DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES (DAC-IPAD)

+ + + + +

34TH PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY MARCH 13, 2024

+ + + + +

The Committee met in the Blue & Silver Club at Falcon Stadium, 4900 Stadium Boulevard, Air Force Academy, Colorado, at 9:15 a.m., Hon. Karla N. Smith, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT Hon. Karla N. Smith, Chair MG (R) Marcia Anderson Ms. Martha Bashford Mr. William E. Cassara Ms. Margaret Garvin Ms. Suzanne Goldberg Hon. Paul W. Grimm * Mr. A.J. Kramer * Ms. Jennifer Gentile Long Ms. Jennifer Gentile Long Ms. Jennifer O'Connor BG (R) James W. Schwenk Dr. Cassia Spohn Ms. Meghan Tokash *

```
DAC-IPAD STAFF
```

```
Mr. Pete Yob, Executive Director
Mr. Dwight Sullivan, Designated Federal Officer
Ms. Theresa Gallagher, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Nalini Gupta, Attorney Advisor *
Mr. Michael D. Libretto, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Terri A. Saunders, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Kate Tagert, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Eleanor Magers Vuono, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Meghan Peters, Attorney Advisor
Ms. Jennifer Campbell, Chief of Staff
Mr. Michael Libretto, Attorney Advisor
Mr. Chuck Mason, Attorney Advisor *
Ms. Marguerite McKinney, Management and Program
Analyst
Ms. Amanda Hagy, Senior Paralegal
Ms. Stacy Boggess, Senior Paralegal
Ms. Stayce Rozell, Senior Paralegal
Ms. Alice Falk, Technical Writer-Editor *
Mr. Blake Morris, Paralegal *
Ms. Janelle McLaughlin-Ali, Paralegal *
Mr. Connor Wasik, Intern
*
     Present via video-teleconference
```

i	
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:23 a.m.
3	MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning. Day 2's
4	public meeting is now open. Judge Smith, you
5	have the conn.
6	CHAIR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan, and
7	good morning. I would like to welcome the
8	members of the DAC-IPAD and everyone in
9	attendance today to Day 2 of the 34th public
10	meeting of the Defense Advisory Committee on
11	Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual
12	Assault in the Armed Forces, or DAC-IPAD.
13	The DAC-IPAD would like to, again,
14	thank the United States Air Force Academy for
15	hosting the DAC-IPAD's two-day public meeting.
16	It is a tremendous honor to have the opportunity
17	to hold the committee meeting at this beautiful
18	campus, and we recognize and appreciate the
19	Academy leadership and staff who have graciously
20	supported the DAC-IPAD's visit.
21	Today's meeting will be in-person,
22	with videoconference via Zoom also available for

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

members, presenters, and other attendees.

2 The DAC-IPAD was created by the Secretary of Defense in 2016, in accordance with 3 the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal 4 year 2015, as amended, for a 10-year term. 5 Our mandate is to advise the Secretary of Defense on 6 7 the investigation, prosecution, and defense of allegations of sexual assault and other sexual 8 9 misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces. 10 I'd like to acknowledge, again, with 11 gratitude, the military justice experts from each of the military services' criminal law divisions 12 who serve as the DAC-IPAD's dedicated service 13 14 representatives, and who have joined us for the 15 meeting today. Welcome and thank you. 16 We will begin today's meeting with 17 deliberations on a Article 34 letter, and then a 18 panel of civilian practitioners from the El Paso 19 County, Colorado, Public Defender's Office and 20 the District Attorney's Office Special Victims 21 Unit will provide their perspectives on issues of 22 interest to the DAC-IPAD.

1	After briefings from the DAC-IPAD
2	Policy, Case Review, and Special Project
3	Subcommittees, the Committee will receive public
4	comment from four individuals. Prior to
5	concluding the two-day meeting, the Committee
6	will deliberate once again on panel discussions
7	from yesterday, time permitting. Finally, the
8	DAC-IPAD director, Mr. Pete Yob, will wrap up the
9	meeting, before adjournment by the DFO.
10	I'll end with a couple of housekeeping
11	items. To those joining by video, I ask that you
12	please mute your device microphone when not
13	speaking. If any technical difficulties should
14	occur with the video, we will break for ten
15	minutes, move to a teleconference line, and send
16	the dial-in instructions by email.
17	Today's meeting is being recorded and
18	transcribed and the complete written transcript
19	will be posted on the DAC-IPAD website. Thank
20	you again to those in attendance today, and I
21	will now hand the meeting over to Mr. Pete Yob.
22	Thank you, Pete.

1	MR. YOB: Thank you, Chair Smith. As
2	Staff Director, I will note for the record that
3	we have quorum, with nine Committee members who
4	are physically present in the room today and
5	three Committee members who are joining us
6	virtually for this session, for a total of 12.
7	I will now turn it over to staff
8	members Ms. Vuono and Ms. Peters to discuss or
9	lead the discussion of the deliberation on the
10	Article 34 letter.
11	MS. VUONO: Thank you. This is
12	Eleanor Vuono. And if I could direct everyone's
13	attention to your meeting materials, at Tab Four,
14	sub-tab A is a draft letter that the DAC-IPAD
15	Special Projects Subcommittee has drafted for
16	deliberation and approval today. We've received
17	many helpful edits from the Committee members,
18	and I would like to just raise those for your
19	consideration and approval today, or deliberation
20	and discussion for additional ones.
21	Starting at the beginning of the
22	letter, the first sentence has been proposed to

be amended to say, as you can see in your red line changes, that the DAC-IPAD believes the time is right to amend Article 34 UCMJ to align the statute with the most recent regulatory guidance from the Department of Defense regarding uniform prosecution standards.

In addition, at the end of that paragraph a suggestion was made to note that Congress did not adopt that recommendation that was made back in 2020. That final sentence has been proposed to now read, as a remedy, the DAC-IPAD recommended Congress amend Article 34 UCMJ; however, Congress did not act.

14 Then the letter goes on to explain the 15 additional changes that came out in the June 2023 report, with revised appendix. The red line 16 17 track changes are -- have been proposed as you 18 see them, with one additional change in that 19 second paragraph that bleeds over onto page two. 20 The word ever has been proposed to be removed 21 from the sentence that reads as a -- would read, 22 as a result, in October 2023 the Secretary of

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

Defense revised Appendix 2.1 creating the first military prosecution standards on par with the federal principles of prosecution contained in the Justice Manual. So, there would no longer be the word ever.

6 Then there were some edits of 7 questions raised about the language for the 2.3 8 referral standard that -- contained in Appendix 9 2.1 of the Manual, all of those are accurate, as 10 reflected in the manual and there's no proposal 11 to make any changes from what you see there.

Moving down to the paragraph that 12 13 follows the quote from the RCM 601(d)(2), the 14 suggestion was made that that explanation of 15 transformative change is confusing. And the 16 proposal is just to delete that entire first 17 sentence, so that the paragraph would now just 18 start with the second sentence. So, strike all 19 of the language, the effect of the change would 20 be -- that whole sentence would be gone, and the 21 paragraph would begin with the sentence, the DAC-22 IPAD therefore recommends that -- and then,

1

2

3

4

strike the time is right, and just say, instead, the DAC-IPAD therefore recommends that Congress amend Article 34 to align the statutory language with the new referral guidance that all judge advocates are presently using as outlined in Appendix 2.1 MCM -- and the rest of the sentence continues.

8 So, that's another proposed change. 9 That would be a short, a much shorter paragraph, 10 it would just be a one-sentence paragraph at this 11 point, followed by the gratitude and appreciation 12 for -- to Congress for considering this. A minor 13 typo, we're going to correct the middle initial 14 of member William E. Cassara. And I believe all 15 the other changes would be as you see in front of 16 you.

Are there any concerns, questions,
comments, or changes that the Committee would
like to make to the letter, beyond what we just
discussed?
MR. KRAMER: I'm sorry, this is A.J.

22 Kramer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1	MS. VUONO: Yes?
2	MR. KRAMER: Can I I apologize for
3	bring this up so late. Can I suggest that the
4	carry-over paragraph at the top of page two, at
5	the end of the sentence that says prosecution
6	standards on par with the federal principles of
7	prosecution contained in the Justice Manual I
8	think it would be good just to add in Department
9	of Justice Manual, just the words Department of.
10	MS. VUONO: Thank you. Adding that to
11	the letter for your consideration. And we'll
12	have an opportunity, after we take all questions
13	and comments, to then do a vote. But I've added
14	that additional comment so that the sentence
15	would now read at the end, on par with the
16	federal principles of prosecution contained in
17	the Department of Justice Manual. Yes?
18	MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you very much.
19	In the penultimate paragraph, on the section of
20	the sentence that says the effect of these
21	changes will be transformative, I'd like to
22	propose

1	MS. VUONO: That's gone.
2	MS. GOLDBERG: What's that? I know
3	it's gone, the proposal was just to
4	MS. VUONO: Take that out?
5	MS. GOLDBERG: Take it all out oh,
6	so and everybody was comfortable with taking -
7	- I'm sorry
8	MS. VUONO: That's the proposal, yes.
9	So, that sentence would be the proposal is to
10	delete that because of the confusion with timing
11	of aligning statute with the changes that have
12	already been made.
13	MS. GOLDBERG: That is great and I'm
14	sorry that I didn't capture that when you said
15	it. I will still just, for what it's worth,
16	offer, if it remains important to any of the
17	members to have the transformative language in
18	there which it may not that we might say
19	that, you know, be more explicit about what is
20	transformative.
21	So, the effect of these changes will
22	be transformative by aligning the UCMJ excuse

1	me, the yeah, Article 34 to current policy,
2	and this, you know, colon, this will ensure that
3	trial counsel will screen, more carefully screen
4	cases consistent with current policy and ensure
5	that and assure servicemembers that judge
6	advocates etcetera. But if everybody is
7	comfortable with deleting the language entirely,
8	that will be clearer, and we can keep moving.
9	MS. VUONO: So, why don't we actually
10	open that up to the full Committee? The proposal
11	has been to just delete it; an alternative would
12	be to rewrite it. So, all in favor of simply
13	deleting that entire first sentence and having
14	the paragraph begin with, the DAC-IPAD therefore
15	recommends that Congress amend Article 34, please
16	raise your hand and say aye.
17	(Chorus of aye.)
18	MS. VUONO: All in favor of rewriting
19	the sentence along the lines of the proposal,
20	please raise your hand and say aye.
21	(No audible response.)
22	MS. GOLDBERG: I will let the record
_	

1 reflect that I am not in favor of what I just 2 proposed and --3 (Laughter.) MS. GOLDBERG: And much prefer what 4 5 you have suggested. MS. VUONO: It sounds like the 6 7 Committee is comfortable deleting that first 8 sentence, then? Yes? 9 (Off-microphone comment.) 10 MS. VUONO: Yes. Turning to our 11 members who are on Zoom, are there any who would 12 prefer to rewrite the sentence as opposed to just 13 deleting the sentence? If you would prefer to 14 rewrite the sentence, please speak up now and let 15 us know. 16 Hearing none, we will delete the 17 sentence. 18 MS. O'CONNOR: Can I make one other 19 recommendation? The first sentence of the letter 20 says, the DAC-IPAD believes the time is right to 21 amend. And, along the lines of, you know, 22 cutting it in the penultimate paragraph, we could

1 maybe change that to, the DAC-IPAD believes -- or 2 just, DAC-IPAD recommends that Congress amend --3 rather than focus on the time is right. So, cut 4 the time is right --5 (Off-microphone comment.) 6 MS. O'CONNOR: Exactly. 7 MS. VUONO: Yeah. 8 MS. O'CONNOR: So, just change the 9 first couple words to, the DAC-IPAD recommends 10 that Congress amend. Because that's the 11 proposal. 12 MS. VUONO: The proposal is now to 13 write -- the first sentence would read, the DAC-14 IPAD recommends that Congress amend -- and the 15 rest would stay the same -- Article 34 to align the statute with the most recent regulatory 16 17 guidance? 18 MS. O'CONNOR: Yes. 19 MS. VUONO: We have a question and a 20 comment from Meghan Tokash on Zoom. 21 MS. TOKASH: Thank you. I mean, I 22 think the, striking the time is right language is 1 not a hill to die on, I just want to defend the 2 language for my fellow Committee members as to 3 why it's in there. It seems implausible for those operating in the field to be operating 4 5 under new guidance, and for the law to be completely incongruous to what that operational 6 7 guidance is. So, that's why I included the time 8 is right language.

9 But, again, it's not a hill to die on, 10 I just wanted to be able to explain that to the 11 Committee. Because I actually do believe the 12 time is right, now. Especially given Ms. 13 Goldberg's comments regarding, if everybody's 14 using this in the field then, you know, what is 15 the impact for this recommendation? And, really, 16 the overall impact is that, if you are a lawmaker 17 sitting on the hill you should want to make sure 18 that the law that you are -- that you are 19 responsible for in the legislative branch, 20 reflects what is actually happening in practice. 21 So, I will be quiet and go with the majority of 22 the Committee, but I agree.

www.nealrgross.com

1	MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Meghan.
2	It's Suzanne Goldberg. And I take your point, I
3	wonder if it is appropriate to flag the issue
4	that you have raised. So, the time is right is -
5	- sort of, you know, implies all of what you
б	said. You know, would it be appropriate for us
7	to say, you know, the DAC-IPAD is concerned that,
8	without this change, there may be confusion in
9	the field as a result of the UCMJ language not
10	tracking current policy. I mean, I'm sure
11	there's some better way to put that point, but
12	(simultaneous speaking.)
13	MS. TOKASH: Yep, that sounds great.
14	MS. GARVIN: Yeah, I agree.
15	MS. O'CONNOR: I do, too.
16	MS. VUONO: So, it sounds like the
17	suggestion is to leave in place the sentence, the
18	DAC-IPAD believes that the time is right to amend
19	Article 34, to align the statute with the most
20	recent regulatory guidance regarding uniform
21	prosecution standards. Then to add an additional
22	sentence expressing the DAC-IPAD's concern that,

1 without this statutory change, there may be confusion in the field because the statute is not 2 3 aligned with the practice? 4 May I propose, on a MS. GOLDBERG: 5 just a super-quick read, that that kind of sentence go into the penultimate paragraph just 6 7 before the last sentence? Because we also have 8 a, therefore recommends that the time is right 9 sentence there. 10 MS. VUONO: We deleted that already. 11 MS. GOLDBERG: Oh, gosh, the whole thing is deleted. 12 So, I --13 So, the way it reads MS. VUONO: No. 14 right now, the DAC-IPAD therefore recommends that 15 Congress amend Article 34. So, you could put it 16 here. 17 I would still put it MS. GOLDBERG: 18 there, because I think that -- I think for the 19 new sentence to make sense, Congress would have 20 to have the context of what is different -- what 21 are the differences between UCMJ current -- and 22 the current policy.

1	MS. VUONO: And is the so, again,
2	just to make sure everyone's tracking. The first
3	sentence of the letter will remain the DAC-
4	IPAD believes that the time is right and then,
5	when you go down to the penultimate paragraph, it
6	would read, the DAC-IPAD therefore recommends
7	that Congress amend Article 34 UCMJ to align the
8	statutory language with the new referral guidance
9	that all judge advocates are presently using
10	the Committee a new sentence would be added to
11	say, the Committee is concerned that, without
12	this change, there would be confusion there
13	could be confusion in the field by practitioners.
14	CHAIR SMITH: So, can I say, I think
15	we should probably be using more strong can
16	you not hear me? I think we should be using more
17	strong language. I think we should be saying
18	something along the lines of, the DAC-IPAD
19	believes it is necessary to amend for the and
エク	berreves it is necessary to amend for the and
20	then go into the next sentence for the

1 is a little more, for lack of a better way of 2 saying it, loosey-goosey. But this is necessary 3 so that the people implementing the regs understand the statute also requires this. 4 So, 5 that's just my -- yeah. I agree with that. 6 MS. O'CONNOR: And 7 I think, maybe the thing to do is take that first 8 sentence -- say something like what Chair Smith 9 said, you know, it's important to do this because 10 otherwise there's confusion, or however we want 11 to work that --12 CHAIR SMITH: Inconsistent -- you 13 know. 14 MS. O'CONNOR: And then do a hard 15 return so that your second paragraph starts four 16 years ago, and just kind of separate out the 17 concepts, you know, so the second paragraph would 18 have the background. But have the first sentence 19 or two alone say this is important to do because. 20 So, basically, it's a recommendation 21 to take what you're putting in the penultimate 22 paragraph and actually put it in the front, so

1 that the first sentence or two all by itself 2 says, the DAC-IPAD thinks it's necessary for 3 Congress to amend Article 34 to align with the most recent regulatory guidance, blah, blah, 4 5 blah, because the inconsistency that exists right now would cause confusion and, you know, blah, 6 7 blah, blah. Does that make sense? I just want to 8 GENERAL ANDERSON: 9 chime in and say I concur with that. And I'm 10 kind of mindful of the thing in the military we 11 call BLUF, bottom-line up front, because people 12 may not want to slog through the rest -- I 13 shouldn't say that -- slog through the rest of 14 the letter. But, if you hit them -- you know, I 15 think it's very, much more impactful to have that 16 explanation about confusion in that very first 17 paragraph. So, I concur. 18 MR. CASSARA: I just have a very guick 19 It's not a suggestion at all, except comment. 20 for I'm being -- the old Seinfeld episode about 21 yada, yada, yada is starting to ring through my 22 mind. So, I'm wondering if we can get the -- I'm

losing track of what we're considering putting where, and I'm not smart enough to figure that out, so.

MS. VUONO: I think what might work 4 5 is, if the staff takes all of these comments, suggestions, and proposals, we draft up a new 6 7 letter, and we can do a vote on an email. Which 8 means, you will get the revised -- without all 9 this red line confusion, you'll see a clean 10 version, and you will have an opportunity to 11 thumbs up, thumbs down on an email.

12 MS. BASHFORD: I just think it's -- we 13 have to be a little bit clearer that what they're 14 doing in the field now with the guidelines is not 15 what the statute requires. I think just saying 16 aligns doesn't quite flesh that out enough, so if 17 you can just flesh that out a little bit more. 18 MS. GOLDBERG: Right. And just, maybe 19 we're all agreed, but in case we're not it 20 probably would be easier to discuss here. My 21 suggestion is that we say, you know, could cause

confusion, or something rather than would,

22

1

2

3

because we obviously don't want to presume confusion. So, if colleagues are good with that, that will at least eliminate one possible extra round of emails. Hearing no objection, I think people are good with --

PARTICIPANT: I concur with that.

7 MS. VUONO: All clear. We'll take a 8 vote now, that the DAC-IPAD votes to approve the 9 revised letter, as discussed on the record -- and 10 we'll have the transcript -- with the follow-on 11 actual language coming to you in an email to make 12 sure that it aligns with what you have just 13 proposed. But, at least, we'll have the vote 14 that everyone is in agreement with these changes 15 on the record, and then you'll have an 16 opportunity to see the final product and give it 17 your final approval.

So, with that, is there -- everyone who is in agreement with the revised letter and attached statutory language, please raise your hand and say aye, including on Zoom.

(Chorus of aye.)

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

3

4

5

1	MS. VUONO: All those Zoom three
2	on Zoom are recorded with an aye. Any opposed,
3	please state your opposition.
4	(No audible response.)
5	MS. VUONO: None opposed. The letter
6	will be redrafted and sent to you in an email.
7	And thank you.
8	Now, just to close the loop, if you
9	turn to Tab B of Tab four, you will see the
10	revisions to the statutory language. That, also,
11	will be cleaned up and attached to the letter to
12	Congress. This essentially captures the proposed
13	revised prosecution standards to be incorporated
14	into Article 34.
15	So, all of those edits that you have
16	seen and had an opportunity to review, are there
17	any comments, concerns, questions, disagreements,
18	or additions?
19	MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you. I have a
20	comment, I'm not I'm still thinking about
21	this, wondering whether evidence will probably be
22	sufficient is the same in as probable cause in

1 the minds of others on this Committee? 2 PARTICIPANT: That's the language in 3 the statute. 4 MS. GOLDBERG: It is the language in 5 the statute. 6 (Off-microphone comment.) MS. GOLDBERG: 7 Yes, but the probably 8 be sufficient is the language in the --MS. VUONO: Yeah, I think that the 9 10 language in the regulations --11 MS. GOLDBERG: I thought that was --12 oh, I'm sorry, I thought it was the red line 13 language. 14 MS. VUONO: In the DOJ regulation, 15 right, that they --16 MS. GOLDBERG: Okay, yeah. 17 MS. VUONO: Yeah, so that's the reason 18 for that use. 19 MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you, withdraw the 20 sharing of my thinking on this. 21 (Laughter.) 22 MS. VUONO: Are there any comments or

1 questions from our Zoom members? 2 Hearing none, that language will be included as seen in Tab B, that language would be 3 included with the letter to Congress. And that 4 5 is all we have, thank you. MR. YOB: Chair Smith, I think that 6 7 concludes the deliberation topics for this I'd recommend that we take a -- it's 8 session. 9 9:47, I'd recommend that we take a break until 10 10:02, and then we'll have some speakers joining 11 us from El Paso County. CHAIR SMITH: All right, do you want 12 13 to just break till 10:00? I think that works --14 MR. YOB: I suppose. 15 (Laughter.) 16 CHAIR SMITH: Yeah. We appreciate you 17 giving us our extra two minutes, but I think 18 we're good. 19 (Laughter.) 20 MR. YOB: No comment. 21 (Laughter.) 22 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

1	went off the record at 9:47 a.m. and resumed at
2	10:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIR SMITH: Welcome back, we're
4	ready to get started. I'm going to kick it over
5	to Meghan Peters for our two panel members.
6	MS. PETERS: Thank you. Our panel for
7	today will be two attorneys who practice here in
8	Colorado Springs. They are prepared to offer
9	comparative perspectives on issues you discussed
10	with military practitioners yesterday, and to
11	share some of their experiences prosecuting and
12	defending servicemembers. So, it's my pleasure
13	to introduce to you Ms. Dina O'Riley.
14	She's a public defender here in
15	Colorado Springs, and has been working in the
16	area of criminal defense since 2004. The
17	Colorado Springs Public Defenders Office is the
18	largest public defender's office in the state of
19	Colorado. Ms. O'Riley has represented
20	servicemembers and former servicemembers in cases
21	involving serious violent offenses including
22	murder, sexual assault, domestic violence.

1 She is also a founding member of the 2 El Paso County Veterans Trauma Court. Mr. Kelson 3 Castain is here with us. He is a prosecutor, and he joined the Fourth Judicial District Attorney's 4 5 Office in 2010. Since then, he's served in various supervisory roles, and currently he 6 7 supervises the Special Victims Unit, with seven 8 attorneys on staff who handle felony sexual 9 assault, sexual exploitation of children, child 10 abuse, other crimes of abuse, and violent crimes, 11 and he also handles some unique post-conviction claims. 12

13 So, we're grateful to you that you 14 have taken your time to provide this committee 15 with some valuable input, and at this time I will 16 turn it over to Chair Smith to begin the question 17 and answer session.

18 CHAIR SMITH: Good morning, thank you 19 for being here. I wonder if you could just start 20 by giving us a sense of the number of cases that 21 go through each of your offices, if you know. I 22 don't know if you necessarily know, but just

(202) 234-4433

total case number, and then if you have an idea of felony or sex offense cases that come through your office, I think that would be helpful to the members.

5 Well, thank you very MR. CASTAIN: much for having us here. I didn't pull the exact 6 7 number of total cases that went through our 8 office. Generally the way that we do our 9 numbering locally is you have the start, the year 10 of the case filed, so it would be a 23 or a 24 11 now, a CR to designate that it's a criminal case 12 number, and then the number of the case.

13 I think last year we had that kind of 14 secondary number probably upwards of 3000, maybe 15 I'm trying to think of an exact case 4000. 16 number that might be helpful for the committee, 17 but it's several thousand every year. I did pull 18 the statistics of how many special victims cases 19 we handled.

