 54:12 118:18 125:3,3 171:21 offices 54:11 135:4 168:6

official 2:22 4:5 52:22 163:5 officially 20:7 49:14

163:22 220:17 officials 85:4 111:20 Offut 11:3 Ohio 168:15

OIC 214:14 old 31:7,7 215:10 omission 196:7 onboard 46:17 186:17 once 37:4 67:19 105:16

137:5 145:20 153:13 163:22 187:21 200:5 one-third 145:1

ones 15:19 175:5 ongoing 41:5,12 80:3 101:19 109:21 113:3 168:21 online 163:5

onus 118:12 open 4:8 41:11 132:19 171:22 188:7

necessity 38:13

need 23:6 44:21 48:13

opened 188:7 outweighed 166:8 116:11 122:8,12 202:21 205:1,9,21 **operate** 117:10 outweighs 205:19 137:18 151:1 156:1 perception 145:10,13 operational 38:13 40:4 overall 57:1 142:17 177:18 185:13 191:14 153:8 154:4 157:17 196:22 197:6 200:13 157:19 158:12 167:14 113:15 158:22 160:20 opinion 208:10,10 overcome 16:9 203:6 211:18 204:3 208:11 overlapping 173:11 particularly 44:15 80:1 perceptions 157:16 213:18 **opinions** 133:5 overlook 97:20 101:18 121:14 123:10 195:19 **OPM** 54:12 oversee 53:21 124:18 148:5 perform 58:13 opportunities 51:12 period 7:18 46:4 174:10 oversight 85:2 parties 41:22 136:17 overview 162:8 128:18 **parts** 74:7 **periods** 191:6 overwhelmed 140:2 opportunity 29:14 party 180:7 permanent 35:7 38:1 48:21 53:12 57:3 **pass** 177:20 58:7 62:1 172:7 Р 83:11 84:22 127:7 passed 35:9 193:7 permission 153:10 133:5 145:15 146:21 P 2:18 path 130:10 195:13 199:8 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 162:6 176:5 180:3 permissive 16:3 **paths** 192:15 181:10 192:2,6 **Paul** 1:16 2:6,16 44:3 4:1 perpetrator 36:3,16 p.m 1:11 4:2 29:8,9 216:12 219:11,21 168:13 43:18 78:16 79:8,13 option 59:1 134:14 132:12,13 220:19 **pauses** 10:1 108:7 111:13 person 30:11 32:13 150:11 162:13 pack 124:18 pay 26:5 **PCA** 104:5 116:18 **options** 52:18 84:2 58:3 82:2 84:18 87:22 **packets** 129:4 134:12 150:9,11 **page** 96:6 **PCO/PXO** 119:1 88:2,6,7 93:1 95:22 paid 29:22 **PCOs** 144:18 98:16,17 103:10,17 opts 84:5 oral 4:17 180:6 PCS 54:2 60:18,21 62:6 110:8 111:6 145:12 **pain** 19:6 order 12:22 38:14 78:21 62:12,13,21 87:11 153:11 201:13 202:11 **panacea** 166:3 80:20 81:1,19 82:1,12 103:21 104:14.17 panel 29:11 30:10 214:16.17 84:2.19 86:1.5.17 132:18 147:10 152:11 111:16 116:19 person's 83:5 89:1,4 90:4 112:12 Panetta 31:19 35:19 PCS'ing 70:18 122:17 personal 125:9 140:3 121:13 132:22 161:13 43:14 peaks 45:17 152:16 154:3 186:5 167:8 168:7 173:15 **PEB** 138:20,21 214:4 216:18 papers 192:21 199:20 paperwork 47:17 peer 155:6,6 personalities 144:8 orderly 52:13 parachute 63:5 **peers** 15:1 personally 44:17 169:2 pending 69:18 orders 42:9 55:17 110:2 paralegal 216:13 191:4 209:3 212:12 110:3,5 145:19 146:1 paraphrase 126:11 **Pendleton** 151:15,16 212:13 213:20 146:3,4 150:12 parking 173:2 158:4 personnel 2:10,11 153:18 171:18 172:4 part 12:19 22:8,9 25:2 penetrative 102:9 49:21 53:21,22 54:5 172:8 209:16 218:9 30:1 50:9 62:13 68:16 Pentagon 35:19 54:13 57:10 59:6 72:4 218:16 88:2 102:1 104:11 **people** 10:19,22 18:4 82:5 85:10 96:17 97:5 ordinarily 62:14 105:2 106:6,8 120:2 18:10,13,20 20:10,16 106:12,19 108:15 organization 2:15 62:5 120:16,17,21 122:7 23:5,8,13,16 25:19 109:12,22 110:5,7,12 63:20,22 117:1 124:21 126:15,16 26:9 32:13,20 43:7 111:4,5,14 113:16 149:22 127:19 145:5 199:7 45:4 47:19 72:9 75:6 114:13 145:20,22 organizations 63:16 209:11 212:4 85:3 90:9 96:7 99:1 146:7 163:6 165:3 114:8 part-time 212:11 100:19,21 101:9 168:6 171:16 original 42:12 62:9 108:21 109:6 111:9 participant 28:21 29:3 persons 156:3 **OSD** 117:21 118:9 166:16 173:5,9 perspective 3:3 23:3 72:22 73:12 83:14 **OSI** 162:16 165:9,12 91:20 92:1 94:20 98:6 201:16 202:21 204:6 25:8 64:14 70:2 74:13 168:5 110:17 205:9 213:4 215:1,14 113:15 161:2 168:22 ostracism 36:17 155:5 participants 5:2 170:9 210:12 215:18 outcome 56:14 110:9 participate 65:19 70:4,5 **people's** 202:6 204:5 perspectives 3:6 8:19 189:3 190:9 192:3 132:16 164:8 184:2 71:3 72:15 135:10 perceive 145:16 198:2 outcomes 61:20 perceived 145:9 pertain 186:2 151:9 196:13 204:18 Outer 158:19 206:4 perceiving 36:17 pertaining 178:6 outperformed 15:1 percent 76:18 101:12 pertinent 170:15 participating 5:9 102:4 202:6,8,19 **pervades** 175:10 outright 183:21 195:17 175:20,21 196:2 outside 38:4 71:16 participation 64:16 203:11 206:11 **phase** 184:11 113:15 127:12,18 65:4,6 70:8,21 76:2 **percentage** 25:19,20 philosophy 213:7 153:20 157:20 159:13 140:10 199:3 65:5 77:12 79:6 115:8 **phone** 191:8,11 218:2 179:11 181:19 187:5 particular 25:3,8 110:1 115:21 116:3 202:3 218:14