20 In 2023 we filed 274 cases in my unit. 21 About 149 of those involved sexual offenses. I 22 include in sexual offenses crimes against

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1 children, incest, sexual exploitation, so that's 2 not just hands on offenses, but other ones that 3 may still fall into a sex offense category. MS. O'RILEY: Good morning. So, in 4 the public defender's office, I'm sure most of 5 you are aware, but we represent individuals who -6 7 - they fall below a certain income threshold. At 8 any given time, so I think Kelson is talking 9 about felony matters, we have misdemeanors, 10 traffic, all kinds of cases open up. At any 11 given time somewhere around 10000 cases are 12 active in our office. 13 We represent a relatively low number 14 of military because many members of the military 15 do not qualify for our services. Generally, like 16 E1, E2, we will be able to get on their cases, 17 especially if they have dependents, that counts 18 towards their income deduction there. So, I 19 think with our office, we don't often get the 20 same number of military filings that are actually 21 out there. 22 However, a lot of times there are

1 exceptions to that, like if somebody is 2 incarcerated, they're automatically qualified. 3 So, military members who might have a hold from whatever base, we will take their case. 4 And that 5 happens a lot in the more serious, the homicides and the sex assault cases where somebody -- I 6 7 think what I've experienced is the military sort 8 of wants to wait and see what happens with state 9 side cases.

10 And get a sense of whether or not 11 they're going to really go forward with courtsmartial. I've had a lot of situations where the 12 13 military has not necessarily filed, but I think 14 in some form put a hold on my client so that if 15 they do bond out on the state side, that then 16 they're going back to whatever base for 17 monitoring, and whatever restrictions the base 18 puts on them.

So, I think generally we take
incarcerated -- there are some situations where I
see quick termination from military status, and
then that puts them in the other boat, which is

1 unemployed. And so they can quickly fill out an 2 application, and then we take their cases. So, those are kind of the areas that I have 3 overlapping contact. 4 5 If the chain of command is involved, I will work with the chain of command to sort of 6 7 see what consequences -- there's sometimes where 8 we can protect their military status by working 9 some sort of global disposition. But then 10 there's other times where it's just not going to 11 work out based on the nature of the charges. 12 DR. SPOHN: I have a question for Mr., 13 is it Castain? 14 MR. CASTAIN: Yes. 15 DR. SPOHN: What is your filing 16 standard, and do you know of the cases that are 17 referred to you for prosecution, and particularly 18 the sex offenses, what proportion of those are 19 declined for prosecution? So, I'll start kind of 20 MR. CASTAIN: in reverse. I don't have actual statistics that 21 22 I can tell you of how many cases are submitted to

our office versus how many we file. The 274 include things like child abuse, or I don't believe those include any juvenile cases, so those would not involve servicemembers, but maybe included in the thousands number I provided earlier for total cases.

Generally what I would say is kind of 7 8 an anecdotal answer. We work very closely with 9 law enforcement agencies. Before they file a 10 case, they will generally ask us for input in 11 cases, not necessarily at the level of what kind 12 of investigation should we be doing, but if they 13 believe that they are close, or they have 14 questions about the investigation, we can provide 15 them with legal kind of assistance.

We may refer them to case law, or suggest that here are issues we see with the case, can you try to go work on those for us? And so, I say that because based on that relationship, I think we have a better percentage that comes through, that is submitted to us and then eventually filed.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

1	But I think statistically and research
2	wise, it's pretty clear that there is a pretty
3	dramatic drop off between cases that are
4	reported, cases that are then investigated, cases
5	that are then have sufficient evidence to be
б	submitted to the DA's office, and then again
7	another drop off on the amount that are actually
8	filed.
9	To talk a little bit about our
10	process, it's a hard one to quantify, because
11	there are going to be exceptions to everything
12	that I say to you today. But in general we are
13	looking for evidence that convinces us that we
14	can prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt. I
15	know that many times the question is we have a
16	report, and then is that sufficient?
17	Generally what we ask law enforcement
18	to do is try to find information that
19	corroborates whatever is provided to us, either
20	by a victim, or by a witness, even in some
21	situations by a defendant, so that we can feel
22	confident when we file a case that we can meet

1 our ethical burdens, and that we can convince a 2 jury that this actually happened, and that it was 3 criminal. So, if you have a specific question, 4 5 I'm happy to address specific answers, but it's hard to answer that in a kind of concise or 6 7 quantified way. 8 DR. SPOHN: No, that's helpful, thank 9 you very much. 10 MR. CASTAIN: Sure. 11 CHAIR SMITH: Go ahead. 12 MS. BASHFORD: This is for Mr. 13 Castain. Have you prosecuted in cases of dual 14 jurisdiction, serving members of the military in 15 your unit? 16 MR. CASTAIN: Yes, unfortunately given 17 the kind of closeness of multiple different 18 military installations to the city of Colorado 19 Springs, we have a decent number of active 20 members who are then charged with crimes. My 21 unit still handles cases that involve people who 22 are active duty, or who are removed from duty

1 through whatever mechanism that may be, whether 2 it's retirement, or chaptering, or anything else. 3 MS. BASHFORD: And how do you decide whether to defer to the military's prosecution 4 5 lane, or to do it in your jurisdiction? 6 MR. CASTAIN: Sure. Some of that, I 7 guess I have two answers for you. First is that 8 if the case appears jurisdictionally to fit 9 better with the military, then that decision is 10 made long before we would ever file a case. Most 11 of those conversations happen between the investigating military agency and the 12 13 investigating civilian agency. 14 And I know we have very good 15 communication, and very good cooperation between 16 those agencies, so they kind of know which 17 direction it may be going before I ever know 18 In circumstances where a case is about the case. 19 submitted to me, and maybe I don't think I have 20 enough evidence to prove a civilian charge, but 21 maybe there is a UCMJ charge that would still 22 fit, or might fit better, then I have

1 communications with the officers who were 2 involved in prosecuting that case. 3 Again, regardless of which agency that 4 is, I'll reach out and talk to them. But those 5 kind of decisions really fall down to what evidence do we have, and does the case fit better 6 7 in one jurisdiction versus another. I know in some of the materials I was given, that one of 8 9 the questions I think people had been 10 considering, or the panel had been considering 11 was the impact of a victim's decision, or 12 victim's input into where a case may be 13 prosecuted.

14 Candidly, I've never had a case where 15 a victim came forward and said I want this to be 16 in the military system versus the civilian 17 So, I don't know that that would have a system. 18 dramatic impact. Within Colorado, we have the 19 victims' rights amendment to our constitution, 20 and the Victims' Rights Act that's kind of 21 codifying that amendment.

22

And so, we are required to consult

with victims about the charges that we have filed to make sure they're informed, and that they have input into how a case is handled. So, to that degree, I would certainly want to know what a victim's thoughts are. But that amendment and that act do not force me to take specific actions based on a victim's request.

8 So, I may disagree, and think the 9 state charges may have higher consequences that 10 may better fit with what that action was. Or 11 maybe the military system has a better chance of 12 finding accountability for those actions, and so 13 that may be a better route to take strategically.

MS. BASHFORD: Just one follow up to that, given how mobile the military is in cases where you have assumed jurisdiction, have you had difficulty getting witnesses back who have been transferred to other stations? And who foots the bill for that?

20 MR. CASTAIN: Candidly, I don't know 21 who foots the bill. I know that for us, we work 22 with witnesses and victims who move all the time.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

If people move within Colorado, that's certainly easier, but we've had people who have moved to other states, actually to other countries as well. And depending on the type of case, we'll either work to provide flights or lodging for those witnesses or victims to come back to testify at a trial or hearing if necessary.

8 We try to be judicious in how we use 9 our limited resources. So, there may be cases 10 where if the cost becomes prohibitive, we may not 11 be able to kind of foot that bill. To answer 12 your question specifically about servicemembers, 13 generally the hardest thing is if someone's 14 deployed, that makes it very difficult for us to get them backed. 15

But if somebody is reassigned to a different station domestically, we really haven't had a lot of trouble with that. And thankfully the relationships with the local judge advocate units has really helped us with that, because they can kind of talk to the other installations, and help us to get those people and find out

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

where they are.

2	MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you both for
3	being here. One follow up question on your
4	exchange with Ms. Bashford, and then I'll shift
5	gears a little bit. Do you have any observations
6	you could share about when working with victims,
7	whether there has been a difference from your
8	perspective? Working with victims who are
9	military affiliated, either as a spouse or a
10	child, versus non-military affiliated?
11	Either in terms of working with
12	special victims counsel, or working with a victim
13	coordinator, or working with somebody who is
14	entirely not has no kind of support from the
15	military?
16	MR. CASTAIN: Sure. So, whenever we
17	have a case that gets well, I'll talk about
18	our special victims cases, because those I don't
19	want to say have a different kind of process, but
20	tend to get more attention for victim assistance
21	and victim contact. The law enforcement agencies
22	that we work with have their own victim advocates

who go out when a case is reported that would involve our Victims' Rights Act that I spoke about earlier.

All of our sexual assault cases, all 4 5 of the -- I think this is accurate, all of our sexual offenses would fall into that category. 6 7 And so, law enforcement tries to get in touch 8 with the victim, and connect them with a victim 9 advocate when the investigation starts. My 10 understanding of the military side is that that 11 is also true.

And so, I think at a certain point, if 12 13 the decision is made that the case is going to go 14 through the civilian courts, there is kind of a 15 hand off that happens. And so then the military advocates I think will kind of hand over 16 17 communication with the civilian advocates. My 18 office also has victim advocates who were 19 assigned just to the special victims unit, and 20 then are assigned to cases based on what 21 courtroom they go into.

22

1

2

3

So, we try very hard to have a hand

off then between the law enforcement advocates 1 2 and our offices' advocates once a case is filed. 3 I'm not going to say that's the smoothest thing, because obviously there are times where that 4 5 doesn't work very well. But in general we try to make sure that there's an advocate assigned to 6 7 that victim kind of through each step of the 8 process.

9 When we have cases submitted to us 10 that we eventually decide not to file, we try 11 then to have the victim advocates make contact 12 with the victim. We then explain to them why we 13 are not filing the case. And if the decision is 14 to transfer it back to the military, or to go 15 through some other route, we try to kind of work 16 in reverse then, and kind of put them back in 17 contact with somebody.

I don't know how successful that is as a process, but that's aspirationally what we try to do in each of those kind of pathways.

21 MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you, just one 22 quick follow up to that. If you know, when there

have been kind of interactions between the military's version of victim advocates, and your version of victim advocates, whether they find that they work in similar ways, or whether there are things the military might learn from how your victim advocates work, or vice versa?

MR. CASTAIN: I don't know if I have 7 8 a good kind of anecdotal story for that. I know 9 our victim advocates always try to kind of learn 10 from each case, and try to find ways that they 11 can be better to help the victim when they are 12 going through the process. I don't have any 13 experience working in the military side, or the 14 military courts, so I can't tell you exactly how 15 that process may differ.

But I do think that having that kind of connection, and having that kind of hand off is helpful. I'm sorry, I struggle to answer your question because I don't know that I know enough to really give you a good answer.

21 MS. GOLDBERG: I guess a different way 22 to put the question would be have you heard

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

anything about the military's victim advocates that would be useful for us to know? And could broaden that out to have you heard anything about the military's justice system from your view that would be useful for this committee to know?

I know that's quite broad, but if you
have one or two things that jump to mind. And
then I know we have more specific questions that
I'm sure others will ask about digital devices
and things, but that ought to offer you that
larger question right now.

12 MR. CASTAIN: So, I can think of there 13 was a case that our office handled, I think three 14 or four years ago at this point. Where the case 15 involved an active duty servicemember as the 16 suspect, I believe the victim was civilian and 17 had no direct ties to the military. But the case 18 was investigated, it was handed to our office, we 19 reviewed it, we decided not to file charges.

After talking with the victim, we kind of referred them to the detective, the detective got in touch with, I believe it was Army CID, I

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

could be wrong on which branch it was. The CID agents then spoke with the JAG officers, who decided that they did want to pursue charges through the military courts.

In speaking with -- I've heard this 5 victim come and talk at conferences that I've 6 7 been at, and I've talked to her myself, and from 8 her kind of description of that process, she was 9 very thankful to have kind of the military victim 10 advocates in contact with her. Her experience 11 was largely one of not really knowing what the 12 scheduling was, or not really knowing kind of 13 what was coming up.

14 She did speak very highly of the 15 advocates themselves, the attorneys, as well as 16 the victim advocates who were, when they talked 17 to her, were very communicative, and helped her 18 understand the process. But I think that 19 candidly, one of the biggest things that victims 20 struggle within the criminal justice, at least 21 civilly, is the gaps of time in between court 22 dates and not really knowing what's happening.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1	And so, from hearing her talk, that
2	was one of the big benefits of having military
3	advocates, was somebody she could call and find
4	out just what's happening with the case.
5	MS. O'RILEY: I don't have a lot to
6	add there, because obviously our roles are so
7	different, so I couldn't really speak on the
8	differences between the advocacy on the victim's
9	side.
10	MS. GOLDBERG: I also invite you, if
11	you'd like to offer broader observations.
12	MS. O'RILEY: I think to just kind of
13	go back a little bit, as far as my experience,
14	I've had one single case, it was a homicide where
15	it was charged state side and on the military
16	side, it's the one and only time that I've seen
17	the military take the case away from us.
18	Generally it's just always my
19	experience is it stays state side, and it stays
20	either open on the military side as well, until
21	we go to trial, and then see what the results
22	are. And then I kind of queried my office about

what's the status these days about what's happening with dual prosecutions.

3 And the experience right now is that -- and we're talking about more serious cases, 4 sex assaults, and higher level felony cases, that 5 the military is waiting to see what happens. 6 The 7 case progresses in state, goes to trial. Generally if there's not a conviction, if there's 8 9 an acquittal, then the military is dismissing at 10 that time. So, that's really the experience that 11 most people in my office have been dealing with. 12 And so that can trigger interplay 13 between my office and the chain of command, which 14 is releases, and things like that for my client 15 to get any relevant records, treatment, medical,

16 anything like that. But I know that's a little 17 different than the waiver and the privilege that 18 you guys are talking about.

But that's, for instance in a child abuse case, my client might be prosecuted state side, I'm able to get a waiver of any medical records because they're the parent, and generally

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

1 it takes a while to get -- I don't know how 2 quickly it flows on the military side from -- if 3 you are military, to get those into the military hands of people. 4 But it takes a pretty long time for me 5 to get records out of any military installation 6 7 for clients. Well, hopefully it's not the VA, 8 once it goes into that, it's like a dark hole of 9 could be a year, unless you have connections. 10 But the other sort of -- at least like three 11 months I would say, yeah. 12 CHAIR SMITH: So, real quick, can I 13 ask you, because you both kind of separately 14 touched on it, what has your experience been 15 dealing with military commanders, military 16 counsel, military investigators, just however you 17 would describe it? 18 MS. O'RILEY: They're very polite. 19 Generally they -- I would say the biggest issue I 20 have is there sometimes seems to be new bodies, 21 because I can have a case for a year or two, 22 longer, and a lot of times I'll be dealing with a

1 couple different JAG lawyers. And so, it's not 2 as consistent as far as who I'm dealing with. 3 But every time I do deal with somebody, they seem to have the same goal in 4 5 mind, to be able to give me access to information. I can go on and they'll -- I've had 6 7 a homicide, a long time ago, where a client was 8 at Fort Carson, active duty, a couple 9 deployments, picked up a murder charge after 10 second deployment. 11 And we interviewed basically everyone 12 who served with him to find out it was a mental 13 health case. So, to find out all the background. 14 And we would go, and they would sit us in a room, 15 and we could get access to all the servicemembers 16 who had information. So, it was a really helpful 17 It was just giving us the ability to process. 18 investigate was really helpful in that case. 19 I would just second MR. CASTAIN: 20 that. I mean, every time that I've reached out to 21 any of the judge advocates about specific cases, 22 or specific defendants, they've always been very

kind, very polite, and have been very quick to kind of help me or get me information. I know that on the kind of investigative side, it's been very similar.

5 And I know there have been some 6 changes recently about kind of how those offices 7 are structured, with getting more civilians 8 involved, and having kind of more long term 9 assignments, and that's been very helpful for us, 10 so that we have points of contact, and know who 11 to reach out to if we have guestions.

I want to make sure I get her title correct, but I think it's Major Altimas, she's been over to our office a couple times, since that -- I think it's Office of Special Counsel, since that's been stood up. She and I email each other, I'd say monthly if not every other week.

So, I really appreciate that level of interaction, and it certainly helps us when we need to get records, or when we run into issues of where is a witness, or where is a defendant, and how do we kind of get information about them.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

1	GENERAL ANDERSON: I have a follow up
2	question. With regard to investigators, CID, and
3	I forget what it is for the Air Force, OSI, the
4	Air Force. What, if you know, is the
5	communication like with your investigators, like
б	how timely are any reports if you need them? And
7	also without throwing anybody under the bus, do
8	you have any suggestions or comments regarding
9	how the reports are prepared?
10	MR. CASTAIN: Well, I will say timely
11	wise, generally when I've had contact with a CID
12	agent or an OSI agent, they're telling me that
13	they have an investigation that they want to run
14	by me. So, I'm kind of further down the road
15	than they would be in reaching out to the
16	Colorado Springs Police Department, or the
17	sheriff's office, or somebody along those lines.
18	From talking to the law enforcement
	side of things, those detectives have largely
19	
19 20	echoed what I have said. That when there are

1	assistance, or to kind of pass of cases, or to
2	say, hey, look, we want to take this case.
3	I know we had I don't know what the
4	status of it is, but I know we had a situation
5	where we had a child abuse case that initiated
6	investigation in the civilian realm locally, and
7	through the investigation we determined that
8	there were multiple locations where this may have
9	occurred.
10	The suspect was active duty, and so
11	the conversation then was do we kind of work with
12	CID who may be able to, candidly, more
13	effectively investigate across multiple
14	jurisdictions? And where the prosecution of that
15	case may be more, not easier, but more efficient
16	given that we would have instances or actions
17	that take place in multiple locations as well.
18	So, I can say anecdotally in that
19	situation, there was very good communication, I'm
20	sure that there are instances where stuff falls
21	through the cracks, but that happens with the
22	civilian side too. So, I don't want to imply

1 that that's a specifically military problem. 2 MS. BASHFORD: Ms. O'Riley, if you had 3 a choice, which I know you don't, but would you prefer to defend in military setting or in the 4 civil setting? And again, your clients don't 5 have the choice either, but do you think your 6 7 clients would prefer to be prosecuted in the 8 military system or in the civil? 9 MS. O'RILEY: I think the only 10 perspective that I have on that is my 11 understanding in talking to military members of 12 JAG, and we've had a couple of lawyers in my 13 office who went the military route after leaving 14 our office, is that they wear a lot of hats, so 15 they might prosecute, they might defend. 16 Personally, I would not want to be switching 17 hats. 18 I feel very committed to my role, and 19 my advocacy for my clients. So, I think that that would be difficult for me to do. I feel 20 21 like being asked to switch is a complete change

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

of mind set that I personally wouldn't be able to

1	do. So, I feel more civilian sided as far as
2	where I would fit better.
3	MS. BASHFORD: If your clients had the
4	choice, do you think they would prefer to be
5	prosecuted in the military system by court-
б	martial, or in the civilian system?
7	MS. O'RILEY: That's a really
8	interesting question, because my understanding is
9	that it's other servicemembers who are your jury.
10	And I don't know how big your jury pools are and
11	how many people you pull. Typically on the state
12	side, on a very serious case, like a sex assault
13	or a homicide, we pull around at least 60 jurors,
14	so we have the opportunity to really dig in with
15	questionnaires of those jurors, as well as a
16	pretty long dialogue with them.
17	And so, I think from our perspective,
18	we do capture a pretty big audience that we can
19	hopefully get a member of our client's
20	background, peer, sort of dynamics that might be
21	relatively diverse. And I don't know from the
22	military side if that is as diverse. But I think

1 my clients might say that they feel more 2 comfortable in the military environment because 3 it's their world, and they know the rules better on the civilian side. 4 Like we have issues of what do they 5 wear during trial on the civilian side, are they 6 7 wearing their dress military, or are they dressed 8 as civilians? And I've had to litigate that, and it's not allowed, or there's different 9 10 perspectives that they may not be as comfortable 11 with on the state side. 12 MS. BASHFORD: Which do you prefer 13 that they dress, in uniform or civies? 14 MS. O'RILEY: I really leave it up to 15 them. I know there's kind of two perspectives, 16 some people in the military might be offended 17 that they're wearing that in court, or using it 18 to get an upper hand with other servicemembers in 19 the community, I've definitely seen jurors say 20 that. 21 But I also leave it to my client, 22 because I know they have a deep connection, and

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1 pride in what they do. And so, if that is 2 something they decide they want to do, then I am 3 going to let them do that. CHAIR SMITH: Ms. Tokash? 4 Thank you for taking my 5 MS. TOKASH: question, I had a question for the gentleman. 6 7 You described a really good example of a military and civilian interaction on a case with a 8 9 civilian victim. I'm kind of interested if you 10 know. Do you know what the outcome of the 11 military case was after it was taken by Army CID? 12 Thank you. 13 MR. CASTAIN: Sure, so my 14 understanding, and unfortunately I don't know all

of the charges that were brought on the military side, so apologies if I get that wrong. But my understanding is that they did a court-martial, the defendant had been found not guilty on some of the charges, and I don't recall if there had been no decision on others, or if it was a pure not guilty.

22

But what drew my attention was that

they did a second court-martial under, I guess a different theory of prosecution, and he was then convicted at that point. And, admittedly, this is me learning about this through the victims, and I will say that, historically, victims don't understand all the complexities, so please don't assume that this is all canonical and I've looked up everything about that case.

9 But my understanding from her is that 10 because of the way the first trial ended, they 11 were able to move forward with a second court-12 martial, she was very on board with that, and 13 very, kind of wanted to see that happen, and 14 that's why it proceeded in the way it did.

MR. CASSARA: Ma'am, just a quick question on your veteran's courts, can you tell me how they operate, and what the alternative dispositions are that are available for current or former servicemembers?

20 MS. O'RILEY: Sure. So, Colorado was 21 -- started, I think about 12 years ago, we were 22 the first state to take all felony cases. There

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

was another jurisdiction at the time in Buffalo, New York that started with misdemeanors, we expanded that. We now have right around 100 active cases in veterans trauma court, and they range from DUIs all the way to domestic violence, assaults.

But there is kind of a bar as far as the level of violence that they will take into the court. They won't take stalking cases, they won't take sex assaults, and things like that. So, sort of that the goal is to capture the menacings before they turn into assaults and things like that.

14 We developed an agreement with the 15 jail to have a button when somebody is booked in 16 that identifies somebody as military. And so, we 17 track the military, we have a daily report of 18 military. And then from there it's up to anybody 19 who wants to refer someone into vet court to, 20 whether that's their public defender or their 21 private lawyer, or the person themselves being 22 interested, they get sort of screened through the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

process.

2	The goal of the court is to limit
3	incarceration, so that was the number one, try
4	and get down incarceration, and then sort of
5	secondary is really trying to allow for some
6	future productivity in the community, ideally not
7	having a felony conviction. So, there's a lot of
8	deferred sentences to felonies in the court.
9	And so, it's a really cool process to
10	watch, there's a graduation on Thursday if
11	anybody wants you guys are probably busy, but
12	we've got graduates where they speak and talk
13	about you see a different person from beginning
14	to end. In the court itself there is a VA
15	representative who, they're the VJO who can type
16	in where can we put this person in Wyoming
17	tomorrow in a PTSD clinic so that we can
18	stabilize them.
19	So, that's the connection that I'm
20	talking about as far as really tapping into the
21	lots of resources that are out there. So, it's
22	been a really successful program, we have a very

1 low recidivism rate. There's a peer aspect of it, navigators are a part of it. And so, it's a 2 3 really impressive thing to watch, the way it changes people's lives. 4 5 So, for both of you, CHAIR SMITH: what is your office's approach to obtaining 6 7 relevant information on a victim's digital 8 device, phone, computer? 9 MR. CASTAIN: So, normally our 10 interactions with a victim are going to be after 11 a case has been investigated. To the extent that 12 law enforcement is working with victims, and 13 talking to them about gathering information, 14 generally we try to go through kind of the 15 consent approach. If they have digital evidence 16 on -- social media tends to be one of the bigger 17 ones. 18 The first step is identifying that 19 social media, putting in a preservation order so 20 that we can get it later. If the victim can 21 provide us consent forms, great. Otherwise we

can do warrants for -- I will say most of the

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

social media companies want warrants instead of just consents. Things like phone records, again, if the victim can contact their provider, and get information for us, that's great.

5 We tend to do warrants for those just 6 so we have all the foundational information if it 7 goes to trial. If a victim has a cell phone, and 8 has text messages, or photos, or videos on those, 9 we try to talk to them again about providing us 10 consent to download that material from their 11 phone.

Usually if a victim is resistant to that idea, we try to take kind of a trauma informed approach to that. It may be too early in the process for them to feel comfortable with the investigators and the process to be willing to give us consent, and so, we'll try again later.

19 If it's something that's essential we 20 may talk to them more about why we need this, 21 find ways that maybe we can limit what is 22 provided to us. So, instead of doing a full

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

forensic dump of their phone, maybe they can email us photos or videos, or show us the text messages, and we can take pictures of them.

So, we try to kind of find a way to 4 5 make them feel comfortable with what it is we are I'm trying to think of a situation where 6 taking. 7 we forced a victim to give us that information, 8 and I'm kind of struggling to think of one of 9 Generally once a case is filed, we kind those. 10 of flip, and are far more protective of what the 11 victim has, what information they have, and 12 trying to maintain some of that privacy.

I know talking about, for instance, mental health records is one of those areas where we try very hard to protect their privacy so they don't have to give us that.

17CHAIR SMITH: Do you have open file18discovery so that defense gets all of it, or?

MR. CASTAIN: So, the term open file might imply something that's not the way it works here. So, our discovery system, we have Rule 16 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure that say what

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1 it is we are mandated to give to the defense. 2 And that includes all reports, all information 3 that would be tied to a witness statement, to an expert, to any kind of forensic examination 4 5 that's conducted as part of the case. Our Rules of Professional Conduct 3.8 6 7 includes a requirement that we as attorneys are 8 ethically bound to give over information that is 9 not just relevant to the case, but could impact a 10 defendant's decision to either engage in plea 11 negotiations, or decide to go to trial, as well 12 as then are Brady obligations as well to turn 13 over exculpatory material. 14 So, while I don't want to say we have 15 an open file system, we do give -- my general 16 approach is if I have information about a case, 17 I'm going to turn it over. If there's limited 18 work product exceptions to that, then that would 19 be true. But generally we give everything we 20 have to the defense. 21 GENERAL SCHWENK: How about to 22 victims, do you give anything to represented

victims or unrepresented victims?

1

2	MR. CASTAIN: So, the Victims' Rights
3	Act guarantees victims the right to have access
4	to the initial report. That's not well defined
5	in the law, but the general idea is if they need
б	to make insurance claims, or if they need to take
7	actions that require a police report, like a
8	restraining order for instance, then they're
9	entitled to have a copy of that regardless of the
10	status of the case.
11	Our office allows victims to request
12	discovery kind of at any point. I can't say
13	there's a consistent application of when that
14	information may be released. But generally we
15	try to talk to victims about why they need the
16	information, and what impact releasing full
17	discovery to them might have on a case if it went
18	to trial.
19	We have had some victim attorneys that
20	enter on a case, those are pretty rare, where
21	maybe they're looking at a civil lawsuit, and
22	they need information so that they can file

something without losing the statute of limitations. Again, in those situations, generally what they need is basic information, and the probable cause affidavit generally has that.

6 That's in most cases, a public 7 document. And so, there are limitations that we 8 try to work with people on so that we are not 9 undercutting a victim's ability to get something 10 that they need, or to pursue a legal right that 11 they might have, while also maintaining the 12 integrity of our cases.

13 Ms. O'Riley, do you GENERAL SCHWENK: 14 have any -- does your office have concerns with 15 giving copies of the police investigation, or 16 report, or whatever, other things to victims? 17 MS. O'RILEY: Yeah, I think generally 18 we would not share any case related material --19 No, I mean with the GENERAL SCHWENK: 20 government, does that cause problems on your 21 side? 22 MS. O'RILEY: I think it opens up a

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

lot of issues that we would be litigating, because Colorado, the status of our mental health waiver for victims for privileged information is that if the government or the victim in some form waive that privilege, whether it's implied or expressly waived, which might be them getting access to things that are in the case file, we would probably be litigating that.

9 So, I think that from my perspective, 10 if that was the practice, it would probably open 11 a lot of doors for me to say now they have 12 information that changes their perspective, their 13 motive, their ability to be tainted in cross 14 examination, and things like that. So, if that 15 were to be like an open back and forth, I think 16 it would create more issues for the prosecution 17 than it would necessarily be helpful for the 18 victim.

MR. CASTAIN: And if I can follow up on just one piece of that, that doesn't mean that we don't tell victims what's happening in the case. We certainly want to share with them, if

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

they have concerns, what's happening. But I don't want to give them a police report that they are then reading and memorizing before they come in and testify.

We will routinely meet with witnesses 5 before they testify at trial, and sometimes at 6 7 other hearings. We may show them their police 8 report and say can you read through this, are 9 there any differences, has your memory changed, 10 are there clarifications that you want to make? 11 And then we document those interactions in 12 reports, and submit those to defense.

But the biggest concern is I just don't want to open up a victim to you're saying there's a change, and you're saying this now that you've read somebody else's statement, and incorporating that into what you remember instead of just what is your memory of this event. GENERAL SCHWENK: Go ahead, Meq.

20 MS. GARVIN: So, one quick question, 21 and then a larger question for both of you, 22 really. The quick question is has Rocky Mountain

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

Victim Law Center, which is the nonprofit here in Colorado that does victims' rights litigation in criminal cases, have they appeared in your courts?

5 Because they're not civil lawyers, 6 they would just be doing the victims' rights 7 provisions. Have they appeared and represented 8 victims? Or is that -- they're based in Denver, 9 and they only have I think four or five lawyers, 10 so I'm not sure if they'd have the reach or not.

MS. O'RILEY: Not in any of my cases.
The only lawyers I've seen are secondary civil
lawyers who want to keep track of the case.

14 And part of why I was MS. GARVIN: 15 asking that is it goes back to the question of 16 where folks might be comfortable in a criminal 17 And the question was asked about an case. 18 accused, and in the military system, victims are 19 afforded a no cost attorney to help them with 20 their rights. And on the civilian side, you have 21 one nonprofit representing the whole state. 22 So, there's an interesting difference

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

there, but my next question is going back to the cell phone instance, and you talked about that you would try and get consent, and potentially limited consent for portions of it. And I'm curious about two things, for both of you, really, which is, one, is your technology sufficient that it can be a limited dump?

Besides the time constraint, we know the time constraint, but if I say only dump this, such that law enforcement doesn't have more, or is law enforcement getting more, but the consent is limited, and have you had to litigate limited consent when law enforcement has more than what was consented to?

15 MR. CASTAIN: So, when I say limited 16 consent, I was trying to provide examples of 17 circumstances where a victim might be willing to give us pieces of information from their phone. 18 19 We've had situations where they would email us 20 photos, or they would show us the text messages, 21 and allow us to take pictures of them, so we can capture the information, but don't have the 22

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

digital copy of it.

1

2	No, our technology is not sufficient
3	that we can plug in a phone and download just a
4	piece of what is on it. And that's been
5	problematic both for suspect downloads, as well
6	as victim downloads. But I think for the suspect
7	side of it, we've worked out a system that limits
8	who has access to the material that would be
9	outside of the scope of a warrant. But we
10	wouldn't be able to do that on, for instance like
11	a consent kind of search.
12	MS. GARVIN: So, may I follow up on
13	that? Because there's a case pending in Florida
14	actually on this very issue, the victim said
15	yeah, I want to support what you're doing law
16	enforcement, and consented to a download, and
17	thought they could consent to a limited consent
18	of a download, but law enforcement ended up
19	having it because the technology didn't allow for
20	it.
21	That issue are victims being told
22	that once a dump happens law enforcement has it

1	all, and it might be Brady material, and you
2	might have it, has that issue presented?
3	MR. CASTAIN: So, I haven't litigated
4	the circumstance you're describing in Florida.
5	Generally in the so, most of our victim
6	interactions with law enforcement are recorded,
7	either in body cam, or in part of like an
8	interview room setting. So, from the
9	interactions that I've watched, by and large the
10	explanation is I would like to get the
11	information from your phone.
12	The process for that is for me to
13	download your phone. I understand there might be
14	other information that you are uncomfortable
15	with, or that you might not want us to see. I'm
16	not looking at that, I'm interested in this
1 🗖	
17	particular material. But they are also very up
18	particular material. But they are also very up front with victims that once we download this we
18	front with victims that once we download this we
18 19	front with victims that once we download this we are going to provide it to the defense.
18 19 20	front with victims that once we download this we are going to provide it to the defense. We have worked in some circumstances

photos of themselves on cell phones, and that's always a concern, when maybe we're turning that over to a complete stranger, or to a domestic violence perpetrator. And so, we try to find ways that we can put in place, for instance protective orders.

7 So that the attorneys for the defense 8 can see it, but maybe they can't share that with 9 the defendant themselves. Those have been very 10 limited in nature, and so I don't know that we've 11 ever really litigated a protection order in that sense, because I think for Sixth Amendment 12 13 purposes we've provided it to the defense, who 14 then has full access to it. 15 So, I don't know that there's a 16 constitutional deprivation when the defendant

17 themselves cannot see it.

MS. O'RILEY: Yeah, I would agree. The only time I've seen a real limited disclosure is like in a child pornography case, where we would go then to the police department, view the evidence, and if it is something that we believe

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

we need to challenge, then we can request an in camera hearing to have it released directly to us, and protection orders in place, and that kind of thing.

1

2

3

4

5 MR. CASTAIN: And I apologize, my 6 answer is purely based on adult kind of cases. 7 When there's child sexual material that's handled 8 completely differently, yeah.

9 MS. PETERS: Ms. O'Riley, I just 10 wanted to go back to your experience with the 11 collection of digital evidence from victim's cell phone, what you're seeing in the information you 12 13 receive in discovery, and then separately, the 14 separate scenario of how is digital evidence collected from the accused phone, and what are 15 16 you seeing, can you put those two next to each 17 The handling of evidence from a victim's other? 18 phone, and a defendant's phone?

MS. O'RILEY: Yeah, I think that's kind of where we see some big differences in what's out there. Because the victim will often times, like Kelson said, take their snapshots and

1 provide that, or allow law enforcement to take 2 their images, and it's obviously very filtered 3 down from the big picture. And then when we actually will get the search warrant records. 4 So our client's entire cell, their 5 entire phone, everything on it. I'm actually 6 7 litigating this week, it's super broad, and it's 8 way beyond what this should have been, what the 9 search should have looked like. So, then we kind 10 of -- obviously it cuts both ways. It could be a

11 lot more bad stuff, or it could be there's a lot 12 of things that the victim's hiding that is going 13 towards a different side of the story.

So, it gets interesting, definitely, when you compare those two versions. And my experience is that law enforcement is very careful with what they collect, because they don't want that, the bad stuff, the full story necessarily to be out there, that makes it a lot more complicated for them.

So, it does get interesting once you
really -- I mean Snapchat is one thing, because

1 that half the time disappears, and you don't have 2 all of that story. But when you have the rest of 3 the cell phone, and all of the pieces, and what's deleted in between the text messages, then you 4 start to put together more pieces of the puzzle. 5 6 MS. PETERS: Thank you. 7 All right, thank you CHAIR SMITH: 8 very much. 9 Thank you for having us. MR. CASTAIN: 10 CHAIR SMITH: Are we going to move 11 into the subcommittee updates? 12 MR. YOB: Chair Smith? If I could 13 have just a moment, I'd just like to note for the 14 record that we still retain nine members who have 15 been through this session in person. I note that 16 Ms. Tokash, committee member, is still with us 17 online. I don't believe that Mr. Kramer, or 18 Judge Grimm are on still, can we check that for 19 me please? 20 Okay, so we now have one, as opposed 21 to three, virtual members who are with us, for a 22 total of ten members who are present for the last

1	session. And I would suggest maybe could we take
2	a short break of five minutes or so to just get
3	ready for the next session? Thank you.
4	CHAIR SMITH: All right.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
6	went off the record at 11:01 a.m. and resumed at
7	11:05 a.m.)
8	MS. PETERS: All right. Chair Smith,
9	subject to any comments, I can get this session
10	started for you. Okay, so first just an
11	administrative note, we have nine members
12	present, there are no more members present via
13	Zoom. And what we're going to do in this session
14	is to take about the next 20 minutes or so to
15	give you time to discuss information you received
16	during the
17	MS. TOKASH: Sorry, Meghan Peters,
18	this is Meghan Tokash. I'm Zooming in, just for
19	quorum, I know you have a quorum, thank you.
20	MS. PETERS: Meghan, thank you for
21	joining us. I will invite you to participate
22	early and often in the next 20 minutes, thank you

for making yourself available. So, yesterday during the public meeting, the public meeting sessions are what we want to focus on, which are separate and distinct from the site visits, which remain outside of the public meeting parameters.

So, at the public meeting yesterday 6 7 you heard from special victims counsel, senior defense counsel, and special trial counsel. 8 And 9 their commentary was all focused around several 10 topics and specific projects, and other areas of 11 interest to all of you. And so, I just thought 12 we would open it up by asking you all if you had 13 anything that struck you, or specific issues that 14 you're really interested in based on what you heard, or anything you'd like to more know about. 15

Basically what struck you from what you heard yesterday about the testimony globally, or maybe specific to a topic, or a panel session itself. So, what really struck you yesterday? Please feel free to comment.

21 MR. CASSARA: So, we're speaking about 22 the morning? Well, we're not speaking about our

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1 time with the cadets, and all of that? 2 MS. PETERS: Correct, this is just the 3 public meeting session with special victims counsel, defense counsel, and special trial 4 5 counsel. MR. CASSARA: Got it. Struck and 6 7 concerned about the lack of parity between the 8 OSTC and defense counsel. Obviously I have a bias in this area, but when I hear of a 9 10 servicemember walking into a courtroom with two 11 captains, and on the other table is an 0-4, 0-5, 12 and a captain or two, that's concerning to me. 13 And the reality, perception being 14 reality sometimes, I think that that's a matter 15 that we need to look into and discuss. 16 MS. PETERS: Okay, and that's relevant 17 to the development and establishment of the OSTC, 18 which we're already undertaking, and 19 understanding the comparative effects on the 20 defense bar. Ms. Goldberg? 21 MS. GOLDBERG: Just picking up on 22 Bill's point, and appreciate the point about

1 perception being reality, I thought we also heard 2 from the last panel a different perspective on 3 the disparity, and the significance of the disparity. So, if we pursue this, I think it 4 would be important to keep that perspective in 5 mind as well. 6 7 I wanted also to toss out something 8 from the -- can we include the lunchtime 9 conversations, or should we limit this to the 10 panel discussions? 11 MS. PETERS: Solely to the public 12 sessions, not during lunch. 13 MS. GOLDBERG: Okay. Just another 14 issue that I noted, but it's not clear to me how much of an issue it is, so I'm still reflecting 15 16 on it, which is this question whether mental 17 health treatment prior to an incident winds up 18 being -- a victim's mental health treatment prior 19 to an incident winds up having the effect of 20 significantly reducing the likelihood of conviction for a sexual assault or related 21 22 misconduct.

1 When a victim has had treatment not 2 for psychosis, but for things like depression or 3 anxiety, for which many people are treated, and for which many people take medication. 4 The panel of prosecutors, the OSTC panel made it sound like 5 maybe this isn't as much of an issue as I started 6 7 to wonder if it was. But I'm reflecting on 8 whether it might be a significant issue in light 9 of some of that conversation. 10 I'm happy to elaborate, but I think we 11 went through it yesterday, so wanted to note 12 that. And just to put it very plainly, if it 13 were the case that anybody who has taken Prozac 14 or some other antidepressant, and has been drinking on the night of an incident would be 15 16 found not capable of offering reliable sort of 17 recollections of events, that would strike me as concerning. But again, not clear it's an issue. 18 19 Add in the potential CHAIR SMITH: 20 chilling effect that if it becomes this idea that 21 victims' mental health records are fair game, not to say that that's reality, but if this kind of 22

1 becomes the belief, then you have to worry about 2 the chilling effect with respect to reporting, 3 with respect to cooperation, et cetera. So, I would also address some of the 4 comments made by the victims counsel with respect 5 to maybe some kind of consideration for the 6 7 committee around recommending an Article 6(b) 8 amendment about making it more specific in adding 9 noticeable hearings and receipt of pre-trial motions for counsel. 10 11 Because it seems pretty outrageous that victims counsel seems to have to rely on the 12 13 government trial counsel or defense counsel to 14 notify them -- really what the defense counsel, 15 but to notify them of what's taking place. And 16 then the other piece being a desire for victims 17 counsel to be able to be present in the 18 administrative board hearings. 19 And I haven't really given it a 20 tremendous amount of thought. But just kind of 21 putting it out there, that that's something that 22 we should probably be discussing also.

1 That might be a good MS. PETERS: 2 issue to keep on our radar to explore. Okay, Ms. 3 Garvin? I'm actually going to 4 MS. GARVIN: 5 cede to Meghan who is remote just to make sure we get Ms. Tokash. 6 7 Thanks, Meg, that's MS. TOKASH: 8 really nice of you. Just three potential areas 9 of future study I think from yesterday's session. I found it 10 One is with respect to discovery. 11 concerning that there was some testimony that you could get to the eve of trial, and I know that 12 13 this also happens in civilian practice too, but 14 it's really not as well tolerated as it sounds 15 like the military experience. 16 But that you could get to the eve of 17 trial, and then all of a sudden have to call off 18 the whole court-martial because discovery has not 19 been provided. So, I think that that's one area 20 of concern, especially in victim based cases, 21 it's super disruptive to the victims and their 22 expectations of when things would go to trial,

and also the accused, right?

1

2	Who has been prepared to go to trial
3	on a certain date. So, discovery for one, the
4	second would be the lack of availability of a
5	public docket. I think that that rang true with
6	the special victims counsel, but I also think
7	that it's important for the public to have
8	access, so that everybody can understand what is
9	going on as it is happening in real time.
10	And then I did have a third point that
11	I cannot remember right now, but if I do, I will
12	certainly chime in. But I think discovery and
13	the public docket are really critical areas of
14	study for our committee. Thanks.
15	MS. GARVIN: So, this is Meg Garvin,
16	I would echo what's already been said. And I
17	would add an issue, we heard a bit of diversity
18	around Mellette's impact on practice, but one
19	consistent I don't know what's happening, I
20	think I'm causing that my computer is near it.
21	I'm sorry everyone.
22	One consistent thing I think we heard,
	Neal R. Gross and Co. Inc.

and maybe we want to look at is that the discovery requests, defense are now including it in their standard discovery request for Mellette information, which I think seems like good practice if I'm a defense counsel. I would like to see a standard defense request at the front, and how they're phrasing it there, but then where is the specificity coming into the practice?

9 Because there is still a specificity 10 requirement, and we heard that the litigation has 11 now turned to 701, 703, and so I'd like to just 12 know a little bit more about what that litigation 13 is looking like for us to understand the impact 14 of Mellette. I feel like we started to hear 15 where it's moving towards, and what's happening, 16 but I don't feel like we got a complete 17 understanding exactly what's happening in order 18 to think about is Mellette a problem that needs 19 to be fixed, or is it just being evolved right 20 now.

21 MS. PETERS: So, some more information 22 you would like about practice, and maybe it's

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

www.nealrgross.com

1 service specific in what it looks like, and this 2 is the second note about development of 3 discovery, and judicial rulings in discovery. MS. GARVIN: Can I add one little 4 micro note too? I think we heard very clearly, 5 the Coast Guard has, it has been determined -- I 6 7 think this is in the record -- they do not have standing as victim counsel at the initial 8 9 discovery moment, which is different than the 10 other branches. I believe that was what was in 11 the testimony. I'd like confirmation of that, and 12 13 then clarity of the impact of that on kind of the 14 privacy rights of victims if things are happening 15 in that space. It was a unique moment to a 16 branch. 17 Okay, we'll take all of MS. PETERS: 18 that information back from yesterday, and look at 19 it, and get the specifics. Ms. O'Connor? 20 MS. O'CONNOR: Yeah, I also was struck 21 by the issues associated with not having uniform 22 online docketing, so I think that's something to

1 talk about. And I also was struck by the 2 discussion of the fact that some of our 3 presenters talked about the judges that they were 4 dealing with treating Mellette information 5 differently than others.

Some of them turning over information 6 7 more broad than just the three types of 8 questions, and others being quite firm that all 9 they were -- the defense counsel being quite 10 emphatic that they were only getting the three 11 bits of information. I think whatever the answer 12 is to that, because I thought the inconsistency 13 was interesting, but I don't know that we need to 14 get to the bottom of it.

15 It seems like a consistent practice 16 with some guidance, however that might take 17 place, would be useful for judges, for litigants, 18 for everybody about what's fair game. What should be redacted, what should be not redacted, 19 20 what should be turned over, what should not be, 21 et cetera, would be a useful thing for us to 22 explore.

1 MS. TOKASH: All right, thank you. We 2 heard almost two issues out of the 513 umbrella, 3 the scope, and then the procedures for when different types of information arrive around a 4 mental health records request. 5 Heard things like taint 6 MS. SAUNDERS: 7 teams, and sometimes the military judge, so maybe 8 ironing out some of those procedures. 9 Yeah, that all makes MS. O'CONNOR: 10 sense. 11 GENERAL ANDERSON: I just want to 12 support, as someone who worked in the federal 13 courts, with a very robust electronic case filing 14 system, the importance of this from an efficiency 15 standpoint for the paralegals and the attorneys, 16 having someone have to email motions and other 17 notices to interested parties is a waste of their 18 They could be doing things -- we know we time. 19 have staffing shortages. 20 I see this as a way to alleviate some 21 of that strain on the system. Not only that, but 22 you know, service is going to be affected through

ECF, and I think it really hampers the role of the special victims counsel in their requirement, and responsibility, and obligation to advise their clients what's going on in the case, and educate and inform them.

6 If they're not having access to 7 documents because some other counsel is acting as 8 a gatekeeper, and has prevented them from even 9 knowing that a motion for whatever this topic is, 10 is being filed. So, just want to put the plug in 11 there.

This point follows on 12 MS. GOLDBERG: 13 this piece of the discussion. It struck me that 14 the relationship between the prosecutors, trial counsel, and victims counsel is at least seems to 15 16 be much improved under the new system, more 17 systematic, and more sort of connected up, or at 18 least transparency between OSTC and victims 19 counsel.

20 We also heard that there is a 21 substantial number of victims who don't have 22 access to victims counsel because they're not

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

military affiliated. The issue of open records partly also enables those individuals to have better access. But I think there's a significant question about whether there is more -- whether 4 the branches should be thinking about doing more, or something different to engage with victims who don't have SVC or comparable military provided representation.

Sounds like an issue of -- an issue 9 10 that may affect prosecutions, it certainly sounds 11 like it takes up a lot of time from the OSTC 12 staff, but also has all of the sort of parity 13 effects you might imagine on the differences 14 between prosecutions involving an affiliated and 15 non-affiliated victim.