photos 218:13	87:4 105:9,12 117:6	preparing 171:10 194:8	198:9 202:10
physical 50:16 162:7	117:21 118:6 122:7	present 1:13 197:21	procedure 152:15
physically 161:20	123:2 125:1 127:20	198:11,11	178:15
physique 16:11	131:1 132:16 156:6,8	presentation 201:10	procedures 8:17 49:20
picked 88:1	156:9 170:8,12 171:2	presented 159:17	54:14 57:4,9 108:17
picture 66:2	171:3 184:21 210:17	preside 184:12	125:17
piece 30:4 33:1 45:19	pollute 204:5	presiding 1:12	proceed 132:22
199:4	populated 173:3	press 72:17 147:2	proceeding 40:14 88:8
pieces 121:12	population 10:22	presumably 81:20	159:14 169:13
piggyback 189:21	populations 173:14	presumed 208:6	proceedings 41:5,13
190:13 196:15	position 10:8 17:21	presumption 34:11	42:20 138:22 141:13
pill 15:13	19:1 56:21 157:6	37:9,20,20 57:15	176:15
place 17:13 45:13 74:12	160:19 186:13 213:22	207:21 210:4,11,14	processed 108:5
84:10,14 97:17 98:5	214:7 216:2	pretrial 89:11 176:20	processes 48:5 57:4
109:9 145:17 150:8	positions 40:5 122:16	pretty 16:13 98:9	108:16 109:9 119:8
161:15 173:18 214:9	122:17 169:15 214:6	108:16 124:18 125:12	136:1 142:11
placed 68:18 116:22	positive 73:6,9 139:21	130:12 178:1 200:10	processing 105:10
213:21	140:18 154:6 160:22	202:3 203:12,13	106:3 108:17 165:18
places 10:21 28:12	168:10	210:17 214:3 216:22	produce 171:7 215:13
plane 174:3	possibility 71:2 93:21	prevalent 167:6 193:14	produced 218:11
Plans 2:7	possible 36:1 43:16	prevent 143:17 173:16	production 218:12
play 50:12 161:9 players 151:19	51:17 53:9 56:14 61:19 62:21 70:15	prevention 2:3,4,6,8 31:5 33:15 44:4 46:14	productive 164:22 profession 22:20
playing 193:12	94:12,13 107:17	49:11 50:1 54:17	professional 59:4
pleading 187:20	114:18 163:1 175:4	56:21 124:21	129:17,20 194:15
please 4:11 5:21 6:11	possibly 15:13	prevents 32:14	195:7
6:22 7:22 10:5 142:2	post-trial 135:20	preview 65:15	professionally 44:18
147:1 148:2 149:7	post that 100:20	previously 32:7 156:2	96:21
152:16 154:3 156:5	posting 18:10	pride 22:4,6	program 2:7 3:7 8:22
pleasure 182:22	postponed 191:13	primarily 49:21	14:10,18 15:16,20
		Dillially 43.21	1 14.10.10 13.10.20
II -			
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22	potential 40:7,18 61:12	primary 95:12	16:20,21 17:3 19:18
plenty 64:7			
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre-119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre-119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre-119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre-119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9 programs 17:12 53:9
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6 35:5,20 36:9,11,12	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2 prefer 170:21	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3 215:1 217:12	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 programs 17:12 53:9 progress 42:16 84:22
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6 35:5,20 36:9,11,12 37:8 44:10 45:13,19	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2 prefer 170:21 preference 68:13	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3 215:1 217:12 problem 31:18 183:15	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 programs 17:12 53:9 progress 42:16 84:22 127:17
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6 35:5,20 36:9,11,12 37:8 44:10 45:13,19 46:15,22 49:20 51:7	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2 prefer 170:21 preference 68:13 preferred 97:13	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3 215:1 217:12 problem 31:18 183:15 201:12	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9 programs 17:12 53:9 progression 39:15 56:8
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6 35:5,20 36:9,11,12 37:8 44:10 45:13,19 46:15,22 49:20 51:7 52:9,14 56:19 57:1,7	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2 prefer 170:21 preference 68:13 preferred 97:13 preparation 6:15	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3 215:1 217:12 problem 31:18 183:15 201:12 problematic 169:13	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9 programs 17:12 53:9 progression 39:15 56:8 97:22 100:15 106:1
plenty 64:7 PME 129:22 pocket 29:22 point 12:20 13:14,16 15:14 16:7 17:12 27:5 27:10,18 39:22 65:11 67:12 110:4 115:8 116:3 119:9 126:9 130:20 134:17 139:11 139:14 176:22 177:22 186:19 195:9 196:19 200:1 207:3 pointed 47:22 pointing 117:17 points 179:15 police 63:2 93:19 policies 3:5 8:16 30:9 34:19 35:3 46:17 57:2 84:10 86:21 88:10 119:8 150:8 policy 2:4,7 3:7 6:20 8:12 9:1 33:4 34:6 35:5,20 36:9,11,12 37:8 44:10 45:13,19 46:15,22 49:20 51:7 52:9,14 56:19 57:1,7 57:11,14 63:8 67:2	potential 40:7,18 61:12 61:15,17 80:2 123:8 153:9 163:2 165:11 166:11 185:4 potentially 79:3 94:9 154:11 172:15 184:18 188:7 PowerPoint 146:15 practice 9:1 143:4 181:13 215:15 217:6 217:8,10 218:6 219:19 pre- 119:1 187:17 pre-command 48:8 118:17,20 119:14 120:17 124:3,18 126:22 128:21 pre-sentencing 188:18 pre-trial 177:8 178:5,15 179:1 180:1 181:9,22 182:1 200:19 preface 189:2 prefer 170:21 preference 68:13 preparation 6:15 177:14	primary 95:12 Prince 2:8 48:22 49:1,3 49:4 68:21 82:20 96:11 102:11,15 110:20 117:12 119:12 120:5 128:10 132:7 print 72:18 printed 30:12 prior 44:6 45:10 69:14 136:13 138:13,18 148:6 149:5 154:6 176:15 179:19 200:14 200:15,22 201:2 privacy 204:20 218:20 privilege 148:15 194:22 probably 18:9 30:16 45:17 79:21 82:13 95:19 98:9,12 101:5 101:16 126:3 146:10 155:8 157:16 172:19 185:17 189:12 192:8 199:7 201:18 204:3 215:1 217:12 problem 31:18 183:15 201:12 problematic 169:13 179:15	16:20,21 17:3 19:18 19:21 20:1,17 31:6 32:1 43:2 46:15,16 49:13,19 50:2,13 51:6 51:10 52:20 53:1,4 63:13 72:16 83:19 90:12,20 91:3 114:11 114:13,18 125:2 132:17 137:12 139:20 141:6 143:5 146:20 147:6 149:1,3 150:4 151:3 152:4 154:8 159:1 160:11,21 161:1,2 167:6 168:10 168:11 169:1,14,19 170:1 174:19 176:9 183:19 184:4 197:11 197:16 206:3,11 209:6 213:17 214:3,6 219:22 220:1 program's 169:9 programs 17:12 53:9 progression 39:15 56:8 97:22 100:15 106:1 127:12

promoted 216:20 promotion 213:19 215:7 proposals 171:12 pros 136:16 144:4 151:7 162:19 167:19 prosecute 24:3 169:21 prosecuted 25:20 133:13 prosecution 1:3 4:6 5:5 5:14 61:14,15 135:4,8 137:10,19 141:5 158:7 174:6 175:12 175:15,21 176:2 177:14 178:3 187:12 prosecutor 133:11 137:16 143:18 174:2 177:20 178:17 179:15 179:16 180:4 197:21 198:2,3,10,11,20 199:7 prosecutors 177:4,19 178:1 protect 19:11 86:7 protected 144:1 protecting 43:16 protection 36:1 protective 42:9 173:15 218:9,15 protocol 82:8 **proud** 129:15 **prove** 208:3 **provide** 34:4 35:21 42:15 56:7 59:13 67:13 71:14 81:7 86:22 99:9 115:1 119:18 127:4 129:2 134:6 144:17 146:13 156:10 160:6 163:7 184:21 196:8 208:13 provided 6:15 41:11 60:10 107:14,20 120:1 127:3 149:16 193:9 provides 43:15 130:20 193:8 providing 70:16 97:20 156:18 provision 89:20 provisions 58:12 **PROVOST** 88:18 proximity 36:2,16 43:17 **PSC** 54:10 55:14,15,20 56:4 70:11 105:16 107:3,3 111:15 130:20 psychiatric 178:5 psychological 141:21

psychology 32:10 **PTSD** 14:10 22:8 **public** 1:6 4:14,17,19 7:2,17,18,19 8:2 19:4 85:1 220:12,16 **published** 52:9 57:12 69:8 pull 156:20,22 **pulled** 11:20 13:9 14:18 punitive 80:19 purpose 157:21 206:20 purposes 85:8 200:17 **pursue** 67:10 **purview** 147:10 push 17:14 32:1 191:15 pushing 220:2 put 13:17,18 15:16 16:14 17:13 26:22 87:8 93:14 97:16 110:8 117:5 128:14 153:4 157:6 158:14 163:5 167:18,22 170:4 211:14 **puts** 143:2 putting 34:1 211:13 **PXOs** 144:19