MS. PETERS: All right, does anyone 16 17 have a comment on that, or on a different note? 18 GENERAL ANDERSON: A different note. 19 MS. PETERS: Go ahead. 20 GENERAL ANDERSON: I may not recall on 21 this correctly, but I thought that the Air Force 22 OSTC counsel indicated that half of the victims

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

5

6

7

1 are civilians. So, since they're not in the 2 military, getting access to military records is a 3 challenge that adds a lot of hurdles, and results in significant delays. And I can't help but 4 5 think that there is perhaps some way, I think this is just a suggestion that DOD explore, or we 6 7 consider exploring the possibility of executing 8 MOAs with large hospital systems. I mean, obviously you can't capture 9 10 everything, but some way to find a way to bridge 11 that, so that they're not trying to do that on 12 EJIS, and find themselves with barriers to 13 getting information. 14 MS. PETERS: All right, we've noted 15 that suggestion, and we have just a few minutes 16 more. We have to conclude at about 11:25 to allow 17 time for our public commenters to come up at 18 11:30. Go ahead, Ms. Bashford. 19 MS. BASHFORD: I would be interested 20 in finding out whether release of public health information of a victim affects declination or 21 22 participation rates once the victim knows that.

(202) 234-4433

1	And also to what extent if any, if that
2	information comes out during a court-martial,
3	does it really affect the verdict, or is it
4	really pretty much a nothing burger?
5	MS. PETERS: Okay, Chair Smith?
6	MS. GARVIN: Can I just put one? I
7	would be curious, I think it's in line with our
8	513, but when I posed a question, one of the
9	defense counsel, and I forget which service,
10	shared kind of how they might counsel someone to
11	access mental health, and it was a referral to
12	confidential or off base. And it's brought maybe
13	it's not a 513, maybe it's for the future, and
14	it might tie to mental health in general.
15	But if the mental health issue in the
16	military criminal justice is resulting in hey,
17	it's scary to go to service provided mental
18	health, go somewhere else to make sure you have
19	privacy, I think that impacts our military. So,
20	it might not be a 513 issue, but it certainly is
21	a sexual violence issue, we've heard it, and I
22	just wanted to note that it might be something

down the road to look at.

1

2	MS. PETERS: We really appreciate the
3	commentary, because I think we're seeing where
4	the different issues we've identified intersect,
5	and we're seeing ways to flesh out these issues
6	in a more productive and effective way based on
7	what resonates with you. So, thank you for that,
8	we'll continue that, and we're going to focus on
9	the areas of information where you need maybe a
10	little bit more specifics, or granular detail.
11	And issues that we can sort of
12	validate after we review the record. So, thank
13	you for that today, and Chair Smith, I'll turn it
14	over to you.
15	CHAIR SMITH: Are we ready to get
16	started with the public comment, or are we
17	waiting the five minutes? All right, and then
18	we'll get started at 11:30. Thank you.
19	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
20	went off the record at 11:25 a.m. and resumed at
21	11:30 a.m.)
22	CHAIR SMITH: All right, we are ready
	Neal R. Gross and Co. Inc.

1 to get started with public comments. Mr. Yob? 2 MR. YOB: Thank you, Chair Smith. I'm 3 going to note for the record that we have eight 4 committee members in the room in person, and I 5 don't believe we have any committee members who are virtual at this moment with us. We do have -6 7 I'm still virtual, sorry. 8 MS. TOKASH: Sorry, Meghan, I didn't see 9 MR. YOB: 10 We have eight committee members in person, you. 11 we have one virtual member, thanks, Meghan. So, 12 a total of nine committee members are on. This 13 is the public comment period, we have four 14 persons who would like to make public comment 15 Three of them will be virtual, so we'll today. 16 see if the technology cooperates with us on that. 17 One person is in person. I'll note 18 that we welcome public comments, that ideally we 19 like those comments that -- we appreciate those 20 comments that will concern public policy issues that are related to sexual assault in the 21 22 military justice system, and how that is

investigated, prosecuted, and defended. We ask that the speakers don't exceed five minutes per comment.

1

2

3

And I will give them, at the five minute mark, an indication that they have hit five minutes, and we'll let them conclude their remarks at that point. All comments that are made are the views of the persons making the comments, and don't reflect the views of the DAC-IPAD, or any of its members, per se.

11 All comments are transcribed, and 12 preserved in DAC-IPAD records, and are included 13 in the DAC-IPAD website. So, with that being 14 said, I'm going to see if our first virtual 15 public commenter is here. That is Eric Burris, 16 or it may be an attorney who is assisting him, Beth Payton-O'Brien, are either Eric or Beth 17 18 Payton-O'Brien available?

MR. BURRIS: This is Eric Burris, can
you hear me?
MR. YOB: I can hear you, Eric, okay.

21 MR. YOB: I can hear you, Eric, okay. 22 My name is Mr. Yob, if you're ready I will turn

1	it over to you for a five minute public comment.
2	MR. BURRIS: I am, and thank you very
3	much for the assistance in making this happen.
4	MR. YOB: You're welcome.
5	MR. BURRIS: Members of the committee,
6	Air Force Academy attendees, and any interested
7	in the truth who may be listening today, no
8	justice system can be perfect. That is what one
9	of my appellate attorneys told me when I was
10	informed that CAF would not hear my case again,
11	despite ACA's failure to reconsider my case
12	consistent with their ruling.
13	No system can be perfect. This effect
14	didn't console me after losing my career, my
15	family, my future, and my daughters. All else
16	pales in comparison to the loss of my daughters,
17	and after nine years in prison I assure that you
18	that my blood still boils thinking about all
19	those who took them from me.
20	My case is proof that the Uniform Code
21	of Military Justice can be easily manipulated to
22	achieve specific desired results. It is evidence

that politics and hysteria have so infected the Armed Forces that the military will break faith with servicemembers.

Today I'm not going to rehash my 4 5 entire case; ample documents have been provided, or are readily available to you all. 6 In the 7 short time given to me, I'm going to discuss 8 Articles 32 and 25, recently considered by your 9 committee, and how they played into destroying 10 me, a multiple-deployment combat veteran and an 11 innocent man. My Article 32 cleared me. Read 12 it. A female JAG colonel, after a hearing that 13 lasted more than three 12-hour days, ultimately 14 concluded that my accuser, my ex-wife, fabricated 15 or wholly lied about every allegation, and was 16 seeking to gain an advantage in family law court 17 where we were fighting for custody of our 18 children.

19 She recommended against a court-20 martial. This same woman would later attend my 21 court-martial, offering to testify that my 22 accuser was, and I quote, a fucking liar. She

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

www.nealrgross.com

was not alone in that conclusion. The Article 15-6 IO who considered the first accusations also concluded that my accuser was lying and offered his support to me directly.

Both Texas and North Carolina Child 5 Protective Service offices investigated matters 6 7 underlying some of the future charges, and 8 unfounded all that they considered. My character 9 for truthfulness, my integrity have never been 10 impeached; not once was I caught in a lie. Yet, 11 in spite of the truth, my case was referred to 12 court-martial. Why is that?

13 We all know the sexual assault 14 hysteria, the fear of the loss of promotions, the 15 desire to appear politicians, fear of bad press, 16 and in my case specifically, a need for a W in 17 the wake of the failed prosecution of Brigadier 18 General Sinclair, a case, by the way, involving 19 prosecutorial misconduct, and conducted by the 20 same office responsible for my prosecution. 21 Why did I go to court-martial?

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Because the Article 32 was only advisory, not

22

1

2

3

binding, permitting a cowardly general to act as he did.

3 Command influence is all-pervasive in 4 military life. The selection of panels is no different under Article 25. Just as the 32 needs 5 to be binding, so too does Article 25 need to be 6 7 gutted and replaced by a randomized selection 8 process beyond the reaches and control of flag officers. 9 10 I know this process well. Again, 11 consider my case, a court-martial. I appeared 12 before a panel hand-selected by the same man who 13 sent my case to court-martial, against all 14 recommendations to the contrary. The original 15 ranking member of the panel, a female colonel and 16 nurse, was challenged by the prosecution because 17 she felt some rape accusations were probably 18 false.

I was then left with a colonel both
rated and senior-rated by the convening
authority. In what courtroom outside of the
Armed Forces with a juror with such a close

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

proximity to the charging authority, as well as such an obvious conflict, be allowed to remain? The answer is none.

Look at the recommendations offered to 4 5 your committee by the military's JAG offices, as well as the subordinates. The subordinates have 6 7 been parroting the opinions of the chiefs. Give 8 me example where I'm wrong. Just look at the 9 arguments submitted against the inclusion of enlisted servicemembers on officer panels. 10 11 Perfect examples of the influence command authority and rank have in uniform. 12

13 Even were you to make the Article 32 14 binding and remove commanders from the panel 15 selection practice, you would still be applying 16 more Band-Aids to a sucking chest wound. And I 17 just want to give all those who may be unfamiliar 18 a few examples of my cause for despair and lack 19 of hope that you all will effect meaningful 20 changes.

It took 20 years for the military to replace line officers with actual judges in our

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

21

22

1

2

3

www.nealrgross.com

courtrooms. For nearly 40 years, defense and prosecution attorneys worked under the same judge advocates. In the Solorio case, the Supreme Court abandoned stare decisis and ruled that no military nexus was needed for courts-martial of military personnel.

7 Since then, inexperienced military 8 lawyers have jumped on more and more cases deemed 9 unworthy of prosecution by more confident and 10 experienced civilian attorneys. Look at the 11 military schizophrenia over Article 120 alone 12 since 2008, and the purpose for your committee's 13 existence. And consider the institutional 14 resistance to your own committee's work and 15 recommendations made since at least the 2017 16 Judicial Proceedings Panel report.

And please consider previous presenters, such as Schenk, Schlueter, and my old constitutional law professor, Rosen, men I actually respect, who are very intelligent; unfortunately, they're also oblivious to the modern military. And they have stated that they

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

1 support the system that exists simply because it 2 I'm not the first to be betrayed by my is. country, nor to be denied justice because of 3 gender, or race, social hysteria, or politics. 4 Ι am Alfred Dreyfus, and I am not alone. Despite 5 my anger and pain, no doubt that you can hear in 6 7 my voice right now, I acknowledge that no justice 8 system can be perfect, certainly not one shaped 9 and twisted by human hands.

However, if you all embrace this reality, it will become justification for further inaction, apathy, and injustice. I ask you to review my case, and those of all men who have maintained their innocence, and give the concept of a conviction integrity unit, something you've considered, teeth.

And I would implore you to revisit the history of military law, in treatises such as Joseph Lieber's To Save a Country, and recommend substantive changes to the UCMJ consistent with that which is morally and ethically right, fully just for all, and does not betray the men who

1 defend what is most important, our Constitution. 2 Until --3 MR. YOB: Mr. Burris, I'm going to 4 have to ask you to just wrap up and conclude, 5 please, for a few seconds, sir. MR. BURRIS: Yes, thank you. 6 If you 7 do not consider our men in uniform, if you do not 8 consider our Constitution, you are going to be 9 betraying the best traditions of American justice 10 in favor of its worst. Please end this madness. 11 This issue must be looked at from both sides, and 12 I'm not confident it is, whatsoever. Thank you 13 for your time. 14 MR. YOB: Thank you. We appreciate 15 you providing the comment. We'll now transition 16 to Daniel Wilson. Are you online with us? Mr. 17 Wilson? 18 Okay, we'll make one more time around. 19 David Montalvo? 20 MR. MONTALVO: Yes, sir, I'm here. 21 MR. YOB: Okay, we'd ask you to please 22 go ahead and begin your five minute comment for

us.

1

2	MR. MONTALVO: Okay, thank you all for
3	having me. My name is David Montalvo III, and I
4	was an active duty Marine. One night in our
5	barracks, my friend, another Marine, brought over
6	his girlfriend, and one of her female friends.
7	The ladies brought alcohol, and all interactions
8	were positive.
9	At one point in the evening, both of
10	the ladies departed, as one of the ladies had
11	other commitment. At approximately 3:00 a.m.,
12	both ladies returned to the barracks. The
13	girlfriend went to see her boyfriend, and her
14	friend came to see me, but I was passed out drunk
15	in my bed. My Marine friend let the girl into my
16	room.
17	My accuser initiated oral sex with me
18	while I was sleeping and passed out drunk. So,
19	yes, she sexually assaulted me, because I was
20	unconscious and could not consent. But the
21	military can't charge civilians, even if they lie
22	in court. Upon waking, I continued to have

1 sexual relations with my accuser multiple times. 2 We went to sleep, and I overslept and 3 missed formation the next day. A Marine from my unit came inside my barracks room, and noticed 4 both me and my accuser sleeping. We both got up, 5 and got dressed, and told each other to have a 6 7 good day. My accuser and her best friend took naps and went to work without incident. 8 9 Later that day, I was informed that I 10 was being accused of sexual assault. I passed 11 three polygraphs, lie detector tests, but was later told it was inadmissible in court. 12 Why 13 would the investigators suggest I take the test 14 if they couldn't use it? I was told by my defense attorney that if I had failed, then they 15 16 would have used it against me. I am Mexican American, and my accuser 17 18 is White. I was very surprised to see that my 19 panel consisted of eight White males. The 20 military recently acknowledged that racial bias 21 is prevalent in the military, with the Marines

being amongst the worst. If just one member of

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

the jury has these biases, you can imagine its influence on the others.

I was accused of forcible oral, vaginal, and anal sex, and was found guilty of the vaginal and anal charges, and sentenced to nine years in prison, and a dishonorable discharge. So, the oral sex that started when I was sleeping was deemed consensual, but none of the sex while we were both awake.

The military collected both of our phones, but delayed turning over critical evidence until right before my court-martial, and so my attorney could not use it. He asked for more time, and it also was denied. In one of her messages, my accuser asked her friend not to testify and mess this up for her.

How could your friend testify and mess this up for you if you are telling the truth? On appeal I was awarded a new trial, but instead of a new trial, the Marine Corps ordered two DuBay hearings, and which upheld the verdict. Both my Marine friend and his girlfriend were willing to

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

1 testify in my defense.

2	But my attorney said that there was
3	zero chance of me being found guilty based on
4	evidence. I tried to argue ineffective
5	assistance of counsel, and the appellate court
6	rejected my appeal. Every state and U.S.
7	territory is represented by a conviction
8	integrity unit, or an innocence project. None
9	will represent the military.
10	I am on the sex offender registry,
11	which means I'm restricted where I can work,
12	where I can live, and how active I can be in my
13	kid's lives. There was political pressure to get
14	convictions in the military, but where is the
15	pressure to fix the lives of the many men that
16	were destroyed? The Marine Corps motto is semper
17	fidelis, which means always faithful. I am still
18	waiting on the actions of that, that will make
19	the model true.
20	MR. YOB: Thank you, Mr. Montalvo.
21	MR. MONTALVO: Yes, sir.
22	MR. YOB: We appreciate you giving
	Neal B. Gross and Co. Inc.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1 comments. I'm going to go back to, is Daniel 2 Wilson online? 3 MR. WILSON: I am, can you hear me 4 now? 5 MR. YOB: Yes, we can hear you, are you prepared to provide us a public comment? 6 7 MR. WILSON: I am. 8 MR. YOB: Okay, please begin, and 9 we'll ask you to limit that to five minutes 10 please. 11 MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. Members of 12 DAC-IPAD, I am retired Colonel Daniel Hunter 13 Wilson United States Marine. My case is a 14 textbook example of how the preoccupation with 15 eliminating sexual assaults in the military has 16 led to wrongful prosecutions of cases that have 17 destroyed the careers of many military members, mine included. 18 19 Barely a week after I was notified 20 that I was being considered for promotion to 21 brigadier general, I was falsely accused by the 22 wife of a fellow Marine of inappropriately

touching one of their three daughters at a dinner party hosted on base at my house. Her daughter repeatedly denied that I had touched her inappropriately, and I sat right next to the child's father and a guest through the entire evening, neither of which witnessed any inappropriate behavior on my part.

8 NCIS charged me with raping the child, 9 and when the DNA evidence came back, the only DNA 10 discovered on the girl's underwear was her own 11 father's. NCIS ignored this inconvenient fact, 12 and a second investigation was launched to 13 uncover any other dirt from throughout my entire 14 A third investigation was layered on top career. 15 of the other two to ensure full scrutiny of my 16 entire career.

Prior to my court-martial, I was facing 27 charges, everything from multiple rape charges to sending a prank email. A second woman, in an act of revenge against my wife, made a false claim that I had raped her, and I was remanded to pre-trial confinement for eight

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

months. None of the three investigations turned up a shred of evidence to substantiate the original charge.

The sexual assault medical exam was squeaky clean. The DNA evidence revealed that there were 3.2 trillion more likely male suspects than I. No pornography of any kind was ever recovered from my electronic media, computers, phones, or other devices, and certainly no child pornography.

11 At my court-martial I was found not 12 quilty of all six charges from the individual who 13 had accused me of rape. I was found not guilty 14 of nine of ten charges from my original accuser, 15 who claimed I had touched her daughter 16 inappropriately. However, the jury found me 17 quilty of a sexual assault charge very similar to 18 two that they had found me not guilty of.

19 They then gave me a sentence of one 20 fifth of the maximum sentence. This signaled to 21 me that they found me guilty to protect their own 22 careers, and the institution of the Marine Corps

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1 from getting a black eye. The light sentence was 2 to ease their own guilty consciences for shooting 3 one of their Marines in the back, and leaving him to bleed out on the legal battlefield. 4 5 Nearly two years later the Navy's appellate court exonerated me in an extremely 6 7 rare decision to set aside my sexual assault 8 conviction with prejudice for factual and legal 9 insufficiency. However, it took my lawyers 10 another 109 days to get me released from the 11 brig, and only after I was forced to sign a dirty 12 deal with my convening authority. 13 Under duress, I did sign, and was 14 released after 1,009 days of wrongful 15 confinement. Although the Marine Corps restored 16 most of my pay for my time in prison, most of 17 that went to covering my legal expenses. My convening authority then weaponized the 18 19 retirement process, asking the secretary of the 20 Navy that I be paid in retirement as a Lieutenant 21 Colonel, instead of as a full bird Colonel, which 22 amounts to a \$2,500 per month fine for the rest

of my life.

1

2	This after the convening authority had
3	promised me in writing no punishment, and
4	annotated as such in a supplemental court-martial
5	order. I was also given an other than honorable
6	characterization of my 39 years of honorable
7	service. I do have a few thoughts on how to put
8	justice back into the military justice system in
9	light of my seven years and various billets as a
10	convening authority as myself, coupled with my
11	time in prison on the other side of the street.
12	Accountability, there is no
13	accountability for wrongful prosecutions, and
14	thus no incentives not to prosecute cases. There
15	is no accountability for accusers who make false
16	allegations. I am proposing a federal law with
17	stiff penalties, which will be the only way to
18	effectively deter future false allegations. My
19	convening authority even contacted both of my
20	discredited accusers to solicit emotional letters
21	to bolster their ask of the secretary of the Navy
22	to have me punished administratively.

Separation, I believe that a special division needs to be created under the Department of Justice called the Division of Military Justice, handling all court-martial cases with no connection whatsoever to the Department of Defense. Jury, it needs to be a jury of your peers, not senior members.

8 Every military member needs to be in 9 the jury pool with random selection by computer. 10 If Lance Corporal Benotz (phonetic) is selected 11 to sit on a jury at Fort Liberty, then he's sent 12 TAD for said period. A jury needs to be a full 13 12 members, and must reach a unanimous agreement, 14 all 12 for a conviction, not just 75 percent of the members. 15

I must stop here due to time
constraints. Thank you for hearing me out.
Semper fidelis.

19 MR. YOB: Thank you for appearing and 20 providing the public comment. That concludes the 21 virtual public commenters. We do have one person 22 in person making a public comment. That's

Samantha Schroeder. I'd ask Ms. Schroeder, if she feels comfortable to do it from the microphone, to have her come up.

MS. SCHROEDER: Hello, thanks for 4 5 having me. My name is Samantha Schroeder, and I am here speaking on behalf of my brother, Chief 6 7 Warrant Officer-3, promotable, Richard Lee 8 Livingston. I would like to spend the next five 9 minutes illustrating our servicemen are experiencing when accused of a crime in the 10 11 United States military.

12 If we could, everyone think of a male 13 in their life. Let's pretend that that male and 14 I are married. He's a CW3, and I'm a West Point 15 grad working up the ranks. I just had an affair 16 with another servicemember in Iraq, and you, vou're a male, file for divorce. He gains 17 18 primary custody of our children, he has evidence 19 of my affair, and is going to go to my command. 20 The day after I find this out, I tell

my command that he raped and beat me three years ago. The local sheriff investigated this, and

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

21

22

1

2

declined charges due to lack of evidence. Within the confines of the military justice system, he is now going to have to defend himself because he is definitely being charged.

He has to prove himself innocent under 5 the UCMJ, as opposed to being proven guilty, 6 7 because I am immediately seen as a victim, not as 8 a claimant. His only defense is his word, he 9 will have photographic evidence and emails, 10 videos proving that we were in a consensual 11 relationship, but the judge is not going to allow any of this in the court because you can't victim 12 13 shame.

14 This is going to be supported by an 15 RCM. I will be allowed to change my story every 16 single time he counters my statements. In my 17 brother's case, it was four. These are all going 18 to be recorded in CID interviews, and they will 19 not be allowed to be shown in trial. His jury is 20 going to be selected by the convening authority. 21 The convening authority is going to 22 stack the jury to include my battalion

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

commander's wife, as well as multiple other West Point graduates, please remember that's where I graduated from. My character witness will lie on the stand, saying that he only knows me from work, despite being your best friend.

And I'm going to marry him three years after your conviction. I will use all of my accommodations and medals to support my level of professionalism and trustworthiness. He however cannot use any of his, because in the 2012 NDAA it says that you cannot say you're a good soldier if you're accused of rape.

13 That means that like my brother, he 14 won't be able to bring up any of your Air Medals 15 of Valor, combat accolades, or multiple reviews 16 that said promote below the zone and ahead of 17 You can't talk about the fact that there peers. 18 was never an evaluation that was not a top block 19 OER, and that you even support the SHARP and EO 20 program even during his investigation.

All of the accusations occur at aprivate residence, but he's going to get charged

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

with these being done to a commissioned officer, as this increases culpability. I'm going to testify that you hit me after I punched you, and you're going to be found guilty of assault and battery. I'm going to testify that I pulled a loaded gun on you during an oral argument, and you are going to be found guilty of assault for disarming me.

9 The Army Court of Appeals is going to 10 overturn this charge saying that you in fact 11 acted in self-defense, but I will not be charged with assault with a deadly weapon. I'm going to 12 13 sign your name to a \$16,000 insurance check, and 14 I'm going to turn -- only give then cash it. 15 half back when his parents decide to question who 16 signed the check.

When this is turned into command, no one is going to charge me with felony theft or forgery because I gave you half back. So, allegedly in the military you're allowed to rob a bank, if you turn half of it back in, you won't get in trouble. I will say that he raped and

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

beat me on a Saturday. I'm going to send him an email saying I love you on Monday, and a naked picture of myself on Friday.

None of this is going to be allowed in
the trial. You will not be given the civil right
to a unanimous jury despite the Ramos versus
Louisiana, which was decided April 20th of 2020
by the U.S. Supreme Court. He is going to be
found guilty of three specifications not even
brought up in trial.

They are going to sentence him to 17 years in prison. Now, in the civilian world he would never have even been charged, as there was no evidence. Even if he was charged, there would have been a unanimous jury. If he was found guilty, he'd have been given probation, not 17 years.

Over the last five years, my brother has lost time with his children and his family. He has lost his career and reputation. All of this because his now ex-wife didn't want her affair to come to light. Her command found her

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

life and career to be more important than my brother's. I am asking for the representatives that we vote for to hear us. I am asking we protect our servicemen just as much as we protect our servicewomen.

I ask his accusers to be charged for 6 7 the lies and crimes they admitted to under oath, 8 and a unanimous jury to be a right that the UCMJ 9 requires. As our soldiers are still citizens of 10 the United States. We need an independent 11 conviction integrity unit, and it cannot be led 12 by the military. We have to do better, otherwise 13 it is not safe for our sons and brothers to join 14 the military.

What if this happened to that male youwere just thinking of? Thank you for your time.

MR. YOB: Thank you, Ms. Schroeder, for coming and sharing your comment. That concludes the public comment portion of this meeting. That concludes the meeting business. If you're prepared, I'll summarize a few thoughts, they're quite brief, and if you have

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

1 any other comments we can go to those. Otherwise 2 we can proceed to adjournment at that point. 3 So, a few comments. I just want to note that in conjunction with this public meeting 4 5 that we're holding off-site, we were able to conduct some site visits. I think we were able 6 7 to validate the benefits of those site visits, 8 but we'll continue to look at that, and see if 9 they are worthwhile, and it's something that the 10 committee would like to continue doing. 11 In the meeting itself, we received 12 significant information from practitioners who 13 work in the field, which was a benefit of us 14 having this meeting out in an off-site location. 15 We were able to hear from local civilian criminal 16 law practitioners, who interact with military 17 members frequently, so there were many benefits 18 from holding this meeting here in this location. 19 And we're happy that you could do it, 20 and we're happy that we could support you in 21 doing that. The only other comment I have is 22 just to note that the next meeting that we have

(202) 234-4433

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

is scheduled for, that will be in person, public meeting would be June 11th and 12th. That will be in the national capital region, we will be coordinating with you in the near future on topics, agenda, what we're going to do at that meeting.

In the interim, I think we will have probably some interaction with you on some of the issues that we discussed today that we need to move forward with and finalize. So, that's the extent of my comments, and I'm going to return it to the chair, and anybody else's comments who would like to.

14 CHAIR SMITH: All right, I think that 15 concludes everything if no one has anything they 16 want to add. Thank you again to staff for 17 organizing this, and we'll see you on June 11th.

18 MR. SULLIVAN: This public meeting is19 closed.

20 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 21 went off the record at 11:58 a.m.)

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

3

4

5

Α A.J 1:16 9:21 **a.m** 1:10 3:2 26:1,2 75:6 75:7 91:20,21 102:11 119:21 abandoned 99:4 ability 48:17 64:9 65:13 able 15:10 29:16 38:11 46:21 48:5 51:12 52:22 56:11 69:10 80:17 114:14 118:5,6 118:15 above-entitled 25:22 75:5 91:19 119:20 abuse 27:10,10 32:2 46:20 51:5 ACA's 94:11 Academy 1:10 3:14,19 94:6 access 48:5,15 63:3 65:7 69:8 71:14 82:8 87:6,22 88:3 89:2 90:11 accolades 114:15 accommodations 114:8 accountability 37:12 110:12,13,15 accurate 8:9 40:5 accusations 96:2 97:17 114:21 accused 67:18 72:15 82:1 103:10 104:3 106:21 108:13 112:10 114:12 accuser 95:14,22 96:3 102:17 103:1,5,7,17 104:15 108:14 accusers 110:15.20 117:6 achieve 94:22 acknowledge 4:10 100:7 acknowledged 103:20 acquittal 46:9 act 4:4 7:13 36:20 37:6 40:2 63:3 97:1 107:20 acted 115:11 acting 87:7 action 37:10 actions 37:6,12 51:16 63:7 105:18 active 29:12 34:19,22 43:15 48:8 51:10 57:4 102:4 105:12 actual 22:11 31:21 98:22 add 10:8 16:21 45:6

79:19 82:17 84:4 119:16 added 10:13 18:10 adding 10:10 80:8 addition 7:7 additional 6:20 7:15,18 10:14 16:21 additions 23:18 address 34:5 80:4 adds 89:3 adjournment 5:9 118:2 administrative 75:11 80:18 administratively 110:22 admitted 117:7 admittedly 56:3 adopt 7:9 adult 72:6 advantage 95:16 advise 4:6 87:3 Advisor 2:2,3,3,4,4,5 advisory 1:1 3:10 96:22 advocacy 45:8 52:19 advocate 38:19 40:9 41:6 advocates 9:5 12:6 18:9 39:22 40:16,17 40:18 41:1,2,11 42:2 42:3,6,9 43:1 44:10 44:15,16 45:3 48:21 99:3 **affair** 112:15,19 116:22 affect 88:10 90:3 affidavit 64:4 affiliated 39:9,10 88:1 88:14 afforded 67:19 agencies 32:9 35:16 39:21 agency 35:12,13 36:3 agenda 119:5 agent 50:12,12 agents 44:2 ago 19:16 43:14 48:7 56:21 112:22 agree 15:22 16:14 19:6 71:18 agreed 21:19 agreement 22:14,19 57:14 111:13 ahead 34:11 66:19 88:19 89:18 101:22 114:16 **Air** 1:10 3:14 50:3,4 88:21 94:6 114:14 alcohol 102:7 Alfred 100:5

align 7:3 9:3 14:15 16:19 18:7 20:3 aligned 17:3 aligning 11:11,22 aligns 21:16 22:12 all-pervasive 97:3 allegation 95:15 allegations 4:8 110:16 110:18 allegedly 115:20 alleviate 86:20 allow 58:5 68:21 69:19 73:1 89:16 113:11 allowed 54:9 98:2 113:15,19 115:20 116:4 allows 63:11 alternative 12:11 56:17 Altimas 49:13 amend 7:3,12 9:3 12:15 13:21 14:2,10,14 16:18 17:15 18:7,19 20:3 amended 4:5 7:1 amendment 36:19.21 37:5 71:12 80:8 American 101:9 103:17 amount 33:7 80:20 **amounts** 109:22 **ample** 95:5 **anal** 104:4,5 Anderson 1:13 20:8 50:1 86:11 88:18,20 anecdotal 32:8 42:8 anecdotally 51:18 anger 100:6 annotated 110:4 answer 27:17 32:8 34:6 38:11 42:18,20 72:6 85:11 98:3 answers 34:5 35:7 antidepressant 79:14 anxiety 79:3 anybody 50:7 57:18 58:11 79:13 119:12 apathy 100:12 apologies 55:16 apologize 10:2 72:5 appeal 104:19 105:6 Appeals 115:9 appear 96:15 appeared 67:3,7 97:11 appearing 111:19 appears 35:8 appellate 94:9 105:5 109:6 appendix 7:16 8:1,8 9:6 application 31:2 63:13

applying 98:15 appreciate 3:18 25:16 49:18 77:22 91:2 92:19 101:14 105:22 appreciation 9:11 approach 59:6,15 60:14 62:16 appropriate 16:3,6 approval 6:16,19 22:17 approve 22:8 approximately 102:11 **April** 116:7 area 26:16 77:9 81:19 areas 31:3 61:14 76:10 81:8 82:13 91:9 argue 105:4 argument 115:6 arguments 98:9 Armed 1:2 3:12 4:9 95:2 97:22 Army 43:22 55:11 115:9 **arrive** 86:4 Article 4:17 6:10 7:3,12 9:3 12:1,15 14:15 16:19 17:15 18:7 20:3 23:14 80:7 95:11 96:1 96:22 97:5.6 98:13 99:11 Articles 95:8 aside 109:7 asked 52:21 67:17 104:13.15 asking 67:15 76:12 109:19 117:2,3 aspect 59:1 aspirationally 41:19 assault 1:1 3:12 4:8 26:22 27:9 30:6 40:4 53:12 78:21 92:21 96:13 103:10 108:4 108:17 109:7 115:4,7 115:12 assaulted 102:19 assaults 46:5 57:6,10 57:12 106:15 assigned 40:19,20 41:6 assignments 49:9 assistance 32:15 39:20 51:1 94:3 105:5 assisting 93:16 associated 84:21 assume 56:7 assumed 37:16 assure 12:5 94:17 attached 22:20 23:11 attend 95:20 attendance 3:9 5:20 attendees 4:1 94:6

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

attention 6:13 39:20 55:22 attorney 2:2,3,3,4,4,5 67:19 93:16 103:15 104:13 105:2 Attorney's 4:20 27:4 attorneys 26:7 27:8 44:15 62:7 63:19 71:7 86:15 94:9 99:2.10 audible 12:21 23:4 audience 53:18 authority 97:21 98:1,12 109:12,18 110:2,10 110:19 113:20.21 Authorization 4:4 automatically 30:2 availability 82:4 available 3:22 56:18 76:1 93:18 95:6 awake 104:9 awarded 104:19 aware 29:6 ave 12:16,17,20 22:21 22:22 23:2 В **B** 23:9 25:3 **back** 7:10 26:3 30:16 37:17 38:6 41:14.16 45:13 65:15 67:15 68:1 72:10 84:18 106:1 107:9 109:3 110:8 115:15,19,21 **backed** 38:15 background 19:18 48:13 53:20 **bad** 73:11,18 96:15 Band-Aids 98:16 bank 115:21 bar 57:7 77:20 Barely 106:19 barracks 102:5,12 103:4 barriers 89:12 base 30:4,16,17 90:12 107:2 based 31:11 32:19 37:7 40:20 67:8 72:6 76:14 81:20 91:6 105:3 Bashford 1:14 21:12 34:12 35:3 37:14 39:4 52:2 53:3 54:12 89:18 89:19 **basic** 64:3 basically 19:20 48:11 76:16 battalion 113:22 **battery** 115:5

battlefield 109:4 beat 112:21 116:1 beautiful 3:17 **bed** 102:15 beginning 6:21 58:13 behalf 112:6 behavior 107:7 belief 80:1 believe 9:14 15:11 32:3 32:13 43:16,22 71:22 74:17 84:10 92:5 111:1 believes 7:2 13:20 14:1 16:18 18:4,19 benefit 118:13 benefits 45:2 118:7,17 Benotz 111:10 best 101:9 103:7 114:5 Beth 93:17,17 betray 100:22 betrayed 100:2 betraying 101:9 better 16:11 19:1 32:20 35:9,22 36:6 37:10,11 37:13 42:11 53:2 54:3 88:3 117:12 bevond 9:19 33:14 73:8 97:8 **BG** 1:18 bias 77:9 103:20 biases 104:1 **big** 45:2 53:10,18 72:20 73:3 bigger 59:16 **biggest** 44:19 47:19 66:13 bill 37:19,21 38:11 **Bill's** 77:22 **billets** 110:9 binding 97:1,6 98:14 **bird** 109:21 bit 21:13,17 33:9 39:5 45:13 82:17 83:12 91:10 bits 85:11 black 109:1 **blah** 20:4,4,5,6,7,7 bleed 109:4 bleeds 7:19 block 114:18 **blood** 94:18 Blue 1:9 **BLUF** 20:11 board 56:12 80:18 **boat** 30:22 bodies 47:20 **body** 70:7 **boils** 94:18

bolster 110:21 **bond** 30:15 booked 57:15 **bottom** 85:14 bottom-line 20:11 Boulevard 1:10 **bound** 62:8 boyfriend 102:13 Brady 62:12 70:1 branch 15:19 44:1 84:16 branches 84:10 88:5 break 5:14 25:9,13 75:2 95:2 bridge 89:10 brief 117:22 briefings 5:1 brig 109:11 brigadier 96:17 106:21 bring 10:3 114:14 broad 43:6 73:7 85:7 broaden 43:3 broader 45:11 brother 112:6 114:13 116:18 brother's 113:17 117:2 brothers 117:13 brought 55:15 90:12 102:5,7 116:10 Buffalo 57:1 burdens 34:1 **burger** 90:4 Burris 93:15,19,19 94:2 94:5 101:3,6 bus 50:7 business 117:20 **busy** 58:11 button 57:15 С cadets 77:1 **CAF** 94:10 call 20:11 45:3 81:17 called 111:3 cam 70:7 camera 72:2 campus 3:18 candidly 36:14 37:20 44:19 51:12 canonical 56:7 capable 79:16 capital 119:3 captain 77:12 captains 77:11 capture 11:14 53:18 57:11 68:22 89:9 captures 23:12 career 94:14 107:14,16

116:20 117:1 careers 106:17 108:22 careful 73:17 carefully 12:3 Carolina 96:5 carry-over 10:4 Carson 48:8 case 5:2 21:19 28:1,10 28:11,12,15 30:4 32:10,16,18 33:14,22 35:8,10,18,18 36:2,6 36:12,14 37:3 38:4 39:17 40:1,13 41:2,13 42:10 43:13,14,17 45:4,14,17 46:7,20 47:21 48:13,18 51:2,5 51:15 53:12 55:8,11 56:8 59:11 61:9 62:5 62:9,16 63:10,17,20 64:18 65:7,22 67:13 67:17 69:13 71:20 79:13 86:13 87:4 94:10,11,20 95:5 96:11,16,18 97:11,13 99:3 100:13 106:13 113:17 cases 12:4 26:20 27:20 28:2,7,18,20 29:10,11 29:16 30:6,9 31:2,16 31:22 32:3,6,11 33:3 33:4,4 34:13,21 37:15 38:9 39:18 40:4,20 41:9 46:4,5 48:21 51:1 56:22 57:4,9 64:6,12 67:3,11 72:6 81:20 99:8 106:16 110:14 111:4 cash 115:14 Cassara 1:14 9:14 20:18 56:15 76:21 77:6 Cassia 1:18 Castain 27:3 28:5 31:13 31:14.20 34:10.13.16 35:6 37:20 39:16 42:7 43:12 48:19 50:10 55:13 59:9 61:19 63:2 65:19 68:15 70:3 72:5 74:9 category 29:3 40:6 caught 96:10 cause 20:6 21:21 23:22 64:4,20 98:18 causing 82:20 cede 81:5 cell 60:7 68:2 71:1 72:11 73:5 74:3 Center 67:1

(202) 234-4433

certain 29:7 40:12 82:3 certainly 37:4 38:1 49:19 65:22 82:12 88:10 90:20 100:8 108:9 cetera 80:3 85:21 **chain** 31:5,6 46:13 chair 1:11,13 3:6 6:1 18:14 19:8,12 25:6,12 25:16 26:3 27:16,18 34:11 47:12 55:4 59:5 61:17 74:7,10,12 75:4 75:8 79:19 90:5 91:13 91:15,22 92:2 119:12 119:14 challenge 72:1 89:3 challenged 97:16 chance 37:11 105:3 change 7:18 8:15,19 9:8 14:1,8 16:8 17:1 18:12 52:21 66:15 113:15 changed 66:9 changes 7:2,15,17 8:11 9:15.18 10:21 11:11 11:21 22:14 49:6 59:4 65:12 98:20 100:20 chaptering 35:2 character 96:8 114:3 characterization 110:6 charge 35:20,21 48:9 102:21 108:3,17 115:10,18 charged 34:20 45:15 107:8 113:4 114:22 115:11 116:13,14 117:6 charges 31:11 37:1,9 43:19 44:3 55:15,19 96:7 104:5 107:18,19 108:12,14 113:1 charging 98:1 **check** 74:18 115:13,16 chest 98:16 Chief 112:6 chiefs 98:7 child 27:9 32:2 39:10 46:19 51:5 71:20 72:7 96:5 107:8 108:9 child's 107:5 children 27:9 29:1 95:18 112:18 116:19 chilling 79:20 80:2 chime 20:9 82:12 **choice** 52:3,6 53:4 **Chorus** 12:17 22:22 CID 43:22 44:1 50:2,11 51:12 55:11 113:18

circumstance 70:4 circumstances 35:18 68:17 70:20 citizens 117:9 city 34:18 civies 54:13 civil 52:5,8 63:21 67:5 67:12 116:5 civilian 4:18 35:13,20 36:16 40:14,17 43:16 51:6,22 53:1,6 54:4,6 55:8,9 67:20 81:13 99:10 116:12 118:15 civilians 49:7 54:8 89:1 102:21 civilly 44:21 claim 107:21 claimant 113:8 claimed 108:15 claims 27:12 63:6 clarifications 66:10 **clarity** 84:13 clean 21:9 108:5 cleaned 23:11 clear 22:7 33:2 78:14 79:18 cleared 95:11 clearer 12:8 21:13 clearly 84:5 **client** 30:14 46:14,20 48:7 54:21 client's 53:19 73:5 clients 47:7 52:5,7,19 53:3 54:1 87:4 clinic 58:17 close 23:8 32:13 97:22 **closed** 119:19 closely 32:8 closeness 34:17 **Club** 1:10 Coast 84:6 Code 94:20 codifying 36:21 colleagues 22:2 collect 73:17 collected 72:15 104:10 collection 72:11 colon 12:2 colonel 95:12 97:15.19 106:12 109:21,21 Colorado 1:10 4:19 26:8,15,17,19 34:18 36:18 38:1 50:16 56:20 65:2 67:2 combat 95:10 114:15 come 28:2 38:6 44:6 66:3 89:17 112:3 116:22

comes 32:21 90:2 comfortable 11:6 12:7 13:7 54:2,10 60:15 61:5 67:16 112:2 coming 22:11 44:13 83:8 117:18 command 31:5,6 46:13 97:3 98:11 112:19,21 115:17 116:22 commander's 114:1 commanders 47:15 98:14 comment 5:4 10:14 13:9 14:5,20 20:19 23:20 24:6 25:20 76:20 88:17 91:16 92:13,14 93:3 94:1 101:15,22 106:6 111:20,22 117:18,19 118:21 commentary 76:9 91:3 commenter 93:15 commenters 89:17 111:21 comments 9:18 10:13 15:13 21:5 23:17 24:22 50:8 75:9 80:5 92:1,18,19,20 93:7,9 93:11 106:1 118:1,3 119:11,12 commissioned 115:1 commitment 102:11 committed 52:18 **committee** 1:1,9 3:10 3:17 5:3.5 6:3.5.17 9:18 12:10 13:7 15:2 15:11,22 18:10,11 24:1 27:14 28:16 43:5 74:16 80:7 82:14 92:4 92:5,10,12 94:5 95:9 98:5 118:10 committee's 99:12,14 communication 35:15 40:17 50:5 51:19 communications 36:1 communicative 44:17 community 54:19 58:6 companies 60:1 comparable 88:7 comparative 26:9 77:19 **compare** 73:15 comparison 94:16 complete 5:18 52:21 71:3 83:16 completely 15:6 72:8 complexities 56:6 complicated 73:20 computer 59:8 82:20

111:9 computers 108:8 **concept** 100:14 concepts 19:17 concern 16:22 66:13 71:2 81:20 92:20 concerned 16:7 18:11 77:7 concerning 77:12 79:18 81:11 concerns 9:17 23:17 64:14 66:1 concise 34:6 conclude 89:16 93:6 101:4 concluded 95:14 96:3 concludes 25:7 111:20 117:19,20 119:15 concluding 5:5 conclusion 96:1 concur 20:9,17 22:6 conduct 62:6 118:6 conducted 62:5 96:19 conferences 44:6 confident 33:22 99:9 101:12 confidential 90:12 confinement 107:22 109:15 confines 113:2 confirmation 84:12 conflict 98:2 confusing 8:15 confusion 11:10 16:8 17:2 18:12,13 19:10 20:6,16 21:9,22 22:2 **Congress** 7:9,12,13 9:2 9:12 12:15 14:2,10,14 17:15,19 18:7 20:3 23:12 25:4 conjunction 118:4 **conn** 3:5 connect 40:8 connected 87:17 connection 42:17 54:22 58:19 111:5 connections 47:9 consciences 109:2 consensual 104:8 113:10 **consent** 59:15,21 60:10 60:17 68:3,4,11,13,16 69:11,17,17 102:20 consented 68:14 69:16 consents 60:2 consequences 31:7 37:9 consider 89:7 97:11

99:13,17 101:7,8 consideration 6:19 10:11 80:6 considered 95:8 96:2,8 100:16 106:20 considering 9:12 21:1 36:10,10 consisted 103:19 consistent 12:4 48:2 63:13 82:19,22 85:15 94:12 100:20 **console** 94:14 constitution 36:19 101:1.8 constitutional 71:16 99:19 **constraint** 68:8,9 constraints 111:17 consult 36:22 contact 31:4 39:21 41:11,17 44:10 49:10 50:11 60:3 contacted 110:19 contained 8:3,8 10:7,16 **context** 17:20 continue 91:8 118:8.10 continued 102:22 continues 9:7 contrary 97:14 control 97:8 convening 97:20 109:12,18 110:2,10 110:19 113:20,21 conversation 51:11 79:9 conversations 35:11 78:9 convicted 56:3 conviction 46:8 58:7 78:21 100:15 105:7 109:8 111:14 114:7 117:11 convictions 105:14 convince 34:1 convinces 33:13 cool 58:9 cooperates 92:16 cooperation 35:15 80:3 coordinating 119:4 coordinator 39:13 copies 64:15 **copy** 63:9 69:1 **Corporal** 111:10 **Corps** 104:20 105:16 108:22 109:15 correct 9:13 49:13 77:2 correctly 88:21 corroborates 33:19

cost 38:10 67:19 counsel 12:3 39:12 47:16 49:15 76:7,8,8 77:4,4,5,8 80:5,10,12 80:13,13,14,17 82:6 83:5 84:8 85:9 87:2,7 87:15,15,19,22 88:22 90:9,10 105:5 counters 113:16 countries 38:3 country 100:3,19 counts 29:17 County 4:19 25:11 27:2 couple 5:10 14:9 48:1,8 49:14 52:12 coupled 110:10 court 27:2 44:21 54:17 57:4,9,19 58:2,8,14 95:16 99:4 102:22 103:12 105:5 109:6 113:12 115:9 116:8 court- 53:5 56:11 95:19 court-martial 55:17 56:1 81:18 90:2 95:21 96:12,21 97:11,13 104:12 107:17 108:11 110:4 111:4 courtroom 40:21 77:10 97:21 courtrooms 99:1 courts 40:14 42:14 44:4 56:16 67:4 86:13 courts- 30:11 courts-martial 99:5 covering 109:17 cowardly 97:1 CR 28:11 cracks 51:21 create 65:16 created 4:2 111:2 creating 8:1 crime 112:10 crimes 27:10,10 28:22 34:20 117:7 criminal 4:12 26:16 28:11 34:3 44:20 61:22 67:3,16 90:16 118:15 critical 82:13 104:11 cross 50:21 65:13 culpability 115:2 curious 68:5 90:7 current 12:1,4 16:10 17:21,22 56:18 currently 27:6 custody 95:17 112:18 cut 14:3 cuts 73:10

cutting 13:22 **CW3** 112:14 D **D** 2:3 **DA's** 33:6 DAC-7:11 8:21 14:13 18:3 93:9 **DAC-IPAD** 1:2 3:8,12 3:13 4:2,22 5:1,8,19 6:14 7:2 9:2 12:14 13:20 14:1,2,9 16:7 16:18 17:14 18:6,18 20:2 22:8 93:12,13 106:12 DAC-IPAD's 3:15,20 4:13 16:22 daily 57:17 Daniel 101:16 106:1,12 dark 47:8 date 82:3 dates 44:22 daughter 107:2 108:15 daughters 94:15,16 107:1 David 101:19 102:3 day 3:3,9 103:3,7,9 112:20 davs 46:1 95:13 109:10 109:14 deadly 115:12 deal 48:3 109:12 dealing 46:11 47:15,22 48:2 85:4 decent 34:19 decide 35:3 41:10 55:2 62:11 115:15 decided 43:19 44:3 116:7 decision 35:9 36:11 40:13 41:13 55:20 62:10 109:7 decisions 36:5 decisis 99:4 declination 89:21 declined 31:19 113:1 dedicated 4:13 deduction 29:18 deemed 99:8 104:8 deep 54:22 defend 15:1 52:4,15 101:1 113:3 defendant 33:21 49:21 55:18 71:9,16 defendant's 62:10 72:18 defendants 48:22 defended 93:1

defender 26:14 57:20 defender's 4:19 26:18 29:5 Defenders 26:17 defending 26:12 defense 1:1,1 3:10,11 4:3,4,6,7 7:5 8:1 26:16 61:18 62:1,20 66:12 70:19 71:7,13 76:8 77:4,8,20 80:13 80:14 83:2,5,6 85:9 90:9 99:1 103:15 105:1 111:6 113:8 defer 35:4 deferred 58:8 defined 63:4 definitely 54:19 73:14 113:4 degree 37:4 delayed 104:11 delays 89:4 delete 8:16 11:10 12:11 13:16 deleted 17:10,12 74:4 deleting 12:7,13 13:7 13:13 deliberate 5:6 **deliberation** 6:9,16,19 25:7 deliberations 4:17 denied 100:3 104:14 107:3 **Denver** 67:8 departed 102:10 department 7:5 10:8,9 10:17 50:16 71:21 111:2,5 dependents 29:17 depending 38:4 deployed 38:14 deployment 48:10 deployments 48:9 depression 79:2 deprivation 71:16 describe 47:17 described 55:7 describing 70:4 description 44:8 designate 28:11 **Designated** 2:2 desire 80:16 96:15 desired 94:22 despair 98:18 despite 94:11 100:5 114:5 116:6 destroyed 105:16 106:17 destroying 95:9

detail 91:10 detective 43:21,21 detectives 50:19 detector 103:11 deter 110:18 determined 51:7 84:6 developed 57:14 development 77:17 84:2 device 5:12 59:8 devices 43:9 108:9 **DFO** 5:9 dial-in 5:16 dialogue 53:16 die 15:1,9 differ 42:15 difference 39:7 67:22 differences 17:21 45:8 66:9 72:20 88:13 different 17:20 34:17 38:17 39:19 42:21 45:7 46:17 48:1 54:9 56:2 58:13 73:13 78:2 84:9 86:4 88:6,17,18 91:4 97:5 differently 72:8 85:5 difficult 38:14 52:20 difficulties 5:13 difficulty 37:17 **diq** 53:14 digital 43:9 59:7,15 69:172:11.14 Dina 26:13 dinner 107:1 direct 6:12 43:17 direction 35:17 directly 72:2 96:4 director 2:1 5:8 6:2 dirt 107:13 dirty 109:11 disagree 37:8 disagreements 23:17 disappears 74:1 disarming 115:8 discharge 104:7 disclosure 71:19 discovered 107:10 discovery 61:18,21 63:12,17 72:13 81:10 81:18 82:3,12 83:2,3 84:3,3,9 discredited 110:20 discuss 6:8 21:20 75:15 77:15 95:7 discussed 9:20 22:9 26:9 119:9 discussing 80:22 discussion 6:9,20 85:2