C

quality 124:7 Quantico 148:9 151:14 152:21 quantity 124:7 question 12:8 19:16 20:6 26:12 28:9 64:12 64:13 69:2 71:18 76:14 80:9 81:17 83:1 83:8 89:5 90:8 92:15 98:16 99:3,5 101:5 105:1 107:10 108:14 108:18,20 110:14 118:15 121:17 123:18 124:2 156:14 180:13 182:19 184:7 187:4 192:8 199:10 201:11 201:21 203:15 204:8 212:2 213:4 217:3 questioning 185:5 questionnaire 98:13 questions 6:21 7:22 43:20,22,22 46:10 48:20 53:14 56:15 64:6,8 75:11 89:17 90:19 98:9,10 103:6 130:21,22,22 131:4 132:10,20 138:4 160:12 163:1 165:15 171:11 180:2 186:9 207:19 211:10 213:6

quick 159:3 quickly 74:7 174:17 quietly 179:9 180:11 quite 16:11 20:18,20 25:17 30:10 215:19

R

ran 12:12 136:2 208:19

racing 16:15

raise 178:17,18

Randolph 1:11

random 22:3

randomized 76:19 Rangoussis 2:4 33:5 33:13,20 73:13 80:12 81:1 99:12,13,14 120:15,22 121:1,2 122:2 132:8 rape 178:5,20 raped 11:7 rapport 128:5 132:4 rare 95:20 96:2 rarely 166:3 178:12 rate 65:20 72:12 76:14 76:15,18 77:3,4,10 98:12 116:1 rates 53:22 75:14 99:20 115:6 213:19 **RE-4** 192:19 re-engage 56:12 re-engaged 176:2 re-enlist 192:22 Re-enlistment 192:20 reach 11:5 69:22 125:20 129:21 157:13 reach-back 214:9 reached 23:15 react 52:6 read 207:19 readiness 141:7 reading 92:14 **ready** 9:10 14:20 101:21 139:17 141:10 219:7 real 13:15 32:2 realistic 52:21 reality 126:4 realize 18:11 53:11 realized 12:16,18 realm 113:16 134:5,5 reason 13:16 26:9 41:6 80:10 82:1 85:16 86:11 89:3 104:19 118:6 124:9 170:12 170:16,17 175:7,14 186:9 205:8

reasonable 39:14 58:1

217:18 reasonably 61:18 121:10 reasons 37:17 44:22 80:19 81:13 93:1 96:7 96:19 154:16 155:2 161:20 204:19 205:20 207:5 216:9 reassigned 166:19 reassignment 40:19 57:8,16 58:4,5,12 59:11 62:3 64:2 recall 9:22 receipt 59:10 receive 59:2 65:21 105:14 115:9,10,19 115:20 120:14 131:10 134:12 139:12,21 140:18,20 144:13 received 7:19 9:5 11:18 59:10 65:19 75:12,14 121:5 136:12,22 161:6 168:19 187:13 receives 111:1 receiving 25:16 41:2,3 48:12 55:21 56:13 74:21 80:9 81:22 83:12 84:12 86:2 108:8 118:19 receptive 165:1 209:11 209:21 recess 29:6 132:10 recognize 5:19 6:18 recognized 127:8 181:22 recommend 28:22 145:18 146:3 174:19 recommendation 60:3 61:8 106:21 171:7,19 recommendations 61:4 62.6 recommended 170:6 reconstruct 21:18 record 29:8 48:2 51:11 82:7,16 83:6 109:16 110:12 114:15 132:12 220:19 records 109:12,17 112:10,14 178:5 218:13,14 recover 17:10 38:3 63:14 recovering 18:21 recovery 14:8 17:1 20:19 29:20 30:2,5 31:16 43:3 51:18 52:15,19 55:13 164:19 168:8

recruiter 73:5.6 recruitment 61:18 redirect 68:13 reduced 22:16 26:5 Reed 2:7 46:11,12,13 67:14 82:3 83:17 94:14,19,22 95:11 97:12 99:13,15 103:1 112:7 118:16 126:21 132:7 refer 129:5 reference 92:10 129:4 references 147:21 referral 149:17 referred 54:19 refers 92:1 reflect 99:20,22 reflected 107:9 regard 67:15,16 115:4 180:19 regarding 59:3,13 108:2 121:6 124:2 168:22 regardless 175:13 189:18 regards 121:21 Reggie 1:21 **regimen** 130:17 region 20:2 148:8 152:22 Regional 148:7 registered 48:1 regs 207:20 regular 47:18 146:6 204:20 regularly 87:16 169:4 regulation 208:16 regulations 50:3 195:22 rehabilitation 168:9 rehearse 159:8 reiterate 7:15 47:1 relate 142:5 related 82:6 108:3,15 120:21 121:4 125:1 relates 50:14 108:20 **Relation** 75:20 76:9,17 78:2 99:8 relationship 125:9 134:19 140:5 162:15 relative 128:9 129:13 relatively 67:3 183:12 released 48:6 relentless 175:9 relevant 41:22 52:21 188:8 Reliability 114:13

relief 89:10,22 175:8 rely 164:6 remain 63:15,18 164:20 164:21 remarked 172:6 remarks 69:8 remember 13:6 16:5 17:18 18:5,7 19:5 93:6 115:15 169:4 reminder 8:6 127:21 remote 68:8 removes 154:10 removing 36:2 43:17 repetitive 49:18 report 8:14 23:22 26:10 27:6 34:13 36:22 37:10,11,16 41:17 45:3 50:6 57:17,19 58:18,22 61:10 62:7 64:4 67:8 78:9,20 81:7 92:11 93:5,9 95:8,22 96:1,4 108:1 119:20 125:19 134:11 134:19,21 137:6 141:1 155:7,9,15 162:11 167:13 170:3 172:15 185:3 186:1,2 190:4 193:20 194:20 196:21 197:4,6 200:2 200:22 201:1,16,17 203:22 205:7 reported 11:19 23:9 100:22 139:13 149:19 155:9 reporting 25:13 59:17 66:15 67:6 105:13 126:18 134:12 reports 23:11 32:6 101:6,13 196:18 197:15 200:16 201:15 202:17,21 205:6 represent 133:21 147:11 representation 134:9 134:17 139:18 187:8 representative 7:6,8,11 55:1,1 76:20 78:3,7 representatives 6:19 8:14 74:11 represented 135:19 168:20 representing 188:19 represents 77:5 reputation 13:18 request 4:11 34:17 39:16 45:1,5,21,21

58:20 59:6,7,9,11,14 62:9,12,13 63:2 64:2 67:7,19 68:7 71:12 79:4 92:18 95:5 96:17 96:19 100:6 101:14 104:11 105:14,17 107:2 117:6,16,20,22 118:13 144:10,13 145:21 151:22 152:12 156:11 162:13 163:4 163:5 166:2,22 167:18,22 168:3 170:5,9,16 185:9 199:11 200:1 204:17 207:21 209:13,15 requested 70:12 93:15 104:17 128:1 136:9 136:21 145:2 153:19 163:9,22 167:15 197:10,14 201:4 requesting 40:10 58:3 61:2 69:6 74:14 97:9 101:7 144:12 153:12 162:19 170:12 172:2 175:8 202:18 requests 7:19 9:8 47:13 54:3.6.9 55:14 61:21 62:20 63:4 92:20 94:16 95:1 102:8 104:3,6,14 105:10 106:3 137:20 144:21 144:22 145:3 152:4 153:3 162:10 174:8,9 177:9 184:16,19 185:6.20 186:12 202:16 210:16 220:12 require 46:3 62:7 105:12 required 34:9 39:13 80:13,18 81:3 122:21 156:12,16,20,22 requirement 62:15 63:7 82:4 96:13,15 123:16 requirements 50:7 requires 52:7 134:14 Requiring 63:18 rescue 174:17 research 25:17 71:18 71:19 72:1 reserve 58:11 68:8 209:2 resisted 174:5 resolved 137:3 resounding 14:16 resource 125:10 126:13 128:4,6 142:14 resources 57:12 60:17 106:13 125:20 130:9

141:22 respect 127:10 150:1 152:1 153:17 181:3 184:4 218:20 respectful 89:15 Respectfully 94:15 responded 77:7 respondent's 138:21 responders 42:7 responding 89:8 response 2:3,5,6,8,9 9:5 31:5,9,11 33:15 37:1,7 44:4 46:14 49:12 50:1,10 51:2 54:17 56:20 58:18 64:3 76:14,15,17 77:3 77:4,10 83:13 119:6 119:18 124:21 125:8 128:7 192:9 responses 76:6 181:11 responsibilities 48:11 126:1,17 129:1 responsibility 41:15 55:21 195:7 responsible 34:1 49:8 109:22 114:10 rest 110:18 173:13 174:11 186:4 restricted 23:10 26:10 58:21 111:9 134:11 201:16 203:16,18,20 205:6 206:2 restricts 188:17 result 51:5 90:1 101:1 103:21 109:2 results 75:10,19 resumed 29:8 132:12 **Ret** 1:14,19,20 retain 73:9 retaliation 36:17 42:6 155:4,13 170:13 205:18 retards 32:15 retention 64:19 66:20 66:21 67:5,15 69:1 70:7,20 71:18 72:20 72:21 98:12 99:20 141:7 164:19 retire 87:13 retired 10:10,18 13:21 retirement 16:3 26:22 retraumatization 32:12 retraumatized 32:16 return 40:13 61:13 review 25:4 39:20 41:19 45:15 48:5 64:1 107:3 112:12

46:4 54:15 55:4,6,8,9

55:16 56:2 57:17

reliable 76:20

reviewed 40:1

se 79:17 reviewing 37:14 53:1 154:17 155:2 **service** 2:11 6:19 8:13 82:5 108:2 sailor 44:15 96:21 seamless 47:3 29:16,20 34:18 37:4,9 reviews 51:11 52:3 110:1 144:6 186:6 seated 4:12 38:15 39:16 40:3,10 revolving 140:7 second 9:15 20:1 87:15 40:16 43:2,15 44:20 sailors 45:1 67:7 Rhode 6:2 **sample** 76:19 77:16 189:15 199:1 201:21 53:21 54:6,21 56:9 78:7 ride 27:20 211:17 59:16,22 63:13 64:20 San 11:6 secondarily 194:13 rigger 63:5 66:2 74:11 78:9 rights 86:7,8 88:20 sanitized 188:1,2,16 **secret** 22:22 106:12,19 114:22 **SAPR** 54:16 55:22 136:7 141:19 160:7 134:3 143:9,14 144:1 **Secretary** 5:7,10,13 rigorous 50:17 127:13 144:20 149:15 31:19 35:19 43:14 171:16 191:3 192:14 rise 200:22 150:4 151:3 152:4 section 70:11 192:22 203:4 205:11 risk 197:11 209:6 **security** 112:19 214:7 roadblocks 208:19 **SAPR's** 127:18 seeing 218:6,7 Service-specific 57:6 Robertson 90:18 92:5 **SAPRO** 34:22 35:3 seek 175:2 195:12 Servicemember 97:9 49:15 51:9 57:2 81:7 seeking 89:11 136:14 109:20 rock 27:21 Servicemembers 121:8 84:13 91:2 96:4 189:9 190:1 218:8,15 rocky 20:20 seemingly 217:6 **Rodney** 1:19 111:19 127:3 129:11 121:13 Serviceperson 74:14 **role** 44:5 50:12 127:14 **SARC** 51:22 52:1,5 seen 20:21 23:4 66:16 services 8:16,21 30:8 130:21 133:21 144:3 54:20 56:12 58:19 165:17 185:8,13 161:8,10 165:7 68:10 83:13 105:21 195:18 201:16 203:16 34:9 41:4,8,20 42:22 183:12 194:3,4 198:9 120:13 125:21 132:2 203:19 204:2,10,12 45:9,12 52:7 54:4 roles 48:11 122:15 152:7 162:11 167:11 204:20 206:1 209:15 55:13 56:11,13 64:14 128:22 136:17 171:5 186:2 selection 62:1 64:18 66:11,14 67:16 room 17:17 **SARCs** 47:11 48:16 Self-Directed 2:9 49:5 67:21 68:4 69:3 70:12 rooted 52:21 59:2 67:18 120:12 send 96:22 170:22 70:15 71:6,8 73:16,21 Rosen 2:6 44:2.3 64:21 128:3.19 144:18 171:3 210:16.17 74:20,21,22 77:21,22 66:7.12.22 78:18 79:9 161:8 168:5 210:5 sending 86:12 78:17 79:11 86:21 79:16 96:16 101:6,11 sat 27:1 senior 2:4 6:8 8:8 10:10 88:11 91:5 96:11 101:16 103:4 109:11 satisfaction 170:7 13:15,19 33:4 55:1 101:8,19 108:8 128:9 109:16,19 112:20 **satisfy** 104:18 59:22 60:6,10,11,12 134:3,13 142:3 113:2,6,20 114:4 satisfying 169:2 85:4 111:20 119:5 149:16 151:13 162:13 117:14,19 118:8,22 **saving** 15:11 125:4 135:12 172:20 204:21 207:18 124:16 126:15 132:7 saw 18:9 124:12 176:4 sense 103:20 155:16 212:6 213:4 220:5 rotation 110:6 saying 14:19 18:7 21:2 189:4 191:2 201:22 Services' 3:4 row 30:17 93:14 116:10 131:3 sent 12:17 60:22 86:16 servicing 171:4 210:19 ruin 188:11 148:3 189:2 214:12 107:2 153:18 154:1 serving 85:1 138:11 **rule** 73:14 178:19,20 218:2 171:9 174:12,14 **says** 65:18 86:16 99:3 sentencing 187:18 180:17 183:2 **SES** 60:13 rules 181:12,13 182:3 116:22 117:6 123:6 190:16 session 3:2 181:18,19 188:3 194:14,15 170:19 180:20 192:21 separate 43:11 87:13 set 122:4 140:15 165:15 195:7,8,8,20 196:13 198:1 99:6 100:2 138:15 178:4 182:7 141:4 162:2 181:10 seven 10:22 55:18 199:1 212:1 scan 12:17 rumor 154:12 175:9 scandal 13:5 separated 72:9 108:6 136:22 152:18 scariest 10:20 rumors 205:18 separately 179:20 sex 89:2 run 16:10,11,12 67:4,11 scenarios 128:21 180:4 181:4 **sexual** 1:3 2:2,4,6,7 3:3 schedule 29:4 162:16 101:2,11 165:10,12 separating 99:7 4:7 5:5,15,16 8:8,14 192:13 202:11 207:7 school 87:12 122:8,12 **separation** 72:12,14 9:5,7 13:6 14:14,15 207:12 216:13 133:19 215:8 142:12 20:22 31:5,9 32:6 running 12:15 201:13 schooling 144:19 sergeant 11:22 13:10 33:15 34:12 35:22 **runs** 171:6 Schwenk 1:20 91:14,17 37:1 41:16 43:16 44:4 13:15 17:6 69:10 **RVLC** 149:6 91:21 92:3 seriously 172:18 46:14 49:9,10,11,22 **scope** 124:6 131:9 183:12,13 50:6,11 51:20 54:16 S 187:5 serve 148:22 168:7 56:20 57:9,16,18,19 screened 213:20 served 6:6 133:3,15 58:2,18 60:18 61:10 **sadness** 175:5 **safe** 36:14 51:15 214:13,16 135:11,16 138:14,18 63:15,16 64:4 67:8 **safer** 176:3 screening 214:20 138:20 139:1 148:5 72:5,7,15 73:4 75:13 safety 36:13 42:10 scrutinize 186:10 76:1 78:20 79:18 82:6 168:16 **scrutiny** 188:12 serves 47:11 148:10 83:13 88:13 89:22 63:20 150:11 154:15