87:13 discussions 5:6 78:10 dishonorable 104:6 dismissing 46:9 disparity 78:3,4 disposition 31:9 dispositions 56:18 disruptive 81:21 distinct 76:4 District 4:20 27:4 diverse 53:21,22 diversity 82:17 division 111:2,3 divisions 4:12 divorce 112:17 **DNA** 107:9,9 108:5 docket 82:5,13 docketing 84:22 document 64:7 66:11 documents 87:7 95:5 **DOD** 89:6 doing 21:14 32:12 60:22 67:6 69:15 86:18 88:5 118:10,21 **DOJ** 24:14 domestic 26:22 57:5 71:3 domestically 38:17 doors 65:11 doubt 33:14 100:6 download 60:10 69:3 69:16,18 70:13,18 downloads 69:5,6 **Dr** 1:18 31:12,15 34:8 draft 6:14 21:6 drafted 6:15 dramatic 33:3 36:18 dress 54:7,13 dressed 54:7 103:6 drew 55:22 Dreyfus 100:5 drinking 79:15 drop 33:3,7 drunk 102:14,18 dual 34:13 46:2 **DuBay** 104:20 due 111:16 113:1 **DUIs** 57:5 dump 61:1 68:7,9 69:22 duress 109:13 duty 34:22,22 43:15 48:8 51:10 102:4 Dwight 2:2 dynamics 53:20 Ε

E2 29:16 earlier 32:6 40:3 early 60:14 75:22 ease 109:2 easier 21:20 38:2 51:15 easily 94:21 **ECF** 87:1 echo 82:16 echoed 50:20 edits 6:17 8:6 23:15 educate 87:5 effect 8:19 10:20 11:21 78:19 79:20 80:2 94:13 98:19 effective 91:6 effectively 51:13 110:18 effects 77:19 88:13 efficiency 86:14 efficient 51:15 eight 92:3,10 103:19 107:22 either 33:19 38:5 39:9 39:11 45:20 50:22 52:6 62:10 70:7 93:17 **EJIS** 89:12 EI 4:18 25:11 27:2 elaborate 79:10 **Eleanor** 2:5 6:12 electronic 86:13 108:8 eliminate 22:3 eliminating 106:15 else's 66:16 119:12 email 5:16 21:7.11 22:11 23:6 49:16 61:2 68:19 86:16 107:19 116:2 emails 22:4 113:9 embrace 100:10 emotional 110:20 emphatic 85:10 enables 88:2 ended 56:10 69:18 enforcement 32:9 33:17 39:21 40:7 41:1 50:18 59:12 68:10,11 68:13 69:16,18,22 70:6 73:1,16 engage 62:10 88:6 enlisted 98:10 ensure 12:2,4 107:15 enter 63:20 entire 8:16 12:13 73:5,6 95:5 107:5,13,16 entirely 12:7 39:14 entitled 63:9 environment 54:2 **EO** 114:19

episode 20:20 Eric 93:15,17,19,21 especially 15:12 29:17 81:20 essential 60:19 essentially 23:12 establishment 77:17 et 80:3 85:21 etcetera 12:6 ethical 34:1 ethically 62:8 100:21 evaluation 114:18 eve 81:12,16 evening 102:9 107:6 event 66:18 events 79:17 eventually 32:22 41:10 everybody 11:6 12:6 82:8 85:18 everybody's 15:13 everyone's 6:12 18:2 evidence 23:21 33:5,13 35:20 36:6 59:15 71:22 72:11,14,17 94:22 104:12 105:4 107:9 108:2.5 112:18 113:1.9 116:14 evolved 83:19 ex-wife 95:14 116:21 exact 28:6,15 exactly 14:6 42:14 83:17 **exam** 108:4 examination 62:4 65:14 example 55:7 98:8 106:14 examples 68:16 98:11 98:18 exceed 93:2 exceptions 30:1 33:11 62:18 exchange 39:4 exculpatory 62:13 excuse 11:22 executing 89:7 Executive 2:1 existence 99:13 exists 20:5 100:1 exonerated 109:6 expanded 57:3 expectations 81:22 expenses 109:17 experience 42:13 44:10 45:13,19 46:3,10 47:14 72:10 73:16 81:15 experienced 30:7 99:10 experiences 26:11

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

E 1:14 9:14

E1 29:16

experiencing 112:10 **expert** 62:4 experts 4:11 explain 7:14 15:10 41:12 explanation 8:14 20:16 70:10 explicit 11:19 exploitation 27:9 29:1 explore 81:2 85:22 89:6 exploring 89:7 expressing 16:22 expressly 65:6 extent 59:11 90:1 119:11 extra 22:3 25:17 extremely 109:6 eye 109:1 F fabricated 95:14 facing 107:18 fact 85:2 107:11 114:17 115:10 factual 109:8 failed 96:17 103:15 failure 94:11 fair 79:21 85:18 faith 95:2 faithful 105:17 Falcon 1:10 fall 29:3,7 36:5 40:6 falls 51:20 false 97:18 107:21 110:15.18 falsely 106:21 family 94:15 95:16 116:19 far 45:13 48:2 53:1 57:7 58:20 61:10 father 107:5 father's 107:11 favor 12:12,18 13:1 101:10 fear 96:14,15 federal 2:2 8:3 10:6,16 86:12 110:16 feel 33:21 52:18,20 53:1 54:1 60:15 61:5 76:20 83:14,16 feels 112:2 fellow 15:2 106:22 felonies 58:8 felony 27:8 28:2 29:9 46:5 56:22 58:7 115:18 felt 97:17 female 95:12 97:15

102:6 fidelis 105:17 111:18 field 15:4,14 16:9 17:2 18:13 21:14 118:13 **fifth** 108:20 fighting 95:17 figure 21:2 file 32:1,9 33:22 35:10 41:10 43:19 61:17,19 62:15 63:22 65:7 112:17 filed 28:10,20 30:13 32:22 33:8 37:1 41:2 61:9 87:10 filing 31:15 41:13 86:13 filings 29:20 fill 31:1 filtered 73:2 final 7:10 22:16,17 finalize 119:10 Finally 5:7 find 33:18 38:22 42:3 42:10 45:3 48:12,13 60:21 61:4 71:4 89:10 89:12 112:20 finding 37:12 89:20 fine 109:22 firm 85:8 first 6:22 8:1,16 12:13 13:7,19 14:9,13 18:2 19:7,18 20:1,16 35:7 56:10,22 59:18 75:10 93:14 96:2 100:2 fiscal 4:4 fit 35:8.22.22 36:6 37:10 53:2 five 67:9 75:2 91:17 93:2,4,6 94:1 101:22 106:9 112:8 116:18 fix 105:15 fixed 83:19 flag 16:3 97:8 flesh 21:16,17 91:5 flights 38:5 flip 61:10 Florida 69:13 70:4 flows 47:2 focus 14:3 76:3 91:8 focused 76:9 folks 67:16 follow 37:14 39:3 41:22 50:1 65:19 69:12 follow-on 22:10 followed 9:11 following 18:21 follows 8:13 87:12 foot 38:11 foots 37:18,21

force 1:10 3:14 37:6 50:3,4 88:21 94:6 forced 61:7 109:11 Forces 1:2 3:12 4:9 95:2 97:22 forcible 104:3 forensic 61:1 62:4 forgery 115:19 forget 50:3 90:9 form 30:14 65:4 formation 103:3 former 26:20 56:19 forms 59:21 Fort 48:8 111:11 forth 65:15 forward 30:11 36:15 56:11 119:10 found 55:18 79:16 81:10 104:4 105:3 108:11,13,16,18,21 115:4,7 116:9,15,22 foundational 60:6 founding 27:1 four 5:4 6:13 19:15 23:9 43:14 67:9 92:13 113:17 Fourth 27:4 free 76:20 frequently 118:17 **Friday** 116:3 friend 102:5,14,15 103:7 104:15,17,22 114:5friends 102:6 front 9:15 19:22 20:11 70:18 83:6 fucking 95:22 full 12:10 60:22 63:16 71:14 73:18 107:15 109:21 111:12 fully 100:21 further 50:14 100:11 **future** 58:6 81:9 90:13 94:15 96:7 110:18 119:4 G gain 95:16 gains 112:17 Gallagher 2:2 game 79:21 85:18 gaps 44:21 Garvin 1:15 16:14 66:20 67:14 69:12 81:3,4 82:15,15 84:4 90:6 gatekeeper 87:8

gears 39:5 gender 100:4 general 20:8 33:12 41:5 50:1 62:15,21 63:5 64:13,19 66:19 86:11 88:18,20 90:14 96:18 97:1 106:21 generally 28:8 29:15 30:19 32:7,10 33:17 38:13 45:18 46:8,22 47:19 50:11 59:14 61:9 62:19 63:14 64:3 64:4,17 70:5 Gentile 1:17 gentleman 55:6 getting 37:17 49:7 65:6 68:11 85:10 89:2,13 109:1 girl 102:15 girl's 107:10 girlfriend 102:6,13 104:22 give 22:16 42:20 48:5 60:17 61:7,16 62:1,8 62:15,19,22 66:2 68:18 75:15 93:4 98:7 98:17 100:14 115:14 given 15:12 29:8,11 34:16 36:8 37:15 51:16 80:19 95:7 110:5 116:5,16 giving 25:17 27:20 48:17 64:15 105:22 global 31:9 globally 76:17 go 15:21 17:6 18:5,20 27:21 30:11 32:18 34:11 40:1,13,21 41:14 45:13,21 48:6 48:14 59:14 62:11 66:19 71:21 72:10 81:22 82:2 88:19 89:18 90:17,18 96:21 101:22 106:1 112:19 118:1 goal 48:4 57:11 58:2 goes 7:14 46:7 47:8 60:7 67:15 going 9:13 26:4 30:11 30:16 31:10 33:11 35:17 40:13 41:3 42:12 55:3 59:10 62:17 68:1 70:19 73:12 74:10 75:13 81:4 82:9 86:22 87:4 91:8 92:3 93:14 95:4 95:7 101:3,8 106:1 112:19 113:3,11,14

gathering 59:13

113:17,20,21 114:6 114:22 115:2,4,5,7,9 115:12,14,18 116:1,4 116:8,11 119:5,11 **Goldberg** 1:15 10:18 11:2,5,13 12:22 13:4 16:1,2 17:4,11,17 21:18 23:19 24:4,7,11 24:16,19 39:2 41:21 42:21 45:10 77:20,21 78:13 87:12 **Goldberg's** 15:13 **good** 3:3,7 10:8 22:2,5 25:18 27:18 29:4 35:14,15 42:8,20 51:19 55:7 81:1 83:4 103:7 114:11 gosh 17:11 government 64:20 65:4 80:13 graciously 3:19 grad 112:15 graduated 114:3 graduates 58:12 114:2 graduation 58:10 granular 91:10 grateful 27:13 gratitude 4:11 9:11 great 11:13 16:13 59:21 60:4 Grimm 1:16 74:18 quarantees 63:3 Guard 84:6 guess 35:7 42:21 56:1 guest 107:5 guidance 7:4 9:4 14:17 15:5,7 16:20 18:8 20:4 85:16 quidelines 21:14 guilty 55:18,21 104:4 105:3 108:12,13,17 108:18,21 109:2 113:6 115:4,7 116:9 116:16 gun 115:6 Gupta 2:3 gutted 97:7 guys 46:18 58:11 н half 74:1 88:22 115:15 115:19,21 hampers 87:1 hand 5:21 12:16,20 22:21 40:15,16,22 42:17 54:18 hand-selected 97:12 handed 43:18

handle 27:8 handled 28:19 37:3 43:13 72:7 handles 27:11 34:21 handling 72:17 111:4 hands 29:2 47:4 100:9 happen 35:11 56:13 94:3 happened 34:2 117:15 happening 15:20 44:22 45:4 46:2 65:21 66:1 82:9,19 83:15,17 84:14 happens 30:5,8 40:15 46:6 51:21 69:22 81:13 happy 34:5 79:10 118:19,20 hard 19:14 33:10 34:6 40:22 61:15 hardest 38:13 hats 52:14,17 health 48:13 61:14 65:2 78:17,18 79:21 86:5 89:20 90:11.14.15.18 hear 18:16 77:9 83:14 93:20.21 94:10 100:6 106:3,5 117:3 118:15 heard 42:22 43:3 44:5 76:7,15,17 78:1 82:17 82:22 83:10 84:5 86:2 86:6 87:20 90:21 hearing 13:16 22:4 25:2 38:7 45:1 72:2 95:12 111:17 hearings 66:7 80:9,18 104:21 **Hello** 112:4 help 38:22 42:11 49:2 67:19 89:4 helped 38:20 44:17 helpful 6:17 28:3,16 34:8 42:18 48:16,18 49:9 65:17 helps 49:19 hey 51:2 90:16 hiding 73:12 higher 37:9 46:5 highly 44:14 hill 15:1,9,17 historically 56:5 history 100:18 hit 20:14 93:5 115:3 hold 3:17 30:3,14 holding 118:5,18 hole 47:8 homicide 45:14 48:7 53:13

homicides 30:5 Hon 1:10,13,16 honor 3:16 **honorable** 110:5,6 hope 98:19 hopefully 47:7 53:19 hospital 89:8 hosted 107:2 hosting 3:15 house 107:2 housekeeping 5:10 human 100:9 Hunter 106:12 hurdles 89:3 hysteria 95:1 96:14 100:4 L idea 28:1 60:13 63:5 79:20 ideally 58:6 92:18 identified 91:4 identifies 57:16 identifying 59:18 ignored 107:11 **III** 102:3 illustrating 112:9 images 73:2 imagine 88:13 104:1 immediately 113:7 impact 15:15,16 36:11 36:18 62:9 63:16 82:18 83:13 84:13 impactful 20:15 impacts 90:19 impeached 96:10 implausible 15:3 implementing 19:3 implied 65:5 implies 16:5 18:22 **implore** 100:17 imply 51:22 61:20 importance 86:14 important 11:16 19:9 19:19 78:5 82:7 101:1 117:1 impressive 59:3 improved 87:16 in-person 3:21 inaction 100:12 inadmissible 103:12 inappropriate 107:7 inappropriately 106:22 107:4 108:16 incarcerated 30:2,20 incarceration 58:3,4 incentives 110:14 incest 29:1

incident 78:17,19 79:15 103:8 include 28:22 32:2,3 78:8 113:22 included 15:7 25:3,4 32:5 93:12 106:18 includes 62:2,7 including 22:21 26:21 83:2 inclusion 98:9 income 29:7,18 incongruous 15:6 inconsistency 20:5 85:12 Inconsistent 19:12 inconvenient 107:11 incorporated 23:13 incorporating 66:17 increases 115:2 independent 117:10 indicated 88:22 indication 93:5 individual 108:12 individuals 5:4 29:6 88:2 ineffective 105:4 inexperienced 99:7 infected 95:1 influence 97:3 98:11 104:2 inform 87:5 information 33:18 48:6 48:16 49:2,22 59:7,13 60:4,6 61:7,11 62:2,8 62:16 63:14.16.22 64:3 65:3,12 68:18,22 70:11,14 72:12 75:15 83:4,21 84:18 85:4,6 85:11 86:4 89:13,21 90:2 91:9 118:12 informed 37:2 60:14 94:10 103:9 initial 9:13 63:4 84:8 initiated 51:5 102:17 injustice 100:12 innocence 100:14 105:8 innocent 95:11 113:5 input 27:15 32:10 36:12 37:3 **inside** 103:4 installation 47:6 installations 34:18 38:21 instance 46:19 61:13 63:8 68:2 69:10 70:22 71:5 instances 51:16,20

institution 108:22 institutional 99:13 instructions 5:16 insufficiency 109:9 insurance 63:6 115:13 integrity 64:12 96:9 100:15 105:8 117:11 intelligent 99:20 interact 118:16 interaction 49:19 55:8 119:8 interactions 42:1 59:10 66:11 70:6,9 102:7 interest 4:22 76:11 interested 55:9 57:22 70:16 76:14 86:17 89:19 94:6 interesting 53:8 67:22 73:14,21 85:13 interim 119:7 interplay 46:12 intersect 91:4 interview 70:8 interviewed 48:11 interviews 113:18 introduce 26:13 investigate 48:18 51:13 investigated 33:4 43:18 59:11 93:1 96:6 112:22 investigating 35:12,13 investigation 1:1 3:11 4:7 32:12,14 40:9 50:13 51:6,7 64:15 107:12,14 114:20 investigations 108:1 investigative 49:3 investigators 47:16 50:2,5 60:16 103:13 invite 45:10 75:21 involve 32:4 34:21 40:2 involved 28:21 31:5 36:2 43:15 49:8 involving 4:9 26:21 88:14 96:18 IO 96:2 **IPAD** 7:12 8:22 14:14 18:4 93:10 Iraq 112:16 ironing 86:8 **issue** 16:3 47:19 69:14 69:21 70:2 78:14,15 79:6,8,18 81:2 82:17 88:1,9,9 90:15,20,21 101:11 issues 4:21 26:9 32:17 49:20 50:21 54:5 65:1 65:16 76:13 84:21

86:2 91:4,5,11 92:20 119:9 items 5:11 J **JAG** 44:2 48:1 52:12 95:12 98:5 iail 57:15 James 1:18 Jennifer 1:17,17 join 117:13 joined 4:14 27:4 joining 5:11 6:5 25:10 75:21 Joseph 100:19 judge 3:4 9:4 12:5 18:9 38:19 48:21 74:18 86:7 99:2 113:11 judges 85:3,17 98:22 judicial 27:4 84:3 99:16 judicious 38:8 iump 43:7 jumped 99:8 **June** 7:15 119:2,17 jurisdiction 34:14 35:5 36:7 37:16 57:1 jurisdictional 50:21 jurisdictionally 35:8 jurisdictions 51:14 iuror 97:22 jurors 53:13,15 54:19 jury 34:2 53:9,10 104:1 108:16 111:6,6,9,11 111:12 113:19,22 116:6,15 117:8 justice 4:11 8:4 10:7,9 10:17 43:4 44:20 90:16 92:22 94:8,21 100:3,7 101:9 110:8,8 111:3,4 113:2 justification 100:11 juvenile 32:3 Κ Karla 1:11,13 Kate 2:4 keep 12:8 67:13 78:5 81:2 Kelson 27:2 29:8 72:22 kick 26:4 kid's 105:13 kind 17:5 19:16 20:10 28:13 31:3,20 32:7,11 32:15 34:6,17 35:16 36:5,20 38:11,21 39:14.19 40:14.16

44:9.12 45:12.22 47:13 49:1,2,3,6,8,22 50:14 51:1,11 54:15 55:9 56:13 57:7 59:14 60:13 61:4,8,9 62:4 63:12 69:11 72:3,6,20 73:9 79:22 80:6,20 84:13 90:10 108:7 kinds 29:10 know 11:2,19 12:2 13:15,21 15:14 16:5,6 16:7 19:9,13,17 20:6 20:14 21:21 27:21,22 27:22 31:16 33:15 35:14,16,17 36:7,17 37:4,20,21 41:18,22 42:7,8,19,19 43:2,5,6 43:8 46:16 47:1 49:2 49:5,10 50:4 51:3,3,4 52:3 53:10,21 54:3,15 54:22 55:10,10,14 61:13 68:8 71:10,15 75:19 76:15 81:12 82:19 83:12 85:13 86:18,22 96:13 97:10 **knowing** 44:11,12,22 87:9 knows 89:22 114:4 Kramer 1:16 9:21,22 10:2 74:17 н lack 19:1 77:7 82:4 98:18 113:1 ladies 102:7,10,10,12 Lance 111:10 lane 35:5 language 8:7,19 9:3 11:17 12:7 14:22 15:2 15:8 16:9 18:8,17 22:11,20 23:10 24:2,4 24:8,10,13 25:2,3 large 70:9 89:8 largely 44:11 50:19 larger 43:11 66:21 largest 26:18 lasted 95:13 late 10:3 Laughter 13:3 24:21 25:15,19,21 launched 107:12 law 4:12 15:5,18 32:9 32:16 33:17 39:21 40:7 41:1 50:18 59:12 63:5 67:1 68:10,11,13 69:15,18,22 70:6 73:1 73:16 95:16 99:19 100:18 110:16 118:16

lawmaker 15:16 lawsuit 63:21 lawyer 57:21 lawyers 48:1 52:12 67:5 67:9,12,13 99:8 109:9 layered 107:14 lead 6:9 leadership 3:19 learn 42:5,9 learning 56:4 leave 16:17 54:14,21 leaving 52:13 109:3 led 106:16 117:11 Lee 112:7 left 97:19 legal 32:15 64:10 109:4 109:8,17 legislative 15:19 Let's 112:13 letter 4:17 6:10,14,22 7:14 9:19 10:11 13:19 18:3 20:14 21:7 22:9 22:19 23:5,11 25:4 letters 110:20 level 32:11 46:5 49:18 57:8 114:8 liar 95:22 Liberty 111:11 Libretto 2:3 lie 96:10 102:21 103:11 114:3 Lieber's 100:19 lied 95:15 lies 117:7 lieutenant 109:20 life 97:4 110:1 112:13 117:1 light 79:8 109:1 110:9 116:22 likelihood 78:20 limit 58:2 60:21 78:9 106:9 limitations 64:2,7 limited 38:9 62:17 68:4 68:7,12,12,15 69:17 71:10,19 limits 69:7 line 5:15 7:2,16 21:9 24:12 90:7 98:22 lines 12:19 13:21 18:18 50:17 listening 94:7 litigants 85:17 litigate 54:8 68:12 litigated 70:3 71:11 litigating 65:1,8 73:7 litigation 67:2 83:10,12 little 19:1 21:13,17 33:9

127

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

41:7,15,16,20 42:1,8

42:9,16,17 43:20 44:8

39:5 45:13 46:16 83:12 84:4 91:10 live 105:12 lives 59:4 105:13,15 Livingston 112:8 loaded 115:6 local 38:19 112:22 118:15 locally 28:9 51:6 location 118:14,18 locations 51:8,17 lodging 38:5 long 1:17 35:10 47:5 48:7 49:8 53:16 longer 8:4 47:22 look 51:2 77:15 83:1 84:18 91:1 98:4,8 99:10 118:8 looked 56:7 73:9 101:11 looking 33:13 63:21 70:16 83:13 looks 84:1 loop 23:8 loosey-goosey 19:2 losing 21:1 64:1 94:14 **loss** 94:16 96:14 lost 116:19,20 lot 29:22 30:5,12 38:18 45:5 47:22 52:14 58:7 65:1,11 70:22 73:11 73:11.19 88:11 89:3 lots 58:21 Louisiana 116:7 love 116:2 low 29:13 59:1 lunch 78:12 lunchtime 78:8 lying 96:3 Μ Ma'am 56:15 madness 101:10 Magers 2:5 maintain 61:12 maintained 100:14 maintaining 64:11 Major 49:13 majority 15:21 making 76:1 80:8 93:8 94:3 111:22

manual 8:4,9,10 10:7,9 10:17 **MARCH** 1:6 Marcia 1:13 Margaret 1:15 Marine 102:4,5,15 103:3 104:20,22 105:16 106:13,22 108:22 109:15 Marines 103:21 109:3 mark 93:5 married 112:14 marry 114:6 Martha 1:14 martial 30:12 53:6 56:12 95:20 material 60:10 62:13 64:18 69:8 70:1,17,21 72:7 materials 6:13 36:8 matter 25:22 75:5 77:14 91:19 119:20 matters 29:9 96:6 maximum 108:20 MCM 9:6 mean 14:21 16:10 48:20 64:19 65:20 73:22 89:9 meaningful 98:19 means 21:8 105:11,17 114:13 mechanism 35:1 medals 114:8,14 media 59:16,19 60:1 108:8 medical 46:15,21 108:4 medication 79:4 meet 33:22 66:5 meeting 1:4 3:4,10,15 3:17,21 4:15,16 5:5,9 5:17,21 6:13 76:2,2,5 76:6 77:3 117:20,20 118:4,11,14,18,22 119:2,6,18 Meg 66:19 81:7 82:15 Meghan 1:19 14:20 16:1 26:5 75:17,18,20 81:5 92:9,11 Mellette 83:3,14,18 85:4 Mellette's 82:18 member 9:14 27:1 53:19 74:16 92:11 97:15 103:22 111:8 members 3:8 4:1,9 6:3 6:5,8,17 11:17 13:11 15:2 25:1 26:5 28:4 29:14 30:3 34:14,20

52:11 74:14.21.22 75:11,12 92:4,5,10,12 93:10 94:5 106:11,17 111:7,13,15 118:17 memorizing 66:3 memory 66:9,18 men 99:19 100:13,22 101:7 105:15 menacings 57:12 mental 48:12 61:14 65:2 78:16,18 79:21 86:5 90:11,14,15,17 mess 104:16,17 messages 60:8 61:3 68:20 74:4 104:15 met 1:9 Mexican 103:17 **MG** 1:13 Michael 2:3 micro 84:5 microphone 5:12 112:3 middle 9:13 military 4:11,12 8:2 20:10 26:10 29:14,14 29:20 30:3,7,13,21 31:8 34:14.18 35:9.12 36:16 37:11.15 39:9 39:15 40:10,15 41:14 42:5,13,14 43:17 44:4 44:9 45:2,15,17,20 46:6,9 47:2,3,3,6,15 47:15,16 50:21 52:1,4 52:8,11,13 53:5,22 54:2,7,16 55:7,11,15 57:16,17,18 67:18 81:15 86:7 88:1,7 89:2,2 90:16,19 92:22 94:21 95:2 97:4 98:21 99:5,6,7,11,22 100:18 102:21 103:20,21 104:10 105:9,14 106:15,17 110:8 111:3,8 112:11 113:2 115:20 117:12,14 118:16 military's 35:4 42:2 43:1,4 98:5 mind 20:22 43:7 48:5 52:22 78:6 mindful 20:10 minds 24:1 mine 106:18 minor 9:12 minute 93:5 94:1 101:22 minutes 5:15 25:17 75:2,14,22 89:15 91:17 93:2,6 106:9

112:9 misconduct 4:9 78:22 96:19 misdemeanors 29:9 57:2 missed 103:3 **MOAs** 89:8 mobile 37:15 model 105:19 modern 99:22 moment 74:13 84:9,15 92:6 Monday 116:2 monitoring 30:17 Montalvo 101:19,20 102:2,3 105:20,21 month 109:22 monthly 49:17 months 47:11 108:1 morally 100:21 morning 3:3,7 27:18 29:4 76:22 motion 87:9 motions 80:10 86:16 motive 65:13 motto 105:16 Mountain 66:22 move 5:15 37:22 38:1 56:11 74:10 119:10 moved 38:2 moving 8:12 12:8 83:15 multiple 34:17 51:8,13 51:17 103:1 107:18 114:1.15 multiple-deployment 95:10 murder 26:22 48:9 **mute** 5:12

Ν

N 1:11,13 naked 70:22 116:2 Nalini 2:3 name 93:22 102:3 112:5 115:13 naps 103:8 national 4:4 119:3 nature 31:11 71:10 navigators 59:2 Navy 109:20 110:21 Navy's 109:5 NCIS 107:8,11 **NDAA** 114:10 near 82:20 119:4 nearly 99:1 109:5 necessarily 27:22 30:13 32:11 65:17 73:19

male 108:6 112:12,13

112:17 117:15

man 95:11 97:12

males 103:19

mandate 4:6

mandated 62:1

manipulated 94:21

necessary 18:19 19:2 20:2 38:7 need 49:20 50:6 60:20 63:5,6,15,22 64:3,10 72:1 77:15 85:13 91:9 96:16 97:6 117:10 119:9 needed 99:5 needs 83:18 97:5 111:2 111:6,8,12 negotiations 62:11 neither 107:6 never 36:14 96:9 114:18 116:13 new 9:4 15:5 17:19 18:8 18:10 21:6 47:20 57:2 87:16 104:19,20 nexus 99:5 nice 81:8 **night** 79:15 102:4 nine 6:3 74:14 75:11 92:12 94:17 104:6 108:14 non-affiliated 88:15 non-military 39:10 **nonprofit** 67:1.21 normally 59:9 North 96:5 note 6:2 7:8 74:13,15 75:11 79:11 84:2,5 88:17,18 90:22 92:3 92:17 118:4.22 noted 78:14 89:14 noticeable 80:9 **noticed** 103:4 notices 86:17 notified 106:19 notify 80:14,15 number 27:20 28:1,7,12 28:12,14,16 29:13,20 32:5 34:19 58:3 87:21 numbering 28:9 nurse 97:16 0 O'Connor 1:17 13:18 14:6,8,18 16:15 19:6 19:14 84:19,20 86:9 **O'Riley** 26:13,19 29:4 45:5,12 47:18 52:2,9 53:7 54:14 56:20 64:13,17,22 67:11 71:18 72:9,19 **O-4** 77:11 **O-5** 77:11 oath 117:7 objection 22:4 obligation 87:3

obligations 62:12 oblivious 99:21 observations 39:5 45:11 obtaining 59:6 **obvious** 98:2 obviously 22:1 41:4 45:6 73:2,10 77:8 89:9 occur 5:14 114:21 occurred 51:9 **October** 7:22 **OER** 114:19 Off-microphone 13:9 14:5 24:6 off-site 118:5,14 offended 54:16 offender 105:10 offense 28:2 29:3 offenses 26:21 28:21 28:22 29:2 31:18 40:6 offer 11:16 26:8 43:10 45:11 offered 96:3 98:4 offering 79:16 95:21 office 4:19.20 26:17.18 27:5 28:3.8 29:5.12 29:19 32:1 33:6 40:18 43:13,18 45:22 46:11 46:13 49:14,15 50:17 52:13,14 63:11 64:14 96:20 office's 59:6 officer 2:2 98:10 115:1 Officer-3 112:7 officers 36:1 44:2 97:9 98:22 offices 27:21 49:6 96:6 98:5 offices' 41:2 **oh** 11:5 17:11 24:12 okay 24:16 74:20 75:10 77:16 78:13 81:2 84:17 90:5 93:21 101:18,21 102:2 106:8 old 20:20 99:18 once 5:6 41:2 47:8 61:9 69:22 70:18 73:21 89:22 96:10 one-sentence 9:10 ones 6:20 29:2 59:17 online 74:17 84:22 101:16 106:2 open 3:4 12:10 29:10 45:20 61:17,19 62:15 65:10,15 66:14 76:12 88:1

opens 64:22 operate 56:17 operating 15:4,4 operational 15:6 opinions 98:7 **opportunity** 3:16 10:12 21:10 22:16 23:16 53:14 opposed 13:12 23:2.5 74:20 113:6 opposition 23:3 oral 102:17 104:3,7 115:6 order 59:19 63:8 71:11 83:17 110:5 ordered 104:20 orders 71:6 72:3 organizing 119:17 original 97:14 108:3,14 **OSI** 50:3,12 **OSTC** 77:8,17 79:5 87:18 88:11.22 ought 43:10 outcome 55:10 outlined 9:5 outrageous 80:11 outside 69:9 76:5 97:21 overall 15:16 overlapping 31:4 overslept 103:2 overturn 115:10 Ρ P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 page 7:19 10:4 paid 109:20 pain 100:6 pales 94:16 panel 4:18 5:6 26:5,6 36:10 76:18 78:2,10 79:4,5 97:12,15 98:14 99:16 103:19 panels 97:4 98:10 par 8:2 10:6,15 paragraph 7:8,19 8:12 8:17,21 9:9,10 10:4 10:19 12:14 13:22 17:6 18:5 19:15,17,22 20:17 paralegals 86:15 parameters 76:5 parent 46:22 parents 115:15 parity 77:7 88:12 parroting 98:7 part 59:2 62:5 67:14 70:7 107:7

PARTICIPANT 22:6 24:2 participate 75:21 participation 89:22 particular 70:17 particularly 31:17 parties 86:17 partly 88:2 party 107:2 Paso 4:18 25:11 27:2 pass 51:1 passed 102:14,18 103:10 pathways 41:20 Paul 1:16 pay 109:16 Payton-O'Brien 93:17 93:18 peer 53:20 59:1 peers 111:7 114:17 penalties 110:17 pending 69:13 penultimate 10:19 13:22 17:6 18:5 19:21 people 19:3 20:11 22:5 34:21 36:9 38:1.2.22 46:11 47:4 53:11 54:16 64:8 70:22 79:3 79:4 people's 59:4 percent 111:14 percentage 32:20 perception 77:13 78:1 perfect 94:8,13 98:11 100:8 period 92:13 111:12 permitting 5:7 97:1 perpetrator 71:4 person 57:21 58:13,16 74:15 92:4,10,17,17 111:21,22 119:1 personally 52:16,22 personnel 99:6 persons 92:14 93:8 perspective 39:8 52:10 53:17 65:9,12 78:2,5 perspectives 4:21 26:9 54:10,15 Pete 2:1 5:8,21,22 Peters 6:8 26:5,6 72:9 74:6 75:8,17,20 77:2 77:16 78:11 81:1 83:21 84:17 88:16,19 89:14 90:5 91:2 phone 59:8 60:2,7,11 61:1 68:2,18 69:3 70:11,13,22 72:12,15 72:18,18 73:6 74:3

	I.	I	1
phones 71:1 104:11	82:18 83:5,8,22 85:15	process 33:10 39:19	32:14 38:5 59:21
108:9	98:15	41:8,19 42:12,15 44:8	68:16 70:19 73:1
phonetic 111:10	practitioners 4:18	44:18 48:17 58:1,9	106:6
photographic 113:9	18:13 26:10 118:12	60:15,16 70:12 97:8	provided 32:5 33:19
photos 60:8 61:2 68:20	118:16	97:10 109:19	60:22 71:13 81:19
71:1	prank 107:19	product 22:16 62:18	88:7 90:17 95:5
phrasing 83:7	pre-trial 80:9 107:22	productive 91:6	provider 60:3
physically 6:4	prefer 13:4,12,13 52:4,7	productivity 58:6	providing 60:9 101:15
picked 48:9	53:4 54:12	Professional 62:6	111:20
picking 77:21	prejudice 109:8	professionalism 114:9	proving 113:10
picture 73:3 116:3	preoccupation 106:14	professor 99:19	provisions 67:7
pictures 61:3 68:21	prepared 26:8 50:9	program 58:22 114:20	proximity 98:1
piece 65:20 69:4 80:16	82:2 106:6 117:21		Prozac 79:13
87:13		progresses 46:7	
	present 1:12 2:1,6 6:4	prohibitive 38:10	psychosis 79:2 PTSD 58:17
pieces 68:18 74:3,5	74:22 75:12,12 80:17	project 5:2 105:8	
place 16:17 51:17 71:5	presented 70:2	projects 6:15 76:10	public 1:4 3:4,9,15 4:19
72:3 80:15 85:17	presenters 4:1 85:3	promised 110:3	5:3 26:14,17,18 29:5
plainly 79:12	99:18	promotable 112:7	57:20 64:6 76:2,2,5,6
played 95:9	presently 9:5 18:9	promote 114:16	77:3 78:11 82:5,7,13
plea 62:10	preservation 59:19	promotion 106:20	89:17,20 91:16 92:1
please 5:12 12:15,20	preserved 93:12	promotions 96:14	92:13,14,18,20 93:15
13:14 22:20 23:3 56:6	presiding 1:11	proof 94:20	94:1 106:6 111:20,21
74:19 76:20 99:17	press 96:15	proportion 31:18	111:22 117:19 118:4
101:5,10,21 106:8,10	pressure 105:13,15	proposal 8:10,16 11:3,8	119:1,18
114:2	presume 22:1	11:9 12:10,19 14:11	pull 28:6,17 53:11,13
pleasure 26:12	pretend 112:13	14:12	pulled 115:5
plug 69:3 87:10	pretty 33:2,2 47:5 53:16	proposals 21:6	punched 115:3
point 9:11 16:2,11	53:18 63:20 80:11	propose 10:22 17:4	punished 110:22
40:12 43:14 56:3	90:4	proposed 6:22 7:11,17	punishment 110:3
63:12 77:22,22 82:10	prevalent 103:21	7:20 9:8 13:2 22:13	pure 55:20
87:12 93:7 102:9	prevented 87:8	23:12	purely 72:6
112:14 114:2 118:2	previous 99:17	proposing 110:16	purpose 99:12
points 49:10	pride 55:1	prosecute 52:15 110:14	purposes 71:13
police 50:16 63:7 64:15	primary 112:18	prosecuted 34:13	pursue 44:3 64:10 78:4
66:2,7 71:21	principles 8:3 10:6,16	36:13 46:20 52:7 53:5	put 16:11 17:15,17
policy 5:2 12:1,4 16:10	prior 5:4 78:17,18	93:1	19:22 30:14 41:16
17:22 92:20	107:17	prosecuting 26:11 36:2	42:22 58:16 71:5
polite 47:18 49:1	prison 94:17 104:6	prosecution 1:1 3:11	72:16 74:5 79:12
political 105:13	109:16 110:11 116:12	4:7 7:6 8:2,3 10:5,7	87:10 90:6 110:7
politicians 96:15	privacy 61:12,15 84:14	10:16 16:21 23:13	puts 30:18,22
politics 95:1 100:4	90:19	31:17,19 35:4 51:14	putting 19:21 21:1
polygraphs 103:11	private 57:21 114:22	56:2 65:16 96:17,20	59:19 80:21
pool 111:9	privilege 46:17 65:5	97:16 99:2,9	puzzle 74:5
pools 53:10	privileged 65:3	prosecutions 46:2	-
pornography 71:20	probable 23:22 64:4	88:10,14 106:16	Q
108:7,10	probably 18:15 21:20	110:13	qualified 30:2
portion 117:19	23:21 24:7 28:14	prosecutor 27:3	qualify 29:15
portions 68:4	58:11 65:8,10 80:22	prosecutorial 96:19	quantified 34:7
posed 90:8	97:17 119:8	prosecutors 79:5 87:14	quantify 33:10
positive 102:8	probation 116:16	protect 31:8 61:15	queried 45:22
possibility 89:7	problem 52:1 83:18	108:21 117:4,4	question 14:19 27:16
possible 22:3	problematic 69:5	protection 71:11 72:3	31:12 33:15 34:4
post-conviction 27:11	problems 64:20	protective 61:10 71:6	38:12 39:3 42:19,22
posted 5:19	Procedure 61:22	96:6	43:11 50:2 53:8 55:6
potential 79:19 81:8	procedures 86:3,8	prove 33:14 35:20	55:6 56:16 66:20,21
potentially 68:3	proceed 118:2	113:5	66:22 67:15,17 68:1
practice 15:20 17:3	proceeded 56:14	proven 113:6	78:16 88:4 90:8
26:7 65:10 81:13	Proceedings 99:16	provide 4:21 27:14	115:15
I			

questionnaires 53:15 questions 8:7 9:17 10:12 23:17 25:1 32:14 36:9 43:8 49:11 85:8 quick 20:18 30:21 41:22 47:12 49:1 56:15 66:20,22 quickly 31:1 47:2 quiet 15:21 quite 21:16 43:6 85:8,9 117:22 **quorum** 6:3 75:19,19 quote 8:13 95:22 R **R** 1:13,18 race 100:4 racial 103:20 radar 81:2 raise 6:18 12:16,20 22:20 raised 8:7 16:4 Ramos 116:6 random 111:9 randomized 97:7 rang 82:5 range 57:5 rank 98:12 ranking 97:15 ranks 112:15 rape 97:17 107:18 108:13 114:12 raped 107:21 112:21 115:22 raping 107:8 rare 63:20 109:7 rate 59:1 rated 97:20 rates 89:22 **RCM** 8:13 113:15 reach 36:4 49:11 67:10 111:13 reached 48:20 reaches 97:8 reaching 50:15,22 read 7:11,21 10:15 14:13 17:5 18:6 66:8 66:16 95:11 readily 95:6 reading 66:3 reads 7:21 17:13 ready 26:4 75:3 91:15 91:22 93:22 real 47:12 71:19 82:9 reality 77:13,14 78:1 79:22 100:11 really 15:15 30:11 36:5

38:17.20 42:20 44:11 44:12,22 45:7 46:10 48:16,18 49:18 53:7 53:14 54:14 55:7 58:5 58:9,20,22 59:3 66:22 68:6 71:11 73:22 76:14,19 80:14,19 81:8,14 82:13 87:1 90:3.4 91:2 realm 51:6 reason 18:21 24:17 reasonable 33:14 reassigned 38:16 recall 55:19 88:20 receipt 80:9 receive 5:3 72:13 received 6:16 75:15 118:11 recidivism 59:1 recognize 3:18 recollections 79:17 recommend 25:8,9 100:19 recommendation 7:9 13:19 15:15 19:20 recommendations 97:14 98:4 99:15 recommended 7:12 95:19 recommending 80:7 recommends 8:22 9:2 12:15 14:2.9.14 17:8 17:14 18:6 reconsider 94:11 record 6:2 12:22 22:9 22:15 26:1 74:14 75:6 84:7 91:12,20 92:3 119:21 recorded 5:17 23:2 70:6 113:18 records 46:15,22 47:6 49:20 60:2 61:14 73:4 79:21 86:5 88:1 89:2 93:12 recovered 108:8 red 7:1,16 21:9 24:12 redacted 85:19,19 redrafted 23:6 reducing 78:20 refer 32:16 57:19 referral 8:8 9:4 18:8 90:11 referred 31:17 43:21 96:11 reflect 13:1 93:9 reflected 8:10 reflecting 78:15 79:7 reflects 15:20

regard 50:2 regarding 7:5 15:13 16:20 50:8 regardless 36:3 63:9 region 119:3 registry 105:10 regs 19:3 regulation 24:14 regulations 24:10 regulatory 7:4 14:16 16:20 20:4 rehash 95:4 rejected 105:6 related 64:18 78:21 92:21 relations 103:1 relationship 32:20 87:14 113:11 relationships 38:19 relatively 29:13 53:21 **release** 89:20 released 63:14 72:2 109:10.14 releases 46:14 releasing 63:16 relevant 46:15 59:7 62:9 77:16 reliable 79:16 rely 80:12 remain 18:3 76:5 98:2 remains 11:16 **remanded** 107:22 **remarks** 93:7 **remedy** 7:11 remember 66:17 82:11 114:2remote 81:5 remove 98:14 removed 7:20 34:22 repeatedly 107:3 replace 98:22 replaced 97:7 report 7:16 33:16 57:17 63:4,7 64:16 66:2,8 99:16 reported 33:4 40:1 reporting 80:2 reports 50:6,9 62:2 66:12 represent 29:6,13 105:9 representation 88:8 representative 58:15 representatives 4:14 117:2 represented 26:19 62:22 67:7 105:7 representing 67:21

reputation 116:20 request 37:7 63:11 72:1 83:3,6 86:5 requests 83:2 require 63:7 required 36:22 requirement 62:7 83:10 87:2 reauires 19:4 21:15 117:9 research 33:1 residence 114:22 resistance 99:14 resistant 60:12 resonates 91:7 resources 38:9 58:21 **respect** 80:2,3,5 81:10 99:20 **response** 12:21 23:4 responsibility 87:3 responsible 15:19 96:20 rest 9:6 14:15 20:12,13 74:2 109:22 restored 109:15 restraining 63:8 restricted 105:11 restrictions 30:17 result 7:22 16:9 resulting 90:16 results 45:21 89:3 94:22 resumed 26:1 75:6 91:20 retain 74:14 retired 106:12 retirement 35:2 109:19 109:20 return 19:15 119:11 returned 102:12 revealed 108:5 revenge 107:20 reverse 31:21 41:16 review 5:2 23:16 91:12 100:13 reviewed 43:19 **reviews** 114:15 revised 7:16 8:1 21:8 22:9.19 23:13 revisions 23:10 revisit 100:17 **rewrite** 12:12 13:12,14 rewriting 12:18 Richard 112:7 **right** 7:3 9:1 13:20 14:3 14:4,22 15:8,12 16:4 16:18 17:8,14 18:4,22 18:22 20:5 21:18