	I		1
90:16 91:22 99:4	simple 98:9 107:10	152:8,9 155:18	43:5
100:1,21 108:4 109:4	170:10	158:14 159:4	spoken 17:16
109:13,19,20 112:18	Simultaneous 66:18	somewhat 17:4 193:11	sponsor 195:9
119:6,17 124:20	92:12 102:17	soon 20:10 134:19,21	sport 16:17
125:7,11 133:13,22	simultaneously 204:17	sooner 20:10,18	sports 16:1
134:1 136:2,3 137:13	single 139:8	sorry 9:14 10:14 26:11	spouse 38:16,17
139:20 143:22 147:8	sir 65:1 66:6,7 68:21	77:9 80:22 94:20	122:20
163:13 166:4 169:4	73:13 78:18 79:9	99:14 121:2	spouses 39:3 122:20
170:3 172:15 185:15	101:11 112:20 178:21	sort 21:15 22:2 71:14	spun 190:8
186:1 193:10 200:14	179:2,3 180:5 184:21	sound 123:21	squadron 12:1 80:1
219:12	185:9,10 198:7,14	sounding 137:21	113:9 117:1
sexually 32:9 73:2	200:13 202:14 203:16	sounds 126:14 207:17	square 173:6
158:10	204:8 205:2,22	207:20 212:3	squirreled 22:2,22
shame 18:1	209:11,19 213:17	source 186:8	staff 2:18,19,21 4:11
shamed 143:19	214:11 215:21	sources 71:22	5:20 6:6 8:1 47:15
share 9:20 29:19,22	sister 55:12	South 160:18	87:18 90:6 125:21
 	sit 84:13 111:20 127:22		
57:3 161:2		space 97:6 173:6	126:13 141:5 153:6 157:12 168:17 214:2
sharing 9:12 28:17 SHARP 131:11	179:7 181:15	sparked 31:18	214:2
SHARP 131:11 shattered 12:13	sits 123:6	speak 17:6,19 18:5,15	
	sitting 68:8 159:20	27:10 29:14 33:14	stage 171:20 197:13
Shaw 160:17 163:18	situated 9:15	53:13 79:10 122:3	stages 135:22
165:21,21	situation 13:2,12 14:7	123:19 130:14 131:5	stakeholders 143:15
sheet 175:19	21:6 23:14 24:16	131:8 133:5	stamp 86:16
shepherd 142:10	36:13 56:1 95:19	speaking 19:4 23:5	stand 6:22 95:14
shepherded 94:10	100:11 155:21 175:4	27:9 28:3,10 66:18	139:17 142:7 159:11
Shew 7:9,10	198:19	79:16 92:12 102:17	169:5 179:6,9 180:11
shield 178:6,20	situations 14:17	137:4 161:4	180:13 181:16 190:12
shift 38:7,8	six 31:7 63:8 135:20	speaks 18:2 41:14 44:1	195:12 201:2 219:7
ship 97:2 101:20	153:2 175:17 191:15	special 2:12,15,17 3:6	219:18
172:17	size 62:18 173:13	8:20 50:16,18 54:20	standard 153:15
shipmates 175:6	SJA 37:12 214:18 216:6	87:3 114:11 130:1	standards 120:18 122:4
ships 101:10	slide 147:1,15 148:1,2	132:15 133:11,15	standing 179:8 182:14
shocking 10:19 23:21	149:7 152:16 153:4	134:1,6,9,16,22 135:2	standout 47:6
short 29:1 159:10	154:3 156:5	135:4,12,15,18	standpoint 32:11
170:18 210:18	slides 146:22	137:11 138:1 160:17	195:21,21
shortened 146:8	slot 122:11	168:14 178:16 180:3	stands 156:9
shoulder 11:15 21:17	small 12:1 68:12 81:10	182:21	standup 5:21
show 11:12 174:3	93:22 121:9 128:14	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solid 27:21	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11 similar 68:22 75:3 83:3	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solider 61:2	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specifics 70:21 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10 spent 11:8 14:9 167:2	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19 62:16 184:9
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11 similar 68:22 75:3 83:3 85:6 92:4 107:12	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solider 61:2 solutions 165:8	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specifics 70:21 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10 spent 11:8 14:9 167:2 185:20 186:5	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19 62:16 184:9 station 62:2,2 138:16
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11 similar 68:22 75:3 83:3 85:6 92:4 107:12 132:4 148:9 193:8	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solid 27:21 solider 61:2 solutions 165:8 somebody 80:5 90:15	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10 spent 11:8 14:9 167:2 185:20 186:5 spine 11:16	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19 62:16 184:9 station 62:2,2 138:16 158:1,11,16 165:6
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11 similar 68:22 75:3 83:3 85:6 92:4 107:12 132:4 148:9 193:8 218:6	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solid 27:21 solider 61:2 solutions 165:8 somebody 80:5 90:15 96:22 99:21 108:19	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10 spent 11:8 14:9 167:2 185:20 186:5 spine 11:16 Spohn 1:20 92:15	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19 62:16 184:9 station 62:2,2 138:16 158:1,11,16 165:6 172:8
show 11:12 174:3 shows 111:15 shunted 127:5 shut 25:14 side 13:9 20:14,21 21:1 92:4 103:11 130:2,3 189:10,12,14 190:14 sides 48:14 sign 15:8 204:17 significant 6:4 31:13 significantly 141:20 171:8 silence 12:7 silenced 19:6 23:12,16 28:3 silent 23:11 similar 68:22 75:3 83:3 85:6 92:4 107:12 132:4 148:9 193:8	93:22 121:9 128:14 129:9 150:17 172:19 175:10 182:13 207:7 smaller 78:5 166:15 173:14 SMEs 47:9 smooth 14:9 52:13 smoothly 137:2 social 18:8 soldier 59:5 61:22 62:4 62:19,22 63:18 71:12 211:22 soldier's 59:11,14 60:1 60:14 sole 214:12 216:2 solid 27:21 solider 61:2 solutions 165:8 somebody 80:5 90:15	182:21 specialist 2:7 10:13 63:6 specialists 46:15 specific 45:8,19 57:5 65:3 83:1 98:11 123:14 131:17 166:13 171:12 200:21 specifically 44:9 68:6 121:21 122:3 130:14 164:9 192:18 201:8 specifics 70:21 specter 140:17 speculating 205:3 speculation 101:22 spend 183:15 189:2,6 191:10 spent 11:8 14:9 167:2 185:20 186:5 spine 11:16	standup 5:21 Stanley 34:9 start 20:10 30:14,16 64:9 148:3 162:6 206:20 214:12 started 5:18 20:6,7 128:17 starting 132:14 162:21 163:12 state 20:18 61:22 194:14 195:8 stated 35:21 80:20 154:16 statement 61:1 139:15 147:22 188:1 198:12 198:13 219:3 States 1:1 7:13 53:19 62:16 184:9 station 62:2,2 138:16 158:1,11,16 165:6

stations 123:11 175:10 **statistics** 23:10 65:3 81:5 201:19,22 **stats** 90:22 91:8 **statue** 91:20 **status** 40:6 41:11,12 87:16 statute 91:14,17 92:1 105:11 stay 21:18 42:19 166:18 205:15 stealing 217:15,16 step 190:2 207:17 steps 19:11 87:7 99:6 stern 198:22 **Steve** 6:9 Steven 2:20 5:21 stick 13:16 stigma 18:1 74:18 145:8,9 215:19 stigmatized 24:17 **Stolberg** 2:2 9:11,14,17 10:11,17 13:11 19:16 19:21 21:9,10,15 23:7 25:10 26:13,19 27:7,9 28:7.8.15.19 29:15 stood 46:17 **stop** 36:19 173:16 **stops** 182:15 **store** 143:7,10 **stories** 51:11 story 17:5 158:15 159:3 159:5,6,9 189:11,13 189:15 190:15 209:9 strategic 49:8 strategies 128:13 stratified 76:19 Street 1:11 strength 19:1 stress 137:22 stresses 214:5 stressful 143:21 strides 20:9 21:5 **stripes** 13:18 stripped 22:19 26:2 **strong** 28:13 stronger 180:18 **structure** 51:1 106:15 struggling 141:19 studies 6:3 **study** 67:10 71:19 stuff 22:3 114:14 Styles 2:10 53:16,17,18 70:6 96:9 102:22 104:22 105:7,13,16 106:7,10,18 107:14 107:18 111:11 120:8 130:13 132:7

subject 19:5 45:2 55:7 58:14 79:3,4,18 81:13 82:14 84:10,15,21 85:7,11,13 88:2 89:2 110:13 112:3,17 113:3,7 161:22 162:1 163:12 166:20 200:14 subject's 55:2 subjective 129:15 **subjects** 85:9 109:12 submission 152:3,7 submit 47:13 59:7 97:13 117:22 186:11 203:3 204:16 209:15 submitted 4:20 34:18 37:5 70:10 145:21 192:18 **Subpart** 121:21 **subparts** 121:19 subsequent 117:22 199:15 success 56:10 75:6 successful 48:4,16 successfully 173:8 suck 12:2.11 suffered 22:7 44:15 sufficient 126:8 suicide 15:9 **suitable** 141:18 Suite 1:11 Sullivan 2:22 4:3.4 5:1 7:15 220:15.16 summarize 126:10 **sunny** 158:3 superintendent 11:21 12:6 13:10 14:21 **supervised** 135:14,18 148:19 supervision 149:4 supervisor 14:22 162:1 supervisory 195:13 supplement 57:11 **support** 13:12 17:8 22:10 26:11 31:14 35:22 43:13,15 44:13 45:6,9,12 48:17 52:8 52:15 53:9 55:11 66:8 66:14 67:17 68:4,9,14 68:20 69:13 70:10,11 70:13,17 71:4,13,15 100:13 101:19 105:20 108:8 125:18 128:8 134:7 153:11 156:3 161:13,21 163:10 167:16 168:8 170:14 170:15 205:13 supported 51:16

145:13,16

supporting 46:20 57:22 supportive 48:17 183:19 205:16 220:2 **supports** 180:18 supposed 98:15 218:3 **surface** 97:1,2 **surgeries** 21:16,16 **surrounding** 18:1 83:3 **survey** 75:10,13,19,20 75:22,22 76:8,8,9,10 76:17 77:1 78:2 98:14 99:8 101:2 **surveys** 76:15 survivor 8:9 43:5 51:11 survivors 50:12 suspect 36:7 38:9 80:8 80:10,16 81:19 85:17 88:21 89:8 95:3 172:18 suspects 89:21 suspended 113:12 sustain 53:2 **SVC** 133:21 135:11 159:19 160:20 162:13 165:7 168:19 169:15 182:9 204:1 212:21 219:22 **SVC's** 161:8 166:22 **SVC/VLC** 3:6,7 **SVCs** 90:6 135:14 160:6 161:6.9 164:6 166:7 167:6 168:4 169:20 175:1 176:7 194:10 210:5 212:17 213:2 220:2.3 system 15:3 31:11 41:21 50:10 64:17 65:1,20 66:8 68:10 69:17,22 70:5,8,17,22 71:4,15 72:4 74:7,15 76:3 88:1 89:13 94:11 110:12 111:14,16 115:14 146:10 161:21 163:6 165:19 167:8 167:11,16 169:17 179:6 180:9,10 186:15,21 205:13 system's 169:18 **systems** 163:10 systems-based 51:4

T Tab 96:6 table 182:7,8,9,9 tag 18:10 tailored 144:5 takeaways 128:16 taken 25:21 99:1

155:18 206:10 takes 165:3,7 175:16 191:1,5,20 200:9 217:21 talk 14:1 23:8 44:8 65:16 73:20 147:3 151:7,12,17 161:7 170:11 186:4,16,20 201:8 217:14 talked 23:13 114:20 130:4 136:19 187:5 194:9 218:11 talking 23:5 31:1 111:21 113:2 123:3 171:15 186:5 talks 91:21 100:8 **Tammy** 2:18 4:12 8:1 target 88:2 140:15 task 25:3 46:18 164:16 taskings 22:15 taught 16:8 17:4 159:4 **TBI** 22:9 24:8 **TDY** 16:3 **TDYs** 10:21 teach 131:19 team 54:17 68:16 85:12 technical 63:6 technician 97:1 **Tedford** 2:15 160:15,16 181:7 185:10 191:18 193:13 194:6 202:14 205:22 209:19 215:22 218:21 220:7 teleconference 165:16 telephone 164:1 tell 32:11 43:8 64:15 77:13 81:12 87:6,18 89:19 111:4 115:6 122:2 125:6 137:13 139:22 142:1 150:5,6 156:20 157:8 169:1 187:10 190:2 193:1 195:1 198:18 telling 158:15 159:4 tells 193:19 213:7 temporary 35:4 38:2 40:2 58:6 150:12 153:18 ten 156:12 tend 27:12 175:3,5,12 175:21 188:3 193:2 tends 204:5 tenth 173:6 tenure 125:13 135:17 term 133:10 145:2 terms 69:3,16 111:1 121:18 123:14 160:9