24:15 25:12 43:11 46:3 57:3 63:3 64:10 74:7 75:4,8 82:1,11 83:19 86:1 88:16 89:14 91:17,22 100:7 100:21 104:12 107:4 116:5 117:8 119:14 rights 36:19,20 40:2 63:2 67:2,6,20 84:14 ring 20:21 road 50:14 91:1 **rob** 115:20 robust 86:13 Rocky 66:22 role 52:18 87:1 roles 27:6 45:6 room 6:4 48:14 70:8 92:4 102:16 103:4 **Rosen** 99:19 round 22:4 route 37:13 41:15 52:13 routinely 66:5 Rule 61:21 ruled 99:4 rules 54:3 61:22 62:6 ruling 94:12 rulinas 84:3 run 49:20 50:13 S safe 117:13 Samantha 112:1,5 sat 107:4 Saturday 116:1 Saunders 2:4 86:6 Save 100:19

saying 18:17,21 19:2

115:10 116:2

scheduled 119:1

scheduling 44:12

schizophrenia 99:11

Schroeder 112:1,1,4,5

Schwenk 1:18 62:21

64:13,19 66:19

search 69:11 73:4,9

scope 69:9 86:3

screened 57:22 scrutiny 107:15

screen 12:3,3

se 93:10

Schenk 99:18

Schlueter 99:18

117:17

114:11

scary 90:17 scenario 72:14

21:15 66:14,15 114:4

says 10:5,20 13:20 20:2

second 7:19 8:18 19:15 19:17 48:10,19 56:1 56:11 82:4 84:2 107:12,19 secondary 28:14 58:5 67:12 seconds 101:5 secretary 4:3,6 7:22 109:19 110:21 section 10:19 see 7:1,18 8:11 9:15 21:9 22:16 23:9 30:8 30:21 31:7 32:17 45:21 46:6 56:13 58:13 70:15 71:8,17 72:20 83:6 86:20 92:9 92:16 93:14 102:13 102:14 103:18 118:8 119:17 seeing 72:12,16 91:3,5 seeking 95:16 seen 23:16 25:3 45:16 54:19 67:12 71:19 113:7 Seinfeld 20:20 selected 111:10 113:20 selection 97:4,7 98:15 111:9 self-defense 115:11 semper 105:16 111:18 send 5:15 116:1 sending 107:19 senior 76:7 111:7 senior-rated 97:20 sense 17:19 20:7 27:20 30:10 71:12 86:10 sensitive 70:21 sent 23:6 97:13 111:11 sentence 6:22 7:10,21 8:17,18,20,21 9:6 10:5,14,20 11:9 12:13 12:19 13:8,12,13,14 13:17,19 14:13 16:17 16:22 17:6,7,9,19 18:3,10,20 19:8,18 20:1 108:19,20 109:1 116:11 sentenced 104:5 sentences 58:8 separate 19:16 72:14 76:4 separately 47:13 72:13 Separation 111:1 serious 26:21 30:5 46:4 53:12 serve 4:13 served 27:5 48:12 **service** 4:13 84:1 86:22

90:9.17 96:6 110:7 servicemember 43:15 77:10 112:16 servicemembers 12:5 26:12,20,20 32:4 38:12 48:15 53:9 54:18 56:19 95:3 98:10 servicemen 112:9 117:4 services 29:15 services' 4:12 servicewomen 117:5 serving 34:14 session 6:6 25:8 27:17 74:15 75:1,3,9,13 76:18 77:3 81:9 sessions 76:3 78:12 set 52:22 109:7 setting 52:4,5 70:8 seven 27:7 110:9 sex 28:2 29:3 30:6 31:18 46:5 53:12 57:10 102:17 104:4.7 104:9 105:10 sexual 1:1 3:11 4:8.8 26:22 27:8,9 28:21,22 29:1 40:4,6 72:7 78:21 90:21 92:21 96:13 103:1.10 106:15 108:4,17 109:7 sexually 102:19 shame 113:13 **shaped** 100:8 share 26:11 39:6 64:18 65:22 71:8 shared 90:10 sharing 24:20 117:18 **SHARP** 114:19 **sheriff** 112:22 sheriff's 50:17 **shift** 39:4 shooting 109:2 **short** 9:9 75:2 95:7 shortages 86:19 shorter 9:9 show 61:2 66:7 68:20 shown 113:19 shred 108:2 **side** 30:9,15 40:10 42:13 45:9,15,16,19 45:20 46:21 47:2 49:3 50:19 51:22 53:12,22 54:4,6,11 55:16 64:21 67:20 69:7 73:13 110:11 sided 53:1

sides 101:11 sign 109:11,13 115:13 signaled 108:20 signed 115:16 significance 78:3 significant 79:8 88:3 89:4 118:12 significantly 78:20 Silver 1:9 similar 42:4 49:4 108:17 simply 12:12 100:1 simultaneous 16:12 Sinclair 96:18 single 45:14 113:16 **sir** 101:5,20 105:21 106:11 sit 48:14 111:11 site 76:4 118:6,7 **sitting** 15:17 situation 51:4,19 61:6 situations 30:12,20 33:21 64:2 68:19 **six** 108:12 Sixth 71:12 sleep 103:2 sleeping 102:18 103:5 104:8 **slog** 20:12,13 smart 21:2 Smith 1:11,13 3:4,6 6:1 18:14 19:8,12 25:6,12 25:16 26:3 27:16,18 34:11 47:12 55:4 59:5 61:17 74:7,10,12 75:4 75:8 79:19 90:5 91:13 91:15,22 92:2 119:14 smoothest 41:3 Snapchat 73:22 snapshots 72:22 social 59:16,19 60:1 100:4 soldier 114:11 soldiers 117:9 Solely 78:11 solicit 110:20 **Solorio** 99:3 somebody 30:1,6 38:16 39:13 41:17 45:3 48:4 50:17 57:15,16 66:16 someone's 38:13 sons 117:13 sorry 9:21 11:7,14 24:12 42:18 75:17 82:21 92:8,9 sort 16:5 30:7 31:6,9 47:10 53:20 57:11,22 58:4 79:16 87:17

88:12 91:11 sound 79:5 sounds 13:6 16:13,16 81:14 88:9,10 space 84:15 speak 13:14 44:14 45:7 58:12 speakers 25:10 93:2 **speaking** 5:13 16:12 44:5 76:21,22 112:6 special 4:20 5:2 6:15 27:7 28:18 39:12,18 40:19 49:15 76:7.8 77:3,4 82:6 87:2 111:1 **specific** 34:4,5 37:6 43:8 48:21,22 76:10 76:13,18 80:8 84:1 94:22 specifically 38:12 52:1 96:16 specifications 116:9 specificity 83:8,9 specifics 84:19 91:10 spend 112:8 spite 96:11 **Spohn** 1:18 31:12,15 34:8 **spoke** 40:2 44:2 **spouse** 39:9 **Springs** 26:8,15,17 34:19 50:16 squeaky 108:5 stabilize 58:18 stack 113:22 **Stadium** 1:10,10 staff 3:19 6:2,7 21:5 27:8 88:12 119:16 staffing 86:19 stalking 57:9 stand 114:4 standard 8:8 31:16 83:3 83:6 standards 7:6 8:2 10:6 16:21 23:13 standing 84:8 standpoint 86:15 stare 99:4 start 8:18 27:19 28:9 31:20 74:5 started 26:4 56:21 57:2 75:10 79:6 83:14 91:16,18 92:1 104:7 starting 6:21 20:21 starts 19:15 40:9 state 23:3 26:18 30:8 30:15 37:9 45:15,19 46:7,20 53:11 54:11

56:22 67:21 105:6 stated 99:22 statement 62:3 66:16 statements 113:16 states 3:14 38:3 106:13 112:11 117:10 station 38:17 stations 37:18 statistically 33:1 statistics 28:18 31:21 status 30:21 31:8 46:1 51:4 63:10 65:2 statute 7:4 11:11 14:16 16:19 17:2 19:4 21:15 24:3,5 64:1 statutory 9:3 17:1 18:8 22:20 23:10 stay 14:15 stays 45:19,19 step 41:7 59:18 **stiff** 110:17 stood 49:16 stop 111:16 story 42:8 73:13,18 74:2 113:15 strain 86:21 stranger 71:3 strategically 37:13 street 110:11 strike 8:18 9:1 79:17 striking 14:22 strong 18:15,17 struck 76:13,16,19 77:6 84:20 85:1 87:13 structured 49:7 struggle 42:18 44:20 struggling 61:8 study 81:9 82:14 stuff 51:20 73:11,18 sub-tab 6:14 subcommittee 6:15 74:11 Subcommittees 5:3 subject 75:9 submit 66:12 submitted 31:22 32:21 33:6 35:19 41:9 98:9 subordinates 98:6,6 substantial 87:21 substantiate 108:2 substantive 100:20 successful 41:18 58:22 sucking 98:16 sudden 81:17 sufficient 23:22 24:8 33:5,16 68:7 69:2 suggest 10:3 32:17 75:1 103:13

suggested 13:5 suggestion 7:8 8:14 16:17 20:19 21:21 89:6.15 suggestions 21:6 50:8 Sullivan 2:2 3:3,6 119:18 summarize 117:21 super 73:7 81:21 super-quick 17:5 supervises 27:7 supervisory 27:6 supplemental 110:4 support 39:14 69:15 86:12 96:4 100:1 114:8,19 118:20 supported 3:20 113:14 suppose 25:14 Supreme 99:3 116:8 sure 15:17 16:10 18:2 22:12 29:5 34:10 35:6 37:2 39:16 41:6 43:9 49:12 51:20 55:13 56:20 67:10 81:5 90:18 surprised 103:18 suspect 43:16 51:10 69:5.6 suspects 108:6 Suzanne 1:15 16:2 SVC 88:7 switch 52:21 switching 52:16 system 36:16,17 37:11 43:4 52:8 53:5.6 61:21 62:15 67:18 69:7 86:14,21 87:16 92:22 94:8,13 100:1,8 110:8 113:2 systematic 87:17 systems 89:8 Т Tab 6:13 23:9.9 25:3 table 77:11 **TAD** 111:12 Tagert 2:4 taint 86:6 tainted 65:13 take 10:12 11:4,5 16:2 19:7,21 22:7 25:8,9 30:4,19 31:2 37:6,13 45:17 51:2,17 56:22 57:8,9,10 60:13 61:3 63:6 68:21 72:22 73:1 75:1,14 79:4 84:17 85:16 103:13 taken 27:14 55:11

79:13 takes 21:5 47:1,5 88:11 talk 33:9 36:4 38:21 39:17 44:6 45:1 58:12 60:9,20 63:15 85:1 114:17 talked 44:7,16 68:2 85:3 talking 29:8 43:20 46:4 46:18 50:18 52:11 58:20 59:13 61:13 tapping 58:20 teams 86:7 technical 5:13 technology 68:6 69:2 69:19 92:16 teeth 100:16 teleconference 5:15 tell 31:22 42:14 56:16 65:21 112:20 telling 50:12 104:18 ten 5:14 74:22 108:14 tend 39:20 60:5 tends 59:16 term 4:5 49:8 61:19 termination 30:21 terms 39:11 Terri 2:4 territory 105:7 test 103:13 testify 38:7 66:4,6 95:21 104:16,17 105:1 115:3,5 testimony 76:17 81:11 84:11 tests 103:11 **Texas** 96:5 text 60:8 61:2 68:20 74:4 textbook 106:14 thank 3:6,14 4:15 5:19 5:22 6:1,11 10:10,18 14:21 16:1 23:7,19 24:19 25:5 26:6 27:18 28:5 34:8 39:2 41:21 55:5,12 74:6,7,9 75:3 75:19,20,22 86:1 91:7 91:12,18 92:2 94:2 101:6,12,14 102:2 105:20 111:17,19 117:16,17 119:16 thankful 44:9 thankfully 38:18 thanks 81:7 82:14 92:11 112:4 theft 115:18 theory 56:2 Theresa 2:2

they'd 67:10
thing 17:12 19:7 20:10
38:13 41:3 59:3 72:4
73:22 82:22 85:21
things 32:2 42:5 43:7
43:10 44:19 46:14
50:19 57:10,13 60:2
64:16 65:7,14 68:5
73:12 79:2 81:22
84:14 86:6,18
think 10:8 14:22 17:18
17:18 18:14,16,17
19:7 20:15 21:4,12,15
22:4 24:9 25:6,13,17
28:3,13,15 29:8,19
30:7,13,19 32:20 33:1
35:19 36:9 37:8 40:5
40:12,16 42:16 43:12
43:13 44:18 45:12
49:13,15 52:6,9,19
53:4,17,22 56:21 61:6 61:8 64:17,22 65:9,15
61:8 64:17.22 65:9.15
67:9 69:6 71:12 72:19
77:14 78:4 79:10 81:9
81:19 82:5,6,12,20,22
83:4,18 84:5,7,22
85:11 87:1 88:3 89:5
89:5 90:7,19 91:3
112:12 118:6 119:7
112.12 110.0 119.7
-
thinking 23:20 24:20
88:5 94:18 117:16
thinks 20:2
third 82:10 107:14
thought 24:11,12 69:17
76:11 78:1 80:20
85:12 88:21
thoughts 37:5 110:7
117:22
thousand 28:17
thousands 32:5
three 6:5 23:1 43:13
47:10 74:21 81:8 85:7
85:10 92:15 95:13
103:11 107:1 108:1
112:21 114:6 116:9
threshold 29:7
throwing 50:7
thumbs 21:11,11
Thursday 58:10
tie 90:14
tied 62:3
ties 43:17
till 25:13
time 5:7 7:2 9:1 13:20
14:3,4,22 15:7,12
16:4,18 17:8 18:4,21
18:22 27:14,15 29:8
, -

29:11 37:22 44:21 45:16 46:10 47:5 48:3 48:7,20 57:1 68:8,9 71:19 74:1 75:15 77:1 82:9 86:18 88:11 89:17 95:7 101:13,18 104:14 109:16 110:11 111:16 113:16 116:19 117:16 timely 50:6,10 times 29:22 31:10 33:15 41:4 47:22 49:14 72:22 103:1 timing 11:10 title 49:12 today 3:9 4:15 5:20 6:4 6:16,19 26:7 33:12 91:13 92:15 94:7 95:4 119:9 today's 3:21 4:16 5:17 **Tokash** 1:19 14:20,21 16:13 55:4,5 74:16 75:17,18 81:6,7 86:1 92:8 told 69:21 94:9 103:6 103:12.14 tolerated 81:14 tomorrow 58:17 top 10:4 107:14 114:18 topic 76:18 87:9 topics 25:7 76:10 119:5 toss 78:7 total 6:6 28:1,7 32:6 74:22 92:12 touch 40:7 43:22 touched 47:14 107:3 108:15 touching 107:1 track 7:17 21:1 57:17 67:13 tracking 16:10 18:2 traditions 101:9 traffic 29:10 transcribed 5:18 93:11 transcript 5:18 22:10 transfer 41:14 transferred 37:18 transformative 8:15 10:21 11:17,20,22 transition 101:15 transparency 87:18 trauma 27:2 57:4 60:13 treated 79:3 treating 85:4 treatises 100:18 treatment 46:15 78:17 78:18 79:1 tremendous 3:16 80:20

trial 12:3 38:7 45:21 46:7 54:6 56:10 60:7 62:11 63:18 66:6 76:8 77:4 80:13 81:12,17 81:22 82:2 87:14 104:19,20 113:19 116:5,10 tried 105:4 tries 40:7 trigger 46:12 trillion 108:6 trouble 38:18 115:22 true 40:11 62:19 82:5 105:19 trustworthiness 114:9 truth 94:7 96:11 104:18 truthfulness 96:9 try 32:18 33:18 38:8 40:22 41:5,10,15,19 42:9,10 58:3 59:14 60:9,13,17 61:4,15 63:15 64:8 68:3 71:4 trying 28:15 58:5 61:6 61:12 68:16 89:11 turn 6:7 23:9 27:16 57:12 62:12.17 91:13 93:22 115:14.21 turned 83:11 85:20 108:1 115:17 turning 13:10 71:2 85:6 104:11 twisted 100:9 two 7:19 10:4 19:19 20:1 25:17 26:5,7 35:7 43:7 47:21 54:15 68:5 72:16 73:15 77:10,12 86:2 104:20 107:15 108:18 109:5 two-day 3:15 5:5 **type** 38:4 58:15 types 85:7 86:4 Typically 53:11 typo 9:13 U **U.S** 105:6 116:8 UCMJ 7:3,12 11:22 16:9 17:21 18:7 35:21 100:20 113:6 117:8 ultimately 95:13 umbrella 86:2 **unanimous** 111:13 116:6,15 117:8 uncomfortable 70:14 unconscious 102:20 **uncover** 107:13

understand 19:4 44:18 56:6 70:13 82:8 83:13 understanding 40:10 52:11 53:8 55:14,17 56:9 77:19 83:17 undertaking 77:18 underwear 107:10 unemployed 31:1 unfamiliar 98:17 unfortunately 34:16 55:14 99:21 unfounded 96:8 uniform 7:5 16:20 54:13 84:21 94:20 98:12 101:7 **unique** 27:11 84:15 unit 4:21 27:7 28:20 34:15,21 40:19 100:15 103:4 105:8 117:11 **United** 3:14 106:13 112:11 117:10 units 38:20 unrepresented 63:1 unworthy 99:9 updates 74:11 upheld 104:21 **upper** 54:18 **upwards** 28:14 **use** 24:18 38:8 103:14 104:13 114:7.10 **useful** 43:2,5 85:17,21 **Usually** 60:12 V **VA** 47:7 58:14

vaginal 104:4,5 validate 91:12 118:7 Valor 114:15 valuable 27:15 various 27:6 110:9 verdict 90:3 104:21 versa 42:6 version 21:10 42:2,3 versions 73:15 versus 32:1 36:7,16 39:10 116:6 vet 57:19 veteran 57:4 95:10 veteran's 56:16 Veterans 27:2 vice 42:6 victim 33:20 36:15 39:12,20,21,22 40:8,8 40:18 41:7,11,12 42:2 42:3,6,9,11 43:1,16 43:20 44:6,9,16 55:9 59:10,20 60:3,7,12

undercutting 64:9

underlying 96:7

61:7.11 63:19 65:4.18 66:14 67:1 68:17 69:6 69:14 70:5 72:21 79:1 81:20 84:8 88:15 89:21,22 113:7,12 victim's 36:11,12 37:5,7 45:8 59:7 64:9 72:11 72:17 73:12 78:18 victims 4:20 27:7 28:18 37:1,22 38:6 39:6,8 39:12,18 40:19 44:19 56:4,5 59:12 62:22 63:1,1,3,11,15 64:16 65:3,21 67:8,18 69:21 70:18 76:7 77:3 80:5 80:12,16 81:21 82:6 84:14 87:2,15,18,21 87:22 88:6.22 victims' 36:19,20 40:2 63:2 67:2,6 79:21 video 5:11,14 video-teleconference 2:6 videoconference 3:22 videos 60:8 61:2 113:10 view 43:4 71:21 views 93:8.9 violence 26:22 57:5,8 71:4 90:21 violent 26:21 27:10 virtual 74:21 92:6,8,11 92:15 93:14 111:21 virtually 6:6 visit 3:20 visits 76:4 118:6,7 **VJO** 58:15 voice 100:7 vote 10:13 21:7 22:8,13 117:3 votes 22:8 Vuono 2:5 6:8,11,12 10:1,10 11:1,4,8 12:9 12:18 13:6,10 14:7,12 14:19 16:16 17:10,13 18:1 21:4 22:7 23:1,5 24:9,14,17,22 w W 1:16,18 96:16 wait 30:8 waiting 46:6 91:17 105:18 waive 65:5 waived 65:6 waiver 46:17,21 65:3 wake 96:17 waking 102:22

walking 77:10 want 15:1,17 19:10 20:8 20:12 22:1 25:12 36:15 37:4 39:19 44:3 49:12 50:13 51:2,22 52:16 55:2 60:1 62:14 65:22 66:2,10,14 67:13 69:15 70:15 73:18 76:3 83:1 86:11 87:10 98:17 116:21 118:3 119:16 wanted 15:10 56:13 72:10 78:7 79:11 90:22 wants 30:8 57:19 58:11 warrant 69:9 73:4 112:7 warrants 59:22 60:1,5 waste 86:17 watch 58:10 59:3 watched 70:9 way 16:11 17:13 19:1 28:8 34:7 42:21 56:10 56:14 57:5 59:3 61:4 61:20 73:8 86:20 89:5 89:10.10 91:6 96:18 110:17 ways 42:4,10 60:21 71:5 73:10 91:5 we'll 10:11 22:7,10,13 25:10 38:4 60:17 84:17 91:8,18 92:15 93:6 101:15,18 106:9 118:8 119:17 we're 9:13 21:1,19,19 25:18 26:3 27:13 46:4 71:2 75:13 76:21,22 77:18 91:3,5,8 118:5 118:19,20 119:5 we've 6:16 38:2 52:12 58:12 68:19 69:7 71:10,13 89:14 90:21 91:4 weapon 115:12 weaponized 109:18 wear 52:14 54:6 wearing 54:7,17 website 5:19 93:13 WEDNESDAY 1:6 week 49:17 73:7 106:19 welcome 3:7 4:15 26:3 92:18 94:4 went 26:1 28:7 52:13 63:17 75:6 79:11 91:20 102:13 103:2,8 109:17 119:21 West 112:14 114:1 whatsoever 101:12 111:5

White 103:18.19 wholly 95:15 wife 106:22 107:20 114:1 William 1:14 9:14 willing 60:16 68:17 104:22 Wilson 101:16,17 106:2 106:3,7,11,13 winds 78:17,19 wise 33:2 50:11 withdraw 24:19 witness 33:20 49:21 62:3 114:3 witnessed 107:6 witnesses 37:17,22 38:6 66:5 woman 95:20 107:20 wonder 16:3 27:19 79:7 wondering 20:22 23:21 word 7:20 8:5 113:8 words 10:9 14:9 work 19:11 21:4 31:6 31:11 32:8,18 37:21 38:5 39:22 41:5,15 42:4.6 51:11 62:18 64:8 99:14 103:8 105:11 114:5 118:13 worked 69:7 70:20 86:12 99:2 working 26:15 31:8 39:6,8,11,12,13 42:13 59:12 112:15 works 25:13 61:20 world 54:3 116:12 worry 80:1 worst 101:10 103:22 worth 11:15 worthwhile 118:9 wouldn't 52:22 69:10 wound 98:16 wrap 5:8 101:4 write 14:13 writing 110:3 written 5:18 wrong 44:1 55:16 98:8 wrongful 106:16 109:14 110:13 Wyoming 58:16 Х Υ yada 20:21,21,21 yeah 12:1 14:7 16:14 19:5 24:9.16.17 25:16 47:11 64:17 69:15

71:18 72:8,19 84:20

86:9 year 4:5 28:9,13,17 47:9,21 years 19:16 43:14 56:21 94:17 98:21 99:1 104:6 109:5 110:6,9 112:21 114:6 116:12,17,18 Yep 16:13 yesterday 5:7 26:10 76:1,6,17,19 79:11 84:18 yesterday's 81:9 **Yob** 2:1 5:8,21 6:1 25:6 25:14,20 74:12 92:1,2 92:9 93:21,22 94:4 101:3,14,21 105:20 105:22 106:5,8 111:19 117:17 York 57:2 Ζ zero 105:3 **zone** 114:16 **Zoom** 3:22 13:11 14:20 22:21 23:1,2 25:1 75:13 **Zooming** 75:18 0 1 1,009 109:14 10-year 4:5 **10:00** 25:13 26:2 10:02 25:10 **100** 57:3 10000 29:11 **109** 109:10 **11:01** 75:6 11:05 75:7 **11:25** 89:16 91:20 **11:30** 89:18 91:18,21 11:58 119:21 **11th** 119:2,17 **12** 6:6 56:21 111:13,14 12-hour 95:13 **120** 99:11 12th 119:2 **13** 1:6 149 28:21 **15-6** 96:2 **16** 61:21 16,000 115:13 **17** 116:11,16 2 **2** 3:9

2's 3:3	9:47 25:9 26:1
2,500 109:22	
2.1 8:1,9 9:6	
2.3 8:7	
20 75:14,22 98:21	
2004 26:16 2008 99:12	
2010 27:5	
2010 27.5 2012 114:10	
2012 114:10 2015 4:5	
2016 4:3	
2017 99:15	
2020 7:10 116:7	
2023 7:15,22 28:20	
2024 1:6	
20th 116:7	
23 28:10	
24 28:10	
25 95:8 97:5,6	
27 107:18	
274 28:20 32:1	
3 3.2 108:6	
3.8 62:6	
3:00 102:11	
3000 28:14	
32 95:8,11 96:22 97:5	
98:13	
34 4:17 6:10 7:3,12 9:3	
12:1,15 14:15 16:19	
17:15 18:7 20:3 23:14	
34th 1:4 3:9	
39 110:6	
4	
40 99:1	
4000 28:15	
4900 1:10	
5	
513 86:2 90:8,13,20	
6	
6(b) 80:7	
60 53:13	
601(d)(2) 8:13	
7	
701 83:11 703 83:11	
703 83:11 75 111:14	
13111.14	
8	
9	
9:15 1:10	
9:23 3:2	