terrible 201:14 202:2

THURSDAY 1:8 testify 149:21 120:18,21 121:4 177:10,16,17 179:5 testifying 181:15 ticket 174:3 123:15,16 124:2,4,4,6 179:19,20 180:12 testimony 8:7 159:9 Tideswell 2:18 4:12 8:1 124:8,11,17,22 181:2,5 182:1,5,8,18 126:12 127:4,11 177:15 187:3 28:8 92:7,13 220:11 184:8 186:19 191:12 Texas 11:6 133:17 220:13 128:18,21 129:8,11 191:12 199:15 211:9 163:19 tie 100:3 130:15,17 131:9,13 211:11 214:5 thank 4:22 5:22 7:2,14 ties 98:12 172:17 144:17 167:3 168:19 tried 23:15 151:7,19 9:9,11,12 10:8,17 tighten 188:3 210:7 triggering 36:19 19:13,15 24:20 28:5,7 tightly 124:19 trainings 13:6 trouble 94:21 181:21 till 43:22 transcribed 6:12 28:14,15,16,19 29:13 182:14 30:6 31:1 33:8,13,19 timeframe 80:16,17 transcript 6:12 true 78:17 93:16,20 43:19 44:1 46:8 48:20 timeline 105:3,9 **transferred** 38:9 41:1 truly 14:5 150:7 timely 134:7 137:2 63:9 68:3 73:18 74:19 53:12,15 56:16 64:5 truth 195:19 64:11 66:6 68:21 70:5 142:15 75:2 79:13,19 81:19 try 11:20 19:11 33:20 times 6:7 12:21 24:3,4 82:2 85:17 86:4 98:16 76:11 83:18 99:17 44:17 45:15 49:17 107:5 108:10 132:5,8 58:14 70:1 71:10 103:18 104:9 108:20 68:13 84:14,18 97:7 133:4 138:4 146:14 94:17 95:1 101:7 118:3 136:11 158:16 131:6 200:10 146:21 147:15 160:10 102:2 103:16 104:10 165:20 172:16 trying 10:2 12:10 25:11 transferring 34:12 37:9 160:12 168:11 176:9 135:6 141:12 158:15 28:2,10 75:7 86:15 171:20 173:7 187:22 176:10 180:8 181:5,6 69:14 110:4 170:18 106:14 116:7 141:15 181:20 184:5 187:2 207:9 transfers 31:2,4 32:4 163:15 164:10,11,12 193:5 201:9 207:16 titled 57:8 38:18 42:9 45:1 47:4 turn 8:11 36:10 46:6 215:20 220:6 today 5:3,9,18 9:10,19 47:21 51:17 52:16,17 88:5 198:17,21 199:8 thankful 19:9,10 21:5 20:19 21:3 33:4,14 54:1,2,7 57:8 62:14 199:19 133:5.6 161:1 166:12 thanking 26:15 66:21 81:2,8 91:1,10 turned 196:18 199:5 thanks 124:16 194:9 104:4.7 108:17 turning 15:14 16:7 the-cuff 125:16 today's 6:11 7:20 8:5 118:19 121:6 131:10 tweaks 45:17 theoretically 92:3 **Tokash** 1:21 27:4,8 136:10 146:9 150:2 twice 101:8 102:3 103:12 88:19 93:8,13 94:8 two 11:9 13:4,16 16:15 150:11,20 152:2,17 **theories** 131:14 95:10,12 158:6 154:18 157:20 160:21 18:12 21:16,17 43:11 things 16:8 19:12 25:18 **told** 12:1,3,15 14:22 161:19 165:9 170:4 55:15 77:6 84:7 105:6 25:19,20 31:17 32:3 18:18 27:16 43:5 172:12 174:4,15 105:7,17 111:9 115:9 206:17 212:21 32:17 33:10 48:13 81:22 183:21 194:18 116:3,17,17 122:20 65:2 67:17 71:1 73:9 213:12.14 transition 51:14 167:20 152:6 165:4 172:2,6 74:5 75:8 87:9.12 tomorrow 9:2 translate 77:10 184:13 173:9 174:8.9 176:13 187:16,21 198:19 88:8 97:4 100:16 tomorrow's 9:4 189:6 200:3 207:19 210:16 113:14,19 121:8,18 tone 18:17 transparent 146:5 trauma 14:15 18:20 122:15 123:5 125:1 toolkit 119:7 216:10 132:3 137:15 145:11 tools 13:1 44:15 two-part 121:17 201:11 187:14,16 189:19 top 77:12 81:12 93:7 traumatic 11:18 173:18 type 79:6 115:1 116:11 190:21 191:17 200:9 187:15,15 190:7 traumatized 149:13 119:21 184:18 201:6 208:20 210:16 travel 71:2 top- 75:3 types 49:9 53:8 81:2 212:14 219:13 tormented 174:16 traveled 165:21 131:19 218:9 third 182:7,9 189:16 total 22:10 215:19 treat 21:20 199:17 typical 139:6,11 162:9 thought 16:4 18:11 totally 101:5 154:7 treated 180:16 218:19 174:3 178:16 treatment 89:11 142:5 217:16 30:3 32:18,22 80:7 typically 79:20 92:21 159:18 164:4 167:21 touch 70:1 112:4 142:9 134:20 152:4 178:22 track 81:13 176:6 tremendous 67:3 180:19 181:4 182:1 210.1 **thousand** 100:20 tracking 97:18 207:17 186:19 192:12 trade 97:6 trend 18:9 threatening 164:21 U three 6:3 34:10 39:22 train 87:12 trends 25:18 49:14 50:2 95:21 trained 121:12,18 triad 119:3 **U.S** 2:6,7,8,10,12,13,14 97:13 101:7 102:2 trial 6:9 24:6 25:19 129:14 166:13 183:4 2:15,16,18,20 7:10 135:15 138:14 163:6 training 9:5 12:22 14:5 93:11 95:14 133:10 20:3 133:4 172:13 187:21 192:17 24:15 48:8 52:21 59:2 133:12 134:20 147:16 ultimately 46:5 153:17 196:17 213:2 59:4 115:1 118:15,18 149:21 151:20 159:7 un-restrict 27:5 three-headed 215:5 118:20 119:9,13,14 159:17,22 163:1 unable 15:2 thunder 217:16 119:19 120:1,3,14,18 165:22 168:16 176:15 unbiased 142:21

victims 8:22 31:14 uncomfortable 19:5 206:2.16.19 W 36:14 unrestricting 204:6 32:21 34:12 35:22 **W** 1:16 undergoing 93:1 205:7 36:1,20 39:8 43:4,15 wait 179:9 180:14 underlying 82:1 **unsafe** 154:20 44:13 47:13 50:11,16 181:16 underneath 104:2 untrue 158:13 51:14 52:14,16 57:9 waiting 158:17 60:18 63:9,14,14 **Undersecretary** 34:8 unusual 165:6 214:5 walk 47:16 126:20 understand 24:2 72:1 unwarranted 204:4 64:19 66:9 67:6 70:14 128:15 129:3 151:17 77:20 85:15 88:22 unwritten 203:20 73:8 76:1 77:5 78:19 210:6.10 95:10 103:8 106:15 97:12 109:17 112:8 **up-front** 194:12 walking 115:16 116:9 139:7,22 updated 35:7 122:7,9,14 123:10 Walton 1:21 78:13,14 142:22 150:7 164:11 **updates** 42:15 133:22 134:8,12,16 79:5,12 82:18 187:1 201:12 202:1 210:18 137:12 139:20 145:7 uphold 195:22 193:4 197:18 198:8 understanding 22:11 ups 26:17 147:8,11,13 148:13 199:10 97:14 126:16 **upstairs** 103:10 148:17 149:12,18 **Walton's** 80:9 81:17 understands 62:1 **uptick** 20:14 150:2,21 152:2,6 wanted 15:22 33:8 47:4 undiagnosed 22:8 urge 199:7 154:8 155:3,16 156:2 57:3 73:13 110:11 **unduly** 143:17 **USA** 1:14 160:7 161:19 166:2,6 120:15 126:4 153:11 **USAF** 1:19 unfortunate 8:9 166:6 169:5 173:22 154:1 170:4 unfortunately 154:18 use 53:8 128:20 158:8 187:8 188:19 217:20 wanting 68:18 211:21 169:12 195:1 **USMC** 1:20 victims' 2:12,13,14,16 219:3 unfounded 94:3 115:7 **usually** 18:17 76:18 2:17 3:6 43:3 47:2 wants 36:17 39:9 73:21 uniform 44:6 88:15 84:13,14 149:16 52:15 132:15 133:12 143:6,7 157:22 181:12 189:17 150:3 155:5 165:3 133:16 134:1,6,9,17 161:15 193:21,21 unintentional 169:10 170:1 174:1 179:10 134:22 135:2,5,12,15 war 124:11 uninterested 175:15 181:18 182:2 185:16 135:18 137:11 138:2 warm 41:2 unique 54:5 125:12 196:1 138:9 142:20 146:20 warn 172:5 165:6 utmost 47:21 147:6 148:7.19 149:4 **Warrior** 15:16 unit 14:11 21:12,13 **UVA** 159:4 152:17 153:1 159:1 warriors 27:11 32:8 40:4 52:5 58:7,8 **UVAs** 150:6 160:6,17 164:8,17 **Washington** 138:10,12 58:9,10,15 59:20 168:14 169:1 178:16 148:11 171:17 63:16,19,22 68:8,12 180:3 182:21 192:18 wasn't 12:16,19 22:4 78:22 79:1,21 88:5 **VA** 58:19 213:17 214:14 25:15 93:17 95:16 90:5 103:22 104:4,5 valid 62:15 143:22 victories 190:19 96:1 110:22 127:9 106:12 109:7 111:17 **valuable** 99:17 160:7 victory 189:5 190:3 154:7 113:21,22 125:11 168:10 view 74:20 167:4 202:4 watch 10:7 72:18 130:5 137:8 161:17 value 53:7 202.4 watching 169:4 viewed 156:6 162:5 171:14 205:16 variables 75:16 waterfall 115:17 205:17,19 209:2 **varies** 202:6 views 75:6 192:18 way 14:16 20:22 24:4 United 1:1 7:13 53:18 variety 161:20 violence 2:9 49:5,9,9 30:2 32:14 44:14 62:16 184:8 VAs 59:2 49:10 147:11 98:18 99:16 117:5 units 40:5 59:17 111:21 vast 100:9 violent 147:13 144:10 149:19.20 136:11 175:6 Virginia 1:11 133:20 vastly 21:4 155:12 158:20 159:12 **universe** 140:14 ventured 146:5 148:22 184:2 197:17 203:7 University 119:15 visibility 18:19 118:11 verdict 190:6,10 209:1,2 210:14 211:2 unknown 140:17 vital 145:5,6 Vergona 7:4,5 **Wayne** 7:10 **unknowns** 140:14 VLC 138:12 139:2,19 versed 128:3 129:19 ways 77:3 141:6 142:12 unlawful 89:11 version 35:9 141:6 143:5 145:3 196:1 203:21 unrelated 101:5 versus 65:5 67:7 148:7 151:10,12,16 weapon 113:14 114:12 unrestrict 204:15 100:20 103:22 115:19 151:17 154:7 159:19 wearing 189:17 unrestricted 8:13 36:22 179:18 204:1 214:13 116:20 218:10,12 website 6:13,17 214:21 215:19 41:17 50:6 58:17 vertical 51:6 130:4 week 18:9 38:21 52:11 66:15 67:6 78:20 victim's 8:20,20 34:14 VLCs 179:7 69:9 191:14 209:17 101:6,13 108:1 voice 19:3,7 28:2,11 37:3 38:12 39:7,11 week-long 16:4 125:18 134:11,14 33:18,19 123:21 51:18 55:2,8 58:6 weekend 11:11 58:14 156:21,22 162:11 64:2 65:5 70:1 93:11 voluntary 142:22 weeks 55:15 105:6,7,17 167:12 185:22 201:15 96:12 107:1 108:2 volunteering 215:18 160:13 172:2,6 201:17 202:17,21 164:18 169:16 194:3 **vs** 180:21 weigh 166:10 203:16,18 205:6 203:2,6 208:21 vulnerable 53:11 Weir 2:20 5:21 6:1,4

	1	I	1
welcome 3:3 5:2 6:9	worry 140:15,16 213:8	14 80:17	4
9:11 132:17 138:4	213:12,14	1432 1:11	40 139:3
well-being 63:21	worrying 151:11	15 16:2 138:13 139:1	412 178:20 179:5
163:11 164:11 214:4	worse 200:11	150 77:5	180:20 182:3 186:7
went 12:8,14 15:9,12	worst 188:19	16 5:7 92:11	217:5,7 219:21
16:6 18:6 21:12 29:8	worth 26:6,7	16th 35:6	4187 59:7
31:14,19 48:5 52:11	wouldn't 12:4 94:3	18 107:19 135:16 202:8	47 148:13
63:8 132:12 137:1	112:22 113:11	18th 35:17	48 24:9,10
159:7,8 192:5 203:17	wound 190:8	19 1:8 92:17	49 139:2
206:16 220:19	wounded 15:15 27:11	1st 11:22 13:10	49 153.2
weren't 15:4 23:17	wrap 124:1		5
25:16	Wright-Patterson 11:2	2	5:08 220:19
whisper 18:17 19:8	wrinkle 197:19	2 41:14	50 102:4
who've 99:1	write 170:18 185:16	20 203:10	513 179:5 180:20 182:3
wholeheartedly 174:19	writing 59:6	200 77:7	217:5,7 219:2,21
wide 75:13	written 4:19 6:12,14	2006 159:5	5803.1E 195:6
willing 13:18 150:22	105:8 181:10 192:17	2009 34:1	3303.1E 133.0
win 22:5	wrong 12:17 13:12 14:3	2010 138:19	6
wind 16:16	wrote 121:3 122:7	2011 31:5,21 34:20	6 96:6
window 127:3,18	WTU 15:18	46:16 57:10	62 104:3
128:14		2012 11:7 20:5 21:3	6495.02 120:17
wing 80:1 113:10	X	23:4 25:1 33:12 35:11	684 104:6
wish 63:15	X 186:1	80:13 133:13	6B 180:15,21,22 217:5
wishes 38:12		2013 35:8 44:5 138:19	217:8,10,17 218:18
withdraw 195:13	Y	2014 20:6 36:4 133:13	218:22 219:8,9,22
withstand 188:11	year 5:12 10:18 11:17	168:20	220:4
witness 95:14 174:2	22:5 27:2 35:17 49:16	2015 5:12 56:22 133:16	6C0X1 10:11
178:11 193:10,18	77:5 81:9 95:20 99:5	2016 20:5 92:16 104:6	6th 34:8
witness's 219:16	100:20 174:8 211:17	138:12 148:12 160:19	
WITNESSES 2:1	years 6:4 13:4,17 16:15	2017 1:8 57:13 133:16	7
woman 193:20	20:8 27:18 31:6,7	20th 35:8	70 148:13
women 14:13 23:20	45:14 63:9 77:6 133:4	21 168:15	700 100:20
wonder 201:14 202:9	148:6 158:19 167:3	220 3:8	700-some 95:21
wondering 95:17	168:15 193:7 215:16	24 24:9,10	72 35:12,14 37:7 39:5
121:16 171:12 202:12	216:11	24.3 206:11	39:11 46:4 55:15
word 9:22 10:2 121:20	yesterday 27:17	2400 173:5	59:10 60:2,15 105:12
words 18:13 19:22	young 160:8	25 148:17 203:10	72-hour 80:15 105:3
87:22 116:19 170:20	younger 215:2,10	26 3:5	77 135:19
work 11:12 15:2,10		28 101:12	
22:7 26:21 46:13 47:8	<u>Z</u>	29 76:18 202:8	8
47:12,16,20 53:2 97:2	zealously 135:1	3	8 3:3
129:10 131:6,13	0		8:45 9:3
144:21 151:2 158:21		3 3:2 57:10 147:15	875 1:11
169:2 216:19 220:10	04 192:20	3-hour 127:3	
worked 47:14 75:15 154:8 209:18	06 209:1	3:28 132:12 3:42 132:13	9
working 9:1 68:12 74:8	1	30 38:20 76:18 101:12	9 206:9
74:15 97:17 110:5	1:15 1:11 4:2	119:17 120:12 124:12	90 38:2
131:2,16 168:5	1:45 29:8	135:21 139:3 172:9	
173:10	1: 45 29:8 1: 55 29:9	203:11	
Workplace 75:20 76:9	1.33 29.9 10 202:6,19	30-day 132:1	
76:17 78:1 99:8	10 202.0, 19 10-minute 132:10	31st 34:19 35:10	
works 72:2 94:6 110:15	10-initiate 132.10 10-percent 206:8	32 165:22 190:5	
111:3 151:10 158:13	12 149:5 174:9	33 168:20	
world 48:1	12 -174:9	34 139:3,4	
worldwide 45:10	13 168:21 202:7	37 206:9	
worried 140:13	132 3:7	39A 181:18	
worries 213:13	134 88:14		
		l	l
11			

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: DAC-IPAD Full Committee Meeting

Before: US DOD

Date: 10-19-17

Place: Arlington, VA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &